HIGHWAY 7 CORRIDOR & VAUGHAN NORTH-SOUTH LINK PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

SUMMARY LISTING OF EA COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTATION FOR

H3.4 SEGMENT (BIRCHMOUNT ROAD TO KENNEDY ROAD) December 2015

Legend

Completi	on Status	Notes					
On-going /	In progress	Work has begun on this item but not completed					
Com	pleted	All work completed for this item.					
Future	e Work	No work has begun on this item.					
No Action	n Required	No action is required to meet commitments					
Does n	ot apply	Does not apply to segment H3.					
	Review Status (MMM)	Notes					
Any column	Bold and Underlined	If multiple components exist for an item, this shows which of the components were reviewed.					
Review column	No	Not reviewed at this time					
	Yes	Reviewed					
Review Results column	EF (year)	Evidence Found means that the evidence provided reasonably shows that a compliance action (i.e., something done to address a compliance item) has been undertaken.					
	EFC (year)	Evidence Found of Change means that the evidence provided reasonably shows that a compliance action has been undertaken but the action is a change from the compliance item.					
	NSE (year)	Not Sufficient Evidence means that the evidence provided although applicable to the compliance action, is not adequate to reasonably show that the compliance action has been undertaken.					
	ENF (year)	Evidence Not Found means that evidence has either not been provided or that the evidence does not appear related to the compliance action.					
	Unclear (year)	Further explanation requested					
	Closed (year)	Item is closed					

December 2015 Page 1 of 171

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

3
4 6
22
23
28
47
49
52
53
54
55
57 .127
.163

NOTE: This Annual Compliance Report has used the 2013 ACR for the H3 segment as its basis, as the H3.4 portion has been extracted from the H3 project and is now being reported separately. The ACRs for the two projects have been reformatted into separate reports because the projects are at significantly different stages of completion (construction for H3 and conceptual planning/preliminary design for H3.4), and reporting separately within this format is unwieldy. Previous status reports that are also relevant to H3.4 to have been left in place, including the 2013 review comments. Previous status reports that are not relevant to H3.4 have been removed. New information, which is bolded and underlined, relates only to the H3.4 portion.

Glossary

AADT - Annual Average Daily Traffic

AAQC - Ambient Air Quality Criteria

ACR – Annual Compliance Report

AODA - Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act

AQ - Air Quality

BHF – Built Heritage Features

BRT – Bus Rapid Transit

CEAA – Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency

CLU – Cultural Landscape Units

CMP - Compliance Monitoring Program

CN - Canadian National Railway

CoA - Certificate of Approval

CP – Canadian Pacific Railway

CPAC - Cycling and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

DBCR - Design Basis and Criteria Report

DD - Detail Design

DFO - Fisheries and Oceans Canada

DSC - Development Services Committee

EA - Environmental Assessment

EAA - Environmental Assessment Act

EAAB - Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch

EBL - Eastbound Left

EBR – Eastbound Right

EBT – Eastbound Through

ERS – Emergency Response Services

GhG – Greenhouse Gases

Gov't - Government

GTA - Greater Toronto Area

HADD - Harmful Alternation, Disruption or Destruction

Hwy - Highway

IFC – Issued For Construction

LOS - Level of Service

LRT – Light Rail Rapid Transit

LRTP – Long Range Transportation Plan

MNR – Ministry of Natural Resources

MOE – Ministry of the Environment

MTO – Ministry of Transportation

NBL - Northbound Left

NBT – Northbound Through

OE – Owner Engineer

OGS – Oil Grit Separator

OSAA – Ontario Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs

PCC - Public Consultation Centre

PE – Preliminary Engineering

QSD – Quick Start Design

ROW – Right-of-way

RT – Rapid Transit

RTOR - Right-Turn-On-Red

SBL - Southbound Left

SBR – Southbound Right

SBT – Southbound Through

SWM - Storm Water Management

SWMP - Storm Water Management Plan

TAC – Technical Advisory Committee

TCP - Transportation Conversion Plan

TRCA – Toronto and Region Conservation Authority

TS – Technical Support

TSP - Total Suspended Particles

TTC - Toronto Transit Commission

WB - Westbound

WBL - Westbound Left

WBT - Westbound Through

VCC - Vaughan Corporate Centre

YR – York Region

YRRTC - York Region Rapid Transit Corporation

YRT – York Region Transit

YSS - Yonge Street Subway

YSSC - Yonge Street Subway Communications

Secti	ion 1.0 – Background & Purpose of the P		Compliance Review (MMM)				
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
1.	CMP Section 1.0 - "The ACR documentation will be made available to the MOE, or its' designate upon request, in a timely manner during an on-site inspection or audit"		Status – ongoing. CMP/ACR documentation will be provided to MOE annually.	Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR (ID#8907), and Region's letter in response to MOE comments (ID#8908) Supplemental letter from Region, December 21, 2012 responding to 2011 ACR comments (ID#9619), and letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) Letter from MOE, January 30, 2014, acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR (ID#9952) Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID#YH3.4-15-001)	No	EF (2011) EF (2012) EF (2013) EF (2014)	2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9619 & ID#9616) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 2014 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9952) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. This item remains ongoing
2.	CMP Section 1.2 - "Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking is not included in this CMP"	•	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
3.	CMP Section 1.3 - "Modified alignment required at IBM / Cedarland Avenue" " In January 2008, Regional Council endorsed a modified alignment along Cedarland Drive and Warden Avenue as a local refinement to the		Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 4 of 171

Secti	on 1.0 – Background & Purpose of the P		Compliance Review (MMM)				
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design		Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	undertaking approved in the EA An amendment report will be prepared and submitted for approval following the process described in section 6.0 of this CMP."						
	CMP Section 1.4 - "Cornell Terminal site plan is evolving post EA approval" "Since approval of the EA, progress has been made in the development of what is now known as the Cornell Transit Terminal Once the Cornell Terminal site plan is complete, it will be documented in the ACR."	·	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 5 of 171

			Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	al			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	N	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
5.	1.0	which are hereby	York Region/ECM - (more specific information to be added by ECM with annual compliance reporting for all cells in this column).	,	Status - ongoing. CMP/ACR documentation will be provided to MOE annually. This condition will be addressed once all commitments have been met.	Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907), and Region's letter in response to MOE comments (ID#8908) Supplemental letter from Region, December 21, 2012 responding to 2011 ACR comments (ID#9619), and letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) Letter from MOE, January 30, 2014, acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR (ID#9952) Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID# YH3.4-15-001)	No	EF (2011) EF (2012) EF (2013)	2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9619 & ID#9616) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 2014 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9952) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. This item remains on-going
6.	1.2	These proposed conditions do not prevent more restrictive conditions being imposed under other statutes.	York Region	As applicable	Status - ongoing. More restrictive conditions imposed under other statutes is not foreseen at this time.		No	Closed (2014)	
7.	2.0 2.1	Public Record Where a document is	York Region	Design,	Status - ongoing. To be completed with the		No	EF 2009	[2] 3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08)

December 2015 Page 6 of 171

		Section 2.0			Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	required for the Public Record, it shall be provided to the Director for filing with the Public Record maintained for this undertaking. Additional copies of such documents will be provided by the Proponent for public access at: a) The Regional Director's Office; b) The Clerks offices of the Regional Municipality of York; c) The Town of Richmond Hill; d) The Town of Markham; and e) The City of Vaughan; f) Richmond Hill Central Library; g) Unionville Library; and h) Ansley Grove Library. These documents may also be provided through other means as considered appropriate by the Proponent and acceptable to the Director.		Construction and Operation as specified	August, 2008. [2] The 2009 ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 to be placed on public record. [3] The CMP is posted on York Regions (york.ca) website. [4] The 2011 ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2012 to be placed on public record.[5] The 2012 ACR was submitted to the MOE in December	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval – Y2H3 4.7 (ID# 3706) [2] Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA Compliance Monitoring Report, July 6, 2009 (ID# 4703) [3] Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR [3] Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR [5] Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR (ID#8907) [6] Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) [7] http://www.vivanext.com/files/EnvironmentalAs sessments/Compliance%20Reports/H2%20and%20H2VMC-RPT-Q-ENV-020302-EA%20Compliance%202013-R04-2013-12-23-SGH-FINAL.pdf (for the H3 segment) [7] Letter from MOE acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR for H3, dated January 30, 2014(ID#9952)		[3] EF 2011 [5] EF (2012) [6] EF (2013)	Letter from MOE dated April 1, 2010 shows the ACR was received by MOE on February 25, 2010. This should be added to table. [4] The CMP (Aug 08) was found on York Regions york.ca website. 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE [3], these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE [5], these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9616) for assertion [6] was found to support how the condition was addressed. 2014 ACR: evidence listed (ID# 9952, website link 9943-5) for assertion [7] was found to support how the condition was addressed.

December 2015 Page 7 of 171

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	ıl			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
				Region on December 23, 2013 and posted online. [7] The 2014 ACR for H3.4 was submitted to MOE and the MOE acknowledged receipt on March 24, 2014. Copies of the 2014 ACR for H3.4 were provided to Markham, Vaughan, Richmond Hill and York Region on December 23, 2013 and posted online. [8]	[7] Letters provided to Markham, Vaughan, Richmond Hill and York Region Clerks Offices regarding H3 ACR, Libraries (ID#9943, 9944, 9945). [8] Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID#YH3.4-15-001) [8] Letters provided to Markham, Vaughan, Richmond Hill and York Region Clerks Offices and Libraries regarding 2014 ACR (ID# UH3.4-15-002 and YH3.4-15-003) [8] http://www.vivanext.com/files/Environmenta IAssessments/Compliance%20Reports/FINA L%20H3%204-ENV-RPT-2014-12-10-EA%20Compliance-dm.pdf			
	3.0 Compliance Monitoring and Reporting 3.1 The Proponent shall prepare and submit to the Director for review, comment and for placement on the Public Record an Environmental Assessment CMP as committed to in section 11.4 of the EA. The CMP shall be submitted no later than one year from the date of approval of the	York Region	Design stage (Timing as specified in condition 3.1)	Status – ongoing. CMP submission requirements addressed with the approval of the CMP. Carrying out of the CMP will be ongoing until the final ACR. The date of the approval of the EA for the undertaking was November 9, 2006. The final CMP was submitted to the Acting Director,	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval – (ID# 3706) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) MOE letter of approval of Hwy 7 EA - (ID# 4039) Notice of Submission of CMP – (ID# 4121) York Region letter of submission of final CMP – (ID# 4157, 4158)	No	2010 EF	3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08) Letter from MOE dated April 1, shows the ACR was received by MOE on February 25, 2010. This should be added to table. 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual

December 2015 Page 8 of 171

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	ıl			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	undertaking, or 60 days before the commencement of construction, whichever is earlier. A statement must accompany the CMP when submitted to the Director indicating that it is intended to fulfill this condition. The CMP, as may be amended by the Director, shall be carried out by the Proponent.			The first ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 and will be followed by annual updates as specified in the CMP.	MOE email confirmation of receipt of CMP - August 20, 2008 - (ID# 3150) Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA Compliance Monitoring Report , July 96, 2009 (ID# 4703) Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR (ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) Letter from MOE acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR for H3, dated January 30, 2014 (ID#9952) Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID# YH3.4-15-001)		EF (2012) EF (2013)	ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9616) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed 2014 ACR: Evidence listed (ID#9952) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed
9.	3.2 The Proponent shall provide a copy of the CMP to those agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the	York Region	Design stage (Timing as specified in condition 3.1)	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP and circulation to	EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 – (ID# 3683) York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158)	No		4157 – dated 18-Aug-08 4158 – dated 31-Oct-08 3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08)

December 2015 Page 9 of 171

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	al			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	public who expressed an interest in the activity being addressed or being involved in the subsequent work no later than one year from the date of approval of the undertaking, or 60 days before the commencement of construction, whichever is earlier. If the Director amends the CMP, the Proponent shall ensure that the amended copy of the CMP is provided to those agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the public who expressed an interest in the activity being addressed or being involved in a timely manner.			affected/interested stakeholders.	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706)		EF 2009 Closed (2009)	
10.	3.3 The Proponent shall prepare a CMP in order to provide a framework for the monitoring of the Proponent's fulfillment of the conditions of approval as set out in this Notice of Approval, and the fulfillment of the provisions of the EA for mitigation measures, built-	York Region	Design, Construction and Operation as specified	Status - ongoing Condition addressed with submission of the CMP for approval and as carried out by the Proponent until the final ACR.	EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706) Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public	No	2010	3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08) Letter from MOE dated April 1, 2010 provides sufficient evidence that the ACR was received by MOE on February 25, 2010. This should be added to table. 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that

December 2015 Page **10** of **171**

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	al		Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes		
	in attributes to reduce environmental effects, public and Aboriginal community consultation, additional studies and work to be carried out, and for all other commitments made during the preparation of the EA and the subsequent review of the EA.			The first ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 and will be followed by annual updates as specified in the CMP.	Transit Improvements EA Compliance Monitoring Report July 6, 2009 (ID# 4703) Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR (ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) Letter from MOE acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR for H3, dated January 30, 2014 (ID#9952) Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID# YH3.4-15-001)		(2011) EF (2012) EF (2013) EF (2014)	point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9616) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 2014 ACR: Evidence listed (ID#9952) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.		
11.	3.4 The CMP shall at a minimum: a) set out the purpose, method and frequency of activities to fulfill compliance; b) provide a framework for recording and documenting results through the ACR;	York Region	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP.	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP.	May 5, 2006 Proponent's letter and attachments included in EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706)		EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence cited (ID# 3706) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.		

December 2015 Page 11 of 171

	Section 2.0 - Monitoring of Conditions of Approval							Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	c) describe the actions required to address the commitments; d) provide an implementation schedule for when commitments shall be completed; e) provide indicators of compliance; and f) Include, but not be limited to, a consideration of the commitments outlined in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 and Tables 11.3-1 to 11.4-2 in the EA, and Proponent's letter and attachments dated May 5, 2006 (included in Appendix E)							
12.	3.6 The Proponent shall prepare an ACR which describes the results of the CMP and shall do so annually. 3.7 The Proponent shall submit each ACR to the Director for review and comment and for placement on the Public	York Region	Design, Construction and Operation as specified	Status – ongoing. The first ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 [1] and will be followed by annual updates [2] as specified in the CMP.		No	2010 EF (2011)	2010 ACR: Letter from MOE dated April 1, 2010 provides sufficient evidence that the ACR was received by MOE on February 25, 2010. This should be added to table. 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual

December 2015 Page **12** of **171**

			Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	al			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	N	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	3.8	Record. The timing for the submission of the ACRs shall be set out in the CMP, including the timing for submission of the first ACR. The Proponent shall submit ACRs until all applicable conditions of approval and commitments of the EA are satisfied or until the Director notifies the Proponent that no further reports are warranted. When all conditions have been satisfied, the Proponent shall indicate in the ACR that this is its final submission.				Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR (ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID#9616) Letter from MOE acknowledging receipt of 2013 ACR for H3, dated January 30, 2014 (ID#9952) Letter from MOE, March 24, 2015, acknowledging receipt of 2014 ACR (ID#YH3.4-15-001)		EF (2013)	ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2013 ACR: evidence listed (ID#9616) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 2014 ACR: Evidence listed (ID#9952) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed
13.	4.1	Transit Technology The Proponent shall prepare a TCP that identifies how, when and if the undertaking will convert from a Bus Rapid Transit System (BRT) to a Light Rail Rapid Transit	York Region	Prior to conversion from BRT to LRT technology as required	Status – future Timing for technology review identified as 2012 (EA Section 5.2.2.3). A draft Transition Plan was prepared and submitted on March 02, 2007 and is under	Draft Transition Plan, March 2, 2007. (ID#910) Correspondence from York Region to MOE, December 21, 2012 (ID# Y-2013-102)	No		910 - Network connectivity is discussed in Section 4.6.1 of Highway 7 Rapidway - Section H3 – Yonge St to Kennedy Rd – Design Basis & Criteria Ver. 1.2 2013 ACR: item noted as future work.

December 2015 Page **13** of **171**

			Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	ıl	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	МОЕ	E Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results		
	(L	RT).			review as part of the ongoing Network Plan update.					
14.	su T(Di cc Di	ne Proponent shall ubmit copies of the final CP to the Regional irector for review and omment and to the irector for placement in e Public Record file.	· ·	Prior to conversion from BRT to LRT technology as required		Correspondence from York Region to MOE, December 21, 2012 (ID# Y-2013-102)	No		2013 ACR: item noted as future work.	
	the Di the	ne Proponent shall notify e Director and Regional irector 30 days before e technology conversion to occur.								
15.	4.5 Th	ne TCP shall include an applementation schedule. The TCP shall include formation about dership levels and applementability of the porridor with other transity stems.		Prior to conversion from BRT to LRT technology as required	Status –future Pending as per condition 4.1.	Correspondence from York Region to MOE, December 21, 2012 (ID# Y-2013-102)	No		2013 ACR: item noted as future work.	
	of that to ot be of	the EA, which outlines at converting from BRT LRT is dependent on her transit initiatives aing developed, a copy the TCP shall be covided to the City of								

December 2015 Page 14 of 171

		Section 2.0	Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	NOTAS
	Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission, the Town of Richmond Hill, the City of Vaughan, and the Town of Markham for review and comment. The Proponent shall provide these stakeholders a minimum 30-day comment period.							

