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## 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the analysis prepared by the Demand Forecasting Technical Sub-Group. The purpose of this group has been to produce travel demand forecasts for different subway route alignments between Downsview station and a transit terminal station on Steeles Avenue, west of Keele Street. These forecasts are intended to be used to help identify a preferred route for the subway extension and provide input into the evaluation process for routing alignments.

The forecast year is 2021 and is intended to provide demand estimates for a 5 year +/- postconstruction period. This document details the assumed transportation network (road and transit), major transit routing and fare structure, and land use expected to be in place in that year. The document provides a discussion of the processes used to gather all the necessary information for the forecasting model, preparation and analysis of model runs, refinement procedures for station-level analysis and demand forecasts for all subway route alignments.

## 2 APPROACH

The City of Toronto's GTA Model ${ }^{1}$ has been used to generate the travel forecasts for 2021. It is an EMME/2-based model. The GTA Model contains a GTA-wide transportation network (transit and road), and is based on 2001 and 1996 Transportation for Tomorrow Survey ${ }^{2}$ data. The Model has been used extensively by the City in many transportation planning, transportation environmental assessments and in the preparation of the Council-approved Official Plan ${ }^{3}$.
A flowchart of the demand forecasting work plan is shown in Exhibit 1. The work was divided into three components:

1) GTA Model Work - Update and Validation
2) Refinement Process; and
3) Opportunities and Policy Density - Land Use Scenarios

The first step in the process required the update of the GTA Model to produce the forecasts for the possible subway routes using 2021 transportation networks (transit and roads) and 2021 population and employment forecasts for the GTA ${ }^{4}$. Validation of the model within the study area for the base year (2001) was required in order to ensure that the allocation of trave demands between modes was within acceptable limits.

Because the GTA Model is traffic zone-based (traffic zones cover relatively large areas) and the study is evaluating route alternatives within a relatively small area, refinements to the model results were done to better reflect station walk-ins and walk-outs as well as transfers to/from local transit services. Forecasts for walk access to/from station locations were also produced using two additional land use scenarios, namely, Opportunities (increased population potential) and Policy Density land use which are described in more detail in section 5.1. Appendix A provides a more detailed description of the work undertaken.

[^0]Exhibit 1 - Flowchart of Demand Forecasting Work


## 3 MODEL VALIDATION

The purpose of the model validation exercise was to confirm that the GTA Model adequately simulates actual observed transit and auto driver trips. The GTA Model was validated by comparing the model's estimates for 2001 (based on the four-stage modeling process) to adjusted 2001 Cordon Count ${ }^{5}$ data and TTC station usage data for 2001.

Table 1 shows the comparison between 2001 cordon count data for transit trips crossing a section of the Steeles Avenue screenline and the corresponding figure generated by the GTA model. The Steeles Avenue screenline extends from Richmond Hill GO Line, east of Yonge Street, to Jane Street. The screenline was extended further to the east, compared to previous work, so that the forecasting sub-group could assess the impact and relationship of the subway extension demands to improvements to both the Bradford and Richmond Hill GO services.

## Table 1 - Southbound Cordon - North of Steeles between Jane St and Richmond Hill GO

| MODE | Adjusted Cordon Count <br> AM peak hour | Simulated GTA model <br> AM peak hour | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | $2001+$ |  |
| Transit Persons | 7,100 | 7,600 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Auto Persons | 15,000 | 15,300 | $2.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 22,100 | 22,900 | $3.6 \%$ |

The results of Table 1 show that the cordon north of Steeles Avenue covering the Study Area is accurately represented by the GTA Model. Table 2, below, shows the comparison between 2001 station usage counts and the corresponding figures generated by the GTA Model.

Table 2-2001 AM Peak Period Boardings and Alightings by Station

| Station | Observed $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> Boardings | Simulated $^{b}$ <br> Boardings | Observed $^{\text {a }}$ <br> Alightings | Simulated <br> Alightings | Sim/Obs <br> Boardings | Sim/Obs <br> Alightings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eglinton West | 4,050 | 4,190 | 665 | 1,010 | $3.5 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ |
| Glencairn | 1,245 | 945 | 135 | 275 | $-24.1 \%$ | $103.7 \%$ |
| Lawrence West | 2,630 | 2,725 | 1,485 | 1,285 | $3.6 \%$ | $-13.5 \%$ |
| Yorkdale | 3,115 | 4,685 | 885 | 1,055 | $50.4 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Wilson | 4,875 | 3,785 | 1,390 | 1,260 | $-22.4 \%$ | $-9.4 \%$ |
| Downsview | 4,530 | 3,165 | 3,630 | 3,635 | $-30.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Total | 20,445 | 19,495 | 8,190 | 8,520 | $-4.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Observed station usage information is from 2001 TTC Station Usage Count report.
Simulated station usage is from the GTA Model
Table 2 results show that while there are variations in the accuracy of the model's simulation of individual station usage, on an aggregate basis the estimates are well within accepted limits for a regional modeling exercise.

[^1] AM peak transit trips to the 2001 Cordon count AM peak transit trips crossing the Steeles boundary.

## 4 FUTURE NETWORKS

The assumptions for the 2021 road network, transit network, and commuter parking changes are listed in Appendix B. All planned road and transit changes for 2021 were coded in the GTA Model networks. Exhibit 2 shows a map of the changes in surface transit routes assumed for the subway extension. City staff assembled all road and transit network information with the cooperation of staff from the Ministry of Transportation Ontario (MTO), GO Transit, York Region, Peel Region, City of Toronto, and TTC. The subway routes studied are shown in Exhibits 3a3h.

