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## 1. INTRODUCTION

On August 8, 2005, the Regional Municipality of York (Region), the Proponent of the York Region Rapid Transit Plan (YRTP), obtained approval of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for an Environmental Assessment (EA) of the proposed Public Transit and associated road improvements in the North Yonge Street Corridor, the primary north-south corridor of the Plan. In accordance with Clause 6.2 of the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act, the Region initiated the EA to fulfil its obligations under Clause 3 of the Act.

The purpose of this report is to describe the transportation analyses undertaken to support the development of the preferred transportation solution for the corridor, and to document the effects of the preferred design. This report was developed through an iterative process where various roadway and transit alternatives were examined in conjunction with other components of the overall Environmental Assessment.

This Transportation Assessment Report is intended to be read in conjunction with the comprehensive Environmental Study Report, which contains a fuller description of the preferred transportation solution and the assessment of alternatives.

### 1.1 Study Area and Rapid Transit Corridor

As shown on Exhibit 1-1 the study area for the North Yonge Corridor is generally centred along the Yonge Street Corridor and bounded by Bathurst Street to the west, and Highway 404 to the east. The southern limit of the study area is $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Gamble Road across the Town of Richmond Hill while the northern limit is Green Lane in the Town of East Gwillimbury. For the purpose of this Transportation Assessment, the Study Area includes all road sections, intersections and adjacent neighbourhood roadway networks that may be directly impacted by any transit system or road network changes within the North Yonge Street corridor.

As discussed in more detail in Section 5.1, the preferred rapid transit routing is continuous along Yonge Street to Newmarket, at which point the service splits into two branches, one following Davis Drive and the other continuing along Yonge Street to Green Lane and then eastward to the East Gwillimbury GO station. A discussion of the selection of this preferred routing, and the evaluation of alternatives, is provided in Section 4.1. Exhibit 1-2 illustrates the alternative routes investigated as part of this EA.

### 1.2 Approach

The transportation assessment process for the North Yonge Street Corridor EA was developed to be consistent with the processes utilized for the other York Region Rapid Transit transportation assessments conducted for South Yonge, Highway 7 and the Markham North-South Link. This process recognizes that:

- The Rapid Transit System will have immediate physical and operational effects on the Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Green Lane corridors due to major changes in roadway cross-sections, access provisions and intersection operations; and
- The preferred alternative will need to account, as best as possible, the aggressive development aspirations throughout the study area, which will place additional demands along the subject route and intersecting arterial roadway facilities.

Our approach has been structured to best identify the effects of the preferred alternative on roadway capacity and transit service operations, while recognizing that in some locations (i.e. Newmarket Centre), the future land use and transportation conditions will be significantly different than the current situation. Accordingly, any transportation performance measures presented in this report should be considered to be approximate, and for the purposes of relative comparisons only.

Exhibit 1.1: Primary Study Area and Recommended Route
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Exhibit 1.2: Short-listed Transit Routing Alternatives

## Short-listed Routes - Newmarket/East Gwillimbury



### 1.3 Relationship to Other Studies

### 1.3.1 DAVIS DRIVE CLASS EA - YONGE STREET TO HIGHWAY 404

In parallel with the North Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit and Associated Road Improvements EA, a separate EA was initiated for Davis Drive between Yonge Street and Highway 404. The purpose of this EA was to identify the need for operation and safety improvements within the corridor. As part of this EA, National Capital Engineering (NCE) prepared a comprehensive Traffic Operations Review to assess the need for transportation improvements within the Davis Drive corridor. The scope of this review was primarily related to minor road improvements that could be made within the existing Right-of-Way in the near term.

Since the initiation of the Davis Drive EA, the two EAs have been proceeding in parallel. Ultimately, one preferred undertaking will be presented to the public, though suggested roadway improvements identified in the NCE report may be implemented as short term improvements.

While every effort has been made to coordinate assumptions between the Davis Drive Class EA and the North Yonge EA, it is noted that there may be differences in the transportation analysis and results presented in each report due to the differences in the focus of each study. Specifically, the North Yonge EA has focused on the longer term solution involving public transit improvements.

### 1.3.2 SOUTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS REPORT

In 2007, The Regional Municipality of York completed the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment (EA) which addresses public transit improvements in the south Yonge Street Corridor extending from Steeles Avenue to 19th Avenue. The preferred alternative consists of a 12.5 km two-lane, median rapidway in the Yonge Street Corridor between Steeles Avenue and 19th Avenue approved for both BRT and LRT vehicle technologies. A one kilometre section of transit operation in mixed traffic is proposed for the Richmond Hill Central Business District.

The North Yonge Street Corridor EA assumes that rapid transit will operate in a seamless fashion in the Yonge Street corridor between the City of Toronto and Newmarket.

### 1.4 Organization of Report

Following this introduction, this report is organized into six chapters as follows:

- Chapter 2 provides an assessment of existing transportation conditions including traffic operations, transit service operations and pedestrian and cycling conditions.
- Chapter 3 provides an assessment of the future Base Case transportation operations corresponding to the scenario involving current travel behaviour and committed transportation improvements. Chapter 3 also documents land use assumptions for the base case.
- Chapter 4 summarizes the analysis of rapid transit alternatives including alternative routing options and physical infrastructure alternatives.
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- $\quad$ Chapter 5 presents the results of the transportation assessment for the preferred design, and its effects. This chapter also outlines various mitigation options to address residual transportation effects.


## 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 2.1 Transportation Network

### 2.1.1 ROAD NETWORK

Within the North Yonge Street Corridor study area, Yonge Street is an arterial roadway operated under the jurisdiction of the Regional Municipality of York. Throughout the majority of the Study Area, Yonge Street consists of four general purpose lanes with a centre left turn lane. In the area between Aurora Heights Drive and Gold Links Drive in the Town of Aurora, Yonge Street is narrower and does not have a centre left turn lane. Yonge Street has a posted speed limit of 60 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ in most areas, with the exception of the downtown areas of Richmond Hill and Aurora.

Davis Drive (formerly known as Highway 9) is an east/west arterial roadway under the jurisdiction of York Region. The section of Davis Drive under review lies entirely within the Town of Newmarket and extends from Eagle Street to west of Highway 404, a distance of approximately 5.1 km . Davis Drive generally consists of four lanes, with left turn lanes provided at some major intersections. Davis Drive has a posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Green Lane is a four lane arterial roadway in the Town of East Gwillimbury, operated under the jurisdiction of the York Region. Green lane currently functions as a major by-pass route connecting Highway 404 and Yonge Street.

Arterial and major collector east-west roadways on Yonge Street and within the study area include from north to south:

- Green Lane;
- Green Lane Centre;
- Aspenwood Drive/ Bristol Road;
- Bonshaw Avenue/ London Road;
- Dawson Manor Boulevard/ Kingston Road;
- Upper Canada Mall Driveway;
- Davis Drive;
- Chapters Access/ KFC Access;
- Millard Avenue;
- Administration Centre Access/ Gladman Avenue;
- Eagle Street;
- Cleanmeadow Boulevard/ William Roe Boulevard;
- Mulock Drive;
- Sawmill Valley Drive/ Savage Road;
- Joe Persechini Drive/ Savage Road;
- Aurora Heights Drive/ Mark Street;
- Wellington Street;
- Kennedy Street;
- St. John's Sideroad;
- Batson Drive/ Orchard Heights Boulevard;
- Golf Links Drive/ Dunning Avenue;
- Brookland Avenue;
- Henderson Drive/ Allaura Boulevard;
- Industrial Parkway South;
- Bloomington Road;
- Blackforest Drive/ Worthington Avenue;
- Maple Grove Avenue/ Ashfield Drive;
- Aubrey Avenue/ North Lake Road;
- King Road;
- Estate Garden Drive/ Old Colony Road;
- Stouffville Road;
- Jefferson Sideroad;
- Gamble Road/19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue

Arterial and major collector north-south roadways on Davis Drive and within the study area include from west to east:

- Eagle Street;
- Main Street;
- Yonge Street;
- Charles Street;
- George Street;
- Wilstead Drive;
- Barbara Road;
- Parkside Drive;
- Longford Road;
- Lorne Street;
- Vincent Street/Niagara Street;
- Prospect Street;
- Patterson Street/Roxborough Road;
- Huron Heights Drive/Alexander Road;
- Ashton Road/Carlson Drive;
- Leslie Street;
- Forhan Drive;
- Harry Walker Drive


### 2.1.2 TRANSIT NETWORK

York Region Transit (YRT) provides a network of local bus service through a large portion of the study area. Exhibit 2.1 provides a map of the YRT local routes serving the study area. Key routes operating within the study area are as follows:

- YRT Route 22 - operates from the Maple Community Centre to the Seneca King Campus. As part of this route, the buses travel on Yonge Street from south of King Road (Bond Crescent) to Bloomington Road.
- YRT Route 44 - operates from the Newmarket Terminal to the Newmarket GO Station. As part of this route, the buses with full service travel on Yonge Street from Upper Canada Mall Driveway to Bristol Road. During weekday AM peak hour, the buses travel on Yonge Street from Davis Drive to Bristol Road.
- YRT Route 52 - operates from the Newmarket Terminal to the intersection of Yonge Street and Queensville Sideroad. As part of this route, the buses with full service travel on Yonge Street from Upper Canada Mall Driveway to Green Lane. During the weekday AM peak hour, the buses travel on Yonge Street from Davis Drive to Green Lane.
- YRT Route 98-operates between the Upper Yonge Place to the intersection of Yonge Street and Green Lane. As part of this route, the buses travel on Yonge Street from Gamble Road to Green Lane.
- YRT Route 520 - serves as a community transit route in the Town of Newmarket. The buses start and end at the Upper Canada Mall on every run. As part of this route, the buses travel on Yonge Street from Davis Drive to South of Green Lane.
- YRT Route 521 - serves as a community transit route in the Town of Newmarket. The buses start and end at the Upper Canada Mall on every run. As part of this route, the buses travel on Yonge Street from Davis Drive to South of Green Lane.
- YRT Route 55 - operates from Newmarket GO Bus Terminal to 404 Town Centre. As part of this route, the buses will full service travel on Davis Drive from Eagle Street to Leslie Street.

In addition to these YRT services, York Region has been operating Rapid Transit services along Yonge Street since 2005. The Viva Blue route travels along Yonge Street from Finch Station in the City of Toronto northward to the Newmarket Terminal at Eagle Street and Davis Drive. Along Yonge Street, there are ten stops within the study area. The headway of the Viva Blue route within the study area is 10 minutes during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods and 15 minutes in the off-peak periods.
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Exhibit 2.1: Existing Transit Network


In addition to YRT/VIVA bus services, GO Transit operates the Newmarket ' $B$ ', Newmarket ' $B$ ' Express, and Newmarket-York University bus routes along Yonge Street within the study area. The frequency of the Newmarket ' $B$ ' bus service has been reduced significantly with the introduction of the Viva service in the Fall of 2005.

All existing bus routes operate in mixed traffic on Yonge Street within the study area. Currently, there are no Toronto Transit Commission routes operating on Yonge Street within the study area.

An express bus service on Highway 404 introduced by GO Transit was discontinued in 2006.

### 2.1.3 GO TRANSIT TERMINALS

There are three GO Transit Terminals in proximity to Yonge Street and Davis Drive within the study area:

- Newmarket GO Bus Terminal - Located on Davis Drive, west of Yonge Street in Newmarket. This terminal serves the GO bus services on Bradford Line throughout the day. This is a shared terminal with the York Region Transit.
- Newmarket GO Train Terminal - Located on Main Street, which is north of Davis Street and east of Yonge Street. This terminal serves the train services on Barrie Line (formerly Bradford GO Line). Go Trains operates on 30 minute headways during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. There is no off-peak train service to this station but GO Bus Service to the station throughout the day.
- Aurora GO Train Terminal - Located on Wellington Street, which is east of the intersection of Yonge Street and Wellington Street in Aurora. This terminal serves the train services on Barrie Line. Go Trains operates on 30 minute headways during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. There is no off-peak train service to this station. There is GO Bus Service to the terminal throughout the day.
- East Gwillimbury GO Rail Station on Green Lane - Located on Green Lane at Main Street. This terminal also serves the train services on Barrie Line. Go Trains operates on 30 minute headways during the weekday AM and PM peak periods. There is no off-peak train service to this station. There is GO Bus Service to the terminal throughout the day.


### 2.1.4 PARK AND RIDE LOTS

The park and ride lots within the subject sections of Yonge Street and Davis Drive are located sporadically throughout the study area. All of the lots offer free parking to transit patrons. The following park and ride lots and their location are summarized as follows:

- Aurora Community Centre - Aurora Heights Dr., one block north of Wellington Street
- Aurora GO Station - Located at Wellington Street and Yonge Street, provides 570 parking spaces;
- Newmarket GO Bus Terminal - Located at Davis Drive and Main Street at the Tannery Mall, with 331 parking spaces;
- Newmarket GO Station - Located at Davis Drive and Main Street, accommodates 265 parking spaces;
- Newmarket GO Agency - Located at Davis Drive and Eagle Street, with 331 parking spaces, and
- East Gwillimbury GO Station - Located at Green Lane and Main Street, with 637 parking spaces.

There is also a carpool lot located on Davis Drive at Highway 404.

### 2.1.5 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLING NETWORK

The functioning of the transit system is contingent on a well-developed pedestrian and cycling network. Exhibit 2.2 illustrates the high pedestrian areas on Yonge Street and Davis Drive according to commercial/retail, institutional and industrial land uses. Several sections of the Yonge Street corridor (e.g. north of Bloomington Road) are not developed and therefore do not generate pedestrian or cycling traffic. Other areas north of St. John's Sideroad and north and south of Stouffville Road are also currently undeveloped.
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Exhibit 2.2-High Pedestrian Areas


Sidewalk exists for a majority of the Yonge Street North corridor on one or both sides of the street. Exhibit 2.3 summarizes where sidewalks are provided and not provided along the Yonge Street North corridor.

Exhibit 2.3 Sidewalk Provisions

| Yonge Street | To (south) | Sidewalk Provisions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From (north) | Aspenwood Dr/Bristol Road |  |
| Green Lane | Upper Canada Mall | Both sides |
| Aspenwood Dr/Bristol Road | South of Davis Drive | Both sides |
| Upper Canada Mall | Mulock Drive | Both sides |
| South of Davis Drive | Savage Road | Both sides |
| Mulock Drive | St. John's Sideroad | None |
| Savage Road | North of Orchard Heights | West side |
| St. John's Sideroad | Bloomington Road | None |
| North of Orchard Heights | Coon's Road | Both sides |
| Bloomington Road | North Lake Road | Both sides |
| Coon's Road | Old Colony Road | Both sides |
| North Lake Road | Gamble | None - proposed new |
| Old Colony Road |  | pedestrian route |
| Davis Drive | To (east) | Sidewalk Provisions |
| From (west) | Yonge Street | South side |
| Eagle Street | George Street | Both sides |
| Yonge Street | Barbara Road | Both sides |
| George Street | Parkside Drive | Both sides |
| Barbara Road | Longford Road | Both sides |
| Parkside Drive | Lindsay Avenue | Both sides |
| Longford Road | Lorne Street | Both sides |
| Lindsay Avenue | Main Street | Both sides |
| Lorne Street | CNR Line | Both sides |
| Main Street | Seniors | Both sides |
| CNR Line | Prospect Street | Both sides |
| Seniors | Roxborough Road | Both sides |
| Prospect Street | Alexander Road | Both sides |
| Roxborough Road | Carlson Drive | Both sides |
| Alexander Road | Leslie Street | Both sides |
| Carlson Drive | Forhan Drive | Both sides |
| Leslie Street | Harry Walker Drive | Both sides |
| Forhan Drive |  |  |

The Region of York has recently completed a pedestrian and cycling master plan study in which Yonge Street had been identified as a candidate cycling route within the road right-of-way. The proposed new pedestrian routes shown in the above exhibit are also indicated in the study. The
following candidate cycling routes, existing and previously proposed cycling routes are illustrated in Exhibit 2.4 have been identified for the various municipalities.

Exhibit 2.4- Cycling Routes in the Study Corridor

| Municipality | Candidate Cycling Routes | Existing and Previously Proposed <br> Cycling Routes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Town of East Gwillimbury |  | Green Lane (east of Yonge St) |
| Town of Newmarket | Davis Drive <br> Mulock Drive <br> Ontario Hydro Corridor <br> (north of Mulock Drive) | Bonshaw Avenue/London Road <br> Milliard Avenue <br> Mulock Drive <br> Savage Road |
| Town of Aurora | Wellington Street | Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Drive <br> Aurora Heights Drive/Mark St <br> Kennedy Street <br> Dunning Avenue/Golf Links Road <br> Edward Street <br> Allaura Boulevard/Henderson Dr |
| Town of Richmond Hill | Industrial Parkway (Trail) <br> Bloomington Road <br> King Road <br> Sunset Beach Road <br> Stouffville Road <br> Gamble Road Road/Elderberry Trail | Coon's Road <br> Blackforest/Worthington <br> Maple Grove Ave/Ashfield Drive <br> North lake Road/Aubrey Avenue <br> Estate Garden/Old Colony Road |

### 2.2 Traffic Volumes and Travel Patterns

This section presents an assessment of the existing conditions for the primary corridors in the study area consisting of Yonge Street and Davis Drive. It is noted that some of the preliminary routing options included roads such as Industrial Parkway and Main Street and Eagle Street. While the intersections of these routes with the primary corridors were assessed, traffic conditions on these alternative routes were evaluated in less detail. Generally, traffic volumes are lighter on these alternative routes.

