

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

E.	SUMMARY .....	1
E.1	BACKGROUND .....	1
E.2	PURPOSE OF THE UNDERTAKING .....	1
E.3	RATIONALE FOR THE UNDERTAKING .....	2
E.3.1	NEED AND JUSTIFICATION .....	2
E.4	ALTERNATIVES TO THE UNDERTAKING .....	2
E.5	ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF CARRYING OUT THE UNDERTAKING .....	2
E.5.1	TRANSIT SERVICE QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS .....	2
E.5.2	TRANSIT TECHNOLOGIES .....	3
E.5.3	ALTERNATIVE ROUTINGS .....	3
E.5.4	ALTERNATIVE PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE .....	4
E.5.5	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE METHOD FOR IMPROVING PUBLIC TRANSIT IN THE MARKHAM LINK CORRIDOR.....	5
E.6	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE DESIGNS .....	6
E.6.1	SEGMENT A: HIGHWAY 7 TO ENTERPRISE DRIVE .....	6
E.6.2	SEGMENT B: ENTERPRISE DRIVE TO 14TH AVENUE.....	6
E.6.3	SEGMENT C: 14TH AVENUE TO DENISON STREET .....	6
E.6.4	SEGMENT D: EAST/SOUTH CONNECTING ROUTES .....	6
E.6.5	SEGMENT E – DENISON STREET TO STEELES AVENUE .....	7
E.7	THE UNDERTAKING .....	8
E.7.1	SUPPORTING INITIATIVES.....	8
E.8	PROJECT RELATED EFFECTS AND MITIGATION ....	8
E.9	IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS.....	8
E.9.1	PUBLIC OUTREACH.....	8

1.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1.1	Purpose of the Markham North South Link Corridor Public Transit Improvements Report.....	1
1.2	PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT .....	1
1.2.1	Context .....	1
1.2.2	Problem Statement for Markham North South Link Corridor .....	1
1.2.3	Objectives.....	2
1.3	RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER CORRIDORS.....	2
1.4	RELATIONSHIP WITH CITY OF TORONTO.....	3
1.5	STUDY PROCESS.....	3
1.6	REPORT ORGANIZATION .....	3
2.	STUDY BACKGROUND .....	1
2.1	DEFINITION OF STUDY AREA .....	1
2.2	OVERVIEW OF EXISTING CONDITIONS IN STUDY AREA .....	2
2.2.1	The Built Environment.....	2
2.3	DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT .....	3
2.4	TRANSPORTATION ENVIRONMENT.....	4
2.4.1	Local Transit Network .....	4
2.4.2	VIVA Transit Network .....	4
2.4.3	GO Bus Services.....	4
2.4.4	GO Rail.....	5
2.4.5	Provincial Highway Network.....	5
2.4.6	Municipal Road Network .....	6
2.5	EXISTING AND HISTORICAL POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT .....	6
2.6	SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AND TRENDS .....	7
2.6.1	Auto Ownership .....	7
2.6.2	Income Levels .....	8
2.7	FUTURE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS.....	8
2.7.1	Road Networks.....	8
2.7.2	Transit Improvements .....	8

3.	ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES TO THE UNDERTAKING .....	1
3.1	DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES TO THE UNDERTAKING .....	1
3.1.1	Do Nothing.....	1
3.1.2	A Current Commitments Strategy Including Priority Transit and Transportation Demand Management.....	1
3.1.3	An Enhanced Road Capacity Solution.....	1
3.1.4	An Enhanced Inter-regional Transit Solution.....	1
3.1.5	York Region Public Transit Improvements .....	1
3.2	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS .....	1
3.2.1	Forecast of Future Travel Demand.....	1
3.2.2	Future Travel Demand Patterns.....	3
3.2.3	Modelling of Alternative Transportation Solutions .....	3
3.2.4	Alternative Solutions: Demand vs. Capacity Analysis .....	4
3.2.5	Alternative Solutions: Impacts on Mode Shares .....	6
3.2.6	Criteria for Evaluation of Alternative Solutions .....	6
3.2.7	Evaluation of Alternative Transportation Solutions .....	6
4.	FORECAST OF TRAVEL DEMAND WITH PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS.....	1
4.1	FUNCTION OF THE PROPOSED MARKHAM LINK CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS .....	1
4.1.1	EXISTING CORRIDOR TRAVEL PATTERNS.....	1
4.1.2	EXISTING TRANSIT RIDERSHIP .....	2