Fare structures are assumed to remain as they currently exist including the local transit zone fare boundary at Steeles Avenue. However, it should be noted that within the timeframe examined in this analysis, fare structures may change significantly and if, as a result, the zone fare boundary at Steeles is modified or eliminated, transit ridership could increase above the levels forecast here.

Exhibit 2 - Map of Possible Surface Transit Routes Connecting to Subway Extension

## Spadina Subway Extension:

 Possible Connecting Bus Routes

Exhibit 3a
Route 1


## Exhibit 3b

## Route 2



## Exhibit 3d <br> Route 4



Exhibit $3 e$
Route 5


Exhibit 3g
Route 7


## 5 LAND USE

Table 3 contains the regional 2021 population and employment control totals used in the GTA model. Each region provided this information at a regional and traffic zone level.

| Table 3 - Regional Land Use Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region Source 2001 POP 2001 EMP 2021 POP 2021 EMP <br> Toronto Official Plan $2,450,750$ $1,453,650$ $2,800,050$ $1,718,950$ <br> Durham Development Charges <br> study 527,050 166,350 849,750 311,000 <br> York York Region Official <br> Plan (September 2004 <br> update) 772,000 386,000 $1,272,000$ 721,200 <br> Peel Peel Region Official <br> Plan (August 2003 <br> update) 981,650 517,750 $1,394,900$ 761,400 <br> Halton Halton Region Official <br> Plan (June 2003 update) 389,250 169,000 592,300 308,000 <br> Hamilton $1999-2001$ TMP 498,100 192,350 566,800 229,650 <br> TOTAL  $5,618,800$ $2,885,100$ $7,475,800$ $4,050,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 shows the 2001 and 2021 land use for the traffic zones in the study area (Exhibit 4). The source of this information is a traffic zone level breakdown of the City of Toronto and York Region Official Plans' forecasts

| 96 Traffic Zone | 2001 POP | 2001 EMP | 2021 POP | 2021 EMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | 5,500 | 650 | 6,400 | 800 |
| 94 | 16,850 | 1,700 | 17,100 | 2,050 |
| 95 | 8,850 | 7,200 | 10,950 | 9,550 |
| 96 |  | 4,600 | 1,550 | 4,700 |
| 97 | 350 | 5,750 | 2,500 | 5,850 |
| 98 | 950 | 1,050 | 3,550 | 1,150 |
| 107 | 11,400 | 2,450 | 12,200 | 3,050 |
| 108 |  | 7,350 |  | 7,900 |
| 109 |  | 12,900 | 700 | 13,950 |
| 110 | 8,450 | 2,900 | 8,350 | 3,400 |
| 1063 |  | 3,350 |  | 4,050 |
| 1064 |  | 4,300 | 1,850 | 5,500 |
| Study Area Totals | 52,350 | 54,200 | 65,150 | 61,950 |

Traffic Zones in Study Area

5.1 Land Use Scenarios

A total of three different land use scenarios were developed for the potential subway station areas:

- Base land use (Official Plan)
- Policy Density land use

In order to assess the impact of land use in the vicinity of stations on subway ridership, land use scenarios were developed for the land area within a 500 metre radius of each potential station. Exhibit 5 shows the identified stations and their 500 metre catchment areas in relation to land use potential and opportunities identified in the City's Official Plan

Research has shown that the likelihood of a transit customer walking to a rapid transit station decreases significantly once the walk distance exceeds 500 metres. At this point, the customer is likely to choose to walk to a nearby bus stop and then use surface transit to reach a rapid transit station. The forecasts of transit customers walking into and walking out of rapid transit stations are based on the total population and employment within a 500 metre radius of the station.

Base Land Use Scenario
The 2021 population and employment forecasts from Official Plans were used as a basis for estimating travel demands for the base land use scenario using the GTA Model. Since Official Plan land use forecasts are done to a traffic zone level only, which is much larger than the 500 metre station area radius, more detailed estimates were needed for the station areas. City Planning staff provided the Base Land use forecasts for the 500 metre radius around potential stations as shown in Table 5

## Opportunities Land Use Scenario

The second land use scenario used Opportunities ${ }^{6}$ for residential development in the vicinity of potential future subway stations. The Opportunities scenario has the same employment forecast as the Base scenario. The source of this information is the background research to the City of Toronto's Official Plan. The Opportunities scenario assumes development in identified "opportunity" areas being built out to their assumed densities within the 500 metre walking distance of the potential subway station by the 2021 horizon year. The "opportunity" areas were assessed during the Official Plan process without consideration of a potential Spadina subway extension. This is the scenario that has been used in the evaluation of route and alignment alternatives.
${ }^{6}$ The identification of Opportunities for additional residential development beyond the base Official Plan forecasts are discussed in the Official Plan background report: Flashforward: Projecting Population and Employment to 2031 in a Mature Urban Area, City of Toronto, June 2002
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Exhibit 5 - Land Uses Around Potential Stations


Policy Density Land Use Scenario
August, 2005
${ }^{7}$ Toronto Transit Commission, August 2001