### 2.2.1 EXISTING TRAFFIC PATTERNS

Exhibits 2.5 and 2.6 illustrate the general orientation of traffic using Yonge Street (between Mulock Drive and Green Lane) and Davis Drive, the two sections where several alternatives for future rapid transit were analysed. For the northern portion of Yonge Street, a large portion of the traffic is local in nature, due to the high concentration of employment in this area. Similarly, much of the traffic on Davis Drive is contained within the Bathurst to Leslie Street segments, though there are significant volumes of trips on Davis Drive that have origins or destinations in the west and south. Both Yonge Street and Davis Drive could be considered to have a "regional" transportation function.
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Exhibit 2.5: Select Link Analysis for Trips Using Yonge Street (Green Lane-Mulock)


Note: Based on assignment of AM Peak Period auto volumes using EMME/2 Model

Exhibit 2.6: Select Link Analysis for Trips Using Davis Drive (Yonge Street to Leslie Street)


Note: Based on assignment of AM Peak Period auto volumes using EMME/2 Model

### 2.2.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND RELATIONSHIP TO CAPACITY

Traffic volumes and corresponding operations were examined for three primary time periods, Weekday AM Peak Hour, Weekday PM Peak Hour and a Saturday Peak Hour. In some locations (e.g. Yonge Street in Newmarket), the Saturday peak hour volumes are higher than weekday volumes and were therefore included in the analysis. For the majority of the corridor, however, the PM peak period represents the worst case conditions, as shown on Exhibit 2.7. There are a few movements where the mid-day weekday volumes are higher than the peak hour volumes (e.g. Yonge Street southbound, south of Davis Drive), but in most cases the total intersection volumes are highest in the peak hours.

Exhibit 2.7: Profile of Typical Weekday Traffic Volumes on Yonge Street and Davis Drive


Exhibit 2.8 summarizes the existing weekday peak directional traffic volumes along with an indication of the theoretical volume to capacity ratio (based on nominal road capacities). These volumes represent existing conditions based on traffic counts taken mostly between 2004 and 2006, though counts for some intersections in low growth areas are based on counts taken in 2003. Appendix A provides an index of when counts for each intersection/segment were taken.

Exhibit 2.8: Existing Weekday Peak Directional Volume to Capacity Ratios

| Yonge Street | Northbound (PM) |  |  | Southbound (AM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hourly Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio | Hourly Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio |
| North of Green Lane | 2,324 | 1,800 | 1.29 | 2,041 | 1,800 | 1.13 |
| South of Green Lane | 1,558 | 1,800 | 0.87 | 1,299 | 1,800 | 0.72 |
| North of Bristol/Aspenwood | 1,987 | 1,800 | 1.10 | 1,415 | 1,800 | 0.79 |
| North of Bonshaw/London | 1,970 | 1,800 | 1.09 | 1,425 | 1,800 | 0.79 |
| North of Dawson Manor/Kingston | 1,853 | 1,800 | 1.02 | 1,555 | 1,800 | 0.86 |
| North of Upper Canada | 1,680 | 1,800 | 1.02 | 1,446 | 1,800 | 0.80 |
| North of Davis Drive | 1,540 | 1,800 | 0.91 | 1,355 | 1,800 | 0.75 |
| North of Millard Ave | 1,530 | 1,800 | 0.94 | 1,274 | 1,800 | 0.71 |
| North of Eagle Street | 1,777 | 1,800 | 0.96 | 1,127 | 1,800 | 0.63 |
| North of Mulock Drive | 2,014 | 1,800 | 1.12 | 1,471 | 1,800 | 0.82 |
| North of St. John's Sideroad | 1,472 | 1,800 | 0.82 | 1,275 | 1,800 | 0.71 |
| North of Wellington Street | 874 | 1,800 | 0.49 | 918 | 1,800 | 0.51 |
| North of Industrial Parkway | 1,193 | 1,800 | 0.66 | 1,135 | 1,800 | 0.63 |
| North of Bloomington Rd | 1,172 | 1,800 | 0.65 | 1,262 | 1,800 | 0.70 |
| North of North Lake Road | 1,258 | 1,800 | 0.70 | 1,266 | 1,800 | 0.70 |
| North of Stouffville Road | 1,348 | 1,800 | 0.75 | 1,472 | 1,800 | 0.82 |
| South of Jefferson Sideroad | 1,029 | 1,800 | 0.57 | 1,229 | 1,800 | 0.68 |
| North of Gamble Road | 1,116 | 1,800 | 0.62 | 1150 | 1,800 | 0.64 |
| Davis Drive | Eastbound (PM) |  |  | Westbound (PM) |  |  |
|  | Hourly Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio | Hourly Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio |
| West of Eagle Street W | 1,108 | 1,600 | 0.69 | 1,318 | 1,600 | 0.82 |
| West of Yonge Street | 1,072 | 1,600 | 0.67 | 1,045 | 1,600 | 0.65 |
| East of Yonge Street | 768 | 1,600 | 0.48 | 1,283 | 1,600 | 0.80 |
| West of Prospect Street | 1,127 | 1,600 | 0.70 | 1,299 | 1,600 | 0.81 |
| West of Leslie | 1,074 | 1,600 | 0.67 | 1,115 | 1,600 | 0.70 |
| East of Leslie | 1,323 | 1,600 | 0.83 | 1,040 | 1,600 | 0.65 |

Note: Capacity of one lane is assumed as 900 vehicles per hour per lane for Yonge Street Capacity of one lane is assumed as 800 vehicles per hour per lane for Davis Drive

From the above table, the following weekday peak direction operating conditions are noted:

- It is evident that Yonge Street operates at capacity and in some cases beyond the existing capacity vehicles per hour per lane mainly during the PM peak hour in the northbound direction between Dawson Manor and Green Lane;
- North of Green Lane, Yonge Street operates above capacity in both peak directions at hourly traffic volumes exceeding 2,000 vehicles;
- Volumes to capacity ratios (v/c ratios) of 0.85 and higher are evident during the PM peak northbound direction from Mulock Drive northwards. South of Mulock Drive the traffic volume decreases significantly during the PM peak hour to volumes less than 1,600 vehicles; and
- In the southbound direction during the AM peak hour, Yonge Street south of Green Lane operates below capacity at $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios averaging 0.72 .
- In the eastbound and westbound directions during the PM peak hour, Davis Drive within the study area operates below capacity at v/c ratios averaging 0.63.

The Saturday peak hour volume to capacity ratios are summarized in Exhibit 2.9 similar to the above exhibit.

Exhibit 2.9- Existing Saturday Peak Hour Volume to Capacity Ratios on Yonge Street

| Location | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio | Volume | Capacity | v/c Ratio |
| North of Green Lane | 1,570 | 1,800 | 0.87 | 1,654 | 1,800 | 0.92 |
| South of Green Lane | 1,571 | 1,800 | 0.87 | 1,335 | 1,800 | 0.74 |
| North of <br> Bristol/Aspenwood | 2,377 | 1,800 | 1.32 | 1,946 | 1,800 | 1.08 |
| North of Bonshaw/London | 2,308 | 1,800 | 1.28 | 2,014 | 1,800 | 1.12 |
| North of Dawson <br> Manor/Kingston | 2,024 | 1,800 | 1.12 | 2,057 | 1,800 | 1.14 |
| North of Upper Canada | 2,299 | 1,800 | 1.28 | 2,091 | 1,800 | 1.16 |
| North of Davis Drive | 1,521 | 1,800 | 0.84 | 1,621 | 1,800 | 0.90 |
| North of Millard Drive | 1,529 | 1,800 | 0.85 | 1,541 | 1,800 | 0.86 |
| North of Eagle Street | 1,413 | 1,800 | 0.78 | 1,342 | 1,800 | 0.75 |
| North of Mulock Drive | 1,494 | 1,800 | 0.83 | 1,539 | 1,800 | 0.83 |
| Note Capaci |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Capacity of one lane is assumed as 900 vehicles per hour per lane

Operating conditions between Millard Drive and Green Lane show capacity constraints between Upper Canada and Bristol Road/Aspenwood Drive in both the northbound and southbound
directions. This is a direct result of the high traffic volumes generated by Upper Canada Mall and other shopping centres along Yonge Street.

### 2.3 Existing Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis was undertaken using the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology and in particular, the Synchro 6.0 software package. Synchro 6 can analyze both signalized and unsignalized intersections in a road corridor or network taking into account the spacing, interaction, queues and operations between intersections.

The signalized intersection analysis considers two separate measures of performance:

- the capacity of the intersection movements, which is based on a volume to capacity ratio; and
- the level of service which is based on the control delay per vehicle for the various movements through the intersection and overall.

The unsignalized intersection analysis considers two separate measures of performance:

- the capacity of the critical intersection movements, which is based on a volume to capacity ratio; and
- the level of service for the critical movements which is based on the average control delay per vehicle for the various critical movements within the intersection.

The analysis reflects the existing base traffic counts, current signal timings, and existing lane configurations. Exhibit 2.10 provides an illustration of the existing intersection operations in graphical form for the AM peak hour, PM peak hour and Saturday peak (Mulock to Green Lane). More detailed summaries of delay and level of service by intersection, and an indication of critical movements, is provided in Appendix B. For the purpose of the detailed summaries, critical movements are defined as turning movements approaching a v/c of 1.0 and/or Level of Service "E" or "F" (LOS).

Based on a review of the above analysis during the AM, PM and Saturday peak hours, the following capacity constraints/critical movements were noted:

## Green Lane/Yonge Street

The intersection at Green Lane/Yonge Street operates close to capacity during the AM peak hours. During the PM and Saturday peak hours, the intersection is operating at capacity.

During the AM peak hour, the single westbound left turn lane operates very close to capacity at a v/c of 0.99 . The dual southbound left turn movement accommodates approximately 750 vehicles during the morning peak hour and requires a significant amount of green time from the 120 second cycle timing plan.

During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn and right turn movements are operating at capacity. The westbound right turn movement operates at capacity carrying approximately 880 vehicles during the PM peak hour. The northbound through and eastbound left turn movement are operating close to capacity. During this peak period, this intersection operates at a cycle length of 140 seconds.

During the Saturday peak hour, both the north and south through movements operate at capacity with v/c ratios of 1.05 and 1.02 , respectively. The westbound left turn lane operates above capacity with volumes of 350 vehicles per hour. The southbound and eastbound left turn movements are approaching capacity at v/c ratios of 0.95 .

## Green Lane Centre/Yonge Street

This intersection provides signalized access to the retail developments on both sides of Yonge Street. During the Saturday peak hour, the northbound left turn and eastbound right turn movements are operating over capacity.

## Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road/Yonge Street

In addition to this intersection serving the commercial areas adjacent to Yonge Street, it also provides access to the residential areas of Woodland Hill (west) and a large residential area on the east side.

During the PM and Saturday peak hour this intersection operates at capacity. The northbound through lanes and the eastbound left turn lane operate at v/c ratios of more than 1.10 and 1.07, respectively, during the PM peak hour. The 1,900 vehicles exceed the capacity of the northbound lanes and the lack of an eastbound advance phase results in capacity constraints for the eastbound left turn movement.

During Saturday conditions, several movements operate at capacity including the northbound left turn, northbound through, southbound through, eastbound left turn, and westbound left turn movements. The southbound left is approaching capacity.
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Exhibit 2.10: Existing Intersection Operations


## Bonshaw Avenue/Bristol Road/Yonge Street

The commercial development fronting Yonge Street on the west side of the road continues to generate a significant amount of vehicles via Bonshaw Avenue and Aspenwood Drive.

This intersection operates at capacity during the Saturday peak hour conditions. The northbound left turn movement which service both residential and commercial land uses, operate at capacity. The southbound through movement is also operating at capacity. The eastbound left turn movement is operating close to capacity at a v/c ratio of 0.99.

## Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road/Yonge Street

This intersection operates at capacity only during the Saturday peak hour with capacity constraints in the northbound, southbound and westbound directions. The northbound left turn, southbound left turn, southbound through, and westbound left turn movements are all operating at capacity. The northbound left turn movement is approaching capacity at a v/c ratio of 0.9.

## Upper Canada Mall/Yonge Street

The eastbound dual left exiting the Upper Canada Mall operates at capacity during both the PM and Saturday peak hours. During the PM peak hour this movement carries approximately 490 vehicles per hour and doubles to 880 vehicles per hour on a typical Saturday peak hour.

This signalized access provides the only access to/from the Upper Canada Mall on Yonge Street. A secondary full moves signalized access is provided on Davis Drive.

## Davis Drive/Yonge Street

This intersection operates at capacity during all of the peak hour conditions as a result of the heavy north to south and east to west volumes. The left turn movements operate as protected and permitted.

During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates at capacity with a v/c ratio of 1.08 and the eastbound left is operating close to capacity.

During the PM peak hour, the northbound through, southbound left turn, eastbound left turn, and westbound left turn movements are operating at capacity whereas the northbound left turn, eastbound through, and westbound through movements are operating close to capacity.

Lastly, during the Saturday peak hour, the northbound left, southbound left, southbound through, eastbound left, and westbound left are operating at capacity. The northbound through and westbound through are operating close to capacity.

## Millard Avenue/Yonge Street

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left turn movement operates at capacity due to the heavy opposing volumes. On a typical Saturday peak hour, the southbound through movement operates close to capacity.

## Eagle Street/Yonge Street

During the PM peak hour the northbound left turn movement operates at capacity. The northbound through and westbound left turn movements operate close to capacity. During the Saturday peak hour, the southbound through movement is close to approaching capacity.

## Mulock Drive/Yonge Street

The AM and PM peak hour volumes on Mulock Drive range from 1150 to 1,300 vehicles per hour. As a result, the westbound left turn movement operates close to capacity during the AM peak hour and the eastbound left and westbound right turn movements operate at capacity during the PM peak hour. In the north/south direction, the southbound left is operating close to capacity during the AM peak hour. During the PM peak hour, the northbound through, southbound left movements are operating at capacity and the westbound through is operating close to capacity. In addition, the southbound left turn movement is approaching capacity during the Saturday peak hour.

## Wellington Street/Yonge Street

The lane configurations on of a shared left-through lane and shared through-right lane are on all approaches of this intersection. As a result of this and a heavy southbound left turn volume of 187 vehicles per hour, the southbound approach is operating close to capacity during the AM peak. During the PM peak, the eastbound and northbound approaches are operating close to capacity.

## Bloomington Road/Yonge Street

During the AM peak hour, the westbound through and eastbound left turn movements are operating close to capacity.

## King Road/Yonge Street

During the PM peak hour, the northbound left turn movement is operating close to capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.91 and carrying approximately 440 vehicles per hour.

## Davis Drive/Bathurst Street

The northbound left operates at capacity during the AM peak hour. During the PM peak hour, the northbound left and eastbound left carries approximately 600 and 550 vehicles per hour per lane, respectively. As a result these movements in addition to the westbound through movement operate at capacity. The eastbound through and westbound left turn movements operate close to capacity.

## Davis Drive/Prospect Street

During the PM peak hour, the westbound approach operates at capacity although an advanced left turn phase is available.

## Davis Drive/Leslie Street

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left turn, eastbound left turn, and westbound left turn movements are approaching capacity.

### 2.4 Existing Transit Volumes and Performance Levels

### 2.4.1 EXISTING TRANSIT VOLUMES

The Viva Blue route is a limited stop express type transit service and is primarily used by commuters travelling south in the morning and north in the evening. As of Spring 2008, this route carried an average of 2,000 passengers in the morning peak (3hr) period. As shown on Exhibit 2.11, ridership on this route is higher in the south part of the Region than the north part, with the peak demand occurring between Highway 7 (where there are a large number of transfers from the main east-west VIVA service) to the Finch subway station. Within the study area, the peak hour southbound ridership at Wellington Street is approximately 142 passengers.

Exhibit 2.11: Existing (2008) Viva Blue Southbound AM Peak Hour Ridership Patterns


### 2.4.2 EXISTING TRANSIT PERFORMANCE

Existing transit performance can be quantified by looking at transit speeds for the current VIVA service which operates on Yonge Street. Exhibit 2.12 below plots the average AM Peak Period speed by location along the VIVA Blue service (including dwell times at the upstream station). Within the North Yonge study area, average speeds range from $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. Speeds are slowest through Aurora where Yonge Street is four lanes with no exclusive turning lanes.
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Exhibit 2.12: Average Speed for Existing VIVA Blue Service


## 3. FUTURE BASE CASE TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Future Land Use Projections

Much of the initial travel demand modelling work for the North Yonge EA relied on land use forecasts originally supplied by York Region in 2003 as part of the Travel Demand Forecasting Model. An update to these forecasts was received in 2006 and included some adjustments at the traffic zone level, but the control totals at the local municipality and region level remained the same. These updated forecasts were used to develop the ridership estimates and are summarized on Exhibit 3.1 and Exhibit 3.2. Exhibit 3.3 and Exhibit 3.4 illustrate how these forecasts are allocated on a traffic zone basis while Exhibit 3.5 and Exhibit 3.6 highlight the growth portion only between 2006 and 2031.

These forecasts are consistent with the intent of the Places to Grow legislation, but may not reflect the most recent updates provided by local municipalities. It is noted that, while the forecasts take into account the impacts of Places to Grow, no modifications were made to concentrate future development in nodes and corridors served by rapid transit, which typically occurs with the introduction of new rapid transit facilities. This reflects a conservative assumption for the development of YRTP ridership forecasts.

It is also noted that the employment forecasts for East Gwillimbury are lower than the most recent projections, but this should not affect the peak direction (AM peak hour southbound) ridership estimates significantly.

Exhibit 3.1: Population Projections by Municipality *

| Municipality | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aurora | 42,000 | 49,000 | 56,000 | 62,000 | 66,000 | 69,000 | 69,000 |  |
| East Gwillimbury | 21,000 | 22,000 | 27,000 | 35,000 | 49,000 | 65,000 | 87,000 |  |
| Georgina | 41,000 | 45,000 | 49,000 | 53,000 | 57,000 | 62,000 | 65,000 |  |
| King | 19,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 | 26,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 |  |
| Markham | 217,000 | 273,000 | 306,000 | 342,000 | 378,000 | 412,000 | 447,000 |  |
| Newmarket | 68,000 | 78,000 | 85,000 | 89,000 | 93,000 | 94,000 | 95,000 |  |
| Richmond Hill | 138,000 | 174,000 | 196,000 | 215,000 | 221,000 | 226,000 | 227,000 |  |
| Vaughan | 191,000 | 244,000 | 290,000 | 327,000 | 364,000 | 398,000 | 422,000 |  |
| Whitchurch- <br> Stouffville | 23,000 | 26,000 | 34,000 | 42,000 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 56,000 |  |
| York Region | $\mathbf{7 5 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 8 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

* Forecasts used for base transportation modelling. These differ slightly from current projections.