December 2015 Page **15** of **171**

			Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	al	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	ו	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes	
16.	5.0	Air Quality The Proponent shall prepare a comprehensive Air Quality Assessment Report to address the air quality impacts of the Region's transportation projects. The study area for the air quality report will be determined by the Proponent in consultation	York Region	Design Stage	An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South	Final Air Quality Report (2011-04-29) (ID#7270)[1] March 8, 2011 Letter of Submission to MOE (ID#7398] MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)[2].	No	[1] EF (2011) [2] EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2010 ACR: Appendix C, page 13 Task 3.3: Environmental Services includes a provision for an Air Quality Study. 2011 ACR: [1,2] The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID#7270, 7713) was found to support the assertions [1,2] on how the condition was addressed.	
	5.2	with the Regional Director.[1] Copies of the Air Quality Assessment Report shall be submitted to the Regional Director for review and comment and to the Director for placement in the Public			Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking.[1] As per MOE request, copies					
	5.3	Record file.[2] The Air Quality Assessment Report shall be submitted to the Regional Director prior to any construction beginning on the undertaking, including site preparation.[3]			of the Air Quality Report were submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch. The MOE noted via letter that it had accepted the Air Quality Assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been					

December 2015 Page 16 of 171

		Section 2.0	al	Compliance Review (MMM)				
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
				addressed. [2]				
17.	5.4 The Air Quality Assessment Report shall, at a minimum, include the following: a) A comparison of predicted contaminant concentrations with all available Ontario Regulation 419/05 Air Pollution - Local Air Quality Regulation Schedule 3 standards, ministry's ambient air quality criteria and proposed Canada Wide Standards for: Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Particulate Matter - Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) as well as PM10 and PM2.5, and selected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs);[1] b) Assessment of the study area, as	York Region	Design Stage	Status – completed An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. [1-11] As per MOE request, copies of the Air Quality Report were submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch[12] The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA	Final Air Quality Report (2011-04-29) (ID#7270) March 8, 2011 Letter of Submission to MOE (ID#7398) MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)[1-13]	No	[1-13] EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2010 ACR: Appendix C, page 13 Task 3.3: Environmental Services includes a provision for an Air Quality Study. 2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID#7713) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

December 2015 Page 17 of 171

Item MOE Condition of EAA approval Responsible person / agency Stage condition will be addressed Status and description of how the condition has been addressed Compliance Document Reference Reviewed 2015 Results Notes Comparison Detween the background contaminant concentration levels and anticipated contaminant concentration levels resulting from the project, including future traffic volumes;[2] A broad-based air quality impact mitigation plan which will assist in reducing contaminant concentrations that exceed appropriate Comparison December 1 Comparison December 2 Comparison December 2 Comparison December 3 Compliance Document Reference Reviewed 2015 Review 2015 Results Notes		Sect	Section 2.0 - Monitoria		Compliance Review (MMM)			
condition 5.1, consisting of a comparison between the background contaminant concentration levels and anticipated contaminant concentration levels resulting from the project, including future traffic volumes;[2] c) A broad-based air quality impact mitigation plan which will assist in reducing contaminant concentrations that exceed appropriate	I ITAM I		normal Responsible condition v	ill how the condition has been	Compliance Document Reference			Notes
criteria/standards expected to result from construction/impleme ntation of the project;[3] d) Development of project contaminant emission rates using a base year and future years as required[4] e) Use of appropriate	condition consisting comparise the backg contamina concentra and antice contamina concentra resulting project, ir future traf volumes; c) A broad-be quality im mitigation will assist contamina concentra exceed a criteria/st expected from construct ntation of project;[3 d) Developm project co emission a base ye future year	sting of a sarison between sackground minant sutration levels inticipated minant sutration levels ing from the set, including traffic ses;[2] sad-based air y impact stion plan which sist in reducing minant sutrations that set d appropriate sa/standards sted to result stiction/impleme in of the set;[3] opment of set contaminant ion rates using se year and years as sed[4]	ondition 5.1, onsisting of a omparison between ne background ontaminant oncentration levels nd anticipated ontaminant oncentration levels esulting from the roject, including uture traffic olumes;[2] a broad-based air uality impact nitigation plan which vill assist in reducing ontaminant oncentrations that xceed appropriate riteria/standards xpected to result om onstruction/impleme tation of the roject;[3] Development of roject contaminant mission rates using base year and uture years as equired[4]					

December 2015 Page **18** of **171**

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	ıl	Compliance Review (MMM)		
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	Dispersion Models (e.g. Mobile 6, US EPA CAL3QHCR, Aermod);[5] f) Use of five years of meteorological data (including surface and upper air data);[6] g) Definition of roadway links as necessary;[7] h) Calculation of predicted contaminant concentrations at nearby sensitive receptors;[8] i) Traffic volume data[9] j) Detailed presentation of predicted data (including model input data); and,[10] k) Presentation of conclusions and recommendations.[11]							
18.	 6.0 Complaints Protocol 6.1 [1] Prior to construction the Proponent shall prepare a Complaints Protocol [2] on how it will deal with and respond to 	York Region / Contractor	Design	Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 19 of 171

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	I			Compliance Review (MMM)	
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	inquiries and complaints received during the construction and operation of the undertaking. The Proponent shall submit the protocol to the Regional Director, District Manager, Town of Markham, Town of Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review and comment [3]. The Complaints Protocol shall be placed on the Public Record [4].							
19.	7.0 Amending the Design of the Undertaking	York Region	Design	Status – Future work (if required)		No		
	7.1 If the Proponent determines that there is a minor modification and that modification does not alter the expected net effects of the undertaking the procedure set out in section 11.5 in the EA applies to this modification. [1,3]							
	7.2 Notwithstanding condition 7.1, section 11.5 of the E/does not apply where there is a change to the							

December 2015 Page **20** of **171**

			Section 2.0	- Monitoring	of Conditions of Approva	ıl			Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	N	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
	7.3	undertaking within the meaning of section 12 of the EAA.[2] The Proponent shall consult with EAAB to determine the appropriate							
		steps if there is uncertainty as to application of conditions of approval 7.1 or 7.2.							
20.	8.0	Selection of the optimum location for the subway alignment (not applicable for the undertaking covered under this CMP).	York Region	Design Stage	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014)	
21.	9.1	If a Stage 2 archaeological assessment is required to be prepared and aboriginal archaeological resources are encountered during the preparation of that Assessment, the Proponent shall provide a copy of that assessment to the Huron-Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec and any additional relevant First Nations as identified [1] by the archaeologist, based		Design	Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 21 of 171

		Section 2.0	- Monitoring	ĺ	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	
	on the findings of that assessment.							
	9.2 The Proponent shall provide the Huron-Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec and any other relevant First Nation as warranted by the Stage 2 findings with 30 days to provide comments on the Stage 2 Assessment and the opportunity to reasonably participate in the Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment if the Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment is required in relation to aboriginal archaeological resources. [2]							

December 2015 Page **22** of **171**

	Section 3.0 – C	Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item		Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
22.	CMP Section 3.2.1 - Following the execution of a contract for final design and construction, the design-build contractor will be responsible for all further actions to meet design-related commitments during its completion of the detailed design [1]. Design solutions developed, including mitigation and consultation procedures followed will be subject to review and approval by York Region staff. [2] The contract provisions will include a copy of the CMP and special contract provisions will be added to ensure commitments outlined in the CMP are fulfilled, including commitments to further studies and consultation as applicable	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work		No		
23.	CMP Section 3.2.2 - The Contractor will be responsible for meeting CMP requirements during construction. In accordance with stipulated contracting arrangements, the party contracted to carry out the construction will be required to meet all commitments related to the mitigation of construction effects [1] while the Region or its consultants will monitor the contractor's actions. [2]	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work		No		
23A	CMP Section 3.2.3 – Once construction is complete and rapid transit service operations commence on the project, York Region will assume responsibility for monitoring the effects of operations and maintenance in accordance with the CMP requirements.	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		

December 2015 Page **23** of **171**

	Section	4.0 – Program	Scope – General Commitment	s	Compliance Review (MMM)		
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
24.	CMP Section 4.1 - Ability of infrastructure design to maximize safety for vehicles [1] and pedestrians [2] and of streetscaping plan [3] to enhance corridor and community environment;		Status – ongoing Preliminary design is underway	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014 (ID# Y2014-001), including: Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 15, 17 [1] Sections 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 13, 17 [2] Section 14 [3] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 24 [3] (ID# Y2014-002)	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (vehicle) – evidence found that design will consider Item [2] (pedestrians) – evidence found that design will consider Item [3] (streetscape) - evidence found that plans will be developed All items are ongoing.
25.	CMP Section 4.1 - Application of design standards that permit future conversion to LRT technology;[1]		Status – ongoing Preliminary design is underway	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 2, 3 (ID# Y2014-001)	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (LRT) – evidence found that design will consider LRT Items is ongoing.
26.	CMP Section 4.1 - Effectiveness of infrastructure design [1]and service plans[2] in enhancing connectivity to local and inter-regional transit services;	Š	vivaNext service on H3.4 will integrate with local and inter-retional transit services at Unionville GO Station, as per existing conditions. Service plans will be developed closer to the time of service commencement on this segment.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 1, 6 (ID# Y2014-001)	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (design) – evidence found that design will intergrate transit . Item [2] (service plans) – not reviewed All items are ongoing.
27.	CMP Section 4.1 - Simulation of intersection performance to verify transit service reliability and effects on general traffic [1];	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (simulation) was noted as to be reviewed – evidence was not found that a simulation will be done at intersections Further clarification was provided that this is future work and status column was updated. Item [1] is for detailed design and was not reviewed.

December 2015 Page **24** of **171**

	Section	Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
28.	CMP Section 4.1 - Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment;	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		
29.	CMP Section 4.1 - Inclusion of measures to mitigate construction effects on [1] residences, [2] businesses, [3] road traffic and [4] pedestrians in contract specifications;]	Contractor		Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 13 (ID# Y2014-001)	No	2014	2014 ACR: Item [1] (residence) – evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract specs Item [2] (businesses) – evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract specs Item [3] (road traffic) –evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract specs Item [4] (pedestrians) –evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract specs All items are ongoing.
30.	CMP Section 4.1 - Opportunities to obtain input from affected communities, First Nations and heritage associations;	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		
31.	CMP Section 4.1 - Inclusion of built-in attributes to mitigate adverse effects in design solutions;	, and the second	Status –ongoing See Appendix One for monitoring for Built In Attributes		No		ACR 2014: suggest making permanent link to these and have this closed.
32.	CMP Section 4.1 - Adoption of design solutions that [mitigate effects on [1] surface water quality and [2] quantity and [3] aquatic habitat at watercourse crossings;			Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 18 (ID# Y2014-001) [3]	No	2014	2014 ACR: Item [1] (quality) – evidence found that design will consider Item [2] (quantity) – evidence found that design

December 2015 Page **25** of **171**

		Section	Compliance Review (MMM)					
I	tem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored Responsible person / agency Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design					Review Results	Notes
								will consider Item [3] (habitat) –evidence found that design will consider All items are ongoing.
``		CMP Section 4.1 - Procedures to obtain regulatory approvals [1] and input from municipal departments.[2]	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future Work		No		

December 2015 Page **26** of **171**

	So	ection 4.0 – Progra	m Scope – General Commitments			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Contractor compliance with the measures stipulated in the technical specifications and contract conditions to mitigate construction effects on the natural environmental features within the influence of the works; (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future Work		No		
	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Contractor compliance with the measures stipulated in the technical specifications and contract conditions to mitigate construction effects on community activities such as pedestrian and vehicular circulation, access and ambient noise and air quality levels; (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future Work		No		

December 2015 Page **27** of **171**

	Se	Section 4.0 – Program Scope – General Commitments						
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes	
36.	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Compliance [1], by all parties to construction contracts responsible for public safety and construction management and administration, with the procedures [2] established to manage and mitigate effects on the natural or social environment of accidents or incidents during construction activities; (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future Work		No			
36A	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Compliance, by all agencies responsible for safety and operation and maintenance, with the procedures established to manage and mitigate effects on the natural or social environment of accidents or incidents during operation and maintenance activities. (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.3 below for specific items to be monitored)		Status – Future Work		No			

December 2015 Page **28** of **171**

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitm	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	ring During Desi	gn		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
37.		The Proponent shall comply with all the provisions of the EA submitted to the MOE which are hereby incorporated by reference except as provided in these conditions and as provided in any other approvals or permits that may be issued. This also includes the summaries of commitments for additional work, built in attributes and monitoring identified in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 and Tables 11.3-1 to 11.4-2 of the EA and Proponent's letter and attachments dated May 5, 2006.		Status - ongoing [1] Refer to tables in Appendix 1 of this document for monitoring against Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4. [2] Issues in Table 11.3-1 are monitored through items 38-57 below. [3] Table 5.2 of the Compliance Monitoring Program incorporates Table 11.4-1 of the EA (relates to construction) and is added to Section 5 of this document for monitoring. [4] Issues in Table 11.4-2 relate to the operations stages respectively and are not in this document. [5] Refer to Appendix 2 and 3 for monitoring against responses to the Government Review Team and the Public respectively.			No	EF 2009	Discussed in referenced Appendix or section 2014 ACR: recommend closing this items and link to Appendix
38.		EA Reference - Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D	York Region	Status – Future Work			No		

December 2015 Page **29** of **171**

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)				
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		CMP I.D. # 1.1 - All culverts / bridge modifications regarding potential Harmful Alterations, Disruption or Destruction of fish habitat, compensation under the Fisheries Act [1] and identification of additional watercourses during the detailed design phase will be reviewed and approved by TRCA to ensure the compliance to their requirements.[2-7,8,9,10,11]							
39.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.2 - For the proposed crossing at Rouge River between Town Centre Boulevard and Warden Avenue, a meander belt analysis [1] will be carried out and a 100-year erosion limit [2] will be determined during the preliminary & detailed design phases to meet TRCA's approval [3,4,5] in determining the sizing of the bridge span.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H3.4			No	Closed (2014)	
40.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.3 - Discussion with	York Region	Status – Future Work			No		

December 2015 Page **30** of **171**

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During De	sign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		TRCA carried out to determine if a HADD will occur at one culvert extension, and if so, to secure a Fisheries Act authorization.							
41.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.4 - Any proposed in-stream work and site-specific mitigation measures carried out as outlined in Table 7 of the Natural Science Report [1]	J	Status – Ongoing [1]An aquatic habitat assessment of Rouge River Tributary 4 was completed and submitted to MNRF		[1] Correspondence from MNRF re natural heritage features/SAR, August 26, 2014 (ID# Y2014-003) [1] Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendices B and C (ID# Y2014-001)	No	EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] — evidence found that design will consider site-specific mitigation. needs to address how it is as per Table 7 of the Natural Science report Items is ongoing.
42.	Vegetation and Wetlands	Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 3.1 - Edge Management Plan[1] and Tree Preservation Plans[2][3] will be prepared during the detailed design to mitigate impacts to adjacent natural features, as well as the preparation of detailed compensation and restoration plans to strive to provide for a net improvement to existing condition. TRCA guidelines for Forest Edge Management Plans and Post-Construction Restoration will be followed.	York Region	Status – Future Work			No		
43.	Groundwater	Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1,	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the			No	Closed	

December 2015 Page 31 of 171

	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)				
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	Resources	Appendix D		H3.4 segment				(2014)	
		CMP I.D. # 4.1 - In the event the shallow or upward groundwater movement becomes an issue due to the construction of subway during the detailed design stage, TRCA's hydrogeologist will be consulted.							
44.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 4.2 - For wells that remain in use, if any, a well inspection will be conducted prior to construction to establish baseline conditions and to confirm the relationship of the widened roadway to existing active water well will not have an adverse affect on water quality [1]. If it does, a contingency plan will be developed[2]. In the event that wells are required to be closed, closure will proceed in accordance with O.Reg.903 of the Ontario Water Resource Act [3]. If the widened roadway has adverse effects on the active well on water quality, a contingency plan will be developed [2].	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future Work			No		

December 2015 Page **32** of **171**

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During De	sign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
45.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 4.3 - For subway extension, a subsurface investigation will be conducted during preliminary and detail design to identify groundwater and soil conditions. Impact assessment and mitigation measures will be performed at that time to address any issues related to groundwater quality and quantity.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H3.4 segment			No	Closed (2014)	
46.	Resources	Sect. 9.6, Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D & G CMP I.D. # 5.1 - A detailed Storm Water Management Plan (SWMP) will be developed [1] in accordance with the MOE's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003) [1a] and Guidelines for Evaluating Construction Activities Impacting on Water Resources. [1b] This SWMP will outline monitoring [2] & maintenance [3] commitments for SWM facilities	York Region	Status – Ongoing A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design.		Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendix D (ID# Y2014-001) [1][1a]	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (SWMP) – evidence found that a preliminary SWMP was developed Item [1a] (MOE manual) – evidence found that design will be as per Not review: Item [1b] (Guideline for) –evidence was found / not in document list for Appendix D Item [2,3](monitoring and maintenance) – All items are ongoing.