Table 5-2021 Base, Opportunities and Policy Density Forecasts within 500m of Possible Station Locations

| Possible Station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base (OP) } \\ & \text { Pop } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Base (OP) } \\ \text { Emp } \end{array}$ | Opportunities Pop | Opportunities Emp ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Policy Density Pop | Policy <br> Density <br> Emp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steeles West ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,300 | 900 | 1,900 | 900 | na | Na |
| York University at Sentinel | 2,600 | 1,800 | 3,800 | 1,800 | na | Na |
| York University at east end of Common | 2,300 | 1,800 | 3,500 | 1,800 | na | Na |
| York University at west end of Common | 2,600 | 1,800 | 3,800 | 1,800 | na | Na |
| Finch West at Keele | 2,400 | 2,000 | 3,900 | 2,000 | 5,000 | 2,700 |
| Keele / Murray Ross | 1,900 | 1,900 | 3,200 | 1,900 | 5,000 | 2,700 |
| Finch West at GO ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | negligible | 3,400 | negligible | 3,400 | negligible | 7,700 |
| GO / Chesswood ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | negligible | 3,500 | negligible | 3,500 | negligible | 7,700 |
| Sheppard West | 700 | 2,000 | 2,200 | 2,000 | 5,000 | 2,700 |

Figures do not include
land use just north of Steeles that, at the time of writing, is going through the secondary plan approval process. If approved, there would an additional 10,355 population and 4,600 employment of which a postation is 640 and employment of 2200 would be within 500 m of the Steeles station. As the proposed Steeles the selection of a preferred subway route
It is expected that the land use in the vicinity of these stations will remain predominantly employment-based. Opportunities were not estimated for employment. Therefore, employment forecasts were assumed to be the same ,

More details on total population and employment within 500 metres of each potential subway station for the years 2001 and 2021 for each subway route alternative are shown in tables in Appendix C

## 6 RIDERSHIP FORECAST RESULTS

As discussed earlier in this document, ridership forecasts were prepared for two levels o analysis; namely, overall subway extension volumes by route and individual station usage including boardings and alightings by mode (walk-in, transfer, commuter parking). The results discussed below were prepared as part of the subway route evaluation process and have been incorporated into the detailed evaluation of the route options. The ridership forecasts presented
here reflect the most detailed estimates available for station level analysis and will also form here reflect the most detailed estimates available for station level analysis and will also form part of the analysis for station location phase of the study
6.1 Screenline Volumes

Overall screenline volumes, at three separate screenlines between Downsview Station and Steeles Avenue, do not vary significantly between the route options tested using the GTA Model. Therefore, a single series of numbers is shown below that represents the overall allocation of travel by mode to each screenline. Some adjustments have been made to the raw model results, the details of which can be found in Appendix D.

These screenline volumes only include trips generated from the 2021 land use as listed in Table 3. It thus excludes the additional land use just north of Steeles Avenue that is going through a secondary plan approval process

Table 6-2021 AM Peak Period Screenline Volumes with Subway Extension - Corridor between Jane St. and Richmond Hill GO


Table 7-2001+ AM Peak Period Screenline Volumes - Corridor between Jane St. and Richmond Hill GO

| Model Volume (person-trips) - AM peak period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rapid Transit | GO Rail | Surface Transit | Total Transit | Total Auto | TOTAL |
| South of Steeles | SB |  | 5,200 | 12,800 | 18,000 | 36,100 | 54,100 |
|  | NB | - | - | 8,200 | 8,200 | 14,600 | 22,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South of Finch | SB | 23,200 | 6,100 | 4,100 | 33,400 | 32,100 | 65,500 |
|  | NB | 6,200 | - | 10,000 | 16,200 | 24,600 | 40,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South of Sheppard | SB | 36,400 | 6,100 | 3,200 | 45,600 | 36,700 | 82,300 |
|  | NB | 15,800 |  | 7,800 | 23,600 | 30,600 | 54,200 |

### 6.2 Forecasting Transit Trips to York University

A more manual process was used to forecast trips using the subway to go to York University. This process involved applying transit mode split and direction of travel factors to university employees and students. Employment at the university is expected to remain constant at approximately 5,300 employees while the student enrolment is expected to increase from 50,000 in 2004 (including the double cohort) ${ }^{8}$ to 52,000 in 2021.
${ }^{8}$ In 2003, the province phased out the 5 -year high school program and completed phasing in a 4 -year program that resulted in two groups of students graduating in a single year, the 'double-cohort'. The
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For work trips to the university, the 2001 transit mode split is 10\% for the AM peak period [2001 TTS]. The work trip transit mode split to York University was doubled to $20 \%$ for the forecasting
exercise. TTS also indicates that that $74 \%$ of all transit work trips going to the university occur exercise. TTS also indicates that that $74 \%$ of all transit work trips going to the university occur
during the AM peak period, and that approximately $75 \%$ of these trips originate from locations in Toronto. These have been assumed to stay constant in 2021.