Exhibit 3.2: Employment Projections by Municipality *

| Municipality | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aurora | 15,000 | 20,000 | 24,000 | 27,000 | 31,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 |  |
| East Gwillimbury | 4,000 | 7,000 | 8,000 | 12,000 | 17,000 | 25,000 | 38,000 |  |
| Georgina | 7,000 | 8,000 | 9,000 | 12,000 | 14,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 |  |
| King | 5,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 9,000 | 11,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 |  |
| Markham | 124,000 | 151,000 | 174,000 | 204,000 | 220,000 | 245,000 | 266,000 |  |
| Newmarket | 33,000 | 42,000 | 46,000 | 48,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |
| Richmond Hill | 52,000 | 64,000 | 74,000 | 80,000 | 83,000 | 84,000 | 85,000 |  |
| Vaughan | 131,000 | 156,000 | 184,000 | 211,000 | 234,000 | 256,000 | 272,000 |  |
| Whitchurch- <br> Stouffville | 7,000 | 11,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 24,000 | 25,000 |  |
| York Region | $\mathbf{3 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 3 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

* Forecasts used for base transportation modelling. These differ slightly from current projections.
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Exhibit 3.3: 2031 Population Forecasts by Traffic Zone (Current Forecasts)
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Exhibit 3.4: 2031 Employment Forecasts by Traffic Zone (Current Forecasts)
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Exhibit 3.5: Population Growth (2001-2031)
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Exhibit 3.6: Employment Growth (2001-2031)


### 3.2 Future Road Improvements

The Region's 2008-10 Year Roads Construction Program identifies several road expansion projects which are shown in Exhibit 3.7. As noted in the Capital Plan, the construction of roads in urban areas also includes the implementation of streetscaping and tree planting. The road expansion projects within the study area are described in detail below:

- Potential widening of $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Gamble Road to five lanes from Bayview Avenue to Bathurst Street in 2009;
- Proposed widening of Bathurst Street to four lanes from Wellington Street to Davis Drive in 2008;
- Widening of Bloomington Road to four lanes from Bayview Avenue to Highway 404 in 2011;
- Widening of Bloomington Road to four lanes with a continuous left turn lane from Yonge Street to Bayview Avenue in 2012;
- Potential widening of Bayview Avenue to four lanes from $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to Stouffville Road in 2015;
- Potential widening of Yonge Street to six lanes from Mulock Drive to Green Lane in 2011 to be determined as part of this EA study;
- Possible addition of right and left turn lanes on Davis Drive between Prospect Street to west of Main Street in 2010;
- Widening of Bloomington Road to four lanes with a continuous left turn lane from Yonge Street to Bathurst Street in 2010;
- Potential widening of Bayview Avenue to four lanes from Bloomington Road to Wellington Street in 2016;
- Possible widening of Leslie Street to four lanes from Wellington Street to Mulock Drive in 20115;
- Potential widening of Bayview Avenue to four lanes from Stouffville Road to Bloomington Road in 2017;
- 
- Proposed widening of Leslie Street to four lanes from Wellington Street to 500 m northerly in 2015; and
- Widening of St. John's Sideroad to four lanes from Highway 404 to Bayview Avenue in 2016.
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Exhibit 3.7: York Region 2008-10 Year Roads Construction Program


[^0]
### 3.3 Future Transit Improvements

In May 2006, YRT published the Five-Year Service Plan 2006-2010 which includes the improvements and planning initiatives discussed in this section.

For 2006, a number of YRT routes within the study area will include Sunday service as a new period of operation. Route 98, Yonge North will be extended to the East Gwillimbury GO Station. The Aurora North Route 31 will have weekday service extended into the late evening.

In the medium term, three to five years, the Aurora North route will be reconfigured with improved connections to Viva service.

GO service expansion in the study area is based on the GO 10 Year Capital Plan. Specific improvements are outlined in the main EA document.

### 3.4 Future Travel Demand Projections

The York Region Travel Demand Model was used to establish future traffic growth rates. This model includes the effect of committed road and transit improvements described above. Auto volume growth rates for the base case vary by location depending on location, but are generally in the range of $1-3 \%$ per year. Growth rates from the model were also compared to historical AADT counts from 1993 to 2005. In general, the growth rates projected by the model for the future are lower than historical growth rates, which were over 5\% per year for some locations.

It is recognized that the level of commercial activity growth in the immediate study area may be slowing. It is also recognized that traffic congestion on Yonge Street may also have the effect of distributing traffic to other routes.

Future growth rates will also be a function of how quickly some of the major parcels of land are redeveloped. All indications are that significant growth and intensification will occur in the Newmarket Centre as this has been identified as an Urban Growth Centre in the Province's Growth Management Strategy (Places to Grow) and the Newmarket Official Plan Update.

Exhibit 3.8 provides an indication of future traffic levels on Yonge Street for the Base Case, assuming Yonge Street is widen to six lanes (three-lane per direction) between Mulock Drive and Green Lane. Exhibit 3.9 provides an indication of future traffic levels on Davis Drive assuming no major road capacity improvements and current transit services.

As shown on Exhibit 3.8, both northbound and southbound directions on Yonge Street will utilize or exceed the realistic theoretical capacity range (1400-1800vph for two-lane per direction, 21002700vph for three-lane per direction) in the most segments of Yonge Street within the study area. In the northern portion of the study area (i.e. North of Davis Drive), traffic volumes will far exceed the capacity of a four lane facility, and the PM peak hour and Saturday volumes will exceed even the capacity of a six lane facility. This is an indication that solutions other than just road capacity enhancements are required, as discussed in the following chapter.

As shown in Exhibit 3.9, both eastbound and westbound directions on Davis Drive will utilize or exceed the realistic theoretical capacity range (1200-1600vph) in the most segments of Davis Drive within the study area. It is noted that this assessment does not reflect some of the localized traffic effects caused by a lack of left turn lanes and operations in and around the Newmarket GO Rail Station.

Under a base case scenario, growth in traffic will undoubtedly exacerbate current traffic operational issues and translate into additional delay for road users.

Exhibit 3.8: Base Case Future (2021) Traffic Levels on Yonge Street


Exhibit 3.9: Future (2021) Traffic Levels on Davis Drive


### 3.4.1 FUTURE BASE CASE INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

Future intersection operations corresponding to the base case scenario are detailed in Appendix C. Consistent with the above graphs, some intersections on Yonge Street and Davis Drive in the northern part of the study area are projected to operate at a poor level of service.

## 4. TRANSPORTATION ASSESSMENT OF RAPID TRANSIT ALTERANTIVES

Throughout the various stages of the Environmental Assessment, several alternatives where examined to respond to the preferred transportation solution involving public transit improvements and road capacity enhancements. The purpose of this section is to document the transportation assessment that was undertaken to support the selection of the preferred design alternative. A description of the actual preferred design is provided in the following chapter.

### 4.1 Routing Alternatives

During the initial stages of the Environmental Assessment, several routing alternatives were evaluated. An initial screening of routing alternatives was conducted and presented at the September 2006 Public Open House. Routing alternatives retained for further evaluation are as follows (See Exhibit 1.2 for Map of Alternatives):

| Richmond Hill | Aurora | Newmarket/East Gillimbury |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RH2 - Yonge Street | A2 - Yonge Street | N2 - Yonge Street/Green Lane |
|  | A3 - Yonge Street/Industrial Parkway/St. John's Sideroad | N3-GO Bradford/Barrie ROW |
|  | A4 - Yonge Street/Industrial Parkway/adjacent to GO Bradford/Barrie | N5 - Yonge Street/Eagle Street West/Newmarket GO Bus Terminal |
|  |  | N6- Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Main Street/Green Lane |
|  |  | N7 - Yonge Street/Davis Drive to Leslie Street |
|  |  | N8 - Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Bayview Parkway/Green Lane |

From a transportation perspective, five indicators were developed as follows:

- Projected travel time along each alternative
- AM Peak Hour Passenger volume in 2031
- AM Peak Period Boardings
- Existing and future residents within 500 m walking distance of station
- Existing and future employment within a 500 m walking distance of a station

Each of these indicators is quantified below.

### 4.1.1 TRAVEL TIME ALONG EACH ROUTE

Transit travel times depend on the length of route, number of stops, number of signalized intersections that must be traversed, presence of tight turns and level of congestion. The impact of congestion on transit travel times is dependent on the degree of segregation from regular traffic. For the purpose of this evaluation, it was assumed that all routing options would have the capability of fully dedicated transit lanes, except as noted below.

Exhibit 4.1 provides a summary of the assumed link speeds and resulting travel times for each option. Link speeds are based on previous work carried out for the York Region Travel Demand Model, with adjustments to reflect experience with the existing VIVA Phase 1 service. Link travel times factor in time at stops, but the number of stops is not considered in the evaluation since the average stop spacing is similar for all routes.

Alternatives within Richmond Hill (one alternative) and Aurora are similar in terms of travel times (See Exhibit 4.2). In Aurora, the extra distance for the Industrial Parkway alternative essentially balances out the potential for faster travel speeds. In Newmarket, the GO line alternative has potential to provide the lowest travel times followed by the Yonge Street to Newmarket GO Bus Terminal option, which is a short route. In Newmarket, it was initially assumed that rapid transit on Davis Drive will be primarily in mixed traffic, hence slower travel speeds. Alternatives for the Davis Drive corridor are considered in the next section.

Exhibit 4.1: Travel Time Input Assumptions

| Route Alternative | Segment | Length (km) | Speed (km/h) | Travel Time (min) | Route Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RH2 - Yonge Street | 19th Ave - Bloomington | 6.26 | 40 | 9.39 | 9.39 |
| A2 - Yonge Street | Bloomington - Industrial Pkwy Industrial Pkwy - Wellington Wellington - St. John's Sideroad | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & 2.05 \\ & 2.05 \end{aligned}$ | 40 25 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.08 \\ & 4.92 \\ & 4.10 \end{aligned}$ | 12.10 |
| A3 - Yonge Street/Industrial Parkway/St. John's Sideroad | Bloomington - Industrial Pkwy Industrial Pkwy - Yonge St | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & 6.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.08 \\ 10.35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13.43 |
| A4 - Yonge Street/Industrial Parkway/adjacent to GO Bradford | Bloomington - Industrial Pkwy Industrial Pkwy/GO Line | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & 5.54 \end{aligned}$ | 40 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \\ & 8.31 \end{aligned}$ | 11.39 |
| N2 - Yonge Street/Green Lane | St. John's Sideroad - Green Lane Yonge Street - GO Station | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.25 \\ & 2.35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 35 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.71 \\ 3.53 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 14.24 |
| N3-GO Bradford ROW | St. John's - Green Lane | 7.02 | 60 | 7.02 | 7.02 |
| N5 - Yonge Street/Eagle Street West/Newmarket GO Bus Terminal | St. John's Sideroad - Davis via Eagle | 4.94 | 35 | 8.47 | 8.47 |
| N6- Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Main Street/Green Lane | St. John's Sideroad - Davis Yonge St - Main St <br> Main St - GO Station | $\begin{array}{r} 4.18 \\ 1.67 \\ 2.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 35 25 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.17 \\ & 4.01 \\ & 5.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 16.57 |
| N7 - Yonge Street/Davis Drive to Leslie Street | St. John's Sideroad - Davis Yonge Street - Leslie Street | $\begin{aligned} & 4.18 \\ & 4.10 \end{aligned}$ | 35 <br> 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.17 \\ & 9.84 \end{aligned}$ | 17.01 |
| N7 - Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Bayview Parkway/Green Lane | St. John's Sideroad - Davis Yonge St - Bayview Pkwy Bayview Pkwy - GO Station | $\begin{aligned} & 4.18 \\ & 1.79 \\ & 2.38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 35 25 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.17 \\ & 4.30 \\ & 4.76 \end{aligned}$ | 16.22 |

Exhibit 4.2: Estimated Travel Time by Route Alternative


### 4.1.2 TRANSIT PASSENGER VOLUMES

Transit volumes are a measure of the attractiveness of rapid transit service alternatives. For evaluation purposes, transit volumes are measured as the AM Peak hour southbound volumes on the rapid transit system. Volumes represent the peak volume in the municipality, which is generally the southbound volume at the southern boundary of the municipality.

Transit volumes were estimated using the York Region Travel Demand Model (EMME/2 Model) and reflect a 2031 horizon year. All routes are assumed to have the same headway, which for route comparison purposes, is 1 minute. This is corresponds to a capacity of about 4,200 passengers per hour, which may be excessive for the north part of the corridor. However, assumptions on headway do not affect the relative comparisons of each route.

Exhibit 4.3 provides a summary of the transit volumes by segment for each routing alternative. In Aurora, the Yonge Street routing achieves the highest peak volume. Whereas the GO Bradford/Barrie routing and Industrial Parkway routing are similar by the time the rapid transit system reaches the southern boundary of Aurora. In Newmarket, the most attractive alternatives are those that serve some or all of Davis Drive.

Exhibit 4.3: AM Peak Hour Southbound Transit Volumes in 2031

${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes Davis Drive to Leslie Street routing in Newmarket
${ }^{(2)}$ Assumes Davis Drive to Leslie Street routing in Newmarket
${ }^{(3)}$ Assumes GO ROW routing to Newmarket
${ }^{(4)}$ Assumes Yonge Street routing through Aurora and Richmond Hill

### 4.1.3 TRANSIT BOARDINGS

In addition to transit volumes, transit boardings are used as a measure of the attractiveness of rapid transit service alternatives. Transit boardings are taken as the two-way boardings on the rapid transit system in the AM Peak ( 3 hr ) Period. An examination of alightings was also conducted; however, the relative differences between routing alternatives was similar to that produced using boardings.

A total of five rapid transit route combinations were modelled using EMME/2 and used to develop an estimate of the boardings for the ten specific route alternatives. The resulting estimates are shown on Exhibit 4.4. Boardings represent the total boardings in each municipality based on stop location. Boardings for Green Lane, which is in East Gwillumbury, are included in the Newmarket totals. Boardings for Richmond Hill include boardings for stations in the study area only (i.e. Gamble Road and northward).

Exhibit 4.4: AM Peak Period Two-way Transit Boardings in 2031

${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes Davis Drive to Leslie Street routing in Newmarket
${ }^{(2)}$ Assumes Davis Drive to Leslie Street routing in Newmarket
${ }^{(3)}$ Assumes GO ROW routing to Newmarket
${ }^{(4)}$ Assumes Yonge Street routing through Aurora and Richmond Hill

As shown on the Exhibit, transit boardings in Richmond Hill and Aurora do not vary significantly by routing alternative. The only difference in alternative in Aurora is the Industrial parkway option; an interesting alternative in that it generates slightly higher two-way boardings. This appears to be a result of higher number of local boardings and transfers from other services compared to the Yonge Street alternatives, since the peak volume does not increase as shown previously in Exhibit 4. Further investigation is required to explore the reasons for this, although it appears to be due to residents

The most significant differences in the routing alternatives can be seen in Newmarket. Similar to the transit volumes criterion, alternatives that use some access some portion of Davis Drive have the highest number of boardings. The GO ROW alternative is the least attractive option, likely a result of the fact that it competes with the existing GO Rail service.

### 4.1.4 PROXIMITY TO RESIDENTS AND JOBS

The final transportation related indicators considered in the routing evaluation relate to the number of residents and workers (jobs) that are in proximity to the rapid transit route alternatives, and specifically:

- Existing and future residents within 500 m walking distance of station
- Existing and future employment within a 500 m walking distance of a station

These indicators are similar to the ridership indicators, but provide a finer resolution in terms of ridership potential. Values for these indicators were developed using a GIS and population and employment forecasts provided by the Region of York at the traffic zone level. The population and employment forecasts reflect the effect of the Oakridges Moraine and Greenbelt legislation, which has had a dampening effect on the population and employment growth in sections of Richmond Hill and Aurora adjacent to the rapid transit routes.

A procedure was developed to calculate population and employment within a 500 m radius of a station based on average densities for surrounding traffic zones. Adjustments were made to take into account traffic zones where land use was concentrated in one location, or where there were large amounts of undevelopable land. Despite the adjustments, figures should be considered very approximate.

Exhibit 4.5 summarizes the number of residents within 500 m of stations for each rapid transit alternative. Figures include only the population within the municipality corresponding to the alternative, as opposed to the entire line. Preliminary stations have been identified by others and generally correspond to major arterials.

The results are fairly self explanatory. For example, the Industrial Parkway and GO ROW alternatives have the fewest residents within 500 m of their station. In Newmarket, the GO Line is abutted by two parks and two conservation areas.

Figures corresponding to access to employment are shown on Exhibit 4.6. Within Aurora, the Industrial Parkway alternative performs as well as the Yonge Street alternative. In both Aurora and Newmarket, the GO ROW option has the least amount of employment in proximity to the potential stations. In Aurora, the GO line routing only has employment on one side whereas the Industrial Parkway routing generally has employment on both sides.

## Exhibit 4.5: Residents within 500 m of Rapid Transit Stations



Exhibit 4.6: Jobs within 500 m of Rapid Transit Stations


### 4.1.5 SUMMARY

Overall, the Yonge Street route, in conjunction with either a Davis Drive routing or Green Lane routing (or both) has the greatest potential for attracting new transit riders and improving overall transit service in the study area.

### 4.2 Physical Infrastructure Alternatives

Physical infrastructure alternatives consisted of various combinations of roadway capacity enhancements and rapidway alternatives. In the assessment of traffic operations and intersection capacities, 2021 was chosen as the horizon year for comparing the alternatives. This is consistent with other Rapid Transit EAs and provides a more meaningful comparison of traffic operations. It is not generally considered appropriate(or reliable) to predict traffic operations beyond a 15 year horizon period.