December 2015 Page 33 of 171

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During Des	sign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		constructed as part of this undertaking.							
47.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D & G CMP I.D. # 5.2 - Water quality controls up to the MOE water quality guideline of Enhanced Level (80% total suspended solids removal) required for areas where an increase in impervious surface is observed. [1,2]	York Region	Status – Future work			No		
48.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Section 9.6 CMP I.D. # 5.3 - An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan developed to manage the flow of sediment into storm sewers and watercourses and to monitor erosion and sedimentation control measures during construction.	York Region	Status – Future work			No		
49.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Proponent Response to	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work			No		

December 2015 Page 34 of 171

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres		C	ompliance Review (MMM)				
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		Government Review Team Comments, Appendix F							
		CMP I.D. # 7.1 - In the event contaminated sites are identified after construction activities begin, the contingency plan prepared to outline the steps that will be taken to ensure that contaminant release will be minimized and appropriate clean-up will occur. The site clean-up procedure of the plan compliance with the MOE's Brownfield's legislation and the Record of Site Condition Regulation (O.Reg. 153/04)[1]							
50.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Proponent Response to Government Review Team Comments, Appendix F CMP I.D. # 7.2 - Health Canada's Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada will be obtained.	York Region	Status – Future work			No		
51.	Businesses and Other Land Uses	Section9.1.8, Chapter11, Table 11.3-1 CMP I.D. # 9.1 - The parking need assessment and	York Region	Status –ongoing Work was conducted during the PE design phase and is ongoing. [2011 ACR]		Eight Steps to A Viva Park-and-Ride Strategy (ID#1037) Memo - Viva Cornell Terminal Park-and- Ride Development – Preliminary Analysis of Alternatives – (ID#1117)	No	EF 2009	ACR 2009: 1037 -Eight Steps to A Viva Park- and-Ride Strategy (29-Mar-09). 1739 - Memo 29-Sep- 06 (hard copy) 2014 ACR: To be reviewed in 2015

December 2015 Page **35** of **171**

Element Commitment to be Monitored person / agency now commitment has been addressed during design Construction Stage of Project Compilance Document Reference in									ompliance Review (MMM)
Item			person /	how commitment has been	at Construction Stage of	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		management study developed.		Commuter Park & Ride Strategy will be carried out in		Re: VIVA Park-and-Ride Initiative Dates:			
52.	Archaeological Resources	Table 11.3-1 and proponent Response to Government Review Team Comments, Appendix J. CMP I.D. # 10.1 - Completion of a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment [1] and procedure for continued consultation with the Ministry of Culture [2,5]. Records of consultation with First Nations [3,4].	York Region	Status – Future work			No		
53.	Agriculture	CMP I.D. # 12.1 - A policy to protect agriculture lands during construction will be developed	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment			No		

December 2015 Page 36 of 171

	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During Des	ign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		during the detailed design phase.		Relates to the Agricultural lands east of 9th Line.					
54.		Section 9.1.5 CMP I.D. # 13.1 - MTO will be consulted and their approval will be sought in any modifications to the CAH bridges, and the grade separated option (C-B2) through Hwy 404 interchange when required.	York Region / Contractor	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment			No		
55.		Section 9.1.5 CMP I.D. # 13.2 - The Highway 427 Extension Preliminary Study will be obtained during detailed design once they are finalized. MTO will be consulted in the design of Highway 7 structure over Highway 427.		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment			No		

December 2015 Page **37** of **171**

	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During Des	sign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
56.		CMP I.D. # 13.3 - Public concerns/ complaints will be address through public consultation centres during detailed design phase [1]. As well, public relation staff will address complaints regarding construction and operations of the transitway.[2] The received concerns/ complaints will be circulated to appropriate department for action [3].	Contractor	Status – Future work			No		
57.		Section 13.9.4 CMP I.D. # 13.4 - During the preliminary [1] and detailed [2] design phases, the Cycling and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (CPAC) will be consulted regarding the cyclist and pedestrian treatments.	York Region / Contractor	Status – Does not apply to H3.4 segment This commitment relates to the Highway 7 widening between Warden Avenue and Sciberras Road. The widening work east of Warden is a separate project that will be progressed by York Region. It will be reported as part of the H3 ACR, when initiated.			No		
58.	street and neighbourhood aesthetics	Sections 9.6 and 10.4.2, and Proponent's Response to Government Review Team Comments CMP I.D. # 13 - Development of	York Region	Status – ongoing Preliminary design is underway. Residential, commercial and employment developments in this area are		Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 14 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28,	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (plan) – evidence found that a plan will be made Not reviewed Item [1a] (residential) Item [1b] (pedestrian)

December 2015 Page **38** of **171**

,	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitn	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During De	sign		C	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		a comprehensive streetscaping plan [1] to mitigate adverse effects on [1a] residential and [1b] pedestrian environment.		predominantly future initiatives.		2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 24 [3] (ID# Y2014- 002) [1]			All items are ongoing.
59.	Pedestrian circulation and	EA Section 10.6 and Proponent's Response to Gov't Section 9.6 and Proponent's Response to Gov't Review Team Comments CMP I.D. # 14 - Development of a comprehensive Construction and Traffic Management Plan including consultation with school board officials to ensure safe, uninterrupted access to schools affected by the works.	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work			No		
60.	and pedestrian circulation and access during	Section 9.6 and Government Review Team Comment response CMP I.D. # 15 - Infrastructure design features, built-in safety measures and operating procedures adopted in the preparation of the detailed design solution. Analysis of the need for speed limit reductions to address safety	York Region	Status – ongoing The Preliminary Design Report includes provision for built-in safety features including station platform railings, station canopy rear wall, station canopy, station platform edge treatment and platform height, etc.[1] Speed limits are described in the Preliminary Design Report		[1] Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.10 and Section 3.1 [2] (ID# Y2014-001) [2] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 18 (legend for Proposed Countdown Pedestrian Signal Head) and Sheets 19-22 (traffic signals) (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[1,2} EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (analysis) – evidence found that design will consider safety Item [2] (countdown) – evidence found that prelim design has countdown timers All items are ongoing

December 2015 Page 39 of 171

	Section 5.0 - A	Actions Required to Addres	ss Commitm	nents - Table 5.1 Monito	oring During Des	sign		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of	Requirements at Construction Stage of Project	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		concerns.[1] Inclusion of numerical countdown pedestrian lights in detailed design.[2]		[2] The preliminary design drawings indicate countdown signals will be provided at all intersections [3]					
61.	MTO future 407 Transitway undertaking	Proponent's Response to Government Review Team Comments CMP I.D. # 17 - Consultation with MTO staff during the detailed design and construction phase to provide coordination and ensure protection for appropriate interface between projects[1-4,5].		Status – Does not apply to H3.4			No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page **40** of **171**

	Se	ction 5.0 - Ac	tions Required to	Address Commit	ments - Tabl	e 5.2 Cons	truction Mo	nitoring						
	Constru	ction and Cor	mpliance Monitorii	ng				y ECM with annuin these columns		Contractor	s Notes		Compliand	ce Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Respon ses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring		Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		noise levels comply with Municipal by-laws [1]	levels produced	At time of introduction of equipment/ activities producing significant noise level with potential to disturb sensitive areas.						Status – Future work		No		
	activities on air quality(dust, odour,)	that local air quality is not being adversely	measures and of construction vehicle exhaust	Monthly during construction seasons.						Status – Future work		No		

	Se	ection 5.0 - Ac	tions Required to	Address Commit	ments - Tabl	e 5.2 Cons	struction Mo	nitoring						
	Constru	ction and Co	mpliance Monitorii	ng				y ECM with annuin these columns		Contractor	rs Notes		Compliand	e Review (MMM)
m 0 + 1	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Respon ses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
6	4 Condition of heritage homes adjacent to transitway alignment	any damage/det	Pre-construction inspection to obtain baseline condition and monitoring during nearby construction	As required by construction schedule for work adjacent to heritage features.						Status – Future work		No		
6	5 Effect of construction on water quality and quantity in watercourses	being adversely affected by	Monitor sediment accumulation after rain events during construction to ensure that the proposed mitigation measures in the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan have been satisfied.	After first significant rain event						Status – Future work		No		
6	6 Effect of construction on boulevard trees	To ensure the survival of boulevard trees	[2] Inspection of protective measures and [1] monitoring of work methods near trees	[1,2] Prior to commencement of work and [1] bi-weekly during work activities.						Status – Future work		No		

	S	ection 5.0 - Action	ns Required to Ad	ddress Commitme	nts - Table (5.3 Operation	ns and Maint	enance Monito	ring					
	Cons	truction and Com	pliance Monitorin	g				ed by ECM with ells in these col		York Regior	n's Notes		Compliand	e Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
501	by operation and maintenance	levels comply with Municipal	Pass-by and idling measurements of levels produced by representative vehicles/ activities	Initially after revenue service is introduced and in response to concerns or after any major increase in service frequency						Status – Future work		No		
502	Effect of rapid transit operations on local air quality (pollutants, odour)	,	Regular inspections of measures and of transit vehicle exhaust emissions	Initially after facilities are placed into service and at five-year intervals during vehicle life						Status – does not apply to H3.4 There are no transit terminals/ facilities on the H3.4 segment.		No		
503	Effect of rapid transit operations on GHGs emitted per commuting person-trips	To assess the effectiveness of improved public transit as a commuting choice in reducing GHG emissions in the corridor	Ridership growth surveys and transit mode split data analysis to derive GHG emission reductions.	included in the						Status – Future work		No		
504		To determine if any damage/	Post- construction	Initially after revenue service						Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page **43** of **171**

	S	ection 5.0 - Actio	ns Required to Ac	Idress Commitme	nts - Table 5	5.3 Operatio	ns and Maint	enance Monito	ring					
	Cons	truction and Com	pliance Monitorin	g				ed by ECM with		York Regior	's Notes		Compliand	e Review (MMM)
mo l l	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	adjacent to transitway operations	deterioration is due to vibrations produced by transit vehicles	inspection to obtain baseline condition and monitoring during pass-by operations	is introduced and in response to concerns or after any major increase in service frequency										
50	5 Traffic Operation	To confirm that the traffic operation is not adversely affected	Post- construction traffic study	Initially after revenue service is introduced and at a regular interval afterward						Status – Future work		No		
50	Effect of snow and ice removal on water quality in corridor watercourses	To confirm that water quality is not being adversely affected by transitway and vehicle maintenance activities	Monitor sediment accumulation in storm water management facilities	During major storm events up to five times per year						Status – Future work		No		
50	7 Effect of operations and maintenance on boulevard trees	To ensure the survival of boulevard trees	Inspection of protective measures and monitoring of work methods near trees	Annually						Status – Future work		No		

	S	section 5.0 - Action	ns Required to Ad	Idress Commitme	nts - Table !	5.3 Operation	ns and Maint	tenance Monito	ring					
	Cons	truction and Com	pliance Monitorin	g				ed by ECM with ells in these col		York Region	n's Notes		Compliand	e Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
508	Effect of operations of RT on intersection operation and access to minor side streets and properties along Yonge Street using U-turns	accessibility to minor side	Monitor intersection performance and conflict potentials. Prohibit Right Turns on Red movements from the side street at these locations if necessary	Initially after introduction of RT service and during the Region's regular assessment of intersection performance						Status – Future work		No		
509	Effect of RT operation and intersection modifications on traffic infiltration through neighbourhood roads	To identify any increase in the use of neighbourhood roads by non-resident traffic as an alternative to left turn access restrictions	"Before and after" traffic volume observations on affected roadways to determine any change in infiltration levels	Before commencement of construction and six months after introduction of RT service						Status – Future work		No		
510	Increased mobility choice due to rapid transit service introduction and local transit connectivity	To verify the convenience of the inter-connection between rapid transit service and reconfigured local feeder service	Review of effectiveness of local service plans in terms of growth of transfers and response to customer requests/	After six months of RT service and annually thereafter						Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page **45** of **171**

	S	ection 5.0 - Actio	ns Required to Ad	Idress Commitme	nts - Table :	5.3 Operation	ns and Maint	enance Monito	ring					
	Cons	truction and Com	pliance Monitorin	g				ed by ECM with		York Region	n's Notes		Compliand	ce Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			complaints											
511	Effect of RT operations on public safety in the right-of-way and in station zones	To confirm the effectiveness of safety measures incorporated in the transit infrastructure design and pedestrian access facilities	Review of accident reports and statistics to establish whether cause is transit related	In response to specific incidents as required and in Annual Compliance Reports						Status – Future work		No		
512	Streetscaping, neighbourhood aesthetics and community vistas	To confirm that landscaping, station and transitway features continue to enhance the community environment in the corridor	Inspection of landscaping by Region arborist and streetscaping features by maintenance personnel	Twice annually or in response to specific complaints about plant health, graffiti, cleanliness						Status – Future work		No		
513	Provision of median crossing for Emergency Response Services vehicles	To ensure the operation of the ERS vehicles	Obtain feedback from ERS staff on performance of access provisions	Initially after completion of access facilities and through regular consultation with the emergency services						Status – Future work		No		

	S	ection 5.0 - Action	ns Required to Ac	Idress Commitme	nts - Table 5	5.3 Operatio	ns and Maint	enance Monito	ring					
	Cons	truction and Com	pliance Monitorin	g				ed by ECM with		York Region	n's Notes		Compliand	e Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorization	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
514	Utilization of Community Facilities	To confirm that rapid transit is increasing usage of facilities due to improved access	Obtain registration data from facilities served (up to three)	Review registration data annually for a period of 5 years after start-up						Status – Future work		No		
515	Change in existing land use patterns to transit oriented development may not be attainable or may be inappropriate	To confirm that municipal development approvals and zoning are realizing the benefit of improved transit and encouraging development compatible with existing neighbourhoods	Monitor re- development activity to control overall increase in and type of development density	Review municipal data on redevelopment/ development levels annually for a period of 10 years after start- up						Status – Future work		No		
516	Effect of an increase in business activity on the urban form	To determine whether business activity along the corridor increases and whether resulting intensification meets urban form objectives.	Monitor business activity, urban form and economic conditions in the corridor	Review building applications and permits and economic influences annually for 10 years after start- up						Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page **47** of **171**

		Section 6	.0 – Modifying the Design of The Unde	ertaking		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
67.	CMP Section 6.0 - In the event that there is a minor change to the design of the undertaking which does not adversely impact the expected net environmental effects of the undertaking, these changes will be considered minor and documented in the annual compliance report [1]. CMP Section 6.0 – " a required modification to the transitway alignment and station location in the area of the IBM campus in Markham has been identified. The modified alignment is a local refinement to the undertaking approved in the EA and an amendment report will be submitted specifically documenting the design modification." [2]		Status – Future work, if necessary [1], Does not apply to H3.4 [2]		No		
68.	CMP Section 6.0 - In the event that there is a change to the design of the undertaking that results in a material increase in the expected net environmental effects of the undertaking, the process set out in the CMP for modifying the design of the undertaking (including submission of an amendment	York Region	Status – Future work, if necessary		No		

December 2015 Page **48** of **171**

			Section 6	6.0 – Modifying the Design of The Unde	ertaking	Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
lt	tem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Review Results	Notes
		report to the MOE) will be followed.					

December 2015 Page **49** of **171**

		S	ection 7.0 – Consultation			Coi	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
69.	CMP Section 7.1.1- One "Open House" format public consultation opportunity on completion of the preliminary design development work for each segment of the transitway planned for construction as a stand-alone component of the project implementation. The open house will take place at a location within the limits of the segment to be implemented and the design solution presented and modified as necessary to address public comment, will be the basis for the detailed design.	York Region	Status – Future work		No		
70.	CMP Section 7.2.1 - The findings of the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and any subsequent assessments will be circulated to all affected stakeholders and First Nations that have asked to be kept informed of the outcome of any archaeological investigations during the design [1,2] and construction phases [3].	York Region	Status – Future work		No		
71.		York Region	Status – Future work		No		
72.	CMP Section 7.2.2 - Notices of public	York Region	Status - ongoing	Notice of Submission of CMP ID#	No	EF 2009	4121 - Notice of Submission of CMP 22-Aug-

December 2015 Page **50** of **171**

		S	ection 7.0 – Consultation			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Iten	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	consultation opportunities will be sent to First Nations that wish to be kept informed of the implementation of the undertaking.		Hwy 7 EA Notice of submission of CMP for public review and comment.	4121) and CMP distribution lists to First Nations (ID# 4123)			08 4123 – First Nations contact MOE 16-Mar-09
	Should First Nations wish to be kept informed of the study and any additional work the Region will consult and notify First Nations in the manner in which they wish to be notified and/or consulted. This could vary from sending notices to attending meetings. [1]		First Nations will be notified of future public consultation opportunities				

December 2015 Page 51 of 171

			Section 7.0 – Consultation			Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
73.	CMP Section 7.1.2 - One "Open House" format public information centre prior to commencement of construction to present the construction staging and methods to be adopted including temporary works and methods to maintain traffic and pedestrian access and circulation, protect the existing natural and built environment and minimize noise, vibration and air pollution during construction	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work		No		
74.	CMP Section 7.1.2 - Availability of a "Community Relations Officer" throughout the construction period to provide information to, consult with and respond to complaints from, property and business owners and the general public. This Officer will prepare a protocol for dealing with and responding to inquiries and complaints during the construction and subsequent operation [1]. The protocol will be submitted to the MOE for placement on the Public Record prior to commencement of construction [2].	York Region / Contractor	Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page **52** of **171**

			Section 7.0 – Consultation			Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
74A	CMP Section 7.1.3 – York Region Transit consults on a regular basis with the public through Open Houses at which they provide information on planned system expansion and modifications and respond to questions and complaints concerning existing operations. These forums will provide the opportunity to inform the public of the results of monitoring of EA commitments as well as to obtain feedback from the public on the effectiveness of environmental mitigation measures incorporated into the design and operations of the undertaking.	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		
74B	CMP Section 7.1.3 – At any time during operation of the undertaking, the public will have the opportunity to lodge complaints or make inquiries by contacting York Region Transit's Customer Service Representative by telephone or their e-mail contact service using the information provided on their website www.yrt.ca.	York Region	Status – Future Work		No		

Section 8.0 – Program Schedule – section irrelevant to ACR

	(Section 9.0 - S	ubmission and Circulation of the CMP			Col	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
75.	CMP Section 9.0 - In order to fulfill the Condition of Approval requiring submission of a CMP, this document [CMP] is submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch (EAAB) of the Ministry of the Environment for review and approval.	York Region	Status – completed CMP submission requirements addressed with the approval of the CMP. The final CMP was submitted to the Acting Director, Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch on August 18, 2008 and approved on December 29, 2008.	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval –(ID# 3706) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) MOE email confirmation of receipt of CMP - August 20, 2008 (ID# 3150)	No	EF 2009 (Closed 2009)	3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08)
76.	CMP Section 9.0 - Following approval it [CMP] will be provided to the Director for filing with the Public record maintained for the undertaking. Accompanying the CMP submitted to the Director will be a statement indicating that the CMP is intended to fulfill Condition 3 of the Conditions of Approval.	York Region	Status – completed CMP submission requirements addressed with the approval of the CMP. The letter of submission includes a statement indicating that the CMP is intended to fulfill Condition 3 of the Conditions of Approval.	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval – (ID# 3706) York Region letter of submission of final	No		3706- Hard Copy of Letter (29-Dec-08) 4157 – dated 18-Aug-08 4158 – dated 31-Oct-08
77.	CMP Section 9.0 - Additional copies [following approval] will be provided by the Proponent for public access as specified in condition of approval 2.1.	York Region	Refer to item 7 of this document.		No		
78.	CMP Section 9.0 - The CMP will be made available to agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the public [1,2] who expressed an interest in activities being addressed in the CMP or being involved in subsequent work [3].	York Region	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP and circulation to affected/interested stakeholders.	[3] York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) [1] Notice of Submission of CMP (ID# 4121) and [2] CMP distribution lists to First Nations, Government Review Team and other stakeholders (ID# 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125)	No	[1-3] EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 4157, 4158, 4121, 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125) was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed.
79.	CMP Section 9.0 - Copies of the CMP will be provided to those agencies/interested	York Region	Status – completed	York Region letter of submission of final (ID# 4157, 4158)	No	EF 2009	4122 – email distribution list 16-Mar-09 4123 – First nations contact MOE 16-Mar-09

December 2015 Page 54 of 171

		Compliance Review (MMM)					
Iten	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	groups identified in Table 11.3-1 of the EA. A notice will be sent to all other agencies involved during the EA and to other stakeholders who identified an interest by providing comments during public review of the EA or EA review. The notice will advise that the CMP is available on the Region's website or hard copy on request. A copy of the stakeholder list will be provided to MOE for the public record submission of the CMP and subsequent ACR's.			Notice of Submission of CMP (ID# 4121) and CMP distribution lists to First Nations, Government Review Team and other stakeholders (ID# 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125)		Closed (2009)	4124 – GRT CMP 4125 – Stakeholder Contact list
80.	CMP Section 9.0 - The CMP will be available for public information on the Proponent's website at www. vivayork.ca	York Region	Status - completed The CMP is posted on York Regions york.ca website.		No	EF 2010 Closed (2011)	Now www.vivanext.com