For student trips to the university, an AM peak period transit mode split of $55 \%$ was assumed. The 2001 TTS figure was $40 \%$. TTS data also indicates that approximately $70 \%$ of all student trips made by transit originate from locations in Toronto.
Completing the calculations using these assumptions results in approximately 5,500 AM peak period transit trips travelling northbound on the Spadina subway extension and alighting at York University station. Those transit trips coming from the north, 2300, were assumed to walk to the university from the Steeles station or be dropped off by buses from the north circulating through the university. If changes to fare arrangements can be made to permit travel between non-TTC buses and the subway on a single fare there would likely be some customers who would travel the short subway ride between Steeles and York University stations
6.3 Commuter Parking

There are three locations in the Spadina Subway Extension corridor that are identified for commuter parking:

- Steeles Avenue terminal
- Keele/Hydro Corridor
- Downsview Station

In order to prepare a complete analysis of station utilization for each routing option, the following assumptions were made for stations in the vicinity of these three locations:

## Table 8 - Commuter Parking Assumptions

| Station | Commuter Parking Location | \# Spaces |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Steeles Terminal | Steeles/hydro corridor | 3000 |
| York U - Sentinel | Keele/Hydro corridor | 400 |
| Keele/Murray Ross | Keele/ydrdro corridor | 400 |
| Keele/Finch | Keele/Hydro corridor | 400 |
| Downsview | SE quadrant Sheppard/Allen | 640 |

The commuter parking facilities listed above were used both in the GTA Model and for the station level usage forecasts.
6.4 Station Usage Forecasts

Table 9 lists the number of AM peak period customers forecast to use each of the possible stations identified in the eight subway route alternatives. Appendix D has more detail on these figures and lists any refinements made to the unrefined GTA Model results.

Table 9 - Total Boardings and Alightings - 2021 AM Peak Period
increased demand for university programs in the period after this 'double cohort' of students is still reflected in the student population for 2004.

## August, 2005

| Land Use Scenario |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Official Plan - Base | Opportunities | Policy Density |
| Steeles West <br> combined with York U | 21,250 | 21,250 | 21,250 |
| Keele / Murray Ross | 1,550 | 1,700 | 2,000 |
| Finch West at Keele | 3,000 | 3,200 | 3,500 |
| Finch West at GO | 1,750 | 1,750 | 2,050 |
| GO / Chesswood | 250 | 250 | 550 |
| Sheppard West | 2,650 | 2,950 | 3,750 |

The usage results for Steeles West and York University stations assumed the same level of land use and student enrolment for all three land use scenarios. All forecasts include the additional land use just north of Steeles Avenue that is going through a secondary plan approval process. The above figures were derived from the subway route alignments modeled in the GTA Model. In each of the subway route alignments, the differences in the station usage forecasts for Steeles West and York University stations were negligible, thus the average was used.
GO / Chesswood station was not included in the original set of subway route scenarios run through the GTA Model. The station usage is based solely on the population and employment within 500 m of the station. In fact, the area is and will most likely remain predominantly as mostly AM peak period walk-outs to the employment destinations. There is no opportunity at this location for any transfers to other modes of public transit except for that of GO train The GTA Model results indicated that the number of curtomers transferring at this station between GO train and the subway is very small (less than 50 total boardings and alightings in the AM pok period). The Finch West at alion Chesswood, however, transfers between Finch West buses and the subway would be possible at this location.

The above station usage results indicate that, of all the possible stations located south of York University, the highest ridership levels are achieved with Routes 1 and 4. This can be clearly shown in Table 10 which uses the Official Plan - Opportunities Land Use scenario AM peak period forecast results applied to all eight identified subway route alternatives. Table 11 expands these figures to an all-day basis.

Table 13 - Subway Link Volumes - 2021 Opportunities Land Use - AM Peak Period

Table 12-Transfer Activity at Stations on Finch Ave W-2021 Opportunities Land Use AM Peak Period

|  | Route 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 $^{\text {a }}$ | Route 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

atransfers occur at the Finch West station at Keele
${ }^{\text {transfers occur at the Finch West station at GO }}$

|  | Route 1 | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 | Route 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AM Peak Period <br> Link Volume <br> - SB to Downsview | 17,105 | 16,050 | 16,050 | 17,105 | 15,525 | 15,525 | 14,375 | 14,375 |

6.5 Detailed Forecasts for Preferred Route Alternative

At the time of writing this report, the overall evaluation process indicated that Route 1 is the preferred alternative. Table 14 contains more details for the stations that were identified as part of Route 1. It also contains the AM peak period volumes that are forecast to be on each link of the subway extension.

Table 14-Route 1 Station Usage and Link Volume Forecasts - 2021 Opportunities Land Use - AM Peak Period

|  | Park \& Ride <br> Station <br> TO station | walk-ins <br> TO station | bus transfers <br> TO station | walk-outs <br> FROM station | bus transfers <br> FROM station | NB volume <br> TO station | SB volume <br> FROM station |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Steeles West <br> and York U | 1,550 | 1,150 | 10,450 | 5,850 | 2,400 | 8,250 | 13,150 |
| Finch West at <br> Keele | 400 | 900 | 1,100 | 400 | 450 | 8,950 | 15,350 |
| Sheppard West | NA | 450 | 1,400 | 600 | 550 | 9,850 | 17,100 |

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

This report has documented the process and data used in the forecasting of ridership on the possible Spadina subway route extensions. The forecasts are to be used to help identify a preferred route for the subway extension and provide input into the evaluation process for routing alignments. The forecasting results contained in this report indicates that, of all the eight subway route options, Route1 and Route 4 will result in the highest ridership levels with approximately 100,000 daily trips and an annual figure of approximately 30 million trips.
Table 11 - Total Boardings and Alightings - 2021 Opportunities Land Use - All Day
Station Route 1 Route 2 Route 3 Route 4 Route 5 Route 6 Rou Steeles Wes
and York U Keele / Murray Ross Finch West at Keele Finch West at GO GO / Chesswood

| Totals | 102,200 | 97,050 | 97,050 | 102,200 | 92,950 | 92,950 | 87,750 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{2}$ All day forecast assumed to be 3,45 times the AM peak period forecast. | For student trips to/from York |  |  |  |  |  |  | University, this factor is 5.0. For employment trips to/from York University, this factor is 2.7.