### 4.2.1 YONGE STREET - MULOCK TO DAVIS DRIVE

The following five design alternatives were evaluated for this specific portion of the corridor:

- Alternative 1: Existing road configuration with rapid transit operating in mixed traffic
- $\quad$ Alternative 2: Existing road configuration with some intersection improvements and rapid transit operating in mixed traffic
- Alternative 3: Widening of Yonge Street to 6 lanes with rapid transit operating in mixed traffic curb HOV lanes
- Alternative 4: Widening of Yonge Street to accommodate rapid transit operating in a dedicated median rapidway
- Alternative 5: Widening of Yonge Street to 6 lanes with rapid transit operating in a dedicated median rapidway

Alternatives 4 and 5 could be developed as median dedicated rapidway or curb-side rapidway. For the purpose of comparing design options, all traffic analysis has been prepared assuming a median rapidway configuration.

It was considered beneficial to perform the evaluation of the alternatives by splitting the corridor at Davis Drive into a southern and northern portion. This was done because the land use characteristics and traffic patterns differ enough between portions and the rapid transit network will branch into two routes at Davis Drive, with one continuing north on Yonge Street and the other turning east on Davis Drive. Also, transit ridership is projected to be significantly higher south of Davis Drive (1200 passengers per hour) compared to the volumes to be carried in the northern portion (~300passengers per hour).

As a primary indicator of the traffic impact of each of the alternatives, an intersection capacity analysis was carried out. The findings are summarized in Exhibit 4.7 with a discussion of the results provided below.

## Exhibit 4.7: Intersection Capacity Analysis of Design Alternatives (PM Peak Hour) for Mulock Drive to Davis Drive

| Intersection Reference: <br> Yonge Street at | Alternatives in 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existin | (2005) | Alternative 1 <br> 4 lanes mixed traffic |  | Alternative 2 4 lanes mixed traffic + Improvements |  | Alternative 3 <br> 6 lanes mixed traffic |  | Alternative 4 4 lanes +2 lanes BRT |  | Alternative 5 6 lanes +2 lanes BRT |  |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Davis Drive | >100 | F | >100 | F | >100 | F | 92 | F | >100 | F | >100 | F |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 7 | A | 7 | A | 7 | A | 5 | A | 10 | B | 7 | A |
| Millard Avenue | 27 | C | 60 | E | 39 | D | 25 | C | 90 | F | 27 | C |
| Gladman /York Admin Access | 9 | A | 31 | C | 17 | B | 6 | A | 41 | D | 7 | A |
| Eagle Street | 46 | D | 86 | F | 78 | E | 44 | D | 100 | F | 51 | D |
| William Roe/ Clearmeadow | 11 | B | 14 | B | 10 | A | 7 | A | 14 | B | 10 | A |
| Mulock Drive | >100 | F | >100 | F | >100 | F | $>100$ | F | >100 | F | >100 | F |

Alternative 1: Existing Road Configuration with Rapid Transit Operating in Mixed Traffic: As shown previously on Exhibit 4.1, the unconstrained peak period volumes on Yonge Street will exceed the practical road capacity of a four lane roadway (e.g. 1,800 vehicles per direction) by $40 \%$ in some locations. This results in a degradation of level of service at most of the major intersections. Motorists would experience fairly significant delays under this scenario, particularly at Davis Drive and Mulock Drive.

Transit mixed with these traffic conditions would experience considerable delays, therefore making the rapid transit service slow and unreliable and consequently, less attractive.

Alternative 2: Existing Road Configuration with Some Intersection Improvements and Transit Operating in Mixed Traffic: This design alternative involves improvements to signal timing and selected addition of turning lanes to address critical movements. Major physical improvements include:

- Addition of dual eastbound, westbound and southbound left turn lanes at Davis Drive;
- Addition of a dual northbound left turn lane at Eagle Street; and
- Addition of a dual southbound left turn lane and a channelized westbound right turn lane at Mulock Drive.

With these improvements, there are marginal reductions in delay at intersections where improvements are implemented, as shown in Exhibit 4.7. However, since volumes for most through movements still exceed capacity by a significant amount, most intersections would continue to fail in 2021. As with Alternative 1, transit running times would be severely degraded under this alternative.

## Alternative 3: Widening of Yonge Street to 6 Lanes with Rapid Transit Operating in Mixed

 Traffic: This alternative provides the highest levels of auto service, although delays would still exist during peak times at the major intersections (i.e. Davis Drive and Mulock Drive).Transit vehicles would benefit from the improved level of service; however, it is likely that over time available through capacity would be absorbed by vehicles using Yonge Street as an alternative to other congested routes.

A variation of this alternative could be to widen Yonge Street to six lanes, but operate the curb lane as a dedicated HOV/transit lane during the peak periods.

Alternative 4: Widening of Yonge Street to Accommodate Rapid Transit Operating in a Dedicated Median Rapidway: Under this alternative, level of service for regular traffic would be similar to Alternative 1. The median transit configuration would permit higher service speed thus attracting higher transit ridership, and hence mitigating traffic growth. However, the reductions in traffic volumes are somewhat off-set by the impact of adding a dedicated signal phase to allow for protected left and U-turn movements. A U-turn phase is required to provide access to properties that currently have direct access from Yonge Street but are not served by a signalized intersection.
Alternative 5: Widening of Yonge Street to 6 Lanes with Rapid Transit Operating in a Dedicated Median Rapidway: This alternative maximizes level of service for both automobiles and transit vehicles. Level of service for regular traffic would be similar to Alternative 3, with the exception of the above noted requirements for left and U-turns in Alternative 4. Transit vehicles would receive some priority at certain intersections.

Aside from property impacts, one of the primary drawbacks of this alternative is that the width of the cross-section at intersections (10 lanes including turn lanes) makes two-stage pedestrian crossing almost mandatory.

### 4.2.1.1 Summary

From an improved mobility for both modes perspective, Alternative 4 offers an optimum solution as it maximizes operational benefits and the attractiveness of rapid transit while accommodating projected traffic growth with existing enhancements of the four lane roadway. Some intersection improvements identified in Alternative 2 could be considered as part of the preferred solution.

### 4.2.2 YONGE STREET- DAVIS DRIVE TO GREEN LANE

The same five design alternatives that were analysed for the Mulock to Davis Drive segment were examined for the Davis Drive to Green Lane segment.

As a primary indicator of the response of the alternatives to this objective an intersection capacity analysis was carried out. The findings are summarized in Exhibit 4.8.

Exhibit 4.8: Intersection Capacity Analysis of Design Alternatives (PM Peak Hour)
for Davis Drive to Green Lane

| Intersection Reference: Yonge Street at | Alternatives in 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existin | (2005) | Alternative 1 <br> 4 lanes mixed traffic |  | Alternative 2 4 lanes mixed traffic + Improvements |  | Alternative 3 6 lanes mixed traffic |  | Alternative 4 4 lanes +2 lanes BRT |  | Alternative 5 6 lanes + 2 lanes BRT |  |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Green Lane | >100 | F | >100 | F | >100 | F | 85 | F | >100 | F | >100 | F |
| Green Lane Centre | 11 | B | 14 | B | 29 | B | 13 | B | 21 | C | 19 | B |
| Aspenwood/Bristol | 70 | E | $>100$ | F | $>100$ | F | 38 | D | >100 | F | 48 | D |
| Bonshaw/London | 16 | B | 34 | C | 20 | B | 15 | B | 48 | D | 24 | C |
| Dawson Manor/Kingston | 21 | C | 37 | D | 26 | C | 25 | C | 35 | C | 31 | C |
| Upper Canada Mall | 89 | F | 93 | F | 34 | C | 30 | C | 50 | D | 36 | D |
| Davis Drive | $>100$ | F | $>100$ | F | $>100$ | F | 92 | F | >100 | F | 93 | F |

As with the southern segment, the comparison of traffic demand and capacity illustrated in Exhibit 4.7 also been considered in the evaluation below.

Alternative 1 Existing Road Configuration with Rapid Transit Operating in Mixed Traffic: Assuming traffic volumes grow by an average of 2\% per year and no improvements are made to road capacity, the unconstrained peak period volumes on Yonge Street will exceed road capacity by $60 \%$ in some locations. This results in most of the major intersections operating at level of service $F$ (i.e. failure). Delays experienced by motorists under this scenario would be considered unacceptable.

Transit mixed with these traffic conditions would experience considerable delays, therefore making the rapid transit service slow and unreliable and consequently, less attractive.

Alternative 2: Existing Road Configuration with Some Intersection Improvements and Transit Operating in Mixed Traffic This design alternative assumes improvements to signal timing and selective addition of turning lanes to address critical movements. Major physical improvements include:

- Addition of a second westbound channelized right turn at Green Lane
- Addition of dual eastbound, westbound and southbound left turn lanes at Davis Drive

With these improvements, there are marginal reductions in delay at intersections where improvements are implemented, as shown in Exhibit 4.8. However, since volumes for most through movements still exceed capacity by a significant amount, most intersections would continue to fail in 2021. As with Alternative 1, transit running times would be severely degraded under this alternative.

## Alternative 3: Widening of Yonge Street to 6 Lanes with Rapid Transit Operating in Mixed

 Traffic: This alternative provides the highest levels of auto service, although some delay would still occur during peak periods at the major intersections (i.e. Green Lane and Davis Drive). Transit vehicles would benefit from the improved level of service; however, it is likely that over time available through capacity would be absorbed by vehicles using Yonge Street as an alternative to other congested routes.A variation of this alternative could be to widen Yonge Street to six lanes, but operate the curb lane as a dedicated HOV/transit lane during the peak periods. This alternative is considered as part of the preferred design outlined in Chapter 5.

Alternative 4 Widening of Yonge Street to Accommodate Rapid Transit Operating in a Dedicated Median Rapidway: Under this alternative, level of service for regular traffic would be similar to Alternative 1. The median transit configuration would permit higher service speed thus attracting higher transit ridership, and hence mitigating traffic growth. However, the reductions in traffic volumes are somewhat off-set by the impact of adding a dedicated signal phase to allow for protected left and U-turn movements. A U-turn phase is required to provide access to properties that currently have direct access from Yonge Street but are not served by a signalized intersection.

## Alternative 5 Widening of Yonge Street to 6 Lanes with Rapid Transit Operating in a

 Dedicated Median Rapidway: This alternative maximizes level of service for both automobiles and transit vehicles. Level of service for regular traffic would be similar to Alternative 3, with theexception of the above noted requirements for left and U-turns. Transit vehicles would receive some priority at certain intersections.

Aside from property impacts, one of the primary drawbacks of this alternative is that the width of the cross-section at intersections (10 lanes including turn lanes) makes two-stage pedestrian crossing almost mandatory.

### 4.2.2.1 Summary

A dedicated rapidway (Alternatives 4 and 5) is difficult to justify at the 2021 horizon given that the transit ridership is well below the person capacity of a general purpose lane. Consequently, for this segment, rapid transit operation in an HOV lane added to the existing 4 lanes (Alternative 3) would provide the necessary improvements for both modes. Some intersection improvements identified in Alternative 2 could be considered as part of the preferred solution.

### 4.2.3 YONGE STREET - AURORA HEIGHTS DRIVE TO SAVAGE ROAD SOUTH

An assessment of the need for dedicated rapid transit lanes was undertaken for the segment of Yonge Street between Aurora Heights and Savage Road South. As shown on Exhibit 4.9, much of the land use in adjacent to Yonge Street in this segment is undeveloped and is not slated for development. Additionally, intersections are widely spaced and there are minimal driveways fronting onto Yonge Street. Accordingly, dedicated rapid transit lanes will likely provide minimal travel time benefits.

A comparison of future volume to capacity ratios is provided on Exhibit 4.10 (using 2031 volume projections to be conservative). As shown, volumes are well below theoretical capacity. This is confirmed by the detailed signalized intersection results for the Base Case (Appendix C), which generally indicates a good level of service through this section on Yonge Street. Therefore, operation in mixed traffic in this section would be acceptable.

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Exhibit 4.9: Existing Land Uses - Yonge Street between Aurora Heights and Savage


## Exhibit 4.10 Projected Future Volumes and Capacity - Yonge Street between Aurora Heights and Savage

| Segment on <br> Yonge Street | Projected 2031 Volumes (PM Peak <br> Hour) |  | l Projected Volume to Capacity Ratio <br> based on 900 vehicles per lane $=$ <br> 1800 vph (2 lanes) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NB | SB | NB | SB |
| Aurora Heights <br> - St. John's <br> Sideroad | 1462 | 1456 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| St. John's <br> Sideroad - <br> Savage Road <br> South | 1670 | 1698 | 0.93 | 0.94 |

### 4.2.4 DAVIS DRIVE - YONGE STREET TO HIGHWAY 404

As discussed previously, the preferred routing for transit services in the North Yonge Corridor involves splitting rapid transit services at Davis Drive, with one service extending north on Yonge Street to Green Lane and then east to East Gwillimbury GO Station and the other extending east along Davis Drive.

The following four design alternatives were evaluated for the Davis Drive corridor:

- Alternative 1: Rapid Transit Operation in Mixed Traffic in Existing Road Configuration (Do Nothing)
- Alternative 2: Rapid Transit Operation in Mixed Traffic With Some Intersection Improvements and Transit Priority Measures
- Alternative 3: Rapid Transit Operation in Dedicated Median Rapidway from Yonge Street to a proposed Lindsay Avenue Extension
- Alternative 4: Rapid Transit Operation in Dedicated Median Rapidway from Yonge Street to Southlake Regional Health Centre

Alternatives 2-4 are based on the provision of four through lanes of traffic for regular vehicles with widening to accommodate turning movements at certain intersections. In addition, Alternatives 3 and 4 would involve further road widening to provide for the median Rapidway.

As a primary indicator of the traffic impact of each of the alternatives, an intersection capacity analysis was carried out. The findings are summarized on Exhibit 4.11. Findings are presented for the 2021 horizon period and for the PM peak hour (worst case scenario).

Exhibit 4.11: Intersection Capacity Analysis of Design Alternatives (PM Peak Hour) for Davis Drive

| Intersection Reference: <br> Davis Dr. at | Existing | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> Existing <br> Condition | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> Alternative 2 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> Alternative 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> Alternative 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eagle Street | D | F | F | F | F |
| Yonge Street | E | F | F | F | F |
| George Street | C | D | D | D | D |
| Barbara Road | C | D | B | B | B |
| Parkside Drive | B | E | C | C | C |
| Longford Road | A | B | - | - | - |
| Lindsay Avenue | - | - | A | A | A |
| Lorne Street | B | C | C | C | C |
| Main Street S. | F | F | F | F | F |
| CNR Line | F | F | F | F | F |
| Seniors | D | F | E | E | E |
| Prospect Street | D | F | D | D | D |
| Roxborough | B | B | B | B | B |
| Alexander | B | C | C | C | C |
| Carlson Drive | C | C | C | C | C |
| Leslie Street | D | E | E | E | E |
| Forhan Drive | Barry Walker Drive | D | B | B | B |

Alternative 1: Rapid Transit Operation in Mixed Traffic in Existing Road Configuration (Do Nothing): If no improvements are made to road capacity, several intersections along Davis Drive will be operating at a very poor level of service by 2021 or before. Transit mixed with these traffic conditions would experience considerable delays, therefore making the rapid transit service slow and unreliable and consequently, unattractive as an alternative to auto use.

Alternative 2: Existing Road Configuration with Some Intersection Improvements and Transit Operating in Mixed Traffic: For Alternative 2, intersection improvements would include the addition of left turn lanes at several locations as well as some consolidation of access points. Transit priority would be applied through the application of Transit Signal Priority (TSP).
Major physical improvements include:

- realigned George Street to the east, lining up with Wilstead Dr
- extension of wb and eb left turn lanes at Wilstead Dr/George St
- wb left turn lane at Parkside Dr
- cul-de-sac Longford Dr
- extension of Lindsay Ave to Davis Dr, eb left turn lane
- eb left turn lane at Hill St
- wb left turn lane at Lorne Ave
- wb and eb left turn lanes at Vincent St and Niagara St
- wb and eb left turn lanes at Main St
- cul-de-sac of Superior St
- wb and eb left turn lanes at Newmarket GO Station
- eb and wb left turn lanes at Charles St and Bayview Pkwy
- wb and eb left turn lanes at Prospect St/Lundy's Lane
- right-in/right-out at Bolton Ave
- wb and eb left turn lanes at Huron Heights Dr and Alexander Rd
- eb and wb three lanes from Leslie St to Harry Walker Pkwy (addition of one continuous lane in each direction acting as a right turn lane)
These improvements help to improve traffic operations fairly significantly, as shown on Exhibit 4.11. However, several locations would still be operating at LOS F and a large portion of the through movement capacity would be utilized by 2021 . These localized capacity restrictions have the effect of reducing the reliability, and hence attractiveness, of transit services along Davis Drive. Beyond 2021, mixed traffic rapid transit operations would progressively deteriorate as general traffic volumes approach the through capacity of the largely 5-lane cross-section. Since the rapid transit services on Davis Drive would be continuous with services on Yonge Street, delays on Davis Drive would affect the performance and attractiveness of the entire north-south rapid transit spine.

Alternative 3: Rapid Transit Operation in Dedicated Median Rapidway from Yonge Street to Proposed Lindsay Avenue Extension: This alternative would involve the extension of the proposed dedicated median transit lanes from Yonge Street to a proposed new extension of Lindsay Avenue, a distance of approximately 800 m eastward along Davis Drive. Effectively, this would provide segregated transit through the highest density portions of the Newmarket Regional Centre. Median transit lanes would be accommodated by widening the Davis Drive right-of-way. All geometric improvements in Alternative 2 would still be applied, and as a result level of service for general traffic would be similar to Alternative 2. The only difference would be that U-turn movements would be required at major signalized intersections as the median rapidway would prevent left-turn access/egress between intersections. This has the effect of increasing intersection delays slightly. Conversely, transit vehicles would benefit increasingly over time from the improved level of service afforded by the dedicated lanes. It is estimated that with dedicated lanes between Yonge Street and Lindsay Avenue, transit vehicles could save up to 2.8 minutes compared to the mixed traffic option in 2021 and implicitly more as congestion increases further into the future.
Alternative 4: Rapid Transit Operation in Dedicated Median Rapidway from Yonge Street to Southlake Regional Health Centre: This alternative further improves mobility for transit riders in that it would allow rapid transit vehicles to also by-pass congestion between Main Street and Prospect Street around the Newmarket GO Station. In this alternative at 2021 traffic levels, it is projected that the median rapidway will save transit riders up to 4 minutes compared to the mixed traffic option, Alternative 2. Also, the extent of dedicated lanes will achieve segregation for rapid transit for the full length of the proposed Davis Drive urban centre proposed in Newmarket's recently updated Official Plan. Similar to Alternative 3, this alternative maintains the same level of capacity for regular vehicles. However, due to the increased attractiveness of transit, the growth in auto demand will potentially reduce when compared to Alternatives 1 and 2.