4.2	TRAVEL DEMAND AND TRANSIT RIDERSHIP PROJECTIONS .....	2	5.5.3	DETAILED ASSESSMENT OF PREFERRED CORRIDORS.....	12	6.4.2	Cultural Landscapes & Built Heritage Features.....	14
4.2.1	THE DEMAND FORECASTING MODEL.....	2	5.5.4	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF ROUTE ALTERNATIVES.....	15	6.4.3	Identification of Built Heritage Features & Cultural Heritage Landscapes .....	14
4.2.2	MODELING SCENARIOS AND ASSUMPTIONS .....	2	5.6	ALTERNATIVE PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS .....	17	6.4.4	Archaeological Resources .....	16
4.3	2021 RIDERSHIP FORECASTS.....	5	5.7	STRATEGY FOR IMPROVING PUBLIC TRANSIT IN THE MARKHAM NORTH-SOUTH CORRIDOR.....	18	6.5	EXISTING NOISE AND VIBRATION LEVELS.....	17
4.3.1	RAPID TRANSIT PASSENGER VOLUMES.....	5	6.	DETAILED EXISTING CONDITIONS IN CORRIDOR ...	1	6.5.1	Predominant Land Uses .....	17
5.	ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF IMPROVING PUBLIC TRANSIT .....	1	6.1	TRANSPORTATION ENVIRONMENT.....	1	6.5.2	Approach Used.....	17
5.1	TRANSIT RIDERSHIP PATTERNS.....	1	6.1.1	Local/Regional Transit Network.....	1	6.5.3	Traffic Noise Prediction Results for Existing Conditions .....	17
5.2	METHODOLOGY USED TO SCREEN AND EVALUATE ALTERNATIVE METHODS .....	1	6.1.2	Existing Roadway Network.....	1	6.5.4	Sound Level Monitoring at Receptor Locations .....	17
5.3	SERVICE QUALITY ALTERNATIVES .....	1	6.1.3	Pedestrian/Cycling Network .....	5	6.5.5	Background/ambient Sound Level Monitoring Results .....	17
5.3.1	INCREASING THE FREQUENCY AND COVERAGE OF SERVICES.....	1	6.1.4	Pedestrian/Cycling Demand .....	5	6.5.6	Comparison of Traffic Noise with Measured Background Noise Levels .....	17
5.3.2	INTRODUCING SMALLER CIRCULATOR SHUTTLES.....	2	6.2	NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.....	5	6.5.7	Existing Vibration Levels along Warden Avenue .....	18
5.3.3	IMPROVED TRANSIT STOPS.....	2	6.2.1	Physiography and Soils .....	5	6.6	AIR QUALITY .....	18
5.3.4	IMPROVING TRANSIT PRIORITY.....	2	6.2.2	Geology/Hydrogeology .....	6	6.6.1	Existing Environmental Conditions .....	18
5.3.5	SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVE SERVICE QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	2	6.2.3	Horizontal Groundwater Movement .....	6	6.6.2	Air Dispersion Modelling Methodology.....	22
5.4	ALTERNATIVE TRANSIT TECHNOLOGIES.....	4	6.2.4	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge Areas .....	6	7.	PLANNING AND DESIGN PARAMETERS.....	1
5.4.1	TRANSIT TECHNOLOGIES CONSIDERED.....	4	6.2.5	Well Distribution .....	7	7.1	RAPID TRANSIT DESIGN OBJECTIVES .....	1
5.4.2	SCREENING OF TRANSIT TECHNOLOGIES.....	4	6.2.6	Aquatic Habitats and Communities .....	7	7.2	DESIGN CRITERIA .....	1
5.4.3	DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED TECHNOLOGIES.....	5	6.2.7	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat.....	7	7.2.1	General Description of Surface Rapid Transit.....	1
5.5	TRANSIT CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES.....	7	6.2.8	Designated Natural Areas .....	8	7.2.2	Principal Design Criteria .....	1
5.5.1	INITIAL SCREENING OF TRANSIT CORRIDORS.....	7	6.2.9	Contaminated Sites .....	9	7.3	STATION DESIGN FEATURES .....	2
5.5.2	ROUTING OPTIONS IN THE CITY OF TORONTO .....	12	6.2.10	Drainage Patterns .....	9	7.4	FARE COLLECTION.....	2
			6.2.11	Water Quality.....	10			
			6.3	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT.....	10			
			6.3.1	Land Ownership Patterns .....	10			
			6.3.2	Land Use Designations .....	11			
			6.3.3	Land Use along the Corridor .....	12			
			6.3.4	Future Development Plans.....	12			
			6.3.5	Recreation and Tourism Areas.....	12			
			6.3.6	Services and Utilities.....	12			
			6.4	CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCES.....	13			
			6.4.1	Environmental Assessment & Cultural Heritage Resources .....	13			