The evaluation matrix for the routing options contains the indicator of transfer activity to/from the subway at stations on Finch Avenue West. The evaluation matrix also includes the indicator of the AM peak period subway volume southbound, heading into Downsview station. The subway volume represents the maximum load point for the subway extension. The transfer activity and subway link volume figures are shown in Table 12 and Table 13.
Table 10 - Total Boardings and Alightings - 2021 Opportunities Land Use - AM Peak Period

| Station | Route 1 | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 | Route 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Steeles West <br> and York U | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 | 21,400 |
| Keele / Murray Ross |  | 1,700 | 1,700 |  | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| Finch West at Keele | 3,200 |  |  | 3,200 |  |  |  |  |
| Finch West at GO / <br> Finch |  |  |  |  | 1,750 | 1,750 |  |  |
| GO / Chesswood |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 250 |
| Sheppard West | 2,950 | 2,950 | 2,950 | 2,950 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 27,550 | 26,050 | 26,050 | 27,550 | 24,850 | 24,850 | 23,350 | 23,350 | | Station | Route 1 | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- 

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: | 81,000 81,000


| 0 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 | Route 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 81,000 | 81,000 | 81,000 | 81,000 |
|  | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 |
|  | 6,050 | 6,050 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 850 | 850 |
| 00 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A - Description of Work

The forecasting work was divided into three components:

1) GTA Model Work
2) Validation and Refinement Process; and
3) Maximum Opportunity and Policy - Land Use

Each component is described below. A flowchart of the demand forecasting work is shown in Exhibit A1.

1. GTA Model Work
1.1 Assemble supply information required by the GTA model

- road networks for 2001, 2021
- transit networks for 2001, 2021
- commuter parking facilities for 2001, 2021
1.2 Assemble demand information required by the GTA model
- traffic zone level population and employment for GTA for 2001, 2021
1.3 Confirm base scenarios to be run on GTA model with Technical Group
- AM peak networks and assignments

| Network | 2001 Land Use | 2021 Land Use |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2001 network | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2021 network with EA- |  |  |
| approved alignment |  |  |$\quad$| 2021 network with <br> alignment to Steeles via <br> GO/Sheppard |
| :--- |
| 2021 network with <br> alignment to Steeles via <br> GO/Finch |

1.4 Confirm model assumptions with Demand Forecasting Sub-Group - confirm network assumptions

- confirm land use assumptions
1.5 Complete GTA model runs and assemble output statistics
- reasonableness check of the model results
- compare to York Region Transit Plan 2021 ridership forecasts
- compare to TTC's MADITUC model transit assignments for 2021
1.6 Present results to Demand Forecasting Sub-Group
1.7 Document results

2. Validation and Refinement Process
2.9 Assemble results into subway route scenarios as defined by project team - assemble 2021 forecast results for each subway route alternative
2.10 Present results to Demand Forecasting Sub-Group
2.11 Document results
3. Opportunities and Policy Density - Land Use Scenarios
3.1 Confirm approach/policy regarding development around rapid transit stations

- confirm city policies
- how best to assemble maximum opportunity and policy land use data around potential station locations
3.2 Assemble maximum opportunity and policy land use data in study area at detailed level
3.3 Forecast walk-in and walk-out maximum opportunity demand and policy demand for each possible station location
3.4 Present results to Demand Forecasting Sub-Group
3.5 Document results
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## Appendix B-2021 Network Assumptions in the GTA Model

The following provides a description of the road and transit network assumptions that are included in the City of Toronto's future 2021 GTA-wide emme/2 networks

## Road Network

Where available, each region in the GTA submitted expected 2021 road improvements.
GTA-wide highway improvements for 2021 were not provided by the Ministry of Transportation as they are currently undertaking a review of highway improvements and will not have a priority list, by horizon year, completed until the Spring of 2005 (approximate). However, the widening of Highway 404, which is already underway, is included between Highway 7 and Highway 401 as an HOV lane.

## Transit Network

The 2021 transit network assumptions are listed below

## TTC

Replace the future York University BRT, (interim to Spadina Subway extension; routes T196A T196B) with Spadina Subway extension to Steeles Avenue with a 3000-car capacity park-andride station on the north side Steeles Avenue. (Steeles gateway station)
Incorporated several bus routing changes for the Spadina extension as recommended by TTC Added two new York Region bus services along Jane St and Keele St from Major Mackenzie Dr. to the Steeles gateway station. See Exhibit 2 in the report.

## York Region Transit

Updated York Region's Quick Start program to reflect improved headways and faster travel speeds (based on 'York Region Rapid Transit Plan - Network Configuration Report', September 2003) including the following services:

1. Finch-Richmond Hill-Newmarket
2. Finch-Richmond Hill
3. Highway 7
4. Vaughan North-South Link
5. Markham North-South Link
6. Finch-Richmond Hill-Markham

York Region Transit changes to feeder buses directly impacting the Steeles gateway station or York University (based on letter dated December 8, 2004 from YRT) include the following:

1. 'Jane-Concord' route providing a convenient and direct transit link to the York University Campus
2. 'YRT Replacement route for TTC 35 (Jane North)'. York agrees in principle that the TTC's preliminary recommendation that the current route 35 should be assumed by

3. 'YRT Replacement Route for TTC 107 (Keele North)'.'York agrees in principal that the TTC's preliminary recommendation that the current route 107 should be
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assumed by YRT in the context of a subway extension to York University/Steeles gateway station.
4. 'YRT Route 3 (Thornhill-York University)' service connecting Thornhill to the Steeles gateway station.