## Response Summary:

From the perspective of improving mobility for both modes, Alternative 4 offers an optimum solution as it maximizes operational benefits and the attractiveness of rapid transit while incorporating projected traffic growth with enhancements of the existing four lane roadway.

As shown on Exhibit 4.12, the total person-carrying capacity of Davis Drive is significantly higher under the alternative with dedicated rapid transit lanes.

Exhibit 4.12: Summary of Total Transit + Auto Capacity for Davis Drive Alternatives

|  | Existing <br> Roadway | Improved <br> Improved <br> Roadway | Roadway with <br> Dedicated <br> Transit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Capacity per lane | 600 | 800 | 800 |
| Total Capacity @ 2 lanes | 1200 | 1600 | 1600 |
| Projected Auto Volumes in 2021 | 1600 | 1600 | 1200 |
| Road Capacity Shortfall (Surplus) | 400 | 0 | $(200)$ |
| Projected Peak Transit Volume in 2021 | 300 | 300 | 900 |
| Supported Transit Headway (min) | 15 | 15 | 4 |
| Transit Capacity | 280 | 280 | 1050 |
| (Total Person Capacity (@1.1 persons/auto) | 1600 | 2040 | 2810 |

### 4.3 Detailed Alignment Options for Davis Drive

As input to the development of the final preferred alignment for Davis Drive, several options were evaluated as discussed below.

### 4.3.1 UPPER CANADA MALL OPTIONS

Several options were considered which would potentially route some or all of the rapid transit services through Upper Canada Mall. These options are detailed in the main EA Report. As input to the selection of the final option, existing traffic and transit volumes were assembled, as well as projected future transit volumes.

## Existing Transit Volumes

2006 ridership statistics showed 71 people boarding the VIVA Blue Service at the Newmarket Terminal in the AM Peak Hour. 10 people boarded at the Eagle Street station. (2008 ridership figures are being assembled).

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing traffic counts provide an indication of total vehicle trips generated by the mall:

|  | Inbound - AM | Outbound - <br> AM | Inbound - PM | Outbound - <br> PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yonge Street Entrance | 91 | 46 | 348 | 665 |
| Eagle Street Entrance | 81 | 28 | 420 | 406 |
| Combined | 172 | 74 | 768 | 1071 |
| Potential Boardings/Alightings <br> assuming 10\% of auto trips are | 17 | 7 | 77 | 107 |

shifted to rapid transit

Assuming that the addition of dedicated rapid transit could attract 10\% of existing auto users to transit, the potential boardings on transit would be in the order of 100 persons in the PM Peak Hour, assuming existing mall activities remain the same.

## YRTP Model (Future Volumes)

The YRTP Model estimates transit ridership in for the AM Peak time period. The 2031 Model projects 290 boardings and 125 alightings at the Davis Drive Station in the AM Peak hour. These figures would include ridership from Upper Canada Mall as well as the surrounding area. It can be assumed that 125 alightings in the AM peak would be due to employees working at this zone.

It should be noted that these figures also exclude local transit ridership.

## Conclusion

Based on the above, it is estimated that the total potential usage of the Mall station would be in the order of 100 alightings in the morning peak hour and 100 boardings in the PM hour. This compares to a total of 900 alightings for the entire North Yonge Corridor in the AM Peak Hour, based on model projections.

In comparison to these estimates, the total AM peak hour boardings for the entire North Yonge Corridor is approximately 5,000 persons. Assuming this translates into an equal or greater number of PM peak hour alightings, the potential Upper Canada generated ridership would be about 2\% of the total corridor demands.

### 4.3.1.1 Orientation of Ridership

Detailed information on the O-D pairs for a potential mall service is not readily available and would need to involve surveys of existing mall patrons and employees. However, the Transportation Tomorrow Survey does include information on the travel patterns of persons originating and destined to the traffic zone comprising Upper Canada Mall. Exhibit 4.13 provides a plot of the origins of travellers going to the Upper Canada Mall traffic zone in the PM peak period. This would include both employees and mall customers, though it is noted that TTS tends to under-estimate non-discretionary trips. As shown, most trips are generated from within Newmarket, and from east of Yonge Street.

It can be expected that mall customers who use transit would be most concerned with minimizing transfers and having services close to their point of origin, and less concerned about travel times. Accordingly, given the distribution of potential passengers destined to Upper Canada Mall, local services may well be as attractive as Rapid Transit.

## Exhibit 4.13: Orientation of Trips to Upper Canada Mall Area



### 4.3.1.2 Effect of Additional Trip Times on Ridership

The YRTP model is based on estimated average rapid transit speeds by link. Average speeds depend on number of stations, station dwell times, and congestion levels (where the service runs in mixed traffic). It is estimated that the travel time between Newmarket Terminal and $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in 2031 will be approximately 35 minutes. Estimated travel time for the Davis Drive Segment is 7 minutes assuming dedicated lanes to Prospect Street, for a total corridor time of 42 minutes.

It is estimated that the option whereby the Davis Drive rapid transit service is routed through Upper Canada Mall would add between 2.5 and 3.0 minutes to the run time. This translates into a 6\% increase in journey times for someone travelling from Leslie Street to $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The relative percentage impacts would increase for shorter trips.

It is noted that previous analysis indicated that the addition of dedicated rapid transit lane on Davis Drive would provide a travel time savings of approximately 3.5 minutes over the mixed traffic option.
Therefore, the travel time added by diverting into Upper Canada mall would effectively cancel any savings provided by the construction of dedicated rapid transit lanes on Davis Drive.

### 4.3.2 SITING OF STATIONS ON DAVIS DRIVE

For the purpose of assign general rapid transit alternatives, ridership forecasting for the Davis Drive Rapid Transit Service has assumed the following stations:

- Yonge Street
- Longford Drive
- Main Street/GO Station
- Southlake Regional Health Centre
- West of Huron Heights
- Leslie Street

Projected AM Peak Period Boardings and Alightings are shown below for the base option.

Exhibit 4.14: Projected Volume for Davis Drive Stations


Recognizing that there are limitations to the ability of the EMME/2 model to project ridership at a fine detail, a model run was carried out to compare the impacts on ridership of replacing the Longford station with two stations: one at Barbara Road and the other at Lorne Avenue. The results are shown on Exhibit 4.14, in which Option 1 reflects the route including Longford Station and Option 2 reflects the route including Barbara Road Station and Lorne Avenue Station.

Based on the analysis, it would appear that either configuration for stations on Davis Drive would be acceptable. The fact that local YRT services are retained on Davis Drive tend to mitigate some of the impacts of providing fewer or more stations.

Exhibit 4.14: Ridership Impacts for Alternative Davis Drive Station locations


### 4.3.3 IMPACT OF EXTENDING SERVICE TO HIGHWAY 404

A final option for Davis Drive consisted of whether or not to extend the rapid transit service to Highway 404, as opposed to terminating it at Leslie Street. The ridership impacts of extending the Davis Drive Rapid Transit Service to Highway 404 depend on several factors:

- Number of park and ride stations (existing lot is currently at capacity)
- Potential for a Highway 404 GO bus service (currently none are included in the model)
- Development levels east of Highway 404

Based on the EMME/2 model projections, ridership levels for a proposed station at Highway 404 would be in the order of 25 passengers in the peak hour. Therefore, from a cost-benefit perspective, it is unlikely that dedicated rapid transit lanes would be justified in this location. From a transit service design perspective, it is reasonable to assume that the Davis Drive service would be extended as and when justified based on the above factors.

### 4.4 Requirement for Exclusive Turn Lanes

A key consideration in the roadway/rapidway design is to ensure that proper storage lengths are provided. In the assessment of left turn and right turn lane storage requirements, the following have been considered:

- Existing turn requirements;
- Future growth associated with demand to/from side street roadways and east-west arterials; and
- Redistributed traffic volumes resulting from rapid transit operations.

The assessment was performed using procedures outlined in the Transportation Association of Canada's Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads. The results are presented in Appendix D.

It is noted that for the section of Yonge Street between Davis Drive and Green Lane, it is not proposed that right turn lanes be provided as the widening to six lanes will result in a third lane which can be used for right turns. A further widening would increase the width of the intersections beyond to the point where the pedestrian environment would suffer.

### 4.5 Transition Areas

Through an assessment of alternative design methods, a number of sections within the Yonge Street and Davis Drive transit systems would have the transit vehicles operating within the general traffic lanes to avoid major cost or property impacts associated with the provision of dedicated rapidway lanes.

A preliminary review of the general transition options indicated that the transition of transit vehicles to/from exclusive Rapid Transit median lanes to mixed traffic, in most case, must occur at signalized intersections to provide the transit vehicle a dedicated phase to make a safe transition. This determination was based on the following:

- In some cases, the transit vehicle would be required to merge to the right into general traffic lanes to leave the dedicated median rapidway to enter the adjacent travel lane. This manoeuvre is undertaken by transit and tour buses on freeway facilities or major arterial roadways; however, they typically have greater merge distances and are travelling from one general traffic lane to another; and
- In general, one cannot rely on the motoring public to yield to a transit vehicle in the merge areas even though a new provincial law effective January 2004 stipulates that drivers must yield the right-of-way to buses leaving bus bays to merge with traffic.

The transition areas will include a combination of physical and operational functional components.

Diverge from rapidway to mixed traffic - Approaching the transition intersection in the dedicated transit right-of-way, a short taper area will be provided for the rapidway on the far side of the intersection. Transit vehicles will use the taper area to merge into the median lane where it will remain until it re-enters the rapidway. The diverge manoeuvre from the dedicated rapidway lanes to mixed traffic will function by stopping the adjacent general traffic lanes travelling in the same direction.

Merge from mixed traffic to dedicated rapidway - Approaching the transition intersection; a taper to the rapidway will be provided on the near side of the intersection. As the transit vehicle approaches, the operator will merge to the left and, cross the rumble strip and enter the rapidway.

## 5. ASSESSMENT OF PREFERRED RAPID TRANSIT ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION MEASURES

### 5.1 Description of Preferred Rapid Transit Alternative

Based on the above assessment of the transportation impacts of design alternatives, in conjunction with input from other environmental disciplines, the preferred rapid transit alternative was selected. The general road and rapidway arrangements are summarized below on Exhibit 5.1.

The transit system will operate for the most part in its own right-of-way down the centre of Yonge Street and the western portion of Davis Drive. In the longer term, it is also proposed that there would be dedicated BRT lanes on Green Lane between Yonge Street and the GO Station. It is noted that it is likely that rapid transit on Yonge Street will be developed in a phased approach between Davis Drive and Green Lane, initially consisting of HOV lanes and ultimately allowing for median BRT lanes. The traffic impacts herein have been assessed for the HOV condition.

In general, the opposing transit lanes or division of the right-of-way will be delineated or protected by some form of a physical concrete barrier or landscaped area such that motorists will not traverse the transit right-of-way, with the exception of signalized intersections. The transit right-of-way lanes will consist of a different colour of pavement and will be separated from the general traffic lanes by a rumble strip.

## Exhibit 5.1: Preferred Rapid Transit Alternative

| Locations on Yonge Street | Northbound | Southbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green LN \& Davis Dr. | 2 Lanes + HOV Lane (Stage 1) | 2 Lanes + HOV Lane (Stage1) |
|  | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane (Stage 2) | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane (Stage 2) |
| Davis Dr. \& Millard Ave. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |  |
| Millard Ave. \& Mulock Dr. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |
| Mulock Dr. \& Orchard Height Blvd. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |
| Orchard Height Blvd. \& Golf Links Dr. | Mix Traffic (2 Lanes) | Mix Traffic (2 Lanes) |
| Golf Links Dr. \& Gamble Rd. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |
| Locations on Davis Drive | Eastbound | Westbound |
| Yonge St. \& Roxborough Rd. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |
| Roxborough Rd. \& Harry Walker Parkway | Mixed Traffic (2 Lanes) | Mixed Traffic (2 Lanes) |
| Locations on Green Lane | Eastbound | Westbound |
| Yonge St. \& Go Station. | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane | 2 Lanes + BRT Lane |
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### 5.2 Future Traffic Operations

Exhibits 5.2-5.4 summarize the projected link volumes for the future (2021) base case and future full rapid transit case on Yonge Street for the AM, PM and Saturday Peak hours while the AM Peak Hour projections for Davis Drive are shown on Exhibit 5.5.

Exhibit 5.2: Comparison of 2021 Auto Volume Forecasts for Yonge Street - AM Peak Hour


Exhibit 5.3: Comparison of 2021 Auto Volume Forecasts for Yonge Street - PM Peak Hour


Exhibit 5.4: Comparison of 2021 Auto Volume Forecasts for Yonge Street - Saturday Peak Hour


Exhibit 5.5: Comparison of 2021 Auto Volume Forecasts for Davis Drive- AM Peak Hour


Based on the 2021 auto vehicle link volume forecasts for preferred alternative, 2021 turning movement volumes on the Yonge Street and Davis Drive were calculated to assess the intersection operation conditions for the future year. In the preferred alternative case, to incorporate the Rapid Transit system into the full BRT case road network, traffic volumes and median transit lanesthe following inputs/assumptions were made in the intersection operation analyses:

- Redistribution of current traffic volumes associated with the access modifications at unsignalized intersections and accesses to other commercial/retail establishments;
- Implementation of fully protected left turn phase and u-turn operation at the signalized intersections;
- Addition of two dedicated median rapidway lanes along the preferred route in sections noted in Exhibit 5.1 above;
- Incorporation of transition areas required to facilitate the transfer of transit vehicles between the dedicated right-of-way and mixed-traffic conditions, along with revisions to right turn and left turn storage lengths; and
- Adequate pedestrian crossing times at all signalized intersections.

Similar to the existing and base case scenarios, intersection capacity analysis was undertaken using the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology and in particular, the Synchro 6.0 software package. The AM and PM peak hour analysis results for the signalized intersections for the entire corridor are provided in Appendix E. Results for the Saturday peak hour are also provided for the segment of Yonge Street between Mulock Drive and Green Lane.

### 5.3 Assessment of Effects of Preferred Design and Proposed Mitigation Measures

Exhibit 5.6 provides a summary of the effects of the preferred design on the ability to provide an effective transportation service, which is the primary focus of this report. Generally, the undertaking has the ability to improve mobility within the region and provide good connectivity with inter-regional transit services, all while maintaining an acceptable level of service for general traffic. From this point of view, the proposed rapid transit system will have an overall positive effect on transit ridership in the region.

The planned alignment characteristics and geometry will provide a fast, convenient and reliable service in most respects. Stations are located in areas with existing or planned moderate residential density, high employment density (e.g York Region Headquarters, Southlake Regional Hospital) or a mixture of the two to capitalize on the effectiveness of implementing the improved public transit system. The strategic locations of stations generally achieve the goal of increasing the attractiveness of the rapid transit service and make a positive contribution towards maximizing ridership. In order for all members of society to have access to the system, all stations, shelters and the transit system itself will be accessible for the mobility impaired by providing ramps, elevators, etc. Attractiveness of the rapid transit service is implicit in the design of the undertaking, however, achieving the desired transit speed may affect the capacity for general traffic movements at certain intersections. In this respect, the effect on traffic may be moderately significant.