Section 10.0 – Annual Compliance Report – section irrelevant to ACR

December 2015 Page **55** of **171**

	Section	11.0 - Other Docum	ents required by the Conditions of Approva		ompliance Review (MMM)		
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
81.	Ridership Monitoring Program: CMP Section 11.1 - York Region will prepare the results of its Ridership Monitoring Program as committed in Section 5.2.2.3 of the EA and EAA Condition 4.1. The Ridership Monitoring Program will be provided to the City of Toronto, GO Transit, Ministry of Transportation, TTC, the Towns of Markham and Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review.		Status - ongoing Relates to Section 5.2.2.3, Step 3, of the EA. The ridership monitoring period is 2007 – 2011 and the major review will take place in 2012. In the meantime ridership monitoring is ongoing by York Region Transit. 2013 - The proposed major review in 2012 outlined in Section 5.2.2.3, Step 3 of the EA is based on the rapid transit improvements "Network Alternative A1" being constructed and operating by 2010. Funding timing has resulted in implementation later than planned at the time of the EA (2013-2019 on the funded Highway 7 segments), therefore a major update in 2012 is no longer relevant. An updated monitoring program reflecting the current timelines and meeting the intent of the EA will be developed and reported in the 2014 ACR. Ridership monitoring is ongoing.	YRT\Viva 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary, YRT\Viva 2007 Ridership Summary - Specialized Services – Mobility Plus, Viva Monthly Operations Summary December 2007 Y1 8.02 (ID#'s 3106, 3107, 3108) York Region Transit/Viva Ridership Summaries – 2005 to 2012 (ID# Y-2013-103)	No	EF	3106 – 2007 Ridership Summary Specialized Services 3107 – 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary and monthly Ridership Summary 3108 – Viva Operations Monthly Summary 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition is met. Item remains ongoing to 2014 as timelines have been altered. 2014 ACR: Nothing provided for review
82.	Technology Conversion Plan CMP Section 11.2 - A Technology Conversion Plan will be prepared to identify when and if conversion from a bus rapid transit (BRT) system to a Light Rail Transit (LRT) system will occur [1,2].		Status - ongoing A draft Transition Plan[1] was prepared and submitted on March 02, 2007 and is presently under review as part of the ongoing Network Plan update. Transit Network Analysis is ongoing including LRT / subway technology conversion considerations. [2] The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and at least not	[1] Draft Transition Plan, March 2, 2007. (ID#910) [2] Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments. (ID#8908)	No	EF 2009 EF (2012)	2012 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing. 2014 ACR: Nothing provided for review

December 2015 Page **56** of **171**

	Section	11.0 - Other Docum	ents required by the Conditions of Approva	Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015		Notes		
			expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be finalized until new information on this issue become available						
83.	CMP Section 11.2 - If conversion is found to be required prior to 2021, the Plan will include an implementation schedule.	York Region	Status -future The draft Transition Plan included general indications of alternative schedules. Transit Network Analysis is ongoing including LRT / subway technology conversion considerations.	Draft Transition Plan, March 2, 2007. (ID#910)	No				
84.	CMP Section 11.2 - The Ridership Monitoring Program[1] and Technology Conversion Plan[2] will be placed on the public record file at the EAAB and the MOE's Central Regional Office. A copy of these documents will also be provided to the City of Toronto, TTC, GO Transit, the Ministry of Transportation, the Towns of Markham and Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review.	York Region York Region Transit	Status –ongoing [2] The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and at least not expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be finalized until new information on this issue become available.	YRT\Viva 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary, YRT\Viva 2007 Ridership Summary - Specialized Services – Mobility Plus, Viva Monthly Operations Summary December 2007 YC 8.02 (ID#s 3106, 3107, 3108)[1] [2]Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments.(ID#8908)	No	EF (2012)	3106 – 2007 Ridership Summary Specialized Services 3107 – 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary and monthly Ridership Summary 3108 – Viva Operations Monthly Summary 2012 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing. 2014 ACR: Nothing provided for review		
85.	Complaints Protocol CMP Section 11.3 - Prior to construction, the Region will prepare a protocol on how it will deal with and respond to inquiries and complaints received during the construction and operation of the undertaking [1]. The protocol will be submitted to the Central Region Director for placement on the Public Record [2].	York Region	Status – Future work		No				

December 2015 Page **57** of **171**

		Hia	hway	7 C	orridor And	I Vaughan Nort	Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Transit I	mprovements FA	Table 10 4-	1			Compliance Monitoring			Comp	iance Review (MMM)
		1119	uy	. •	orridor And	•	s and Mitigation for Mobility	iipioveiliento EA	Tubic 10.4	•							
	Environmental	Environmental	Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of	Compliance	d in	- · · ·	Notes
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concer ns	PC	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Reviev Result	
OBJE	CTIVE A: To improve	mobility by prov	viding	a fas	t, convenient,	reliable and efficie	nt rapid transit service		-				design		<u>~</u>		
A1 (a)	Maximize Inter- regional and local transit connectivity	Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways	*	✓	Highway 7 & Highway 50	Opportunity to connect to a Brampton Rapid Transit Initiative "AcceleRide" to improve the interregional transit network.	Highway 7 transitway will provide a direct connection from western York Region to the Region of Peel. It also provides a direct connection from York University to the Region of Peel.	Increased potential for infill development around the regional boundary.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the performance of the connection to the Region of Peel.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(b)		Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways	>	\(\tag{ }	At 400 series highways, e.g. Highways 427, 400, 404 & 407	Opportunity to connect to MTO's future rapid transit services on the 400 series highways to improve the interregional transit network.	Highway 7 transitway will provide additional stations for transfers.	Increased potential for infill development around these transfer points.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the needs to provide additional stations as warranted by the future rapid transit services.	York Region	Status – future work Opportunities to connect to MTO's Highway 407 Transitway at the Unionville GO Station have been explored through the Highway 407 Transitway Transit Project Assessment. Future multimodal interface at Unionville GO Station will be addressed through a Metrolinx mobility hub study.		No		
(c)		Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways	√	√	York University	Opportunity to connect to the City of Toronto and improve ridership on these transit services.	Vaughan North-South Link will provide a direct connection to the York University and to the future TTC rapid transit connecting the Toronto system prior the implementation of subway extension.	Increased potential for infill development around this transfer point.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the performance of the connection to Toronto.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
		Hig	hway	7 C	orridor And	-	h-South Link Public Transit I and Mitigation for Mobility	nprovements EA -	Table 10.4-1	1							
	Environmental	Environmental	Proj Pha	ect se¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	gation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of	Compliance	d in	× s:	Notes
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concer ns	Р	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewe 2015	Revie Resulf	
OBJEC	TIVE A: To improve	mobility by prov	/iding	a fas	t, convenient,	reliable and efficie	nt rapid transit service		r	1	1		uoong		<u> </u>		
A1 cont'd (d)		Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways	V	✓	Proposed Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station	Better connection to GO Stations and future provincial inter- regional 407 Transitway station will improve ridership on all transit services	Highway 7 transitway will provide a direct connection to GO Rail's Richmond Hill Line at the proposed Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station. It will also have a connection to York's Yonge Street transitway and the future provincial transit corridor along Highway 407.	Increased potential for infill development around Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station	None	Positive effect	Monitor ridership and the performance of the connection to GO Langstaff Station	York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014)	
(e)		Connections to inter-regional services and future gateways	✓	•	Unionville GO Station	Connection to Unionville GO Station will improve York's transit network.	A pedestrian walkway will be provided to transfer the transitway passengers to the Unionville GO Station. This will provide a fast and reliable service from the future Markham Centre to the City of Toronto or northern York Region via the GO Rail's Stouffville Line.	Increased potential for infill development around this transfer point.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the performance of the connection to Unionville GO Station.	York Region	Status – future work No changes to existing Viva station at Unionville GO Station as part of this project. Future multi-modal interface at Unionville GO Station will be addressed through a Metrolinx mobility hub study.		No		
(f)		Compatibility with proposed local network	V	√	Entire Corridor	Inconvenient transfer between local transit and Highway 7 Rapid Transit may discourage transit ridership.	Stations generally located on north- south local transit routes ensuring convenient transfers between services. Integrated fare system proposed.	Project may change the configuration of local transit.	Local services configured as grid where practical, to provide both community coverage and feeder roles	Positive effect	Regular review of effectiveness of local service plans.	York Region	Status – future work		No		
A2 (a)	Maximizes speed and ride comfort and minimizes safety risks and maintenance costs with optimized alignment geometry.	Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	✓ 	*	Eastbound platform on Highway 7 at Chalmers Rd./ South Park Rd.	Running way grade at platforms is 2.49%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after stopping to load/unload passengers.	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.		Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant		York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)
		High	nway	7 C	orridor And	l Vaughan Nort	h-South Link Public Transit Ir	mprovements EA	Table 10.4-1							
						Effects										
ب	Environmental	Environmental Issues/Concer	Proje Phas		Location	Potential Environment	Proposed Mitigation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of	Compliance	in sed in	Notes . ⊈	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	ns	P C	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	•	Reviewed 2015 Review	Results
OBJEC	TIVE A: To improve	e mobility by prov	iding a	fast	t, convenient,	reliable and efficie	nt rapid transit service	ı	1	ı	1		· ·			
(b)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	✓	*	Westbound platform on Highway 7 at West Beaver Creek Rd./ Commerce Valley Dr. W	Running way grade at platforms is 2.13%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after stopping to load/unload passengers.	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.		Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant		York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.			osed (014)
A2 cont'd (c)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	✓	✓	Both platforms on Highway 7 at East Beaver Creek Rd./ Commerce Valley Dr. E	Running way grade at platforms is 2.97%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after stopping to load/unload passengers.	Grade through station cannot be modified due to the close proximity of the next intersection.	Station grade exceeding desirable LRT maximum will remain.	None practical	Significant – LRT operation speed reduced.	Speed impact will be analysed during LRT system design.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.			osed (014)
(d)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	√	√	Both platforms on Highway 7 at McCowan Road	Running way	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.		Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant		York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.			osed (014)
A3	Maximize operational efficiency of maintenance and storage facility	N/A - Maintenance & storage facility included in Yonge St. Corridor EA Undertaking.			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.			osed (014)

		Highv	way 7 (Corridor And	•	Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Transit I s and Mitigation for Mobility	mprovements EA	· Table 10.4-1				Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concer	Project Phase ¹	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Proposed Mit Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	tigation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	eviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE A: To improve	mobility by provid	ling a fa	st, convenient,	reliable and efficie	ent rapid transit service						acoign		<u>~</u>		
A4	Increase attractiveness of rapid transit service	Travel time and service reliability	*	Entire Corridor	Adjustments to signal timing to achieve progression and minimize delay to rapid transit.	Micro-simulation of rapid transit operation and general traffic movements during detailed design will be used to optimize signal timing. Transit speed will be increased to maximum achievable with reasonable intersection operation.	Delay to transit or intersecting traffic may be unacceptable. May affect intersection capacity for general traffic movements.	inter-section	Moderately significant	Pursue an on-going intersection performance monitoring program	York Region	Status – Future work		No		
A5	Locate stations to maximize ridership potential and convenience of access for all users	Residents/Emp loyees within walking distance of station locations. Accessibility of stations/transit system.	•	Entire Corridor	Stations at locations with automobile- oriented land use could discourage rapid transit use.	Station locations selected to serve supportive land use. Facilities designed with weather protection, direct barrier-free access and attractive streetscapes within surrounding residential neighbourhoods.	Continued dependence on automobile if land use objectives not achieved	Greater emphasis on supportive land use	Positive effect	Regular review of land use and new or infill development potential during detailed design phases for transitway and stations.	York Region	Status –Future work York Region has developed guidelines for assessing potential locations for new viva stations, following the commencement of service.	# 640). Other supporting documents (ID #	No		

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	-	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				oject ase ¹		Potential		gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		in	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	СО	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial e	enviro	nment in t	he corridor										Ě	1
B1 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on and maximize benefits for communities in corridor	Potential displacement of community features		*	Entire Corridor	Potential displacement or loss of unique features.	Avoid known distinct community features to minimize impact; incorporate landscaping and furniture into streetscape [1] to enhance corridor and community environment.	None expected	None expected	Negligible	Future community consultation	York Region	Status – Future work		No		
(b)		Effect on community cohesion			Entire	Highway 7 may be perceived as a 'highway-like road, which in turn with the introduction of transit service vehicles, could create an unfriendly environment for pedestrians.	Design transitway to facilitate safe pedestrian road crossings with median refuge[1]. Improved streetscaping in order to create a friendlier pedestrian environment.[2]	During initial operation, vehicle/pedestria n incidents may occur due to the introduction of new traffic facilities and patterns.	Emphasis on education programs, signage, and stricter enforcement.	Negligible	Continue to monitor traffic behaviour and causes of incidents involving pedestrians.[3]	York Region	Status –ongoing [1] Preliminary design includes demarcated pedestrian crossings with safety features (audible signals, countdown signals, contrasting stripe pavement markings). There are no median rapidways in this segment, therefore median refuge is not required. [2] Preliminary design includes streetscape on the segment from Birchmount Road to Market Street; remainder of corridor is subject to future development and landscaping will be integrated at that time. [2] [3] is post-construction monitoring	H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 19-22 [1] and Sheet 24 [2] (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[1,2] ECF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (safety) – evidence found of change for no median refuge but other safety features Item [2] (streetscaping) – evidence found that design will include Not review Item [3] (monitor) –future operational Items [1 and 2] are ongoing. Item [3] is future
(c)		Community facility utilization		✓	Entire corridor	Improved transit access could	Municipality can expand services and facilities through	Community facility expansion	Include mitigation	Positive effect	Monitoring of registration levels at the	York Region	Status- Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 62 of 171