Added the following Park and Ride stations consistent to the 'York Region Rapid Transit Plan Network Configuration Report', September 2003 report:

1. Hwy 7 and Hwy 400
2. Yonge St and Bloomington Rd
3. Yonge St and Gamble Rd
4. Hwy 7 and Markham Stouffville Hospital
5. Hwy 7 and Bayview Ave
6. Hwy 7 and Hwy 27
7. Warden Ave and Hwy 407
8. Hwy 7 and Hwy 404
9. Hwy 7 and Hwy 50
10. Yonge St and Aurora
11. Davis Dr and Leslie St

## GO Bus

GO Transit's BRT plan to double today's (2004) service for only those Hwy 407 routes servicing York University. Other routes to remain unchanged. The modified routes are:

1. Hamilton to Pickering
2. Oakville to Mount Joy
3. Square One to York University
4. Meadowvale to York University

GO Bus routes from the north, west and east that currently terminate at Yorkdale have been recoded to terminate at the new Steeles gateway station

Table B1 lists the bus route serving Steeles and York University Stations
Table B1 - GO Bus and YRT/VIVA Routes Serving Steeles and York University Stations

|  |  |  |  | Corridor of Operation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \end{aligned}$ <br> ID | Route Description | Station Served | Service change for 2021 | 407 West | Jane/Keel | 407 East | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Steele } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ |
| GO 55 | Bramalea - York U | York U |  | X |  |  |  |
| GO 53 | Streetsville - York U | York U |  | x |  |  |  |
| GO 46a | Oakville - York U | York U | mproved 2004 headways by doubling service | x |  |  |  |
| GO 47a | Hamilton - York U | York U |  | x |  |  |  |
| G0 48a | Meadowvale - York U | York U |  | x |  |  |  |
| G0 65B | Barrie-Maple TM(400) | York U | Extended to York U |  | x |  |  |
| GO 66A GO 68 C | Newmarket-StlsGtwy <br> EX1 <br> Bradford-StlsGtwy | Steeles Steeles | Rerouted from Yorkdale to Steeles Station |  | $x$ |  |  |
| GO 14b | Oshawa BT - York U | York U |  |  |  | X |  |
| GO 44b | MtJoy - York U | York U | Improved 2004 headways |  |  | x |  |
| GO 49b | Pickering - York U | York U | by doubling service |  |  | x |  |
| G0 49d | STC-York U | York U |  |  |  | X |  |
| GO 33A | Georgetown-StsGtwy | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| G0 33B | Brampton BT-StsGtwy | Steeles |  | x |  |  |  |
| GO 33C | York Mills-Guelph | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| GO 33D | StsGtwy-Brampton R | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| G0 33F | Guelph-StlsGtwy Bramalea-StlsGtwy | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| GO 34B | EX427 | Steeles | Rerouted from Yorkdale to | X |  |  |  |
| GO 34C | StsGtwy-Brampton27 | Steeles | Steeles Station | x |  |  |  |
| GO 34E | StlsGtwy-Bramalea27 Brampton-StlsGtwy | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| G0 34F | Ex427 | Steeles |  | x |  |  |  |
| G034G | Brampton-StISGtwy(27) | Steeles |  | X |  |  |  |
| GO 34H | Bramalea-StsGtwy (27) | Steeles |  | x |  |  |  |
| GO 42 | Bolton-York U | Steeles |  | x |  |  |  |
| YRT 3 | Thornhill-StsGtwy | Steeles |  |  |  |  | X |
|  |  | Steeles and York |  |  |  |  |  |
| YRT 20 | Jane - Concord | U |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | Steeles and York |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIVA | Vaughan N/S Link | Steeles and York | VIVA routes; added/modified as per |  | X |  |  |
| viva | Hwy 7 | $u$ |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | Steeles and York |  |  |  |  |  |
| YRT 35 | Jane North - York U |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| YRT 107 | Keele North - Steles | Steeles |  |  | x |  |  |

GO Rail
Increased GO Rail frequencies on all GO Rail lines as described below: (additional trains up from 2001)

1. Lakeshore West - additional 6 peak period trains
2. Milton - additional 7 peak period trains
3. Georgetown - additional 4 peak period trains
4. Bradford - additional 4 peak period trains
5. Richmond Hill - additional 3 peak period trains
6. Stouffville - additional 4 peak period trains
7. Lakeshore East - additional 6 peak period trains

Added new GO Rail stations as identified by GO Transit:

1. Kennedy on the Stouffville Line (already complete)
. Centennial on the Stouffville Line (already complete)
2. Bloomington/Vandorf on the Richmond Hill Line
3. Sheppard/GO on the Bradford Line
4. Pleasant on the Georgetown Line
5. Lisgar on the Milton Line

Updated GO Rail's network to include morning peak period two-way service on the following GO Rail Lines:

1. Milton
2. Georgetown
3. Bradford
4. Richmond Hill
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## Appendix C - Land Use Tables