## Exhibit 5.6: Assessment of Transportation Service Effects and Mitigation Measures for the Preferred Desig

| を | Environmental Valuel Criterion | Project Activity/ Issue | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Project } \\ \text { Phase }^{1} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Location | Assessment of Effect on the Environment | Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations | Potential Residual Effects | Further Mitigation | Level of Significance after Mitigation | Monitoring and Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O |  |  | P | C 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBJECTIVE D: To provide an effective transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1 | Maximize Inter-regional and local transit connectivity | Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline & \begin{array}{l} \text { Newmarket GO Bus } \\ \text { Terminal } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Direct rapid transit connection is not provided to Newmarket GO Bus terminal on Davis Drive west of Yonge Street. | Local transit services will continue to be provided along Davis Drive. Inter-regional connections may also be made at East Gwillimbury GO Station | Level of transit service at Newmarket bus terminal may be reduced | None | Positive effect | Monitor ridership and the performance of the connection to Newmarket bus terminal. |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | East Gwillimbury GO Station | Improved transit connections to East Gwillimbury GO Station | North Yonge transit service will provide a direct connection to the GO Rail network at the Green Lane Station. | Increased potential for intensified development around this transfer point. | None | Positive effect | Monitor ridership and the performance of the connection to the East Gwillimbury GO Station. |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline & \begin{array}{l} \text { Newmarket GO Rail } \\ \text { Station } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Improved transit connections to Newmarket GO Station | North Yonge transit service will provide a direct connection to the GO Rail network at the Davis Drive Station. | Increased potential for traffic congestion around this station due to bus and pedestrian activity | Improve signage and intersection geometry | Positive effect | Monitor traffic performance and pedestrian-vehicle safety |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Aurora GO Station | Direct rapid transit connection is not provided to Aurora GO Station | Local transit services will continue to be provided along Wellington Street | Increased potential for commuters to use Aurora GO Station Parking lot to access rapid transit | Improve pedestrian conditions between Yonge Street and Industrial Drive Parkway | Positive effect | Monitor ridership and the performance of the connection to the Aurora GO Station; monitor parking demand at GO Station |
|  |  | Compatibility with proposed local network | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Entire Corridor | Inconvenient transfer between local transit and North Yonge Transit may discourage transit ridership | Stations generally located on local transit routes ensuring convenient transfers between services. Integrated fare system proposed. | Project may change the configuration of local transit. | Local services configured as grid where practical, to provide both community coverage and feeder roles | Positive effect | Regular review of effectiveness of local service plans. |
| D2 | Maximizes speed and ride comfort and minimizes safety risks and maintenance costs with an optimized alignment geometry | Grades at station in excess of standards | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Refer to EA report | Refer to EA Report | Refer to EA Report | None expected. | None | Insignificant | Review situation if LRT is considered |
| D3 | Increase attractiveness of rapid transit service | Travel time and service reliability | $\checkmark$ |  | Entire Corridor <br> Yonge Street (Davis <br> Drive to Green <br> Lane) | Adjustments to signal timing to achieve progression and minimize delay to rapid transit. | Micro-simulation of rapid transit operation and general traffic movements during detailed design will be used to optimize signal timing. Transit speed will be increased to maximum achievable with reasonable intersection operation. | Delay to transit or intersecting traffic may be unacceptable. May affect intersection capacity for general traffic movements. | Modification of intersection signal timing. | Moderately significant | Pursue an on-going intersection performance monitoring program |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Dedicated median transit lanes are not proposed for this segment | Curb-lane High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes will improve transit speeds; increased road capacity will minimize congestion. | Some delays may occur due to right turning traffic in HOV lanes | Ensure HOV lanes are enforced | Positive effect | Monitor use of HOV lanes and impacts on transit speeds |
| D4 | Locate stations to maximize ridership potential and convenience of access for all users | Residents or employees within walking distance of stations. Accessibility for mobility impaired | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Entire Corridor | Stations at locations without transit-oriented land use and convenient access could discourage rapid transit use. | Station locations selected to serve supportive land use. Facilities designed with weather protection, direct barrier free access and attractive streetscapes within surrounding residential neighbourhoods. | Continued dependence on automobile if land use objectives not achieved | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Implement transit- } \\ & \text { supportive land use and } \\ & \text { parking policies through } \\ & \text { Official Plans } \end{aligned}$ | Positive effect | Regular review of land use and new or infill development potential during detailed design phases for rapidway and stations. |
| D5 | Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation | Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations |  | $\checkmark$ | Davis Drive | Implementation dedicated transit lanes reduces the intersection capacity after future growth. | A dedicated left turn lanes are provided at key intersections where a capacity deficiency has been identified. | Capacity conditions resulting from high projected traffic volumes are projected at several intersections. | None. | Moderately significant | Monitor intersection operations. |
|  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Yonge Street <br> (Mulock Drive to <br> Davis Drive) | Yonge Street to be widened for transit only resulting in a potential deficiency in road capacity for general traffic | Left turn lanes are maintained at major intersections | Mainline traffic will experience delays during PM peak period | None. | Moderately Significant | Monitor intersection operations. |

## Table 5.6 (Cont'd)

Assessment of Transportation Service Effects and Mitigation Measures for the Preferred Design

| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathbf{\delta} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Environmental Valuel Criterion | Project Activity/ Issue | Project Phase |  |  | Location | Assessment of Effect on the Environment | Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations | Potential Residual Effects | Further Mitigation | Level of Significance after Mitigation | Monitoring and Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE D: To provide an effective transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { D5 } \\ \text { Cont'd } \end{array}$ | Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation | Right turn lanes |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Mulock Drive to Green Lane | Existing right turn lanes at minor intersections will not be replaced after road widening in order to minimize roadway width and to avoid the need for regular YRT buses to transition from right turn lanes into general traffic lanes. | Six lane configuration north of Davis Drive reduced need for right turn lanes | Minor delays for right turning vehicles at some locations. | None required. | Moderately significant | Review need for right turn lanes during detailed design phase. |
|  |  | NB/SB U-turn movements and the corresponding side street right-turn-on-red (RTOR) movements | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Entire Corridor | Median rapidway will eliminate random left turns into one development on east side alternative access route | U-turns provided at adjacent intersections for safe manoeuvres into side streets and to properties. Random permissive left turns eliminated thus increasing safety. Develop traffic management plans for construction. | Conflict with U-turns and Right Turns on Red from side streets | None required. | Insignificant | Monitor the intersection operations and conflict potential. If necessary, prohibit NB u-turns and SB and WB right turn on reds at subject intersections. |
|  |  | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Yonge Street/Davis Drive intersection; various locations | The required pedestrian crossing times at this location cannot be accommodated in a single crossing. A two-stage crossing is required. | A centre median refuge will allow for a two-stage pedestrian crossing decreasing the green time loss for transit and regular vehicles. | Reduction in pedestrian level of service | None necessary | Moderately significant | Monitor pedestrian crossing times and adjust signal timing if required |

Notes:

1. $\quad \mathrm{P}$ - Pre construction, C - Construction, O - Operation
2. Criteria - "convenient service connections to maintenance facility and storage yard" was considered initially but removed due to the fact that there will be no maintenance/storage yard in study area.

## APPENDIX A

## INVENTORY OF TRAFFIC COUNTS
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| Intersections on Yonge Street (From north to south) | Date of Count |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday Count | Saturday Count |
| Green Lane | March 2, 2005 | January 28, 2006 |
| Green Lane Centre | February 28. 2006 | January 28, 2006 |
| Aspenwood Drive/ Bristol Road | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Bonshaw Avenue/ London Road | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Dawson Manor Boulevard/ Kingston Road | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Upper Canada Mall Driveway | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Davis Drive | March 3, 2005 | January 28, 2006 |
| Chapters Access/ KFC Access | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Millard Avenue | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Administration Centre Access/ Gladman Avenue | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Eagle Street | March 1, 2005 | January 28, 2006 |
| Clearmeadow Boulevard/ William Roe Boulevard | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Mulock Drive | January 18, 2005 | January 28, 2006 |
| Sawmill Valley Drive/ Savage Road | January 18, 2005 | January 8, 2005 |
| Joe Persechini Drive/ Savage Road | January 18, 2005 | - |
| St. John's Sideroad | January 23, 2005 | - |
| Batson Drive/ Orchard Heights Boulevard | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Aurora Heights Drive/ Mark Street | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Wellington Street | September 23, 2004 | - |
| Kennedy Street | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Golf Links Drive/ Dunning Avenue | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Brookland Avenue | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Edward Street/ Murry Drive | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Henderson Drive/ Allaura Boulevard | January 18, 2004 | - |
| Industrial Parkway South | August 22, 2001 | - |
| Bloomington Road | January 28. 2003 | - |
| Blackforest Drive/ Worthington Avenue | January 16, 2003 | - |
| Maple Grove Avenue/ Ashfield Drive | September 23, 2003 | - |
| Aubrey Avenue/ North Lake Road | September 9, 2003 | - |
| King Road | September 9, 2003 | - |
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| Estate Garden Drive/ Old Colony Road | September 9, 2003 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stouffville Road | January 23, 2003 | - |
| Jefferson Sideroad | November 2, 2005 | - |
| Gamble Road/ 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | July 3, 2001 | - |
| Intersections on Davis Drive (From west to east) | Date of Count |  |
|  | Weekday Count | Saturday Count |
| Eagle Street | June 21, 2005 |  |
| Yonge Street | March 3, 2005 |  |
| George Sreet | 2005 * |  |
| Barbara Road | 2005 * |  |
| Parkside Drive | 2005 * |  |
| Longford Road | 2005 * |  |
| Lorne Street | 2005 * |  |
| Main Street | October 12, 2006 |  |
| Superior Street | 2005 * |  |
| Prospect Street | 2005 * |  |
| Roxborough Road | 2005 * |  |
| Alexander Road | 2005 * |  |
| Carlson Drive | 2005 * |  |
| Leslie Street | 2005 * |  |
| Forhan Drive | 2005 * |  |
| Harry Walker Drive | 2005 * |  |

* From NCE Davis Drive EA Study Traffic Operations Review.


## APPENDIX B

EXISTING SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

Existing AM Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations Existing AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Green Lane | 32 | C | 90 | F | 0.99 | WB left is approaching capacity. |
| Green Lane Centre | 3 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 15 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Upper Canada Mall | 3 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Davis Drive | 41 | D | 116 | F | 1.08 | WB left is operating at capacity. EB left is approaching capacity. |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 10 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Millard Avenue | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 3 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 27 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 10 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 36 | D | 97 | F | 1.00 | WB left is operating at capacity and SB left is approaching capacity. |
| Sawmill Valley Dr./Savage Rd. | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| St. John's Sideroad | 20 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 27 | C | 38 | D | 0.88 | SB left-through-right is approaching capacity. |
| Kennedy Street | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 4 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Industrial Parkway South | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Bloomington Rd. | 34 | C | 62 | E | 0.91 | WB through nd EB left are approaching capacity. |
| Worthington Ave./Blackforest Dr. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Maple Grove Ave./Ashfield Dr. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aubrey Ave./North Lake Rd. | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| King Rd. | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Old Colony Rd./Estate Garden Dr. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Stouffville Road | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Jefferson Sideroad | 3 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Gamble Rd. | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Intersection Davis Drive | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Bathurst Street | 39 | D | 118 | F | >1.10 | NB left operates at capacity. |
| Prospect Street | 27 | C | 49 | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Leslie Street | 31 | C | 53 | - | - | No capacity constraints |


| Signalized Intersection Operations Existing AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC | Comments |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

Existing PM Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations Existing PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | V/C |  |
| Green Lane | 104 | F | 365 | F | > 1.10 | WB left and WB right are operating at capacity. NB through and EB left are approaching capacity. |
| Green Lane Centre | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 70 | E | 123 | F | > 1.10 | NB through and EB left are operating at capacity |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Upper Canada Mall | 89 | F | 580 | F | > 1.10 | EB dual left is operating at capacity. |
| Davis Drive | 101 | F | 371 | F | > 1.10 | NB through, SB left, EB left, and WB left are operating at capacity. NB left, EB through, and WB through are approaching capacity. |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 7 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Millard Avenue | 27 | C | 189 | F | > 1.10 | SB left is operating at capacity. |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 46 | D | 133 | F | > 1.10 | NB left is operating at capacity. NB through and WB left are approaching capacity. |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 142 | F | 649 | F | > 1.10 | NB through, SB left, EB left, and WB right are operating at capacity. WB through is approaching capacity. |
| Sawmill Valley Dr./Savage Rd. | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 4 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| St. John's Sideroad | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 10 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 30 | C | 45 | D | 0.89 | EB left-through-right and NB left-through-right are approaching capacity. |
| Kennedy Street | 10 | A | - |  | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 10 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
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| Signalized Intersection Operations Existing PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Industrial Parkway South | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Bloomington Rd. | 26 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Worthington Ave./Blackforest Dr. | 4 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Maple Grove Ave./Ashfield Dr. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aubrey Ave./North Lake Rd. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| King Rd. | 65 | E | 205 | F | 0.91 | NB left is approaching capacity. |
| Old Colony Rd./Estate Garden Dr. | 4 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Stouffville Rd. | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Jefferson Sideroad | 7 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Gamble Rd. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Intersection Reference Davis Drive @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC | Comments |
| Bathurst Street | 123 | F | 568 | F | >1.10 | NB left, EB left, WB through are operating at capacity. EB through and WB left are approaching capacity. |
| Prospect Street | 47 | D | 79 | E | 1.05 | WB left-through-right is operating at capacity. |
| Leslie Street | 41 | D | 76 | E | 0.92 | SB left, EB left, and WB left are approaching capacity. |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

ASSESSMENT

Existing Saturday Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations Existing Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Green Lane | 61 | E | 160 | F | > 1.10 | NB through, SB through, and WB left are operating at capacity. SB dual left and EB left are approaching capacity. |
| Green Lane Centre | 35 | C | 96 | F | > 1.10 | NB left and EB right are operating at capacity |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 204 | F | 953 | F | > 1.10 | NB left, NB through, SB through, EB left, and WB left are operating at capacity. SB left is approaching capacity. |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 81 | F | 148 | F | > 1.10 | NB left and SB through are operating at capacity. EB left is approaching capacity. |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 74 | E | 120 | F | > 1.10 | NB through, SB left, SB through, WB left are operating at capacity. NB left is approaching capacity. |
| Upper Canada Mall | 134 | F | 676 | F | > 1.10 | EB dual left is operating at capacity. |
| Davis Drive | 96 | F | 272 | F | > 1.10 | NB left, SB left, SB through, EB left, and WB left are operating at capacity. NB through and WB through are approaching capacity. |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Millard Avenue | 58 | E | 95 | F | 0.95 | SB through is approaching capacity. |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 35 | C | 57 | E | 0.94 | SB through is approaching capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 10 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 30 | C | 64 | E | 0.86 | SB left is approaching capacity. |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

## APPENDIX C

FUTURE BASE CASE SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

IBI

## 2021 Base Case BRT AM Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Green Lane | 67 | E | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \\ & 136 \\ & 136 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 1.18 \\ & 1.19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity WBL is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Green Lane Centre | 5 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 26 | C | 70 | E | 0.94 | WBL is approaching capacity |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 23 | C | 57 | E | 0.83 | NBL is approaching capacity |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Upper Canada Mall | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Davis Drive | 67 | E | $\begin{gathered} \hline 81 \\ 131 \\ 206 \\ 83 \\ 84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & F \\ & F \\ & F \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.86 \\ & 1.12 \\ & 1.20 \\ & 0.85 \\ & 1.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity WBL is at capacity <br> NBL is over capacity <br> SBL is approaching capacity <br> SBT is at capacity |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Millard Avenue | 27 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 5 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 37 | D | 59 | E | 1.02 | SBT is at capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 78 | E | $\begin{gathered} \hline 62 \\ 96 \\ 360 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.86 \\ & 0.86 \\ & 1.73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WBL is approaching capacity NBL is approaching capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Sawmill Valley Dr.ISavage Rd. | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| St. John's Sideroad | 62 | E | 471 | F | 1.96 | WBL is over capacity |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 78 | E | $\begin{gathered} \hline 85 \\ 79 \\ 92 \\ 71 \\ 110 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.93 \\ & 1.06 \\ & 0.78 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 1.16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is under capacity WBT is at capacity SBT is over capacity |
| Kennedy Street | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 13 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 5 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 26 | C | 162 | F | 1.2 | EBL is at capacity |
| Industrial Parkway South | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Bloomington Rd. | 48 | D | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ 74 \\ 115 \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F E F E | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & 1.02 \\ & 1.04 \\ & 0.89 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity WBT is at capacity NBL is at capacity SBT is approaching capacity |
| Worthington Ave./Blackforest Dr. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Maple Grove Ave./Ashfield Dr. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aubrey Ave./North Lake Rd. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| King Rd. | 37 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 0.99 \end{aligned}$ | NBL is at capacity SBT is at capacity |
| Old Colony Rd./Estate Garden Dr. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Stouffville Road | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Jefferson Sideroad | 4 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Gamble Rd. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Intersection Reference Davis Drive @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Eagle Street | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Yonge Street | 42 | D | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ 130 \\ 61 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.92 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.68 \end{gathered}$ | EBL is approaching capacity WBL is at capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| George Street | 26 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Barbara Road | 17 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Parkside Drive | 29 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Longford Road | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Lorne Street | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Main Street | 63 | E | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ 74 \\ 169 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | E E F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.94 \\ & 0.69 \\ & 1.19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WBT is approaching capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Seniors | 56 | E | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | EBT is at capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Prospect Street | 27 | C | 68 | E | 0.87 | NBL is approaching capacity |
| Roxborough Road | 20 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Alexander Road | 32 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Carlson Drive | 22 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Leslie Street | 28 | C | 60 | E | 0.88 | SBL is approaching capacity |
| Forhan Drive | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Harry Walker Drive | 27 | C | $\begin{gathered} 126 \\ 61 \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & 0.54 \\ & 0.66 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

2021 Base Case PM Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Refere | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | V/C |  |
| Green Lane | 201 | F | 479 90 79 373 229 478 | F F E F F F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.99 \\ & 1.04 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 1.76 \\ & 1.42 \\ & 1.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is at capacity WBR is over capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Green Lane Centre | 25 | C | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69 \\ & 64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.93 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is approaching capacity |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 89 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 172 \\ 64 \\ 136 \\ 179 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.25 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity WBL is under capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 49 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 228 \\ & 320 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.43 \\ & 1.42 \\ & 1.46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity NBL is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
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| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 58 | E | $\begin{gathered} \hline 109 \\ 63 \\ 76 \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F E $E$ $E$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & 1.02 \\ & 1.11 \\ & 0.88 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity <br> NBL is at capacity <br> NBT is at capacity <br> SBL is approaching capacity |
| Upper Canada Mall | 60 | F | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 64 \\ 67 \\ 66 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.29 \\ & 0.34 \\ & 0.52 \\ & 0.52 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity |
| Davis Drive | 143 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 284 \\ 159 \\ 257 \\ 85 \\ 145 \\ 139 \\ 315 \end{gathered}$ | F F F F F F F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.5 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.41 \\ 0.99 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.57 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is over capacity WBL is over capacity WBT is at capacity NBL is at capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 15 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Millard Avenue | 41 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 103 \\ 57 \\ 77 \\ 111 \\ 469 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 0.86 0.69 1.05 1.86 | EBL is at capacity EBT is approaching capacity WBL is under capacity NBL is at capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 13 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 109 | F | 64 98 66 75 131 131 213 | E F E E F F F | 0.67 0.99 0.98 0.87 1.15 1.12 1.38 | EBL is under capacity EBT is at capacity EBR is at capacity WBL is approaching capacity NBL is over capacity SBL is over capacity SBT is over capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 190 | F | $\begin{gathered} 215 \\ 59 \\ 70 \\ 60 \\ 202 \\ 738 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F E $E$ $E$ $F$ $F$ | 1.34 0.75 0.93 1.01 1.36 2.58 | EBL is over capacity WBL is under capacity WBT is approaching capacity WBR is at capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Sawmill Valley Dr./Savage Rd. | 25 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 5 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| St. John's Sideroad | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 10 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 84 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 337 \\ 113 \\ 209 \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.63 \\ & 1.15 \\ & 1.20 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is over capacity WBL is over capacity WBT is at capacity |
| Kennedy Street | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 10 | B | 59 | E | 0.59 | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 8 | A | 56 | E | 0.47 | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 29 | C | 59 | E | 0.74 | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 43 | D | 264 | F | 1.48 | EBL is over capacity |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