8.	DEVELOPMENT AND SELECTION OF PREFERRED DESIGN .....	1	9.2.2	Longer-Term Service Design Concepts .....	8	10.5.5	Full Life-Cycle Effects.....	10
8.1	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY.....	1	9.2.3	Longer-Term Service Levels.....	8	11.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS .....	1
8.2	EVALUATION OBJECTIVES, GOALS AND INDICATORS .....	1	9.3	PROJECT ACTIVITIES .....	9	11.1	CONTEXT .....	1
8.3	DEVELOPMENT OF SEGMENT ALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES.....	2	9.3.1	Pre-construction Phase.....	9	11.2	PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....	1
8.3.1	SEGMENT A – PREFERRED ALIGNMENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES.....	2	9.3.2	Construction Activities.....	9	11.2.1	The Design Phase .....	1
8.3.2	SEGMENT B – ALTERNATIVE METHODS.....	2	9.3.3	Operation Phase .....	9	11.2.2	The Construction Phase.....	1
8.3.3	SEGMENT C – ALTERNATIVE METHODS.....	3	9.4	PROJECT STAGING .....	9	11.3	ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS.....	1
8.3.4	SEGMENT D – ALTERNATIVE METHODS.....	8	9.5	DESIGN ATTRIBUTES AND BUILT-IN MITIGATION.....	10	11.3.1	Construction Monitoring .....	1
8.3.5	SEGMENT D – ALTERNATIVE CROSS-SECTIONS .....	8	10.	ASSESSMENT OF THE PREFERRED DESIGN .....	1	11.3.2	Operations Monitoring.....	2
8.3.6	SEGMENT E – ALTERNATIVE ALIGNMENT .....	8	10.1	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY .....	1	11.4	MODIFYING THE PREFERRED DESIGN .....	2
9.	THE PREFERRED DESIGN.....	1	10.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS .....	1	12.	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT .....	1
9.1	DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED DESIGN.....	1	10.3	PROJECT-RELATED EFFECTS AND MITIGATION.....	2	12.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM.....	2
9.1.1	Transitway Elements.....	5	10.4	ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION .....	2	12.1.1	Public Consultation Centres .....	2
9.1.2	Horizontal Alignment .....	6	10.4.1	OBJECTIVE A: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor.....	2	12.1.2	Facts Sheets .....	4
9.1.3	Vertical Alignment and Pavement Widening .....	6	10.4.2	OBJECTIVE B: To protect and enhance the natural environment in the corridor.....	2	12.1.3	York Rapid Transit Program Website .....	4
9.1.4	Intersection Design .....	6	10.4.3	OBJECTIVE C: To promote smart growth and economic development in the corridor .....	6	12.2	STAKEHOLDERS MEETINGS.....	4
9.1.5	Structures.....	6	10.4.4	OBJECTIVE D: To provide an effective transportation service .....	7	12.3	MUNICIPAL APPROVALS.....	5
9.1.6	Modifications to Existing Highway 407 Interchange .....	7	10.5	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSED FOR CEAA REQUIREMENTS.....	10			
9.1.7	Stations .....	7	10.5.1	Cumulative Environmental Effects.....	10			
9.1.8	Park and Ride Facilities .....	8	10.5.2	Timelags whereby the effects of short-term activities are not experienced until the future.....	10			
9.1.9	Bus Bay Considerations at Don Mills Station .....	8	10.5.3	Effects of a Project Malfunction or Accident.....	10			
9.1.10	Integration with Potential Future Station on Highway 407 Transitway.....	8	10.5.4	Effects of the Environment on the Undertaking .....	10			
9.2	SERVICE PLAN.....	8						
9.2.1	Near-Term Service Design.....	8						