Table C1-2001 Population and Employment within 500m

| Station | Route 1 | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 | Route 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Steeles West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| York U | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Keele / Murray Ross | excluded | excluded | excluded | excluded | Excluded | excluded | excluded | excluded |
| Finch West at Keele | 5368 |  |  |  |  | 5472 |  | 3472 |
| Finch West at GO |  |  |  |  |  | 3472 | 3472 | 3472 |
| GO / Chesswood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheppard West | 1632 | 1632 | 1632 | 1632 |  |  | 3757 | 3757 |
| Totals | 7090 | 5194 | 5194 | 7090 | 6330 | 6330 | 7319 | 7319 |


| Station | Route 1 | Route 2 | Route 3 | Route 4 | Route 5 | Route 6 | Route 7 | Route 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steeles West ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 | 11593 |
| York U | excluded | excluded | excluded | excluded | Excluded | excluded | excluded | excluded |
| Keele / Murray Ross |  | 5156 | 5156 |  | 5156 | 5156 | 5156 | 5156 |
| Finch West at Keele | 5899 |  |  | 5899 |  |  |  |  |
| Finch West at GO |  |  |  |  | 3439 | 3439 |  |  |
| GO / Chesswood |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3452 | 3452 |
| Sheppard West | 4125 | 4125 | 4125 | 4125 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 21617 | 20874 | 20874 | 21617 | 20188 | 20188 | 20201 | 20201 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes the 6,640 population and 2,200 employment just north of Steeles station. The proposed land use is going through the secondary plan approval process.

## Appendix D - Forecast Refinements - More Detailed Notes

## Refinements to Screenlines

2021 AM peak period Steeles southbound screenline was adjusted to account for the GTA model's over-assignment of transit trips on surface transit southbound on Yonge Street approaching Steeles Avenue. This was noticed during the validation of the model using 2001 networks and demand. During this validation process, it was observed that the model undersimulated trips on GO Rail and over-simulated trips on surface transit crossing the north Toronto boundary.

By analysing those southbound trips on the Yonge Street road segment, approximately 4,940 AM peak period transit trips were re-allocated from surface transit to the three GO Rail services from York Region and to the Spadina subway extension. These 4,940 transit trips are all destined to downtown core locations south of College and Carlton Streets.

The following table shows the adjustments that were made to the original set of south of Steeles southbound screenline results from the GTA model.

## Table D1 - 2021 AM Peak Period Screenline Volumes

Steeles Screenline Southbound - Summary of Re-allocation

| Model Volume (person-trips) - AM peak period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Rapid Transit | GO Rail | Surface Transit | Total Transit | Total Auto |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Volumes |  | 4,631 | 5,892 | 42,144 | 52,667 | 44,317 |
| Adjustments |  | +200 | $+4,101$ | $-4,939$ |  |  |
| Final Volumes |  | 4,831 | 9,993 | 37,205 | 52,029 | 44,317 |

Since these trips are all destined to the downtown, these adjustments were also applied to the southbound screenlines for Finch and Sheppard Avenues, the results of which are shown in Section 6.1.

## Refinements to GTA Model Results

The following table shows the migration of station usage figures from the GTA model to the refined figures for Route 1.

| Sheppard West station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GTA Model | Base | Opp. | Policy |
| Boarding on subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk in | 219 | 145 | 431 | 1001 |
| - transfer | 1327 | 1401 | 1401 | 1401 |
| - commuter parking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 1546 | $1546^{\text {a }}$ | 1832 | 2402 |
| Alighting from subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk out | 1078 | 591 | 591 | 809 |
| - transfer | 37 | 524 | 524 | 524 |
| - commuter parking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 1115 | $1115^{\text {a }}$ | 1115 | 1333 |
| Total board \& alight - AM peak | 2661 | 2661 | 2947 | 3735 |
| Total board \& alight - All day | 9180 | 9180 | 10169 | 12885 |

${ }^{2}$ GTA model control totals are maintained in the base land use scenario

| Finch West station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GTA model | Base | Opp. | Policy |
| Boarding on subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk in | 0 | $660^{\text {a }}$ | 888 | 1058 |
| - transfer | 1547 | $1077^{\text {b }}$ | 1077 | 1077 |
| - commuter parking | 721 | $400^{\text {c }}$ | 400 | 400 |
| Totals | 2268 | 2136 | 2365 | 2535 |
| Alighting from subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk out | 44 | 405 | 405 | 539 |
| - transfer | 797 | 436 | 436 | 436 |
| - commuter parking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 841 | 841 | 841 | 975 |
| Total board \& alight - AM peak | 3109 | 2977 | 3206 | 3509 |
| Total board \& alight - All day | 10726 | 10272 | 11060 | 12107 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Walk ins are $75 \%$ of the 500 m forecast; also includes half of the 614 modelled to walk to York U stn which are in the southern area of zone 95 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Commuter parking capacity is 400 | hus 321 mov | eles and | total ad | own 321 |

## York University Common

| York University Common <br> station |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | GTA model | New Base | Added to New <br> Base |
|  |  |  | Modified Base |

${ }^{\text {a }} 307$ (or half) of the 614 of the original walk-in forecast is assumed to be walking to York University station from residential development in the southern part of the campus while the remainder will walk to Finch West station.

| Steeles West station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GTA model | New Base | Added to New Base | Modified Base |
| Boarding on subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk in | 0 | $865^{\text {a }}$ |  | 865 |
| - transfer | 3664 | 3907 | $200{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4107 |
| - commuter parking | 967 | 1210 | $321{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1531 |
| Totals | 4631 | 5982 | 521 | 6503 |
| Alighting from subway |  |  |  |  |
| - walk out | 88 | 330 |  | 330 |
| - transfer | 1070 | 828 |  | 828 |
| - commuter parking | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Totals | 1158 | 1158 |  | 1158 |
| Total board \& alight - AM peak | 5789 | 7140 | 521 | 7661 |
| Total board \& alight - All day | 19972 | 24634 | 1797 | 26432 | boarding figures

${ }^{6}$ Additional 200 transfers from Steeles screenline reallocation -
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Additional 321 commuter parkers from overflow at Finch West lot
Some refinements had to be made to the original GTA model results to arrive at the above figures. The refinements to station usage mainly consist of the following.