		Highway	7 C	orric	lor ar	ıd Vau		Appendix 1 Ith Link Public Transit Ir	mprovements E	A - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
		J .,					-	ation for Social Environr	•									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				oject ase ¹			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Ctatus and Description of		. <u>u</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С		ation	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial (enviro	onmen	i	increase demand on	the increased development charge revenue.	could impact stable existing communities.	measures in community facility expansion.		various facilities.					n2	
B2 (a)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations		•	High High High High High High High High	way	Implementation of	A dedicated WB transit phase of 10s and a WB transit left turn have been introduced.	Under 2021 considerations, EBL, WBT & SBT will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour, and; EBL, WBT, NBT & SBL will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour. The impact of the RT system on the intersection will be negligible as the transit vehicle will operate in conjunction with the WBL.	Under 2021 consideration s, the addition of a WB protected left turn phase should be considered.	Significant	Monitoring required for WB protected left turn phase.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(b)				~	New bloc Roa	d I	Under 2021 considerations, EBL, EBT & WBT will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour. The SBL will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	Pedestrian split phasing should be considered in detailed design phase.		None required.	Significant	Monitoring required for pedestrian split phasing.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(c)				~	Hwy N-E		Under 2021 considerations,	None required.	None expected	None required.	Insignificant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrid		•	uth Link Public Transit In	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
7	Farring and a state	F	Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manifesius and		Status and Description of		.⊑	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial er														
					Off-Ramp	WBT will approach capacity in AM peak hour, and; no capacity constraints are expected in the PM peak hour.										(2014	
(d)				√	Hwy 427 S-E/W Off-Ramp	Transit vehicles will experience delay due to heavy ramp traffic volumes.	Cycle length has been increased from 90 seconds to 120 seconds to accommodate the heavy volumes on the off ramp.	The ramp movements require more green time to maintain acceptable operating conditions.	Transit signal priority could be considered during the detailed design phase.	Moderately Significant	Monitoring required for active transit signal priority.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (e)				√	Roybridg e Road/ Vaughan Valley Boulevard	Implementation of RT reduces the intersection capacity.	N-S main phase has been increased to accommodate pedestrian crossing time.	The time for E-W main street movements will be reduced. WBT movements will operate at or near capacity.	Future pedestrian volumes should be monitored over time to determine the opportunity to provide a 2-stage crossing for pedestrians & thus allocate additional green time to the E-W main phase.		Monitoring required for 2-stage crossing.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(f)				√	Highway 27	Implementation of RT reduces the intersection capacity.	N-S green time has been increased to accommodate the minimum pedestrian crossing time.	WBL will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour. This capacity		Moderately Significant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid		-	uth Link Public Transit In pation for Social Environs	•	EA - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				oject ase ¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial	enviro	onment in th	ne corridor	_						_			œ	
								issue currently exists today.									
B2 cont'd (g)					Kipling Avenue	Requirement for transit to transit to transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit advance phase will be provided to facilitate the access/ egress of the transit vehicle to/from the transit lanes. WBR is permitted during the transit advance phase.	The additional transit phase will operate at capacity. WBT, SBT, EBL & EBT will operate at capacity or approach capacity in AM/ PM peak hour.	Split phasing should be considered to allocate additional green time to the E-W phase as the N-S phase will operate at a minimum split of 38s. Alternatively, implementati on of exclusive lanes in the SB approach for example an exclusive left, through & right turn lane should be considered.	Significant	Monitoring required for implementation of split phasing or exclusive lanes in the SB approach.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(h)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		~	Islington Avenue	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit advance phase will be provided to facilitate the access/ egress of the transit vehicle to/from the transit lanes. EBR is permitted during the transit advance phase.	EBT, WBT, NBL & SBL will operate at capacity in AM/PM peak hour. Surrounding	Pedestrian split phasing should be considered on the N-S phase to generate additional	Significant	Monitoring required for implementation of split phasing or exclusive lanes in the SB approach. When the time comes to widen this section of the	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	EA - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	ment									
_	Environmental	Environmental	Pro Ph	oject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manifering and		Status and Description of		<u>.⊑</u>	sults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	Р	СО	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial (enviro	nment in th	ne corridor							-			œ	
								lands prevent road network improvements.	green time for the E-W movements. Improvement s are not possible due to land/ grade constraints or would not improve operating conditions due to excessively high volumes.		Highway 7 to 6 lanes, dual left turn lanes should be considered.						
(i)				√	Pine	Implementation of	N-S pedestrian crossing times	The number of	remedial measures are not possible such as dual left turn lanes or signal modifications. Review	Moderately	Review property impact	York Region	Status –Does not apply to		No	Closed	
(1)					Valley Drive	RT reduces the intersection capacity.	have been increased. Protected-only EBL & WBL have been introduced. Due to property constraints, duel left turn lanes cannot be provided.	permissive left turns will be limited due to the heavy E-W through volumes. WBL, EBL & NBL will approach capacity or operate at capacity during	property impact during Preliminary Design Phase to	Significant	during Preliminary Design Phase.	TOIN Negion	the H3.4 segment		INU	(2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Cc	orrid		-	uth Link Public Transit lı ation for Social Environı	-	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P (c	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial e	nvir	onment in t	ne corridor	_									~	
								peak hours.									
(j)				~	Weston Road	Under 2021 considerations, the intersection is expected to operate at capacity during both peak hours.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (k)				~	Famous Avenue	Under 2021 considerations, WB will approach capacity during both AM and PM peak hours.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(1)				*	Highway 400 S- EW off- ramp	Under 2021 considerations, NB dual left will approach capacity in the AM peak hour, and; no capacity constraints are expected during the PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(m)				~	Highway 400 Interchan ge	As the area generates a	None required initially. However, monitoring for active signal priority is required to confirm if active signal priority is necessary in the future.	None expected	None required.	Moderately Significant	Monitoring for active signal priority required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(n)				√	Interchan ge Way	EBL, WBT & SBR will approach	None required.	Intersection will continue to	Review property	Moderately Significant	Review property impact during Preliminary	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Cc	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro Pha			Potential	Proposed Miti	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		.⊑	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P	О	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial e	nviro	nment in th		1	1	1	1	1		·			ě	
						capacity or operate at capacity. Dual EBL could not be incorporated due to property constraints.		operate at capacity.	impact during Preliminary Design Phase to assess the opportunity for dual eastbound left turn lanes.		Design Phase						
B2 cont'd (o)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		\rightarrow \right	Jane Street	Some transit vehicles are required to turn south to reach the York University.	A ten second transit phase will be provided to facilitate the movements. The NB exclusive right turn lane will be permitted during the transit phase. Review opportunities for road network improvements to improve left turn lane capacity issues.	The intersection of Highway 7 and Jane Street will operate at capacity during both peak periods. The protected left turn restrictions resulting from the RT system will result in the eastbound and westbound left turns operating at capacity.	pedestrian movement. Review opportunities for road	Moderately Significant	Monitoring required for implementation of split phasing. Review opportunities for road network improvements to improve left turn lane capacity issues.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(p)				✓	Interchan ge Way (Jane Street)	East approach is operating as a shared left-through and shared through-	Monitor east approach for widening	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Moderately Significant	Recommend further intersection analysis during Preliminary Design Phase to	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Cc	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir ation for Social Environr	-	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		.⊑	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P	o	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviro	nment in th		ı	1					-			œ	
						right. Heavy left turn volumes suggest an exclusive or dual westbound left turn lane is required.					determine if exclusive WB left turn widening is warranted.						
(q)				*	Proposed East- West Road (Jane Street)	Under 2021 Considerations, SBL will operate at capacity and NBT will approach capacity during the AM peak hour. The opposing WBR will approach capacity during the PM peak hour.	Traffic volume should be monitored to determine if a SB dual left turn lane will be required to facility the heavy volume during the morning period.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Moderately Significant	Monitoring required for SB dual left turn lane.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (r)				*	Northwest Gate (Steeles Avenue)	Under 2021 Considerations, the intersection will operate at capacity during the AM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Moderately Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(s)				✓	Keele Street	Transit vehicles are required to turn onto Highway 7.	A ten second transit phase will be provided to facilitate the movements. The WB general traffic will be permitted during the transit phase.	Both peak periods show the left turn movements operating at capacity.	Additional green time to the critical movements should be considered in the detailed design phase; or road network improvement s should be	Moderately Significant	Review opportunities to provide additional capacity for the left turn movements during detailed design phase/preliminary design phase.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	nent									
	Farrian and all	Environmental		ject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manifesion and		Status and Description of		. <u>u</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	Р	СО	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial (enviro	nment in th	e corridor										œ	
									considered in the preliminary design phase.								
(t)				√	Creditsto ne Road	WBT, NBL & EBT will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during the detailed design stage.	Significant	None required.		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(u)				*	Bowes Road/ Baldwin Avenue	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit phase will be provided.	The intersection is expected to operate at good level-of-service with the RT system.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (v)				\rightarrow \tag{\tau}	Centre Street/ North Rivermed e	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	EB transit vehicle will utilize the existing channelized right turn lane and diverge into the transitway downstream of the intersection to avoid delay.	The intersection will operate at a satisfactory LOS. NBT & EBT will approach capacity. Minimal delays or queues are expected between the two transitional intersections.	None expected	Insignificant	None required.		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrido		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	-	A - Table 10	.4-2						Comp	pliance Review (MMM)
			Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>u</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviro	nment in th	e corridor										œ	
(w)	traffic and pedestrian circulation	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		√	Centre/ Bathurst Streets	Transit vehicles are required to negotiate an EBL or SBR in the dedicated transit ROW.	EBL/SBR for transit, & EBL/EBT for general traffic has been permitted during a 10-second transit phase. All the left turn lanes operate under protected-permissive phases as the transit phase operate under an exclusive phase.	EBL, NBL & SBT will approach capacity in the PM peak hour.	None expected	Moderately Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(x)				*	Worth Boulevard /Flamingo Road (Bathurst Street)	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	be provided. SBT will be permitted during this transit phase.	NBT will operate at capacity and SBT will approach capacity. Addition green time is required in the N-S direction.	Split phasing should be considered during the detailed design stage.	Significant	Monitoring required for split phasing.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(y)				✓	Bathurst Street Connectio n Road	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	Three SB left turn lanes will be provided: one for an exclusive SB transit left turn lane; two for SB general left turn traffic. A dual EB left turn lane will be provided.	No capacity constraints.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(z)				✓	Hunter's Point Drive	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit phase will be provided. EBT will be permitted during this transit phase.	No capacity constraints.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrido		•	uth Link Public Transit In	•	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
	I.	l I				Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent		Į.			1				
ب	Environmental	Environmental	Pro Pha			Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		ï.	Results	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	lecues/Concorne	Р	o	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviro	nment in th	e corridor										<u>~</u>	
B2 cont'd (aa)				✓ ·	Yonge Street Connectio n Road	Accessing the Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station complicates the intersection operation.	WB & SB right transit movements will operate in mixed traffic utilizing the existing channelized right turn lanes. EB & SB left transit movements will remain in the dedicated transit lanes. EB left transit & general traffic movements will operate together. Similarly, SB left transit & general traffic movements will operate together. Signal priority will likely be implemented to detect buses in the transitway & activate the appropriate phases to avoid long delays & prevent the buses from doubling up.	EBL and WBT will approach capacity during the PM peak hour.	None expected	Positive effect	Monitoring required for signal priority.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(ab)				~	Red Maple Road	Requirement of mixed-traffic transition complicates the intersection operation. Under 2021 Considerations, volumes from Bayview Glen Development show the eastbound left to operate at capacity during the PM peak hour.	An advance EB through phase will be implemented into the signal timing to permit the WB transit vehicle to transition to mixed traffic. The EB left will operate as protected only.	The intersection will operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM peak hour with the WB through approaching capacity. The WBT will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	None expected	Moderately Significant	Review potential to provide a dual eastbound left turn lane during the Preliminary & Detailed Design Phases.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	orrid	or and V	•	outh Link Public Transit Ir gation for Social Environr	•	EA - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Olates and Description of		<u>.</u> ⊆	ılts	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns			Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviro	1					•	,					~	
(ac)				✓	Silver Linden Drive	EBL and WBT will operate at capacity or approach capacity in the PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Moderately Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (ad)				✓	Bayview Avenue Connecti n Ramp	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	[1] A ten second transit phase will be provided.	EBT will approach capacity in the AM peak hour.	[2] The implementati on of a dual EB left turn and/or split phasing for pedestrians should be considered during detailed design phase.	Moderately Significant	[2] Evaluate option of implementing a dual eastbound left turn lane and/or review opportunity to provide split phasing for pedestrian.		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(ae)				✓	South Park Drive/Cha Imers Road	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	[2] A ten second transit phase will be provided.	E-W phase will operate at capacity during the PM peak hour. The EBL & WBT will operate at capacity.	[1] Pedestrian split phasing should be considered.	Moderately Significant	Monitoring required for pedestrian split phasing.[3]	J	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (af)	improve road	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		*	Leslie Street	WBL, SBL, EBL, EBT & NBL will operate at capacity or approach capacity in the AM & PM peak hours. The N-S movements will require a minimum split of 49 s to serve	Improvements are not possible due to land/ grade constraints or would not improve operating conditions due to excessively high volumes. Minor remedial measures are not possible such as dual left turn lanes or signal modifications.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	Opportunities to reduce the minimum N-S split, such as a 2-stage pedestrian crossing, should be pursued as other critical	Moderately Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 73 of 171

						Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corr	idor an	_	outh Link Public Transit Ir	•	EA - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
					Effects and Mit	gation for Social Environ	ment									
	Fariananantal	Facilitation	Projec Phase	t 1	Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manitarian and		Status and Description of		.⊑	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C	O	tion Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial envi	ironment			1	•	1	_					œ	
					pedestrian crossin times. Long-term conditions expect high vehicular volumes in all approaches. Additional road improvements are insignificant due to high traffic demans from Highway 404 and surrounding future developmen	ds.		phases require the additional green time[1,2,3].								
(ag)				✓ East Beav Creel Comi e Val Drive East	due to the protected-only phases.	or would not improve operating conditions due to excessively high volumes. Minor remedial measures are not possible such as dual left turn lanes or signal modifications.	continue to	None expected	Significant	A two-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered at the Commerce Valley Drive intersection to reduce side street green time demands. [1,2,3]	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

								Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrio	dor a		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				oject nase¹			Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		<u>.</u> ⊑	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С		ocation	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect a	and enhance the so	ocial	envir	onme	ent in the		1	<u> </u>	I	1						~	
							minor remedial measures.											
B2 cont'd (ah)				•	404 E/\		Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	The WB transit vehicles will be given a green indication in conjunction with the WB traffic [1]. A ten second EB transit phase will be provided [2]. The WBT will be permitted during this phase [3]. Upstream & stop bar detection of the transit vehicle will be provided to allow the controller with advance warning and confirmation that a transit vehicle requires the advance transit phase.[4]	'	Should the resultant delays to transit vehicles be considered excessive, transit vehicle priority could be employed at both the transition intersections to advance the traffic signal display in anticipation of the arrival of the transit vehicle.	Moderately Significant	Review the need to provide transit vehicle priority.[5]	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (ai)				,	40	4 erchan	Heavy volumes on off-ramps and through Highway 7 Corridor suggest major mitigative measures will be required in future.	Major mitigative measures should be considered in future.	Congestion within the interchange will remain.	None required.	Significant	Monitor queuing on off- ramps and on Highway 7 to assess need for improvements.[1] Monitoring required for active signal priority.[2]	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (aj)				,	404 E/\	ghway 4 S- W amp	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	given a green indication in conjunction with the EB traffic. A ten second WB transit phase will be provided. The EBT will	Overall peak hour operations are not impacted. Transit delay between the two transition	Should the resultant delays to transit vehicles be considered	Moderately Significant	Review the need to provide transit vehicle priority.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
	Value/ Criterion Issues/Concerns P C C Cocation Environment Built-In Positive Attributes Potential Further after Reco												Com	pliance Review (MMM)			
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
_	Fundamental	Invironmental leue/ Criterion Phase Phase Potential Environmental luce/ Criterion P C O P C							Manifeston		Status and Description of		. <u>u</u>	ults			
GOAL		Issues/Concerns	P C	o							Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviror	ment in th	e corridor										œ	
							Upstream & stop bar detection of the transit vehicle will be provided to allow the controller with advance warning and confirmation that a transit vehicle requires the advance transit phase.	intersections is expected.	excessive, transit vehicle priority could be employed at both the transition intersections to advance the traffic signal display in anticipation of the arrival of the transit vehicle.								
B2 cont'd (ak)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			Allstate Parkway/ East Valhalla	EBL, WBT & SBR will operate at or above capacity in the AM & PM peak hours due to heavy volumes generated from the high-density office area and future Seneca College. An extended advance phase is required, which impacts the E-W available green time in the AM peak hour.	Extended EB advance phase should be considered. [3] The implementation of a channelized SB right turn lane should be examined [4] as well as a dual EB left turn lane during the detailed design stage[5].	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Moderately Significant	Review potential to provide a channelized right turn lane in the southbound direction [1] and a dual eastbound left turn lane.[2]		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

		Highway	7 Co	rrido	or and Va		Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In	nprovements E	A - Table 10	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
					E	Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
_	Environmental	Environmental	Proje Phas			Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		. <u>E</u>	Results	
GOAL		leeuse/Concorne	P C	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Res	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial en	viror	nment in the	e corridor				_						~	
(al)				V	Boulevard (Town Centre Blvd. Alignment)	negotiate an EBR or NBL in the dedicated transit ROW.	general traffic has been	EBT will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
(am)				✓		WBT, SBL, EBL & NBL will approach capacity in AM/PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
B2 cont'd (an)					Helen Avenue/ future North- South Connectio n Road	Transit vehicles are required to enter/exit the dedicated median transitway lanes.	will be provided.[1]	Under 2021 Considerations, EBL & SBL will approach capacity in the AM/PM peak hour.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	The "future North-South	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 6 (ID# Y2014-001) H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 10, 16, 21, (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[1] ECF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (phase) – evidence found that design will change to not include transit only phase Item is ongoing.

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrido		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Con	npliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	ment									
ᆛ	Environmental	Environmental	Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		<u>.⊑</u>	sults	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	РС	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial er	viro	nment in the	corridor										~	
(ao)				√	(Kennedy Road)	Transit vehicles are required to negotiate an EBL or SBR in the dedicated transit ROW. Under 2021 Considerations, heavy volumes generated from Markham Centre West and GO Unionville Station will result in capacity constraints on NBL, SBT & WBL during AM/PM peak hour.	A transit phase of 10 s has been incorporated into the signal timings to operate in conjunction with the EBL & EBT movements. Under 2021 Considerations, a dual northbound left and channelized right turn should be considered.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	Follow-up monitoring during full buildout conditions to examine the possibility of implementing a dual northbound left and channelized eastbound right turn lane.	York Region	Status – Ongoing The configuration of the Kennedy Road intersection and transitions are under development as part of the preliminary design study.		No		2014 ACR: no evidence provided, not reviewed
(ap)				√	Drive(Ken nedy Road)	Implementation of RT will reduce the intersection capacity. The proposed Markham Centre West developments at this intersection show heavy north-south volumes on Kennedy Road. WBL, NBL & EBL will approach capacity in AM/PM peak hour.	NBL & SBL will operate as protected left phases. lo reduce the northbound advance phase, improvements such as implementing a dual northbound left turn lane should be considered in the detailed design phase.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	Follow-up monitoring to assess capacity issues during the PM peak hour with NB/SB through movements and the NB left.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 Cc	rrid	or and Va		Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit Ir	nprovements E	EA - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	nent									
7	Finananantal	F	Pro Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manifesian and		Status and Description of		ij	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial e	nviro	nment in th											~	
B2 cont'd (aq)				•	Kennedy Road	Transit vehicles are required to negotiate a NBR or WBL in the dedicated transit ROW.	A transit phase of 10 s has been incorporated into the signal timings to operate in conjunction with the WBT movements.	None expected.	A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during detailed design phase to meet the minimum split requirements in both directions.	Moderately significant	A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during detailed design phase.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(ar)				✓	Bullock Drive/ Commerc ial Access	EBL will operate at capacity as a protected left turn phase in PM peak hour.	None required	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Moderately significant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(as)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		✓ 	McCowan Road	WBL & NBL will operate above capacity.	None required initially. Based on future operations, improvements to the westbound left and northbound left may be required to improve operations at the intersections during the AM peak hour. To improve operating conditions, a two-stage pedestrian crossing should be investigated in both directions during the detailed design stage.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	Investigated the need to provide a two-stage pedestrian crossing in both directions during the detailed design stage. Review special needs for the westbound left and northbound left during the AM peak hour.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Cc	orrid		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	•	:A - Table 10	J.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro Pha			Potential		igation Measures		Level of			21.1		.⊑	ılts	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р (Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial e	nviro	nment in th	e corridor										~	
(at)				✓	w Boulevard /	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit phase will be provided.	The intersection is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B2 cont'd (au)				✓	Main Street Markham	due to the	WBL will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour and WBL & NBL will approach capacity in the PM peak hour.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(av)				*	Wooten Way	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit phase will be provided.	The intersection is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(aw)				√	Ninth Line	Under 2021 considerations, EBL, SBT, NBL, NBT & WBT will approach capacity or operate at capacity in the AM/PM peak hour.	None required	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(ax)				√	Bur Oak Avenue	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation in the initial phase.	EBL transit and general traffic will operate together. Similarly, SB transit and general traffic will operate together. WBR transit vehicles will operate in conjunction with the SB phase.	The intersection is expected to operate without any capacity constraints.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrid		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	EA - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	nent					T T				
_	F	F	Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of	Manager and a second		Status and Description of		ii	Results	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	РС	О	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Res	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial e	nviro	nment in th	e corridor							-			œ	
(ay)				~	Future Markham By-Pass Extension	Under 2021 considerations, SBL will operate at capacity in the AM/PM peak hours.	Exclusive right turn lanes in all approaches should be considered in detailed design phase.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	Monitoring required for Exclusive right turn lanes.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(az)				\	Reesor Road	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	A ten second transit phase will be provided for EB transit vehicle in conjunction with the WB through general traffic.	The intersection will not be significantly impacted.	None required	Insignificant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(ba)		Need to divert from main street at various locations, as required for the preferred alignment.		✓	TTC BRT Entran ce/ Steele s Ave. IBM Entran ce/ Town Centre Blvd.	New traffic signal will be required to facilitate a safe transit movement among the general traffic.	New traffic signal is introduced.	None expected.	None Expected	Insignificant	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B2 cont'd (bb)		Potential conflict at transition points between mixed-traffic operations and median transitway operations		✓	Propos ed signali zed Beech wood Cemet ery Entran ce SB	Rapid transit may have to wait for opportunity to merge with the general through traffic resulting in service delay. New traffic signal will be required to facilitate a safe transit movement among the general traffic.	New traffic signal is introduced to accommodate transit movements. Also, this new intersection provides a better access for the cemetery.	None expected.	None Expected	Positive	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corr	idor	and Va		ith Link Public Transit In	nprovements E	A - Table 1	0.4-2			,			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						•	ation for Social Environn	•									,
	Faviranmental	Environmental	Project Phase	: t		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		. <u>u</u>	sults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	leeuee/Concerne	P C		ocation.	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect		ocial env					_	•	1						~	
(bc)		Critical left turn storage lengths		d at Fa	dual left t amous venue	High left turn volumes at this cinema's only access will deteriorate the intersection operation.	lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (306 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(bd)				d W d M	and Vestboun at Iillway	High left turn volumes resulted from future Vaughan Corporate Centre development will deteriorate the intersection operation.		Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (260 m in EB; 172 m in WB) and platform locations, the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

						Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corric	lor and Va	nughan North-So	uth Link Public Transit Ir	nprovements E	A - Table 1	0.4-2			3			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
		,			-	ation for Social Environr	-									
			Project Phase ¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. 드	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C C	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial envir	onment in th	e corridor										œ	
B2 cont'd (be)			•	Eastboun d and Westboun d left at Chalmers Road/ South Park Drive	High left turn volumes resulted from the business park will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (220m in WB), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(bf)			V	Westboun d left at Saddlecre ek Drive	High left turn volumes resulted from new development will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (250 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

						Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corri		aughan North-So	uth Link Public Transit In	•	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
					Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
	For the second of	F	Project Phase ¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of	Managarata		Status and Description of		.u	ults	
GOAL		Environmental Issues/Concerns			Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the se	ocial envir			T	T									
(bf)			*	Eastboun d and Westboun d left at Times Avenue/ Valleyme de Drive	High left turn volumes resulted from the business park will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (250 m in EB; 405 m in WB) and the platform location, the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B2 cont'd (bg)	improve road	Critical left turn storage lengths (cont'd)	•	Northbou nd left on Jane Street at Highway 407 north ramp	High left turn volumes accessing the Highway 407 will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage length has been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (230 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrid		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	A - Table 1).4-2						Con	npliance Review (MMM)
					l	Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environr	nent									
با	Environmental	Environmental	Proj Pha			Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures	,	Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		. <u>=</u>	sults	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	РС	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial e	nviro	nment in th	e corridor										~	
(bh)				✓ ·	d and Northbou nd left at	High left turn volumes accessing the GO Unionville Station will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The eastbound left turn storage length has been maximized and the northbound left turn storage length remains as existing.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (245 m in EB), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status – Ongoing The configuration of the Kennedy Road intersection and transitions are under development as part of the preliminary design study.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 6 (ID# Y2014-001) H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 10, 16, 21, (ID# Y2014-002)	No		2014 ACR: No assertion regarding configuration – under development
B2 cont'd (bi)		Widening or construction of new structures resulting in major temporary disruption to highway or railway traffic during construction	~		 Hwy 427 CP Mactier Hwy 400 McMillia n Yard Hwy 407/ Jane St. CN Halton CN Bradfor d Hwy Hwy 	general traffic. Temporary relocation of railway	Mitigation in the form of traffic accommodation plans and temporary works will be developed for all structures where disruption is unavoidable [1]. Mixed traffic operation is introduced in the area of CP Mactier, CN Halton, CN Bradford, Hwy 407/ Bathurst St., Bayview Ave., CN Bala, Hwy 404 and CP Havelock to avoid widening of structures. Lane reduction is used at Hwy 400 to minimize the widening	Reduction in transit and general traffic operation speed. Some delays likely during construction period.	None	Moderately significant	Monitor traffic operation to confirm whether dedicated transit lanes are required in the future [2].	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 Corric		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit Im ation for Social Environm	-	A - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL			PCC		Effects	Proposed Mitig Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	gation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
ОВЈЕС	TIVE B: To protect	and ennance the s	ocial envir	407/ Bathurst St. Yonge St. CN Bala Future Cedar Ave. Bayview Ave. Hwy 404 CP Haveloc k		of the structure. The widening of the rest of the structures is considered unavoidable.										

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid		aughan North-So	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	•	A - Table 1	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro Pha	ject ase ¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	igation Measures		Level of			Otata and Daniel Const		<u>.i</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns		СО	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
B2 cont'd (bj)		Access to minor side streets and properties along the Highway 7 Corridor transit routes	•		Entire Corridor	Median transitway will eliminate random left turns into minor side streets and properties thereby requiring an	In many cases, alternative access can be obtained to a site via another site access or an adjacent roadway with signalized access to Highway 7. The travel patterns for the major traffic generators will be	Conflict with U- turns and Right may decrease safety.	None necessary	Moderately significant	Monitor traffic [3] and prohibit Right Turns On Red movements from the side street at these locations if necessary [4]	York Region	Status -ongoing [1] The majority of the H3.4 segment is on dedicated alignment, therefore U-turns are not relevant. U-turns will be provided at the	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 6.3 (ID# Y2014-001)	No	[1] ECF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (U-turns) – evidence of change found that design will consider no U turns Not reviewed Item [2] (traffic mgt plans) –
						alternative access route	changed. U-turns provided at major intersections for safe manoeuvres into side streets and to properties. [1] Random permissive left turns eliminated						Rivis Road/YMCA Boulevard and YMCA Boulevard/Kennedy Road intersections. [3] and [4] are future post- construction monitoring.				evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract Items [1,2] are ongoing. Item [3,4] (monitor/prohibit) – future work in operations
							thus increasing safety. Develop traffic management plans for construction. [2]										
D2	Maintain or	U-turn		1	■ Hwv 7/	The permitted I	Follow up monitoring about his	None Expected	None	Moderately	Further monitoring	Vark Pagins	Ctatus Daga not apply to		No	Closed	
B2 cont'd (bk)	improve road traffic and pedestrian	movements and the corresponding side street right-			Helen St.;	The permitted U- turn movements at these locations may cause conflicts with	Follow-up monitoring should be undertaken to review the interaction between the U-turn movement and any opposing	INORIE EXPECTED	None Expected	Moderately Significant	should be undertaken to ensure the conflicts been reduced [1].	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		INO	(2014)	

December 2015 Page 87 of 171

		Highway	7 Corrid		ighan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit Imation for Social Environm		A - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Project Phase ¹		Potential	Proposed Mitig	gation Measures		Level of					_	llts	
GOAL	Environmental /alue/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C O	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJECTIV	E B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial enviro	nment in the	corridor			1				, and the second			ď	
cira	culation (cont'd)	turn-on-red (RTOR) movements		7/Town Centre Blvd.; Town Centre Blvd/ Cedarla nd Dr.; Kennedy Rd./ Avoca Dr.; Hwy 7/ Robinso n St./ St. Patrick School Entrance ; Hwy 7/ Grandvi ew/ Galswort hy Dr.; Hwy 7/ McCowa n Rd.; Hwy 7/ Laidlaw Blvd./Co nservati on; Hwy 7/ Wooten Way; Hwy 7/	RTOR movements.	cross-street RTOR movement [1]. A RTOR prohibition may need to be enacted to reduce conflicts at these intersections [2].										

VivaNext - H3.4 Project

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid	lor and	-	outh Link Public Transit Ir pation for Social Environr	-	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
			Pro	ject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>.</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	c	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJECT	IVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviro		the corridor	1	1	1	1	T					~	
					Ninth Line												
(bl)		Potential for Traffic Infiltration			en Dr Neigh ur-hoo Willis Rd./ Chand or Dr. Westn ster D	traffic infiltration has already been occurring to circumvent Highway 7. With future constraints placed on Highway 7, it may prove more beneficial for traffic to utilize these local roadways.	the implementation of the preferred transitway alternative	Infiltration may still require mitigation	Measures to reduce traffic infiltration could be implemented.	Insignificant	None	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
cont'd (bm)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Pedestrian Crossings		✓	 Vaught Valley Blvd./ Roybre e Gate Hwy 4 	Due to the width of the main street at intersection, pedestrians may not be able to cross the tri intersection in one signal phase based	Transitway median facilities generally provide a pedestrian refuge at mid-crossing.	These intersections may require two-stage crossing in the future to accommodate heavy main street	these special provisions should be deferred until	Moderately Significant	Monitoring is required to determine if the implementation of two-stage is a necessity.[1]	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

						Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corrid		-	uth Link Public Transit In	-	A - Table 10	.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				E	ffects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
ے _{5%}	vironmental	Environmental	Project Phase ¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		i.	sults	
		leause/Canaarna	P C O	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJECTIVE I	B: To protect a	nd enhance the so	cial enviro	nment in the	corridor	_		1	1						8	
				Creditsto	on the standard pedestrian crossing times of 7 seconds.		traffic.	operation conditions are monitored and the need is identified								

								Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	770	Cor	rido		•	uth Link Public Transit Ir	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				roje			Effects and Witig	ation for Social Environr	nent igation Measures		Level of					-	S	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns		has C		Location	Potential Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person /	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during	Compliance Document	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial	l env	viro	 nment in t	he corridor	and/or willigations [A]	Effects	Willigation	Miligation		agency	design	Reference	Rev	Revie	
						Rd./Hw 7; • McCowa n Rd.												
В3	Maintain a high level of public safety and security in corridor	Access for emergency vehicles	>	√		Highway 7, Jane Street, Town Centre Boulevard, Kennedy Road, future Bui Oak Avenue	Response Services (ERS) access and	Provided U-Turns at intersections [1]. Meet with emergency representatives [2]. Median breaks to be provided to allow access to Emergency Response Vehicles only [3].	Some risk may remain as access type will change after implementation of mitigation	design in conjunction	Insignificant	Obtain feedback from ERS [4]	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B4 (a)		Noise effect for BRT and LRT due to widening of Highway 7 Corridor			✓	Entire corridor ir proximity of residentia I uses	operation and general traffic on	but one road segment, will not exceed the 5dB threshold at	Transitway noise above likely background levels in Civic Mall at future Markham Centre location.	Depending on lower floor building uses, may require noise screening along transitway and/or noise control features in residential design along Civic Mall segment in Markham Centre area.	Insignificant	Undertake confirmation monitoring to verify compliance once the transitway is fully operational. In the event that the future noise level warrants mitigation, appropriate noise reduction measures will be put in place.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 91 of 171

		Highway	, 7 C	orrid		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In	•	A - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL		Environmental Issues/Concerns	P		Location	Potential Environment Effects		gation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
(b)		vibration effect for BRT and LRT due to widening of Highway 7 Corridor	ocial	enviro	Entire corridor in proximity of residentia I uses	Combined effect of median transitway operation and	Modeling of future traffic activities indicated that expected vibration increases will not exceed the protocol limit of 0.1 mm/sec for LRT. BRT vibration levels are expected to be negligible.	None expected	None necessary	Negligible	Undertake confirmation monitoring to verify compliance once the transitway is fully operational.	York Region	Status –Future work		No	Closed (2014)	
B5 (a)	effects on cultural	Displacement of Built Heritage Features (BHF)	√	√ ✓	Corners United Church	Widened roadway could displace some of the cemetery's graves, unless alignment is modified.	Alignment is shifted up to 5.5 m to the south	Displacement of cemetery property is completely avoided.	None required	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(b)		Displacement of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU)	√	√ ✓	None Expected	None Expected	None required	None expected	None necessary	Positive	None required	York Region	Status –No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Co	rrido		-	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	-	EA - Table 10).4-2						Con	npliance Review (MMM)
		_	Proj Pha	ject se¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		and enhance the so	ocial e				I		1	T	I		-				
B5 cont'd (c)	Minimize adverse effects on cultural resources (cont'd)	Disruption of Built Heritage Features (BHF)			s in Vaughan: 5298 Hwy 7 (#2 CLU); 5263 Hwy 7 (#2 CLU); 1423, 1445, 1453 & 1139 Centre Street (1453 may have been demolish ed since survey)(#8 BHF;	transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(d)					s in Markham: • 4592 Hwy 7;	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrio		~	uth Link Public Transit In	•	A - Table 10).4-2						Con	npliance Review (MMM)
			Pro	oject		Effects and witig	ation for Social Environn									10	
뒽	Environmental	Environmental		ase ¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of	Compliance	d in	Results	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	lecuse/Concorne	Р	С	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Re	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial (envir	onment in the	e corridor	_	1	1		_		-			œ	
					Hwy 7 (#12 BHF); • 7170 Hwy 7 (#13 BHF); • 7265 Hwy 7 (#14 BHF); • 7482 Hwy 7 (#15 BHF).												
B5 cont'd (e)				*	Church (Markham)	transit operation	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(f)		Disruption of Built Heritage Features (BHF) (cont'd)			(Markham) - 5110 Hwy 7 in shopping plaza (Markham	transit operation	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	, 7 C	orrid		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	-	A - Table 10).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	PI	roject nase ¹	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Proposed Miti Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	gation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
(g)	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial	enviro	Individual designate d building within Markham HCD now	The potential	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(h)				V)(#16	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(i)		Disruption of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU)		✓	Farm complex in Vaughan: 6701 Hwy 7 (#1 CLU)	There is potential encroachment through widening to the CLU.	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 Corrido		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit Im ation for Social Environm	•	A - Table 10	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion Value/ Cr												Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
B5	Minimize adverse effects on cultural resources (cont'd)	Disruption of Cultural	ocial enviro	Residenc es in Vaughan: 4976, 4908, 4902 & 4855 Hwy 7	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural heritage features in the Cultural Landscape – former centre of settlement. ((Brownsville)	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No		

		Highway	, 7 C	orrid		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn		EA - Table 10	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Comp	oliance Review (MMM)
ب	Environmental	Environmental	Pr Pl	oject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and		Status and Description of		ï	sults	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	Р	СО		Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
(k)	CTIVE B: To protect	and emiance the S	OCIAI	v l	Residenc es in Vaughan: 2060, 2063, 1985 &	The potential	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 Co	rrido		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	•	EA - Table 10	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Proj Pha P C	se ¹	Location	Potential Environment Effects		gation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	I TIVE B: To protect :	and enhance the so	ocial e	nviror	nment in the	e corridor		Ellects					design		œ	Rev	
(1)			✓		Farm complex in Vaughan: a) Stong Farm in York U.	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape	Complete photo documentation of site context prior to construction.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B5 cont'd (m)			✓		Farm complex in Markham: 7996 Helen Avenu e (#6	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	Complete photo documentation of site context prior to construction.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Future work		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	y 7 C	Corri	dor		ughan North-So	Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn		A - Table 10	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	oliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Р	roject hase ¹	l	ocation	Potential Environment	•	gation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of how commitment has	Compliance	ed in 5	Results	
	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	Р	С	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
(n)	TIVE B. 10 protect	and enhance the S	J	✓ I	c se t:	Centre of ettlemen Markha m Village Heritag e	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(0)				✓	С	Cemetery	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	Transitway will operate in mixed traffic to avoid widening adjacent to the cemetery.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 C	orrid	or and Va		Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In	nprovements F).4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
		guy	. •	01110		•	ation for Social Environm	•	in indicate	2						Com	phance Review (minin)
				oject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	СО	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial	enviro	nment in th	e corridor										~	
(p)				Y	Cemetery	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	Transitway will operate in mixed traffic to avoid widening adjacent to the cemetery.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B5 cont'd (q)	Minimize adverse effects on cultural resources (cont'd)	Disruption of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU) (cont'd)			Farm complex in Markham: 6937 Hwy 7 (#12 CLU) 7323 Hwy. 7 (Likely demoli shed)(# 13 CLU)	transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(r)			,			The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	Transitway development will not extend eastward beyond Reesor Road. Any rapid transit through Locust Hill to Pickering will operate in mixed traffic.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrio	dor and \	•	uth Link Public Transit Ir ation for Social Environr	•	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
				oject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Mit	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	Locatio	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial	envir	onment in	he corridor							_			œ .	
(s)				V	At grade historic railway corridor: CP Havel ck rail line (#16 CLU)	introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	Transitway development will not extend eastward beyond Reesor Road. Any rapid transit through Locust Hill to Pickering will operate in mixed traffic.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(t)					Roadsca e: Reeso Road landso ape north side. (#14 CLU)	introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes	None required – transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B5 cont'd (u)		Possible impacts to areas with potential for identification of archaeological sites	✓		Entire Corridor	There is potential for identification of archaeological sites within the project impact area.	Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment has been conducted. Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment will be performed in detailed design: field survey in accordance with Ministry of Culture Stage 1-3 Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines to identify any sites that may be present within the proposed impact area. [1]	Archaeological sites may be identified during the course of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment. In the event that deeply buried archaeological remains are encountered during construction activities, the	[3] Needs for further mitigation, possibly including Stage 3 Archaeologic al Assessment (test excavation) and Stage 4 Archaeologic al Assessment	Negligible for stage 1 Archaeological Assessment	No requirement for monitoring has been identified as a result of Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment. Monitoring may be required, depending on the result of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment.	York Region	Status –Future work		No		

		Highway	7 Corri	dor and Va		Appendix 1 uth Link Public Transit In			Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)			
				E	Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent									
_	Value/ Criterion Issues/Concerns Location Environment Built in Decitive Attributes Potential Further after Reco											Status and Description of		. <u>u</u>	ults	
GOAL		Issues/Concerns	P C		Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation		Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	cial envi	ronment in the	corridor										ď	
						identified during Stage 2 assessment, such areas must be avoided until any additional work required by the Ministry of Culture has been completed. Mitigation options, including avoidance, protection, or salvage excavation must be determined on a site-by-site basis. If no potentially significant archaeological sites are identified during Stage 2, it will be recommended to the Ministry of Culture that the areas assessed be considered free of further archaeological concern. [2]	office of the Regulatory and Operations Group, Ministry of Culture should be notified immediately. In the event that human remains are encountered during construction, both the Ministry of Culture and the Registrar or Deputy Registrar of the Cemeteries Regulation Unit, Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations should be notified immediately.	mitigative excavation), must be determined following Stage 2 Archaeologic al Assessment, if archaeologic al resources are identified								