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix A</b>	Term of Reference
<b>Appendix B</b>	Public Consultation Reports
<b>Appendix C</b>	Municipal Correspondence and Council Resolutions
<b>Appendix D</b>	Transportation Assessment
<b>Appendix E</b>	Natural Sciences Report
<b>Appendix F</b>	Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment Report – Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscapes
<b>Appendix G</b>	Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment
<b>Appendix H</b>	Geotechnical Investigation Report
<b>Appendix I</b>	Contaminated Sites Report
<b>Appendix J</b>	Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment
<b>Appendix K</b>	Air Quality Impact Assessment
<b>Appendix L</b>	York Region Transportation Master Plan
<b>Appendix M</b>	Storm Water Management Preliminary Assessment
<b>Appendix N</b>	Response to Comments from Government Review Team on Draft EA

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E-1	York Region Rapid Transit Network.....	E.1
Figure E-2	Study Area for Public Transit Improvements in the Markham North-South Link Corridor .....	E.1
Figure E-3	Short-Listed Transitway Corridor Options .....	E.3
Figure E-4	Preferred Alternative Method of Improving Public Transit in the Markham N-S Corridor and Relation to Other Corridors.....	E.5
Figure E-5	Preferred Alignment and Station Locations.....	E.7
Figure 1-1	Planned Rapid Transit Network.....	1.1
Figure 1-2	Relationship of Markham N-S Link Corridor to other Interconnected Corridors.....	1.2
Figure 1-3	Environmental Assessment Process.....	1.4
Figure 2-1	Study Area for the Markham North-South Link Corridor Public Transit Improvements.....	2.1
Figure 2-2	Study Area Land Use .....	2.2
Figure 2-3	Natural Heritage Features .....	2.4
Figure 2-4	Existing Transit Services .....	2.5
Figure 2-5	Study Area Road Network.....	2.6
Figure 2-6	2001 Population.....	2.7
Figure 2-7	2001 Employment.....	2.7
Figure 2-8	1996 Average Household Income .....	2.8
Figure 2-9	Future Transportation Improvements .....	2.8
Figure 3-1	Automobile Trips Crossing North of Steeles Avenue Screenline.....	3.3
Figure 3-2	Screenlines for Demand Capacity Analysis .....	3.4
Figure 3-3	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 1 - South of Highway 7 .....	3.4
Figure 3-4	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 2 - South of 14th Avenue .....	3.4
Figure 3-5	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 3 – North of Steeles Avenue .....	3.5
Figure 3-6	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 4 – South of Finch Avenue.....	3.5
Figure 3-7	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 1 - South of Highway 7 .....	3.5
Figure 3-8	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 2 - South of 14th Avenue .....	3.5
Figure 3-9	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 3 – North of Steeles Avenue .....	3.5
Figure 3-10	Demand vs. Capacity for Screenline 4 – South of Finch Avenue.....	3.5