ASSESSMENT

|  | Signalized Intersection Operations |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2021 Base Case PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Leslie Street | 61 | E | 100 | F | 1.07 | EBL is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 75 | E | 0.97 | WBL is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 78 | E | 1.04 | WBT is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 111 | F | 1.08 | NBL is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 80 | E | 1.04 | NBT is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 94 | F | 1.00 | SBL is at capacity |
| Forhan Drive | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Harry Walker Drive | 40 | D | 279 | F | 1.46 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 179 | F | 1.26 | SBL is over capacity |
| Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2021 Base Case Saturday Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | V/C |  |
| Green Lane | 168 | F | 257 | F | 1.49 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 251 | F | 1.47 | EBT is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 367 | F | 1.73 | WBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 185 | F | 1.29 | NBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 86 | F | 1.08 | NBT is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 114 | F | 1.19 | NBR is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 329 | F | 1.64 | SBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 126 | F | 1.18 | SBT is over capacity |
| Green Lane Centre | 93 | F | 282 | F | 1.49 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 207 | F | 1.39 | EBR is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 77 | E | 0.91 | WBL is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 131 | F | 1.23 | SBT is over capacity |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 386 | F | 878 | F | > 2.00 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 100 | F | > 2.00 | WBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 493 | F | > 2.00 | NBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 333 | F | 1.68 | NBT is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 499 | F | > 2.00 | SBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 331 | F | 1.68 | SBT is over capacity |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 128 | F | 500 | F | 2.00 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 358 | F | 1.73 | NBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 78 | E | 1.07 | SBL is at capacity |
|  |  |  | 166 | F | 1.33 | SBT is over capacity |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 156 | F | 67 | E | 0.82 | EBL is approaching capacity |
|  |  |  | 393 | F | 1.77 | WBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 285 | F | 1.57 | NBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 184 | F | 1.35 | NBT is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 312 | F | 1.62 | SBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 133 | F | 1.24 | SBT is over capacity |
| Upper Canada Mall | 123 | F | 308 | F | 1.61 | EBL is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 148 | F | 1.24 | SBT is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 81 | F | 1.13 | SBR is over capacity |
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| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Base Case Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Davis Drive | 245 | F | 272 82 516 361 89 425 481 | F F F F F F F | 1.48 0.99 1.98 1.69 1.08 1.88 1.54 | EBL is over capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is over capacity NBL is over capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity SBT is over capacity |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 168 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59 \\ 55 \\ 265 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 0.70 \\ & 1.44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBT is over capacity |
| Millard Avenue | 23 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 55 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \\ & 0.45 \\ & 0.66 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $E B L$ is under capacity WBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Eagle Street | 78 | E | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64 \\ 58 \\ 151 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.81 \\ & 0.87 \\ & 1.26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NBL is under capacity SBL is approaching capacity SBT is over capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Mulock Drive | 46 | D | 146 | F | 1.22 | SBL is over capacity |
| Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

## STORAGE LENGTH ANALYSIS

Storage Length Analysis - Queue Length Summary
Future 2021

| UNIT: Metre |  |  |  | METHOD 1-SYNCHRO ANALYSIS BASED ON HCM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | METHOD 2-SIMPLIFIED TAC EQUATION |  |  | SUGGESTED <br> LENGTH ${ }^{(1)}$ I <br> COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | SAT Peak Hour |  |  | Longest LENGTH |  |  |  |  |
| INTERSECTION (ALONG YONGE ST.) | $\sum_{\Sigma}^{E}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|\|} \text { EXIST. } \\ \text { STOR-AGE } \end{array}\right.$ | VOL | 50TH QUEUE LENGTH | 95TH QUEUE LENGTH | VOL | 50TH QUEUE LENGTH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95TH } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ | VOL | 50TH QUEUE LENGTH | 95TH QUEUE LENGTH |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORAGE } \\ \text { LENGTH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | TAPER LENGTH | TOTAL LENGTH |  |
| Green Lane | EBL | 1 | 60 | 114 | 35 | 74 | 580 | 284 | 360 | 676 | 301 | 339 | 360 | 203 | 63 | 266 | Extend to > 200 m |
|  | EBR | 1 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 13 | 186 | 10 | 29 | 228 | 21 | 48 | 48 | 68 | 63 | 131 | No change |
|  | WBL | 1 | 60 | 483 | 220 | 292 | 465 | 250 | 321 | 548 | 197 | 266 | 321 | 164 | 63 | 227 | Extend to $>200 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBR | 1 | 60 | 310 | 20 | 30 | 1392 | 593 | 679 | 458 | 44 | 65 | 679 | 418 | 63 | 481 | Extend to $>280 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | NBL | 1 | 80 | 46 | 14 | 28 | 71 | 21 | 38 | 219 | 62 | 73 | 73 | 66 | 63 | 129 | No change |
|  | NBR | 1 | 60 | 384 | 93 | 134 | 592 | 186 | 263 | 599 | 87 | 132 | 263 | 180 | 63 | 243 | Extend to $>200 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | SBL | 2 | 80 | 1352 | 265 | 309 | 434 | 70 | 105 | 820 | 139 | 179 | 309 | 203 | 63 | 266 | Extend to $>250 \mathrm{~m}$ or double-LTL |
|  | SBR | 1 | 60 | 471 | 73 | 119 | 196 | 14 | 38 | 280 | 38 | 68 | 119 | 141 | 63 | 204 | Extend to $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Green Lane Centre | EBL | 1 | 20 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 170 | 32 | 50 | 262 | 101 | 160 | 160 | 79 | 63 | 142 | Extend to $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | EBR | 1 | 20 | 71 | 18 | 29 | 541 | 70 | 108 | 1116 | 469 | 555 | 555 | 335 | 63 | 398 | Extend to > 280m |
|  | WBL | 1 | 20 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 102 | 18 | 31 | 294 | 86 | 133 | 133 | 88 | 63 | 151 | Improve inner roads |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 3 | NA | NA | 71 | NA | NA | 106 | NA | NA | NA | 32 | 63 | 95 | No change |
|  | NBL | 1 | 85 | 69 | 19 | 35 | 385 | 68 | 132 | 521 | 204 | 278 | 278 | 156 | 63 | 219 | Extend to > 200m or double-LTL |
|  | NBR | 1 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 149 | 1 | 12 | 346 | 13 | 34 | 34 | 104 | 63 | 167 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 60 | 25 | 7 | 17 | 57 | 11 | 24 | 55 | 17 | 42 | 42 | 17 | 63 | 80 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 60 | 52 | 1 | 6 | 146 | 6 | 19 | 191 | 25 | 45 | 45 | 57 | 63 | 120 | No change |
| Aspenwood Dr. <br> - Bristol Rd. | EBL | 1 | 50 | 123 | 34 | 59 | 382 | 157 | 224 | 651 | 298 | 373 | 373 | 195 | 63 | 258 | Extend to > 200m or double-LTL |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 42 | NA | NA | 94 | NA | NA | 204 | NA | NA | NA | 61 | 63 | 124 | RTL should be provided |
|  | WBL | 1 | 50 | 320 | 116 | 179 | 235 | 72 | 127 | 447 | 207 | 273 | 273 | 134 | 63 | 197 | Extend to $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 168 | NA | NA | 75 | NA | NA | 203 | NA | NA | NA | 61 | 63 | 124 | RTL should be provided |
|  | NBL | 1 | 60 | 66 | 21 | 54 | 158 | 54 | 102 | 465 | 221 | 156 | 156 | 140 | 63 | 203 | Extend to >120m |
|  | NBR | 1 | 60 | 109 | 1 | 14 | 263 | 32 | 53 | 418 | 57 | 33 | 53 | 125 | 63 | 188 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 60 | 259 | 79 | 107 | 260 | 113 | 172 | 335 | 97 | 157 | 172 | 101 | 63 | 164 | Extend to 150m |
|  | SBR | 1 | 60 | 134 | 9 | 19 | 171 | 13 | 28 | 405 | 30 | 56 | 56 | 122 | 63 | 185 | No change |
| Bonshaw Ave. | EBL | 1 | 50 | 139 | 40 | 65 | 353 | 141 | 207 | 486 | 196 | 264 | 264 | 146 | 63 | 209 | Extend to $>200 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | EBR | 1 | 50 | 290 | 35 | 71 | 253 | 0 | 22 | 428 | 84 | 151 | 151 | 128 | 63 | 191 | Extend to $>120 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBL | 1 | 50 | 205 | 62 | 104 | 101 | 24 | 42 | 107 | 22 | 40 | 104 | 62 | 63 | 125 | Extend to $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 50 | NA | NA | 96 | NA | NA | 85 | NA | NA | NA | 29 | 63 | 92 | RTL should be considered |
|  | NBL | 1 | 60 | 221 | 81 | 137 | 415 | 180 | 249 | 590 | 283 | 179 | 249 | 177 | 63 | 240 | Extend to > 200m |
|  | NBR | 1 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 192 | 18 | 32 | 37 | 4 | 2 | 32 | 58 | 63 | 121 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 60 | 35 | 12 | 10 | 74 | 25 | 28 | 62 | 16 | 10 | 28 | 22 | 63 | 85 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 60 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 127 | 11 | 13 | 371 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 111 | 63 | 174 | No change |
| Dawson Manor Blvd. | EBL | 1 | 50 | 43 | 12 | 23 | 304 | 107 | 168 | 199 | 47 | 91 | 168 | 91 | 63 | 154 | Extend to $>120 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | EBR | 1 | 50 | 83 | 0 | 15 | 206 | 0 | 21 | 363 | 62 | 110 | 110 | 109 | 63 | 172 | Extend to $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBL | 1 | 50 | 132 | 40 | 61 | 113 | 29 | 51 | 373 | 140 | 201 | 201 | 112 | 63 | 175 | Extend to $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | WBR | 1 | 50 | 101 | 0 | 17 | 196 | 7 | 30 | 291 | 42 | 75 | 75 | 87 | 63 | 150 | Extend to $>80 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | NBL | 1 | 60 | 74 | 22 | 60 | 223 | 63 | 105 | 373 | 171 | 85 | 105 | 112 | 63 | 175 | Extend to $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | NBR | 1 | 60 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 61 | 5 | 11 | 400 | 81 | NA | 100 | 120 | 63 | 183 | Extend to > 90 m |
|  | SBL | 1 | 60 | 208 | 65 | 61 | 209 | 82 | 135 | 441 | 205 | 148 | 148 | 132 | 63 | 195 | Extend to $>120 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | SBR | 1 | 60 | 150 | 9 | 9 | 127 | 8 | 20 | 69 | 2 | NA | 20 | 45 | 63 | 108 | No change |
| Upper Canada Mall | EBL | 2 | >100 | 52 | 8 | 16 | 890 | 206 | 249 | 1593 | 403 | 447 | 447 | 239 | 63 | 302 | Extend to > 280m |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 31 | NA | NA | 304 | NA | NA | 696 | NA | NA | NA | 209 | 63 | 272 | Add right turn lane |
|  | WBL | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 39 | 12 | 24 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 24 | 12 | 63 | 75 | Improve inner roads |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 14 | NA | NA | 91 | NA | NA | 61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 63 | NA | Improve inner roads |
|  | NBL | 1 | 90 | 20 | 6 | 15 | 135 | 40 | 62 | 272 | 118 | 174 | 174 | 82 | 63 | 145 | Extend to 120 m |
|  | NBR | 1 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 63 | 72 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 20 | 59 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 8 | 19 | 30 | 7 | m4.8 | 21 | 18 | 63 | 81 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 140 | 133 | 1 | 1 | 452 | 2 | 25 | 1253 | 308 | m6.6 | >308 | 376 | 63 | 439 | Extend to 280m |
| Hwy 9 - Davis Dr. | EBL | 1 | 140 | 225 | 81 | 136 | 389 | 171 | 238 | 342 | 108 | 157 | 238 | 117 | 63 | 180 | No change |
|  | EBR | 1 | 85 | 168 | 11 | 34 | 169 | 11 | 32 | 201 | 14 | 17 | 34 | 60 | 63 | 123 | No change |
|  | WBL | 2 | 50 | 383 | 67 | 102 | 284 | 54 | 86 | 259 | 27 | 46 | 102 | 57 | 63 | 120 | Extend to 100 m |
|  | WBR | 1 | 50 | 141 | 4 | 25 | 370 | 53 | 111 | 328 | 31 | 63 | 111 | 111 | 63 | 174 | Extend to 100 m |
|  | NBL | 1 | 120 | 131 | 47 | 93 | 314 | 142 | 205 | 514 | 196 | 260 | 260 | 154 | 63 | 217 | Extend to $>200 \mathrm{~m}$ or to limit |
|  | NBR | 1 | 120 | 282 | 0 | 17 | 409 | 52 | 89 | 376 | 16 | 47 | 89 | 123 | 63 | 186 | No change |
|  | SBL | 2 | 60 | 199 | 34 | 44 | 501 | 109 | 140 | 549 | 84 | 118 | 140 | 82 | 63 | 145 | Extend to 120 m |
|  | SBR | 1 | >100 | 476 | 35 | 49 | 388 | 12 | 38 | 343 | 18 | 45 | 49 | 143 | 63 | 206 | No change |
| Chapter Access <br> - KFC Access | EBL | 1 | 30 | 93 | 27 | 45 | 118 | 34 | 53 | 214 | 49 | 71 | 71 | 64 | 63 | 127 | Improve inner roads |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 73 | NA | NA | 168 | NA | NA | 185 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 63 | NA | Improve inner roads |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 63 | 66 | Improve inner roads |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 2 | NA | NA | 4 | NA | NA | 11 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 63 | NA | Improve inner roads |
|  | NBL | 1 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 50 | 101 | 29 | 68 | 198 | 50 | m42.3 | 68 | 59 | 63 | 122 | Extend to 60 m |
|  | NBR | 0 | 0 | 10 | NA | NA | 14 | NA | NA | 24 | NA | NA | NA | 7 | 63 | 70 | RTL should be considered |
|  | SBL | 1 | 75 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 6 | 16 | 29 | 7 | 17 | 17 | 9 | 63 | 72 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 75 | 61 | 2 | 8 | 99 | 4 | 11 | 139 | 9 | 22 | 22 | 42 | 63 | 105 | No change |
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Cont'd