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	, 7 Cor	rido		•	uth Link Public Transit In ation for Social Environn	-	A - Table 10).4-2						Con	npliance Review (MMM)
			Proje Phas			Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		ii	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C	o	Location		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJ	ECTIVE B: To protect	and enhance the s	ocial en	viron	ment in th	e corridor							-			œ	
B6 (a		Visual Effects	V		Entire Corridor	Introduction of transit may reduce visual aesthetics of road	Introduction of a comprehensive landscaping [1] and streetscaping plan [2] for the corridor.	Narrow sections of ROW where property cannot be acquired may limit incorporation of streetscaping		Significant	Monitor redevelopment and acquire property through redevelopment applications [3]	York Region	Status –ongoing Preliminary design includes streetscape on the segment from Birchmount Road to Market Street; remainder of corridor is subject to future development and landscaping will be integrated at that time. [1]	H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 24 [1] (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [2] (streetscaping) – evidence found that a streescaping plan will be developed Not reviewed Item [1] (landscaping) – evidence found that design will consider – needs to be included in contract specs Items [2,3] are ongoing. Item [3] (monitor) –Future operational monitoring
B6 Con (b	'd	Visual Effects	V		Hwy 404 interchan ge	If necessary in the future, achieving a dedicated transitway through the interchange by adopting an elevated solution could have an adverse effect on vistas in the area.	Initially, the option of lengthening the span of the existing interchange bridges will be analyzed and only if found impractical under traffic operations, will an elevated solution be developed. This design can be made visually acceptable given the surrounding highway interchange environment and the remoteness of adjacent land uses from which vistas may be degraded.	The overall height of the interchange works would be increased to that of the neighbouring Highway 407 interchange.	None		Monitor the level of traffic congestion affecting the reliability of the preferred mixed traffic operation to assess the effectiveness of the planned new Hwy 404 road overpass north of the interchange.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 103 of 171

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 C	orrid	or and Va	ughan North-So	uth Link Public Transit In	nprovements E	A - Table 1	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
						Effects and Mitig	ation for Social Environn	nent					1				
	For the control	F		oject ase¹		Potential	Proposed Miti	gation Measures		Level of	Mankadanad		Status and Description of		. <u>⊑</u>	ults	
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	СО	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the se	ocial	enviro	nment in th	e corridor	_	_	1	1						~	
(c)	Minimize disruption of community vistas and adverse effects on street and neighbourhood aesthetics (cont'd)	Landscaping	√	✓	Entire Corridor	Landscaping species may not survive in winter months	Choose appropriate species for both winter and other months to maintain greenery throughout corridor. Place landscaping in planters and incorporate buried irrigation systems.	Species may still not survive	Change species, irrigation patterns, etc.[1]	Insignificant	Monitor health of landscaping continuously [2]	York Region	Status – Future work Plant species will be selected in detail design [1] and monitored following construction [2].		No		
(d)		Encroachment on sites of existing buildings		✓ ✓	Immediat ely west of Leisure Lane, south side	Modification of alignment is required to avoid the south building	Alignment shifted up to 2.3 m to the north	South building setback restored; internal parking required rearranging.	None	Insignificant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
B6 Cont'd (e)		Encroachment on sites of existing retaining walls		✓ ✓	Between Islington Ave. and Bruce Street, north side	Relocation of existing retaining walls holding up residential properties would be required with the existing alignment.	Alignment shifted up to 2.8 m to the south	North retaining walls remain intact.	None	Negligible	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(f)		Encroachment on sites of existing property		✓	In the proximity of Whitmore / Ansley Grove Roads	Additional road width required accommodate station platforms would result in property encroachment solely on the south side.	Alignment shifted up to 3.8 m to the north	Property impact on both sides becomes similar.	None	Insignificant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 104 of 171

							Appendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
	Project Phase 1					•	uth Link Public Transit Ir ation for Social Environr	•	A - Table 10	0.4-2						Com	pliance Review (MMM)
	Environmental Value/ Criterion Environmental Issues/Concerns P C O				Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of			Status and Description of		<u>.⊑</u>	ults		
GOAL		leeune/Concorne	Р	СО		Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the so	ocial	enviro	1											~	
(g)		Encroachment on sites of existing buildings		✓ ✓ ———————————————————————————————————	of Weston Rd. & Hwy 7	Additional road width required accommodate station platforms would result in removal of NW building. Modification of alignment is required.	Alignment shifted up to 4.7 m to the south	Encroachment to the NW building is avoided.	None	Negligible	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(h)		Encroachment on sites of existing property		√ √	Northwest of Town Centre Boulevard & Hwy 7		Alignment shifted up to 7.0 m to the south. Agreement has been made with the developer that they will grade YRTP's proposed sidewalk at the limit of ROW.	Property impact on the north side is avoided.	None	Insignificant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(i)		Encroachment on sites of existing building		√ ✓	Southwes t of Clegg Rd. & Town Centre Boulevard	Encroachment to	Alignment shifted up to 4.1 m to the east.	Encroachment to the SW building is avoided.		Negligible	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(j)		Encroachment on sites of existing property		√ ✓	Between Bullock Dr. and McCowan Rd., north side	North property would be subjected to greater property impact than the south.	Alignment shifted up to 1.2 m to the south.	Property impact on the north side is minimized.	None	Moderately significant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Highway	7 Corr	idor ar	•	Appendix 1 South Link Public Transit Ir tigation for Social Environr	•	A - Table 1	0.4-2			Compliance Monitoring			Comp	oliance Review (MMM)
AL	Environmental	Environmental	Project Phase	1	Potential	Proposed Mit	igation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of	Compliance	d in	Results	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	P C		ation Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendation	person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To protect	and enhance the se	ocial env	ironmen	t in the corridor										<u> </u>	
B6 Cont'd (k)		Encroachment on sites of existing property	*	of	n d and		Property impact on the north side is avoided.	None	Insignificant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(1)		Encroachment on sites of existing buildings	✓	Dr./		to the north.	Encroachment of new boulevard on sites of existing buildings is minimized.		Moderately significant	None Required	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

		Highway 7	Corr	idor		aughan North-South	pendix 1 Link Public Transit Ir n for Natural Environ	•	- Table 10.4-3				Compliance Monitoring			Complia	ance Review (MMM)
				oject		Potential		osed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significa	Wonitoring				. ⊑		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	O Lo	ation Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigation	and	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review	Notes
-	·	ct and enhance the nat	ural e	nviro	nment	1		ı	T-	1	1						
C1 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on Aquatic Ecosystems			✓	✓ Enti Cor		No refuelling within 10 m of a watercourse[1] Emergency Response Plan[2]	Short term population decline. Some contaminants within storm-water system.	None practical	Insignific ant	None required	York Region	Status –Future work		No		
C1 cont'c (b)		Sediment laden stormwater entering watercourses during construction		√	Enti Cor		f Construction fencing at work areas near watercourses limiting area of disturbance.[1] [2] Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan.	Short term population decline.	None practical	Insignific ant	None required	York Region	Status –Future work		No		
(c)		Sediment laden stormwater entering watercourses during operation			✓ Enti Cor		Stormwater management facilities such as grassed swales, oil and grit separators, stormwater ponds. Detailed Storm Water Management Plan will be prepared during the detailed design stage. [1]	Short term population decline.	Clean-out facilities as required. [2]	Insignific ant	Monitor sediment accumulation in stormwater management facilities.[3]	York Region	Status – Ongoing A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design [1].	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendix D (ID# Y2014-001) [1]	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (SWMP) — evidence found that SWMP will be developed Item [1] ongoing Not reviewed Item [2] (clean-out) — future operational Item [3] (monitor) — future operational
C1 cont'o (d)		Loss of site-specific habitat.		√	All wate es v enti corr	culverts/bridges,		culverts that convey watercourses that	Negotiations with regulatory agencies during detail design [7]. Compensate for the harmful alteration of fish habitat. [8]	Insignific ant	On-site environmental inspection during in-water work. [9] Post- construction monitoring of fish habitat	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1-9] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River Tributary 4 has been developed and is subject to further discussion with regulatory agencies. [9,10] are future work.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Items [1-8] (fish) — evidence found that design will consider — fish in general Items [1-8] remain ongoing Items [9-10] (construction / post-construction) — is

December 2015 Page 107 of 171

							• •	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corr	idor		•		Link Public Transit In n for Natural Environr	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	nce Review (MMM)
_	Facility	Fusing and antal		oject nase¹			Potential	Propo	osed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				in		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	O Lo	ocation	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review	Notes
OBJ	ECTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the nat	tural e	nviro	nment	in the c	orridor			·								
								Minimize the area of in- water alteration to the extent possible. [4] Follow in-water construction timing restriction.[5] Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass system.[6]				compensation measures.[10]			from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 [1] (ID# Y2014- 002)			for construction phase/post construction – future work
C1 conti (e)	d effects on Aquatic	Fish mortality		*	es v	tercours within	Fish may be injured or killed by dewatering.	Design transitway cross-sections to avoid modifications at culverts/bridges.[1] Avoid in-water work to the extent possible.[2] Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass system.[3] Capture fish trapped during dewatering of the work zone and safely release upstream.[4] Prohibit the entry of heavy equipment into the watercourse. [5]	None expected.	None	Negligible	On-site environmental inspection during in-water work [6].	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] does not apply to H3.4. [2] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River Tributary 4 has been developed and is subject to further discussion with regulatory agencies. [3,4,5,6] are future work.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9 (ID# Y2014-001) [2] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 [2] (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Items [2] (avoid) — evidence found that design will consider avoidance Item [2] ongoing Not Reviewed Items [1] (modifications) — closed Items [3-9] (construction) — is for construction phase— future work
(f)		Barriers to fish movement.		✓		tercours	Culvert/bridge extension, repair or replacement may	Use open footing culverts or countersink closed culverts a minimum of	Culvert extensions will be designed to avoid the creation of	Negotiations with regulatory agencies during detail design.[1]	Negligible	On-site environmental inspection	York Region	Status –Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 108 of 171

							Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Cor	rido	r an	_		Link Public Transit Im ı for Natural Environn	-	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	nce Review (MMM)
				rojec hase			Potential	Propo	sed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				.E		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the na	tural	envir	onme	ent in the c		1	1	1	1							
						entire corridor.	create a barrier to fish movement.	20% of culvert diameter. Span the watercourse, meander belt or floodplain with new structures where warranted by site conditions. [2]	a barrier to fish movement.			during in-water work. [2]						
(g)		Baseflow alterations		¥			New impervious surfaces can lead to changes in the frequency, magnitude and duration of flows.	Reduce the area of impervious surfaces to the extent possible [1]. Use stormwater management practices that encourage infiltration and recharge of groundwater [2].	None expected.	None		Post- construction inspection of stormwater management facilities to evaluate their effectiveness [3]. On-going maintenance as required.[4]	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] The preliminary design has significantly minimized the impervious footprint by minimizing boulevard areas west of Market Drive, and eliminating boulevard east of Market Drive, and minimizing widening on YMCA Boulevard [1]. [2] A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design, and will be further developed in future detail design in consultation with agencies. [3] is future post-construction monitoring.	H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 12-15 [1] (ID# Y2014-002)	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (reduce) – evidence found regarding eliminating boulevard Not reviewed Item [2] (SWM) – evidence NOT found in Appendix D that SWMP will include infiltration – not part of criteria – subsequently clarified that evidence was for Item [1] only – not reviewed. Items [1,2] are ongoing. Item [3] (inspection) –future Item [4] (maintenance) – future
(h)		Increased temperature		✓		All watercours es within entire corridor	Clearing of riparian vegetation and stormwater management practices can impact temperature	Minimize the area of stream bank alteration to the extent possible. [1] Use stormwater management practices that encourage infiltration	Shading provided by culvert/bridge offsets shading lost through removal of riparian vegetation.		Negligible	Post- construction inspection of stormwater management facilities to	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River Tributary 4 has been developed and is subject to	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9 [1] (ID# Y2014- 001)	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (minimize) — evidence found regarding eliminating boulevard Not reviewed

December 2015 Page 109 of 171

							Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corr	idor	and \	•		ink Public Transit In for Natural Environr	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	ance Review (MMM)
				oject			Potential	Propo	osed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				Ë		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	O Lo	ocation	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n		Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has beer addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the nat	ural e	nviro	nment	t in the co												
								and recharge of groundwater. [2]				evaluate their effectiveness [4]. On-going maintenance as required [5]. Post-construction inspection of riparian plantings to confirm survival [6].		further discussion with regulatory agencies. [2] A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design, and will be further developed in future detail design in consultation with agencies. [3,4,5,6] are future work.	H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 [1] (ID# Y2014- 002)			Item [2] (SWM) – evidence NOT found in Appendix D that SWMP will include infiltration – not part of criteria – subsequently clarified that evidence was for Item [1] only – not reviewed. Item [3] (resort) – ongoing Items [1-3] are ongoing. Items [4-6] (inspection and maintenance) –future construction / post construction
(i)		Disturbance to rare, threatened or endangered species		•	s w	atershed vithin tire rridor.	watershed known to support redside dace, American brook lamprey, and central stoneroller. Don River watershed known to support redside dace and American brook lamprey. Rouge River watershed known to support redside dace, American brook lamprey, and central stoneroller. [1-6]	Design transitway cross- sections to avoid modifications at culverts/bridges. [1] Mixed traffic operation has been introduced at the Humber River, West Don River, East Don River and Little Rouge Creek bridges to avoid widening and disturbance to rare, threatened and endangered species. [2] Avoid in-water work to the extent possible. [3] Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass		None required.	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River Tributary 4 (new crossing as per the EA) has been developed and is subject to further discussion with regulatory agencies. [2] MNRF has advised that there are no SAR identified at this location. [2] Does not apply to the H3.4 segment. [3,4,5,6] are future work.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 (ID# Y2014- 002) [1] Correspondence from MNRF re	No	[1,2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Numbering updated for clarity. Item [1] (avoid) – UNCLEAR previous described as not Item [1] is ongoing. Further explanation is that there is no existing structure but a new structure. Item [1] is not applicable and is closed. Item [2] (SAR) – evidence found that this is not applicable – Closed Not reviewed Items [3-6]–future construction Suggest status be updated

December 2015 Page 110 of 171

								endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corr	dor	and	_		Link Public Transit Im I for Natural Environr	-	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	ance Review (MMM)
7	Environmental	Environmental		oject ase¹			Potential	Propo	osed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. <u>i</u>		
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns		С	0	ocation.	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE		ct and enhance the nat	urai e	iviror	rimen	i in the C	orridor	system. [4] Capture fish trapped during dewatering of the work zone and safely release upstream. [5] Prohibit the entry of heavy equipment into the watercourse. [6]							natural heritage features/SAR, August 26, 2014 (ID# Y2014-003) [2]			
C2 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on Terrestrial Ecosystems	Loss of wildlife habitat and ecological functions		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			Construction of the transitway and associated facilities may result in the removal of vegetation and ecological functions it supports.	Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the extent possible.[1] Minimize grade changes to the extent possible.[2] Use close cut clearing and trimming to minimize the number of trees to be removed.[3] Delineate work zones using construction fencing/tree protection barrier.[4] Protect trees within the clear zone using guiderail, curbs, etc. to prevent removal.[5]		Restore natural areas disturbed using construction with native vegetation, where feasible [6]. Replace ornamental vegetation as part of landscaping [7].	Negligible	None required.		Status – Ongoing [1,2] Preliminary removals are shown on the preliminary design drawings. Most of the corridor between Birchmount and Sciberras has previously been cleared by the developer. East of Sciberras, the improvements are contained within the existing road corridors. The vertical alignment has been developed to accommodate existing built constraints. No grade changes are contemplated on existing roads. Removals and grading will be finalized in detail design.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 3.2 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets6-10 (ID# Y2014-002) [1]	No	[1,2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (minimize) — evidence found indicates minimal removals Item [2] (grade) — evidence found indicates no grade changes Items [3-7]—future DD/construction
C2 cont'c (b)	1	Wildlife mortality		√ ,		orridor.	Removal of wildlife habitat may result in wildlife mortality.	Perform vegetation removals outside of wildlife breeding seasons (typically April 1 to July 31). [1,2]	None expected.	None required.	Negligible	None required.		Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 111 of 171

						Ar	ppendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corr	idor		aughan North-South	Link Public Transit li on for Natural Environ	•	- Table 10.4-3							Compli	ance Review (MMM)
				oject nase ¹		Potential	Prop	osed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				.⊑		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns				ation Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has beer addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ect and enhance the nat	tural e	nviro	nment i	the corridor	T	Т	Т	T							
							Perform culvert/bridge extension, repair and replacement outside of wildlife breeding season. [1,2]										
(c)		Barriers to wildlife movement and wildlife/vehicle conflicts			✓ Enti corri		terrestrial wildlife passage under new/ realigned bridges.[1] f New or modified culverts and bridges will be investigated during preliminary and detail design to identify opportunities to promote wildlife passage [2] Methods to enhance wildlife passage such as increasing vertical and horizontal clearances, driffence, dry benches, etc. will be taken into consideration.[3]	barrier created by Highway 7. Required culvert extensions will not impede wildlife passage under Highway 7.	Use of existing culverts/bridges maintains wildlife passage under transitway and does not offer opportunities to enhance wildlife passage.	Insignific ant at new/ realigned bridges with appropria te mitigation s	None required.	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River Tributary 4 has been developed and is subject to further discussion with regulatory agencies.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 (ID# Y2014- 002) [1]	No		2014 ACR: Items [1-3] no assertion is made about how these have been addressed not reviewed
(d)		Wildlife/vehicle conflicts			✓ Enti	e Increase in width o	f Span bridges across the meander belt.[1] Use oversized culverts to promote wildlife passage	Transitway represents an incremental increase in road width	None required.	Insignific ant	None required.	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1] A preliminary design for the crossing of Rouge River	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 4.9	No		2014 ACR: Items [1-3] no assertion is made about how these have been addressed - not reviewed