- The station walk-ins and walk-outs are recomputed using the population and employment within 500 m of the station and applying transit trip rates. The bus-to-subway and subway-to-bus transfers are adjusted to retain to-station and from-station control totals.
- Commuter parking figures are adjusted if the model allocates more commuter parkers to a commuter parking lot than its capacity. The over-allocation is assigned to a nearby commuter parking lot
- As mentioned in section 6.2, the number of transit customers walking out of the station at York University was estimated outside of the GTA model
- Transit trips from a proposed land use were added to the boardings at Steeles West station This land use with just over an expected 10,400 population is located in the vicinity of the Steeles West station just north of Steeles Avenue. This information was not initially included in York Region's Official Plan information and thus not included in the original model runs.

Appendix F - Glossary of Terms
 of the assignment of transit trips in the GTA model were reasonable. The results indicate that there are significant differences between the two models in the screenline volumes, however, the station usage and subway link volume are comparable in both models. The subway link volume results from the YRTP model is significantly lower than those forecast in the other models. This can be explained by the fact that, as part of the YRTP study, the scenario of extending the subway to York University was a late request, thus the surface network was not as well represented as in the other two models.
As a result of the comparison of model results, the network was checked and, even with minor network adjustments, the results did not change significantly.
The GTA model 2021 forecasts were compared to those generated simultaneously by the TTC's MADITUC transit assignment model. Forecasts have also been compared to those in the York Rapid Transit Plan (December 2003 report "YRTP 2021 Ridership Forecasts").

The forecast figures are summarised below.

|  | GTA Model $^{\text {a }}$ | MADITUC Model | YRTP Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak Steeles <br> southbound <br> screenline - Jane to <br> Richmond Hill GO | 53,000 | 44,000 | $38,000^{\text {b }}$ |
| AM peak boardings <br> and alightings on <br> subway extension | 23,000 | 26,000 | Not available |
| AM peak subway <br> volume SB to <br> Downsview station | 15,000 | 12,200 | $7,200^{\text {c }}$ |

Pre-refinement figures
YRTP reported a screenline volume of 33,000 between Jane and Bayview, thus the estimated forecas of Richmond Hill GO Train AM peak volume of 5,000 was added to make the screenlines comparable ${ }^{c}$ A factor of 2.0 was applied to YRTP AM peak hour forecast of 3,600 to estimate AM peak period figure

| Density | The average number of individuals or units per space unit |
| :--- | :--- |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| Gateway | Intermodal transfer facility where people can move from cars to transit or <br> from buses to rapid transit, where services which cater to commuters <br> can be located |
| GTA | Greater Toronto Area. |
| GTA Model | An EMME/2-based travel demand forecasting model that can be used to <br> forecast automobile and transit trips on road and transit networks |
| Headway | The time separation between two vehicles, both travelling in the same <br> direction |
| Link | A connection between points within a transportation network |
| Mode Split | Percent of trips made on transit (vs. private means of transport) |
| Peak Hour | Maximum hour of travel demand during a time period |
| Peak Period | Defined period of maximum travel demand, generally the three hour <br> period during a weekday |
| Screenline | Definable boundary section across which trip volumes are measured or <br> estimated |
| Trip Rate | The average number of trips made per individual over a period of time |
| TTS | Transportation Tomorrow Survey - A comprehensive travel demand <br> survey that contains detailed demographic information on all members of <br> a surveyed household and a ledger of travel information over an entire <br> weekday |
| Credits: Yonge-Spadina Subway Loop EA Dratt Report, McCormick Rankin, 1992 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ GTA Model is a customized modeling framework that the City of Toronto uses for travel demand forecasting. The modeling framework uses EMME/2 travel demand forecasting software as a platform for completing calculations and performing various tasks to complete a four-stage travel demand forecasting procedure.
    conducted every 5 years, in parallel with the Census, which collects detailed information on travel habits and ${ }^{3}$ behaviours for weekday travel.
    ${ }^{3}$ The City of Toronto Official Plan was approved by City Council in December 2002
    ${ }^{4}$ Sources of the population and employment forecasts vary from municipality to municipality. More detail on the sources is found in Section 5 .
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[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Cordon Count data is collected across the GTA every 3 years (scheduled to coincide with TTS and Census). Travel usage data (auto, truck, transit) is collected on prescribed roads at specific cordons across the GTA including Steeles
    Avenue at the YorkToronto boundary and includes the capture of occupancy data in passenger vehicles. The transit Avenue at the York/Toronto boundary and includes the capture of occupancy data in passenger vehicles. The transit cordon count was adjusted by a factor of 1.34 to account for an under-representation of transit trips crossing