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-11	Impact on Mode Shares in 2021 and 2031 .....	3.6
Figure 4-1	Study Area Travel Patterns (2001 AM Peak Period Total Trips) .....	4.1
Figure 4-2	Population Growth York Region Municipalities, 2001-2021 .....	4.3
Figure 4-3	Employment Growth in York Region Municipalities, 2001-2021 .....	4.3
Figure 4-4	Assumed Rapid Transit Network for Modelling Purposes .....	4.4
Figure 4-5	AM Peak Hour Link Volume – 2021 BRT.....	4.5
Figure 4-6	Origin and Destination Patterns of Transit Riders Using the Markham North-South Link (AM Peak Period) .....	4.6
Figure 4-7	AM Peak Period Boarding / Alighting – 2021 Base Case .....	4.7
Figure 5-1	Origin Destination Patterns for Transit Trips Crossing the North of Steeles Avenue Screenline....	5.1
Figure 5-2	Potential Routes for Public Transit Improvements....	5.7
Figure 5-3	Short Listed Transitway Corridor Options .....	5.12
Figure 5-4	Gross Urban Density (2001).....	5.13
Figure 5-5	Gross Urban Density (2021).....	5.13
Figure 5-6	Significant Employment Nodes .....	5.14
Figure 5-7	Population and Employment in Proximity to Transit Corridors (Markham and Toronto Study Areas) .....	5.14
Figure 5-8	Population and Employment in Proximity to Transit Corridors (2021) (North and South Study Areas)....	5.14
Figure 5-9	Alternative Physical Infrastructure Options .....	5.17
Figure 5-10	Preferred Alternative Method of Improving Public Transit in the Markham N-S Corridor and Relation to Other Corridors.....	5.19
Figure 6-1	Existing Natural Environment in Warden Avenue Corridor.....	6.6
Figure 6-2	Watersheds and Drainage.....	6.9
Figure 6-2	Built Heritage .....	6.15
Figure 6-3	Wind Rose for Pearson International Airport 1994-2001.....	6.18
Figure 6-4	MOE Monitoring Locations .....	6.21
Figure 7-1	Typical Two-Lane Exclusive Transitway .....	7-2

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 8-1	Preferred Alignment and Potential Future Opportunities – Segment A – Highway 7 to Enterprise Drive .....	8-2
Figure 8-2	Alternative B1 - Median Transitway plus 6 Traffic Lanes Alternative B2 - Median Transitway plus 4 Traffic Lanes .....	8-4
Figure 8-3	Alternative C1 - Median Transitway plus 6 Traffic Lanes Alternative C2 - Median Transitway plus 4 Traffic Lanes .....	8-6
Figure 8-4	Alternative D1 – Denison/Esna Park Alternative D2 – Denison/Victoria Park .....	8-9
Figure 8-5	Alternative D1 (a) – Denison/Esna Park with Transit in mixed traffic except at approach to Warden Alternative D1 (b) – Denison/Esna Park with median transitway plus 4 lanes of general traffic .....	8-10
Figure 9-1	Preferred Alignment and Station Locations .....	9.1
Figure 9-2	Typical BRT Transitway Cross-section – 6-lane Traffic with 1.0 m Raised Median .....	9.2
Figure 9-3	Typical LRT Transitway Cross-section – 6-lane Traffic with 1.0 m Raised Median .....	9.2
Figure 9-4	Typical BRT Station Cross-section – 6-lane Traffic with Left Turn Lane .....	9.3
Figure 9-5	Typical LRT Station Cross-section – 6-lane Traffic with Left Turn Lane .....	9.3
Figure 9-6	Typical BRT Transitway Cross-section – 4-lane Traffic with 4.0 m Streetscape Median .....	9.4
Figure 9-7	Typical BRT Station Cross-section – 4lane Traffic with Left Turn Lane .....	9.4
Figure 9-8	Station Layout and Landscaping Opportunities .....	9.5
Figure 9-9	Proposed Median Access for Emergency Vehicles (Warden Avenue north of Denison Street) .....	9.6
Figure 9-10	Warden Avenue Crossing Highway 407 .....	9.7
Figure 9-11	Warden Avenue Crossing CN York Subdivision .....	9.7