| Future 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT: Metre |  |  |  | METHOD 1-SYNCHRO ANALYSIS BASED ON HCM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | METHOD 2-SIMPLIFIED TAC EQUATION |  |  | SUGGESTED LENGTH ${ }^{(1)}$ COMMENTS |
|  | $\sum_{\Sigma}^{\Sigma}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{y}{4}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|\|} \hline \text { EXIST. } \\ \text { STOR-AGE } \end{array}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | SAT Peak Hour |  |  | LONGEST LENGTH | StORAGE LENGTH | TAPER LENGTH | TOTAL <br> LENGTH |  |
| intersection (ALONG YONGE ST.) |  |  |  | VOL | 50TH queve LENGTH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95TH } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ | VOL | $\begin{gathered} \text { 50TH } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { LENGTH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95TH } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { LENGTH } \end{gathered}$ | VOL | $\begin{gathered} \text { 50TH } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { LENGTH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95TH } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Millard Ave. | EBL | 1 | 30 | 78 | 20 | 38 | 212 | 78 | 132 | 165 | 37 | 72 | 132 | 64 | 63 | 127 | Extend to 120 m |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 204 | NA | NA | 187 | NA | NA | 145 | NA | NA | NA | 61 | 63 | 124 | RTL should be considered |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 71 | 21 | 55 | 71 | 28 | 63 | 63 | 13 | 28 | 63 | 21 | 63 | 84 | Extend to > 60 m |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 20 | NA | NA | 47 | NA | NA | 68 | NA | NA | NA | 20 | 63 | 83 | RTL should be considered |
|  | NBL | 1 | 50 | 242 | 86 | 143 | 205 | 79 | 132 | 143 | 34 | 66 | 143 | 73 | 63 | 136 | Extend to > 120m |
|  | NBR | 0 | 0 | 57 | NA | NA | 26 | NA | NA | 44 | NA | NA | NA | 17 | 63 | 80 | RTL should be considered |
|  | SBL | 1 | 40 | 48 | 15 | 15 | 101 | 36 | 33 | 80 | 21 | 22 | 33 | 30 | 63 | 93 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 30 | 169 | 10 | 10 | 110 | 8 | 7 | 152 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 51 | 63 | 114 | No change |
| Admin Cntre Access <br> - Gladman Ave | EBL | 1 | 30 | 19 | 5 | 13 | 179 | 51 | 80 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 80 | 54 | 63 | 117 | Extend to 60 m |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 16 | NA | NA | 40 | NA | NA | 4 | NA | NA | NA | 12 | 63 | 75 | No change |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 63 | 67 | No change |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 10 | NA | NA | 6 | NA | NA | 13 | NA | NA | NA | 4 | 63 | 67 | No change |
|  | NBL | 1 | 50 | 47 | 12 | 24 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 24 | 14 | 63 | 77 | No change |
|  | NBR | 0 | 0 | 11 | NA | NA | 27 | NA | NA | 29 | NA | NA | NA | 9 | 63 | 72 | RTL should be considered |
|  | SBL | 1 | 50 | 26 | 7 | 16 | 40 | 13 | 10 | 28 | 7 | m5.2 | 16 | 12 | 63 | 75 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 30 | 356 | 16 | 36 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 107 | 63 | 170 | No change |
| Eagle St. | EBL | 1 | 30 | 53 | 14 | 27 | 165 | 47 | 78 | 26 | 6 | 14 | 78 | 50 | 63 | 113 | Extend to > 60 m |
|  | EBR | 1 | 30 | 235 | 20 | 48 | 474 | 105 | 177 | 242 | 5 | 29 | 177 | 142 | 63 | 205 | Extend to > 150m |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 174 | 45 | 87 | 166 | 53 | 104 | 195 | 46 | 76 | 104 | 59 | 63 | 122 | Extend to > 100m |
|  | WBR | 1 | 30 | 84 | 2 | 15 | 110 | 4 | 19 | 171 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 51 | 63 | 114 | No change |
|  | NBL | 1 | >100 | 276 | 84 | 160 | 535 | 230 | 304 | 348 | 88 | 164 | 304 | 161 | 63 | 224 | Extend to > 200m |
|  | NBR | 1 | 50 | 241 | 11 | 31 | 247 | 24 | 44 | 247 | 18 | 37 | 44 | 74 | 63 | 137 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 60 | 151 | 45 | 68 | 221 | 74 | 95 | 294 | 88 | 173 | 173 | 88 | 63 | 151 | Extend to > 120m |
|  | SBR | 1 | >100 | 165 | 12 | 26 | 161 | 22 | 26 | 56 | 5 | 15 | 26 | 50 | 63 | 113 | No change |
| Cleameadow Blvd. <br> - William Roe Blvd. | EBL | 1 | 30 | 163 | 49 | 71 | 138 | 41 | 62 | 149 | 35 | 53 | 71 | 49 | 63 | 112 | Extend to > 60m |
|  | EBR | 1 | 30 | 90 | 0 | 15 | 37 | 0 | 10 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 27 | 63 | 90 | No change |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 90 | 25 | 40 | 65 | 18 | 31 | 104 | 23 | 37 | 40 | 31 | 63 | 94 | Extend to > 50m |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 42 | NA | NA | 38 | NA | NA | 98 | NA | NA | NA | 29 | 63 | 92 | RTL should be considered |
|  | NBL | 1 | 30 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 62 | 19 | 36 | 23 | 6 | m5.7 | 36 | 19 | 63 | 82 | No change |
|  | NBR | 1 | >100 | 70 | 1 | 8 | 171 | 4 | 16 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 51 | 63 | 114 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 40 | 38 | 12 | 25 | 58 | 18 | 33 | 84 | 20 | 37 | 37 | 25 | 63 | 88 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | 110 | 74 | 1 | 8 | 249 | 4 | 17 | 187 | 4 | 17 | 17 | 75 | 63 | 138 | No change |
| Mulock Dr. | EBL | 1 | 70 | 153 | 36 | 73 | 235 | 99 | 157 | 100 | 20 | 33 | 157 | 71 | 63 | 134 | Extend to > 120m |
|  | EBR | 1 | 60 | 139 | 9 | 29 | 46 | 3 | 14 | 89 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 42 | 63 | 105 | No change |
|  | WBL | 1 | 60 | 193 | 64 | 118 | 138 | 35 | 82 | 143 | 29 | 45 | 118 | 58 | 63 | 121 | Extend to > 100 m |
|  | WBR | 1 | 90 | 424 | 0 | 33 | 630 | 70 | 155 | 402 | 0 | 30 | 155 | 189 | 63 | 252 | Extend to > 140m |
|  | NBL | 1 | 110 | 99 | 30 | 51 | 152 | 47 | 71 | 115 | 27 | 47 | 71 | 46 | 63 | 109 | No change |
|  | NBR | 1 | 90 | 434 | 97 | 169 | 681 | 238 | 318 | 579 | 96 | 175 | 318 | 204 | 63 | 267 | Extend to > 220m |
|  | SBL | 1 | 0 | 804 | 337 | 420 | 987 | 506 | 547 | 714 | 230 | 240 | 547 | 296 | 63 | 359 | Extend to > 280m |
|  | SBR | 1 | 70 | 384 | 22 | 79 | 354 | 36 | 53 | 141 | 12 | 17 | 79 | 115 | 63 | 178 | No change |
| Sawmill Valley Dr. <br> - Savage Rd. N. | EBL | 1 | 30 | 262 | 40 | 59 | 207 | 36 | 54 |  |  |  | 59 | 79 | 63 | 142 | Extend to > 60 m |
|  | EBR | 0 | 0 | 182 | NA | NA | 140 | NA | NA |  |  |  | NA | 55 | 63 | 118 | RTL should be considered |
|  | WBL | 1 | 30 | 96 | 13 | 23 | 126 | 21 | 35 |  |  |  | 35 | 38 | 63 | 101 | No change |
|  | WBR | 0 | 0 | 54 | NA | NA | 55 | NA | NA |  |  |  | NA | 17 | 63 | 80 | RTL should be considered |
|  | NBL | 1 | >100 | 95 | 15 | 38 | 12 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 38 | 29 | 63 | 92 | No change |
|  | NBR | 1 | 55 | 43 | 0 | 7 | 130 | 6 | 18 |  |  |  | 18 | 39 | 63 | 102 | No change |
|  | SBL | 1 | 150 | 173 | 27 | 69 | 279 | 48 | 112 |  |  |  | 112 | 84 | 63 | 147 | No change |
|  | SBR | 1 | >50 | 147 | 0 | 12 | 126 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  | 12 | 44 | 63 | 107 | No change |
| Simplied TAC Equat | on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes taper Suggested length reduced where |

Total Len
obvious land constrints exist
Where:
*Storage Length $=[1.5$ * $($ Hourly Volume * Vehicle Length $)] / 30$
Taper Length $=63$ (Design Speed $=60$, Land Width $=3.5 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper Ratio $=18: 1$ )
Where:
Vehicle Length $=6 \mathrm{~m}$
*According to Equation 2.3.3 on Page 2.3.5.4 of TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads.

## APPENDIX E

FUTURE SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION OPERATIONS FOR PREFERRED DESIGN
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ASSESSMENT

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Green Lane | 82 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 115 \\ 63 \\ 136 \\ 79 \\ 120 \\ 93 \end{gathered}$ | F E F E F F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.90 \\ & 0.64 \\ & 1.18 \\ & 1.10 \\ & 1.15 \\ & 1.10 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity <br> EBT is under capacity <br> WBL is over capacity <br> NBT is at capacity <br> SBL is over capacity <br> SBT is at capacity |
| Green Lane Centre | 5 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 25 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Upper Canada Mall | 8 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Davis Drive | 81 | F | 154 105 266 65 149 74 77 | F F F E F E E | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & 1.05 \\ & 1.05 \\ & 0.75 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 0.59 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is at capacity WBT is under capacity NBL is at capacity SBL is under capacity SBT is at capacity |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 35 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 94 \\ & 70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & 0.56 \\ & 0.14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Millard Avenue | 38 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 68 \\ 156 \\ 113 \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & 0.95 \\ & 0.96 \\ & 0.44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBT is under capacity <br> WBL is approaching capacity <br> NBL is approaching capacity <br> SBL is under capacity |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 9 | A | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 64 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.38 \\ & 0.22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Eagle Street | 44 | D | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 117 \\ 84 \\ 82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.73 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 0.86 \\ & 0.70 \end{aligned}$ | EBT is under capacity WBL is at capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 20 | B | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 57 \\ & 73 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.73 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.17 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity WBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Mulock Drive | 72 | E | $\begin{gathered} 102 \\ 65 \\ 251 \\ 58 \\ 81 \\ 88 \\ 151 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F E F E F F F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.96 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.66 \\ 1.06 \\ 1.46 \end{gathered}$ | EBL is approaching capacity <br> WBL is over capacity <br> NBL is approaching capacity <br> NBT is at capacity <br> SBL is over capacity |
| Sawmill Valley Dr./Savage Rd. | 24 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 25 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 60 \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & 0.29 \\ & 0.27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WBT is approaching capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| St. John's Sideroad | 93 | F | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 718 \\ 59 \\ 67 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \\ >2.00 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.91 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EBL is under capacity <br> WBL is over capacity <br> NBL is under capacity <br> SBL is approaching capacity |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

ASSESSMENT

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 20 | B | 56 | E | 0.50 | NBL is under capacity |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 15 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 30 | C | 59 | E | 0.59 | NBL is under capacity |
| Kennedy Street | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 12 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 6 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 16 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 23 | C | 92 | F | 1.02 | $E B L$ is at capacity |
| Industrial Parkway South | 33 | C | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & 0.99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SBL is at capacity SWL is at capacity |
| Bloomington Rd. | 83 | F | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ 153 \\ 198 \\ 120 \\ 81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.23 \\ & 1.24 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 0.94 \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity WBT is over capacity NBL is over capacity SBL is approaching capacity SBT is at capacity |
| Worthington Ave./Blackforest Dr. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Maple Grove Ave./Ashfield Dr. | 15 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aubrey Ave./North Lake Rd. | 12 | B | 57 | E | 0.18 | NBL is under capacity |
| King Rd. | 66 | E | 322 | F | 1.63 | NBL is over capacity |
| Old Colony Rd./Estate Garden Dr. | 13 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Stouffville Road | 25 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Jefferson Sideroad | 7 | A | 61 | E | 0.38 | NBL is under capacity |
| Gamble Rd. | 21 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 62 \\ & 75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \\ & 0.47 \\ & 0.72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBT is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Intersection Davis Drive @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Eagle Street | 22 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Yonge Street | 38 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 74 \\ 111 \\ 57 \\ 58 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.83 \\ & 1.03 \\ & 0.53 \\ & 0.64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity <br> WBL is at capacity <br> NBL is under capacity <br> SBL is under capacity |
| George Street | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Barbara Road | 15 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Parkside Drive | 19 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Lorne Street | 62 | E | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & 144 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >2.00 \\ 0.98 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EBT is over capacity WBL is at capacity |
| Main Street | 47 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56 \\ 122 \\ 69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.51 \\ & 0.98 \\ & 0.96 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity WBL is at capacity SBL is at capacity |
| Seniors | 120 | F | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 214 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 0.78 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity EBT is under capacity |
| Prospect Street | 34 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity WBL under capacity |
| SRHC Entrance | 40 | D | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Roxborough Road | 35 | C | 61 | E | 0.81 | $E B L$ is under capacity |
| Alexander Road | 14 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Carlson Drive | 20 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Leslie Street | 27 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Forhan Drive | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Harry Walker Drive | 22 | C | 74 | E | 1.00 | EBL is at capacity |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

| Signalized Intersection Operations <br> 2021 Full BRT AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Intersection Reference <br> Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |  |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

2021 Full BRT PM Peak Intersection Operations

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Green Lane | 248 | F | $\begin{gathered} 371 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 460 \\ 322 \\ 440 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 0.96 \\ & 1.04 \\ & 1.96 \\ & 1.64 \\ & 1.86 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is at capacity WBR is over capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Green Lane Centre | 21 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aspenwood Drive/Bristol Road | 150 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 166 \\ 71 \\ 152 \\ 186 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \\ & 0.82 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity WBL is under capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| Bonshaw Avenue/London Road | 53 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 123 \\ & 166 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.13 \\ & 1.15 \\ & 1.06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity NBL is over capacity SBL is at capacity |
| Dawson Manor Blvd/Kingston Road | 52 | D | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ 68 \\ 92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.07 \\ & 0.98 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity NBT is at capacity SBL is at capacity |
| Upper Canada Mall | 50 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 112 \\ 69 \\ 69 \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F E $E$ $E$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 0.34 \\ & 0.51 \\ & 0.42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity WBL is under capacity WBT is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Davis Drive | 129 | F | 303 118 376 118 279 137 159 | F F F F F F F | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54 \\ & 1.12 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 1.09 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 1.13 \\ & 1.15 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is over capacity WBT is at capacity NBL is over capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| KFC/Chapters Access | 49 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 70 \\ & 73 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.63 \\ & 0.57 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity SBT is approaching capacity |
| Millard Avenue | 87 | F | 180 90 291 136 87 186 67 | F F F F F F E | 1.20 0.98 1.37 1.08 1.12 1.18 1.07 | EBL is under capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is over capacity NBL is at capacity NBT is at capacity SBL is over capacity SBT is at capacity |
| Gladman Avenue/York Admin Access | 22 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 80 \\ & 77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 0.41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | V/C |  |
| Eagle Street | 86 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 70 \\ 111 \\ 82 \\ 275 \\ 235 \\ 77 \\ 89 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | E F F F F E F | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & 1.03 \\ & 1.03 \\ & 1.44 \\ & 1.39 \\ & 0.88 \\ & 1.07 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity EBT is at capacity EBR is at capacity WBL is over capacity NBL is over capacity SBL is under capacity SBT is at capacity |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 19 | B | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 77 \\ & 57 \\ & 73 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.48 \\ & 0.45 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity WBL is under capacity NBL is under capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Mulock Drive | 191 | F | 408 73 131 96 87 299 388 | F E F F F F F | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 1.04 \\ & 1.02 \\ & 0.76 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.77 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity <br> EBT is approaching capacity <br> WBL is at capacity <br> WBT is at capacity <br> NBL is under capacity <br> NBT is over capacity <br> SBL is over capacity |
| Sawmill Valley Dr./Savage Rd. | 32 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Joe Persechini Dr./Savage Rd. | 10 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| St. John's Sideroad | 25 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Orchard Heights Blvd/Batson Dr. | 11 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aurora Heights Dr./Mark St. | 17 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Wellington Street | 47 | D | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 111 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 1.06 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is approaching capacity WBL is approaching capacity WBT is at capacity |
| Kennedy Street | 7 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Golf Links Dr./Dunning Ave. | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Brookland Ave. | 7 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Murray Dr./Edward St. | 20 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Allaura Blvd./Henderson Dr. | 45 | D | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ 84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.4 \\ 0.83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EBL is over capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Industrial Parkway South | 98 | F | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 164 \\ & 135 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & 1.24 \\ & 1.19 \end{aligned}$ | NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity SWL is over capacity |
| Bloomington Rd. | 55 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline 66 \\ 83 \\ 67 \\ 73 \\ 149 \end{gathered}$ | E F E E F | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 1.08 \\ & 0.44 \\ & 1.01 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is at capacity WBR is at capacity NBL is under capacity NBT is at capacity SBL is at capacity |
| Worthington Ave./Blackforest Dr. | 10 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Maple Grove Ave./Ashfield Dr. | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Aubrey Ave./North Lake Rd. | 17 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| King Rd. | 52 | D | 268 | F | 1.49 | NBL is over capacity |
| Old Colony Rd./Estate Garden Dr. | 13 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Stouffville Rd. | 22 | C | 55 | E | 0.80 | SBL is under capacity |
| Jefferson Sideroad | 9 | A | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Gamble Rd. | 23 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Intersection Davis Drive | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | V/C |  |

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
| Yonge Street @ | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| Eagle Street | 98 | F | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ 127 \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.41 \\ & 1.14 \\ & 0.79 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBT is over capacity NBL is over capacity SBT is under capacity |
| Yonge Street | 101 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 215 \\ 106 \\ 219 \\ 81 \\ 74 \\ 123 \\ 236 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | F F F F E F F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.33 \\ & 1.09 \\ & 1.34 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 0.70 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 1.39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity EBT is at capacity WBL is over capacity WBT is at capacity NBL is under capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is over capacity |
| George Street | 31 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & 0.87 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity SBT is under capacity |
| Barbara Road | 20 | B | 58 | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Parkside Drive | 39 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 94 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.81 \\ & 0.94 \\ & 0.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity WBL is approaching capacity NBL is approaching capacity |
| Longford Road | 108 | F | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ 186 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & F \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.08 \\ >2.00 \\ 0.63 \end{gathered}$ | $E B L$ is at capacity WBT is over capacity SBL is under capacity |
| Penn Avenue | 82 | F | $\begin{gathered} >2.00 \\ 1.21 \\ 0.53 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.00 \\ 1.21 \\ 0.53 \end{gathered}$ | EBT is over capacity WBL is at capacity NBL is under capacity |
| Main Street | 113 | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline 74 \\ 51 \\ 100 \\ 171 \\ 116 \\ 193 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | E E F F F F | 0.76 0.95 0.93 1.18 1.10 1.08 | EBL is under capacity EBT is approaching capacity WBL is approaching capacity WBT is over capacity NBT is over capacity SBL is at capacity |
| Seniors | 184 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 184 \\ & 222 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.69 \\ & 0.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBT is under capacity WBT is approaching capacity |
| Prospect Street | 45 | D | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ 110 \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.63 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 0.88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity WBL is at capacity NBL is under capacity |
| SRHC Entrance | 159 | F | 256 | F | 0.97 | EBT is at capacity |
| Roxborough Road | 69 | E | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 133 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBL is under capacity EBT is under capacity |
| Alexander Road | 22 | C | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Carlson Drive | 21 | C | 120 | F | 1.00 | WBL is at capacity |
| Leslie Street | 53 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 58 \\ & 74 \\ & 65 \\ & 68 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & 0.88 \\ & 1.01 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 0.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $E B L$ is at capacity <br> WBL is under capacity <br> WBT is at capacity <br> NBL is approaching capacity <br> NBT is at capacity <br> SBL is under capacity |
| Forhan Drive | 18 | B | - | - | - | No capacity constraints |
| Harry Walker Drive | 29 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 147 \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.13 \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ | EBL is over capacity <br> SBL is over capacity |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.

York Region Rapid Transit Corporation NORTH YONGE STREET CORRIDOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND ASSOCIATED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TRANSIT CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

| Signalized Intersection Operations 2021 Full BRT Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Reference Yonge Street @ | Overall |  | Critical |  |  | Comments |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | VIC |  |
| William Roe Blvd/Clearmeadow Blvd | 24 | C | 72 | E | 0.73 | EBL is under capacity |
|  |  |  | 70 | E | 0.24 | NBL is under capacity |
|  |  |  | 76 | E | 0.54 | SBL is under capacity |
| Mulock Drive | 94 | F | 56 | E | 0.50 | NBL is under capacity |
|  |  |  | 185 | F | 1.33 | NBT is over capacity |
|  |  |  | 98 | F | 1.07 | SBL is at capacity |

Note: Total delay in Synchro takes into account signal control and queue delay. Please use caution in interpreting delay greater than 100 seconds.


[^0]:    Source: York Region Transportation and Work Department