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Existing Transit Routes .....	2-5
Table 2-2	Population and Employment Growth, 1986 to 2001 ..	2-7
Table 2-3	Auto Ownership Levels, 2001 .....	2-8
Table 3-1	Summary of Alternative Transportation Strategies ...	3.1
Table 3-2	Origin-Destination Patterns of Automobile Trips Crossing North of Steeles Avenue Screenline .....	3.3
Table 3-3	Evaluation of Alternatives to The Undertaking .....	3.8
Table 4-1	Existing Transit Ridership 2003/04 (AM Peak Period) .....	4.2
Table 4-2	Stouffville GO Rail Ridership (1993-2002) .....	4.2
Table 4-3	Population and Employment Growth Forecasts, 2001 to 2031 .....	4.2
Table 4-4	Speed and Headway Assumptions .....	4.4
Table 4-5	Park and Ride Lot Capacities .....	4.5
Table 4-6	2021 Ridership Summary for the Markham Link .....	4.5
Table 4-7	2021 AM Peak Hour Ridership by Segment for Markham Link Corridor .....	4.6
Table 4-8	(A.M. Peak 3-Hour Period Volumes Screenline North of Steeles between Don Mills and Kennedy) ...	4.6
Table 4-9	AM Peak (3-Hour) Period Total Trips and Transit Modal Split .....	4.6
Table 5-1	Screening of Alternatives to Improve Service Quality .....	5.3
Table 5-2	Preliminary Screening of Transit Technologies .....	5.6
Table 5-3a	Screening of Rapid Transit Routing Alternatives – North-South Alternatives Routes North of Steeles Avenue .....	5.8
Table 5-3b	Screening of Rapid Transit Routing Alternatives – North-South Alternatives Routes South of Steeles Avenue .....	5.9
Table 5-3c	Screening of Rapid Transit Routing Alternatives – East-West Alternatives Routes South of Steeles Avenue .....	5.11
Table 5-4	Number of Watercourse by Corridor .....	5.13
Table 5-5	Peak Period Ridership for Selected Lines in 2021 ..	5.15
Table 5-6	Compatibility of Alternative Corridors with other Improvements .....	5.15
Table 5-7	Evaluation of Routing Alternatives .....	5.16
Table 5-8	Evaluation of Alternative Physical Infrastructure Alternatives .....	5.18

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 6-1	Roadway Cross-Section Summary for Warden Avenue North .....	6.1
Table 6-2	Average Annual Daily Traffic Counts on Warden Avenue .....	6.2
Table 6-3	Cross-Section Features of Other Facilities Used by Markham N-S Link .....	6.2
Table 6-4	Average Annual Daily Traffic Counts on Other Facilities .....	6.2
Table 6-5	Existing AM Peak Intersection Operations .....	6.3
Table 6-6	Existing PM Peak Intersection Operations .....	6.3
Table 6-7	High Pedestrian Areas .....	6.5
Table 6-8	Total Number of Properties Representing Potential Environmental Concern .....	6.9
Table 6-9	Water Quality at Station #DGM 17.0 .....	6.10
Table 6-10	Water Quality at Station #R 97777 .....	6.10
Table 6-11	Cultural Heritage .....	6.14
Table 6-12	Archaeological Sites Within ~2 Kilometres Of The Study Area .....	6.16
Table 6-13	Predicted Existing Daytime and Nighttime Traffic Noise Levels .....	6.17
Table 6-14	Summary of receptor locations .....	6.17
Table 6-15	Comparison of Measured With Predicted Traffic Noise Levels .....	6.18
Table 6-16	Stability Class Distribution 1996-2001 Toronto Pearson Int'l Airport .....	6.19
Table 6-17	Provincial Air Quality Criteria for TSP .....	6.19
Table 6-18	Air Quality Criteria for PM10 and PM2.5 .....	6.19
Table 6-19	Air Quality Criteria for Dustfall .....	6.20
Table 6-20	MOE Ambient Air Quality Criteria for Criteria Air Contaminants .....	6.20
Table 6-21	Historical Air Quality Data .....	6.20
Table 6-22	Summary of Project Air Quality Monitoring .....	6.21
Table 6-23	Tailpipe Emission Factors For Vehicles .....	6.21
Table 6-24	Ratio Between Emission Factors for Both Gasoline and Diesel Engines .....	6.22
Table 7-1	Summary of Geometric Design Criteria for BRT .....	7.2
Table 7-2	Summary of Geometric Design Criteria for LRT .....	7.2
Table 8-1	Evaluation Objectives, Goals and Indicators .....	8.1
Table 8-2	Evaluation of Alternatives- Segment B .....	8.5
Table 8-3	Evaluation of Alternatives- Segment C .....	8.7
Table 8-4	Evaluation of Alternatives- Segment D .....	8.11

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 10-1	Assessment of Environmental Effects for Objective A – Social Environment .....	10.3
Table 10-2	Assessment of Environmental Effects for Objective B - Natural Environment .....	10.4
Table 10-3	Assessment of Environmental Effects for Objective C – Smart Growth and Economic Development.....	10.7
Table 10-4	Assessment of Environmental Effects for Objective D – Transportation Service .....	10.8
Table 12-1	First Nations Contacted .....	12-5