December 2015 Page 112 of 171

							App	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Cor	rido	r an	_		ink Public Transit Im. for Natural Environn	-	- Table 10.4-3							Complian	ce Review (MMM)
				rojec			Potential	Propo	sed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. u		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С		Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design		Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the na	tural	envir	ronm													
							wildlife/vehicle	under the road.[2] Stagger culvert inverts to create wet and dry culverts.[3]	compared to existing hazard to wildlife created by Highway 7.					Tributary 4 has been developed and is subject to further discussion with regulatory agencies.	(ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheet 25 (ID# Y2014- 002) [1]			
C2 cont'd (e)		Disturbance to rare, threatened, or endangered wildlife		<			the study area: rough-legged hawk (non-breeding migrant/vagrant, extremely rare breeding occurrence by MNR); northern shrike (non-breeding migrant/vagrant, very rare to uncommon breeding occurrence by	Prevent the harassment of eastern milk snake if encountered during construction. [1] Perform vegetation removals outside of wildlife breeding seasons (typically April 1 to July 31). [2] Perform culvert/bridge extension, repair and replacement outside of wildlife breeding season. [2,3]	None expected.	None required.	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – Ongoing A preliminary ELC and wildlife habitat assessment was completed to support the preliminary design. Further studies will be carried out during detail design.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendix B (ID# Y2014-001) [1]	No		2014 ACR: Items [1-3] – assumed to be future works in Detailed Design / construction – no assertion made -not reviewed
(f)	Minimize adverse effects on	Disturbance to vegetation through		✓			Clearing of new forest edges may	Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the	Vegetation communities within	Landscape treatments.	Insignific ant	None required.	York Region	Status - Ongoing	Preliminary Design Report –	No	[1,2, 7] EF (2014)	2014 ACR:

December 2015 Page 113 of 171

						Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corri	dor a	•		Link Public Transit Im n for Natural Environr	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	nce Review (MMM)
				ject ase¹		Potential		sed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				u		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns		0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	Terrestrial Ecosystems (cont'd)	et and enhance the nature dege effects, drainage modifications and road salt	ural en	vironr	nent in the o	result in sunscald, windthrow, and invasion of exotic species. Ditching, grading and other drainage modifications may alter local soil moisture regimes. Road salt may result in vegetation mortality and die back.	possible. [2] Use close cut clearing and trimming to minimize	incremental encroachment into these already disturbed communities.					[1,2] Preliminary removals are shown on the preliminary design drawings. Most of the corridor between Birchmount and Sciberras has previously been cleared by the developer. East of Sciberras, the improvements are contained within the existing road corridors. The vertical alignment has been developed to accommodate existing built constraints. No grade changes are contemplated on existing roads. Removals and grading will be finalized in detail design. [3,4,5,6,7] are future work.	H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 3.2 (ID# Y2014-001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets6-10 (ID# Y2014-002) [1]			Item [1] (minimize) – evidence found indicates minimal removals Item [2] (grade) – evidence found indicates no grade changes Item [7] – Landscape Treatment – evidence found in IDY2014-001 Items [1,2,7] are ongoing Not reviewed Items [3,4,6]–future DD/construction Items [5]–future operations
(g)		Disturbance to rare, threatened or endangered flora	,		Entire Corridor.	Twenty-two regionally rare or uncommon species are located within the study limits including: Black	Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the extent possible. [1] Minimize grade changes to the extent possible. [2]	transitway and its	None required.	Insignific ant	Monitor clearing activities to ensure that minimum work zones are used to avoid any	York Region	Status – Ongoing [1,2] A preliminary ELC and wildlife habitat assessment was completed to support the preliminary design.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Section 3.2 and Appendix B (ID# Y2014-001)	No	[1,2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (minimize) – evidence found indicates minimal removals Item [2] (grade) – evidence

December 2015 Page 114 of 171

							App	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway	7 Corı	idor	r an	•	an North-South	Link Public Transit Im I for Natural Environn	•	Table 10.4-3							Complia	nce Review (MMM)
				roject hase ¹			Potential		sed Mitigation Measu	ires	Level of Significa	Monitoring				ii		
GOAL	Environmenta Value/ Criterio		Р	С	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed i 2015	Review Results	Notes
OB.	ECTIVE C: To pro	tect and enhance the n	atural e	nviro	onme	ent in the co	orridor		<u>. </u>									
							Walnut, Common Evening Primrose, Cut-leaved Toothwort, Groundnut Hitchcock's Sedge, Michigan Lily, Ninebark, Purple-stemmed Angelica, Red Cedar, Red Pine, Red-sheathed Bulrush, Sandbar Willow Shining Willow, Showy Tick-trefoil, Spike-rush Spotted Water Hemlock, Spring-beauty, Stickseed, Tall Beggar-ticks, Three-square Turtlehead and Virginia Wild-rye.	Use close cut clearing and trimming to minimize the number of trees to be removed. [3] Delineate work zones using construction fencing/ tree protection barrier. [4] Protect trees within the clear zone using guiderail, curbs, etc. to prevent removal. [5] Transplant rare species to safe areas prior to construction. [6]				unnecessary tree removal.[7]		preliminary design drawings. Most of the corridor between Birchmount and Sciberras has previously been cleared by the developer. East of Sciberras, the improvements are contained within the existing road corridors. The	from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT),			found indicates no grade changes Items [1,2] are ongoing Not reviewed Items [3,4,5,6,7]—future DD/construction

December 2015 Page 115 of 171

						Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7 C	orrid	lor a	•		ink Public Transit Im for Natural Environn	•	- Table 10.4-3							Compliar	nce Review (MMM)
			Proj Pha			Potential	Propo	sed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. <u>u</u>		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	P C		Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review	Notes
C3 (a)	Improve regional air quality and minimize adverse	Degradation of existing local and regional air quality when compared to MOE standards	ral env	_	York Region	Situation expected to be unchanged or marginally better than 2001	The fleet average emissions will drop significantly due to technological improvements balancing the increase in traffic volumes. The BRT will divert commuters from individual highly polluting sources (single passenger automobiles)	Forecast improvement in all pollutants assessed (PM ₁₀ , NOx, SO ₂ , CO) when comparing 2021 forecasts with and without the proposed Rapid Transit (see Tables 4.3 & 4.4 of Appendix L, 3.6% decrease in PM ₁₀ & CO, 4.4% in SO ₂)	None required	Positive Effect	None recommended	York Region	Status –completed An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been addressed.	H3-RPT-Q-ENV- 030203-final AQ Report_ROI- 2011-04- 29Senses.pdf (ID#7270) MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)	No	EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 7713) was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed.
C3 cont'd (b)		Increase in emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GhG)		✓		Fewer GhGs are expected to be emitted	Compared to the status quo (no additional transit) there will be far less GhGs emitted per commuting person	Reduction per capita emissions of GhGs (overall annual reduction of 54 kilotonnes of CO ₂ forecast in 2021)	None required	Positive Effect	None recommended	York Region	Status -completed An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report	(ID#7270) MOE Letter of	No	EF (2011) Closed (2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 7713) was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed.

							Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corri	idor		-		ink Public Transit In for Natural Environr	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complian	ice Review (MMM)
				oject nase ¹			Potential	Propo	osed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. <u>u</u>		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns		С	0	cation	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the nat	ural e	nviro	nment i	in the co	orridor	T		1	1				47.0044			
														in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been addressed.	17, 2011 (ID#7713)			
(c)		Degradation of air quality during construction		√	Con	ridor	·	The law requires that all possible pollutant emission mitigation steps possible be taken during construction activities	Some PM emissions locally.	None required.		Regular inspection of site dust [1] and construction vehicle exhaust emissions [2] during construction in compliance with MOE's standards and municipal bylaws.	York Region	Status- Future work .		No		
C4 (a)	hydro-geological, geological,	Water quality in shallow groundwater that can affect quality in surface watercourses			ly do	ated raulical own	require de-icing salt	Dilution and other natural processes will attenuate elevated parameters in groundwater.	Potential effects to water quality of surface water courses.	Reduce application of road salt, where possible. Curbs and gutters to convey impacted runoff away	ly	None required. Water quality effects are anticipated to remain	York Region	Status – Future work Road salt application is a future operation and maintenance issue		No		

December 2015 Page 117 of 171

						Арр	endix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corrid	lor an	•		ink Public Transit Im	•	- Table 10.4-3							Compliar	nce Review (MMM)
					Effect	ts and Mitigation	for Natural Environn	nent									
	For town or state	For the control	Proj Pha			Potential	Propo	sed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. <u>u</u>		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	PC	0	Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review	Notes
OBJE		ct and enhance the nat	ural env				T	1	T	1							
	geomorphic conditions				alignment, where receiving surface watercours es are present.	substances that can impact water quality of runoff. Impacted runoff that infiltrates can increase concentrations in shallow groundwater. Potential to affect shallow groundwater that discharges to surface watercourses.		Groundwater quality effects are anticipated to be detectable.	from permeable soil areas.		acceptable.						
C4 cont'd (b)		Water quality in shallow groundwater that can affect quality in water supply wells			located hydraulical ly down gradient of transit alignment, where shallow dug wells in active use are present.	and also will	Dilution and other natural processes will attenuate elevated parameters in groundwater.	Potential effects to groundwater quality used as drinking water. Groundwater quality effects in water wells may be detectable.	Reduce application of road salt, where possible. [1] Curbs and gutters to convey impacted runoff away from permeable soil areas.	ly Significan t	Water quality	G	Status – Future work [2,3] Well investigation and contingency plans, if required, will be addressed prior to construction. [1] Road salt application is a future operation and maintenance issue		No		

December 2015 Page 118 of 171

						Ap	pendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corri	dor		•	Link Public Transit Ir n for Natural Environ	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complia	nce Review (MMM)
				oject ase¹		Potential	Prop	oosed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significa	Wonttoring				<u>.</u>		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С	Loca O		Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	Basammandat	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the nat	ural e	nviror	nment in	he corridor	T		T		. "						
											on water quality [2]. If it does or domestic well use is confirmed, a contingency plan will be developed. [3]						
C4 cont'c (c)		Baseflow in surface water courses		•	areas within propos alignm particu	pavement area decreases the pervious area that ent, existed prior to larly construction, s of proportionally decreased recharge to shallow groundwater.	N/A	Decreases in recharge can decrease baseflow in surface water course(s). Reduced baseflow in surface watercourses.	Construction of pervious surfaces where practical, including grassed areas and permeable pavements.	Negligible	None required. The degree of impact is anticipated to be undetectable.	York Region	Status – Ongoing The preliminary design has significantly minimized the impervious footprint by minimizing boulevard areas west of Market Drive, and eliminating boulevard east of Market Drive, and minimizing widening on YMCA Boulevard [1]. A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design and will be further developed in future detail design in consultation with agencies. [1].	H3.4 Rapidway Transit Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 12-15 [1] (ID# Y2014-002)	No		2014 ACR: Item [1] (pervious) – evidence was NOT found in Appendix D that SWMP will include construction of pervious surfaces . Further information from OE indicted this items will be developed later. Not reviewed. Bold and underlining should be removed.
(d)		Increased pavement; decreased infiltration		,	Entire corrido	Minor increase in quantity of surface runoff. Minor decrease in quantity of groundwater.	Storm water management facilities such as grassed swales and storm water ponds.	Minor increase in peak streamflows. Minor decrease in groundwater.	None practical	Negligible	None required	York Region	Status –No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
(e)		Changes in flood levels		,	✓ Beave	HEC-RAS model	No increase in Regional	N/A	N/A	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to		No	Closed	

							Арр	pendix 1						Compliance Monitoring				
		Highway 7	Corı	idor	and	•		Link Public Transit In n for Natural Environr	•	- Table 10.4-3							Complian	ce Review (MMM)
				roject hase			Potential	Propo	osed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significa	Monitoring				. <u>u</u>		
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	С		Location	Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	nce after Mitigatio n	and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To prote	ct and enhance the nat from the widening of existing bridges and culverts	tural e	enviro	(Creek crossing at	provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing culvert by 10 m.	storm or return period flood levels upstream of the crossing. See Appendix G for results of the analysis.						H3.4 segment			(2014)	
(f)					() ()	River (Apple Creek) crossing at Sta	HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing bridge by 18 m.	Regional storm flood level upstream of the bridge would increase by up to 50 mm. No increase in return period flood levels upstream of the crossing. See Appendix G for results of the analysis.	not adversely impact upstream water levels.		Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
(g)					F	River crossing at Sta 43+256	HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing bridge by 8 m.	No increase in Regional storm flood levels. Return period flood levels upstream of the crossing would increase by up to 30 mm. See Appendix G for results of the analysis.	Minor increase in return period flood levels. Widening will not adversely impact upstream water levels.	N/A	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
C4 cont'd (h)		Changes in flood levels from the construction of a new bridge.			F	River crossing at Sta 540+190	HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to a proposed bridge with a width of 10 m and a span of 30 m.	upstream of the bridge would increase by up to 20 mm. The 100 year return period flood level would increase by 110 mm just upstream of the crossing	Minor increase in Regional storm flood level. Increase in 100 year flood level. The 100 year flood level is over 2 m below the Regional storm flood. No change in existing regulatory floodline or developable area.	N/A	Negligible The 100 year flood level is contained within the Regional storm flood plain and the increase	None required.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 120 of 171

		Highway 7	' Corridor	and	-	n North-South	endix 1 Link Public Transit Im ı for Natural Environr	•	Table 10.4-3				Compliance Monitoring		Complian	ce Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Project Phase ¹	L	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Ruilt In Docitivo	Potential Residual Effects		Level of Significa nce after Mitigatio n	Monitoring and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect	ct and enhance the na	atural enviro	nmen	nt in the co	orridor										
							the analysis.			is not significan t.						

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

December 2015 Page 121 of 171

						Арре	endix 1										
		Hi	ghway	7 C			ink Public Transit Improven Growth and Economic Devel		0.4-4				Compliance Monitor	ring		Complian	ce Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Projec Phase		Location	Potential Environment Effects	Proposed N	litigation Measures		Level of Significance	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of how	Compliance	ed in	w Its	
	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns te smart growth and	P C				Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	after Mitigation	Recommendat ion	person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
D1 (a)	Support Regional and Municipal Planning Policies and approved urban structure	Need for pedestrian- friendly streets and walkways for access to stations				Streetscape will create a more pedestrian-friendly atmosphere.	Signalized pedestrian crosswalks will be provided at all station locations and an appropriate number of intersections[1]; Pedestrian safety will be considered in the design of station precincts [2] and road signage will be highly visible to both pedestrians and automobiles.[3]	Potential for jaywalking in vicinity of stations, which could lead to increase in number of vehicle/pedestrian incidents.	Platform edge treatment will discourage illegal access [4]		Monitor traffic accidents involving pedestrians to establish whether cause is transit related. [5]	York Region	Status - ongoing [1] The Preliminary Design drawings show provisions for a future signalized intersection at the Market Drive station, and at all roadway	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Sections 4, 5, 6, 16 (ID# Y2014- 001) [1] H3.4 Rapidway Transit	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Numbering revised for clarity Item [1] (intersections) – evidence found that design will consider this. Not review Item [2] (safety) Item [3] (signage)
													intersections within the project limits. [3] Signage in the station areas and at	Improvements from Birchmount Road to Kennedy Road, Preliminary Design Drawings, October 28, 2014 (DRAFT), Sheets 8, 19-22 (ID# Y2014-002) [1]			Item [4] (platform) – Items [1-4] are ongoing. Item [5] (monitor) –future – operations
(b)		Locating higher density and transit- oriented development where it can be served by transitway		r	redevelopme		development or re-development in support of OP objectives.	surrounding areas	Apply Municipal Site Plan approval process	Insignificant	Monitor re- development activity to control overall increase in development density	York Region / Vaughan / Markham / Richmond Hill	Status –Future work, as re-development is planned.		No		
D1 cont'd (a)		Reflection of historical districts through urban design and built form.			Markham	Station aesthetics may not be compatible with the character of heritage districts along the corridor.	In the area of Main Street, the rapid transit is discontinued with rapid transit operating in mixed traffic. Incorporate station designs and features that reflect the surrounding historical districts where further redevelopment is limited through consultation with community and heritage groups.	generally north of Highway 7.	Apply Municipal Site plan approval process		Municipalities to monitor nature of re- development in sensitive districts	York Region / Markham	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

						Appe	ndix 1										
		Hi	ghway	7 C	Corridor an	d Vaughan North-South Li	nk Public Transit Improvem	ents EA - Table 10).4-4				Compliance Monitor	ing		Complian	ce Review (MMM)
					Effects	and Mitigation for Smart G	rowth and Economic Devel	opment									
AL	Environmental	Environmental	Proje Phas		1	Detection Fig. 1	Proposed M	itigation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of how	Compliance	d in	× s	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	P C	0	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Recommendat ion	person / agency	commitment has been addressed	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promot	e smart growth and e	conom	ic de	velopment in							,	during design		ď		
D2	Provide convenient access to social and community facilities in corridor	Potential barrier effects during construction and operation			Entire corridor	a barrier in access to future	Construction Traffic and Pedestrian Management Plan will avoid wherever possible, barriers to entrances/exits to large attractors along Highway 7.[1] Transitway median design will recognize pedestrian access requirements, particularly in proximity to community facilities.[2]	Alternative access routes to facilities may affect adjacent properties	Mark detours and alternative access points clearly [3]	Š	Monitor congestion levels during construction and traffic patterns during operations. [4]	York Region	Status – ongoing Principles for disruption management are outlined in the Preliminary Design Report	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Sections 13 (ID# Y2014-001)	No	[1,2[EF (2014)	2014 ACR: items renumbered for clarity Items [1] (barriers) – evidence found that design will consider traffic management Not reviewed Item [2] (pedestrians) – evidence found that design will consider this Items {1,2] are ongoing. Not reviewed Item [3] (detours) – future construction Item [4] (monitor) – future construction
D3 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on business activities in corridor	·			Entire corridor	potential for business activity.	A higher density of development on underutilized sites, infill locations and on vacant land should increase the market for some business activity.	traffic; increase in	Encourage intensification meeting urban form objectives.		Monitor building applications/ permits, economic influences (employment rate, etc.)	York Region / Vaughan / Markham / Richmond Hill	Status –Future work, as development is planned.		No	Closed (2014)	
D3 cont'd (b)		The potential for a decrease in business activity.	√	\	Entire corridor	Modification of road access could lead to displacement and/or business loss.	Implement procedures to address requests of affected businesses; Incorporate design solutions and construction methods to minimize number of businesses affected.	Decrease in traffic; decrease in workforce/population	Encourage alternative compatible development	9	Cooperative response to business loss concerns addressed to municipalities.	York Region	Status –Future work Business outreach program will be developed prior to construction		No		

						Арре	endix 1										
		Hi	ghway	, 7 C	orridor an	d Vaughan North-South L	ink Public Transit Improven	nents EA - Table 10).4-4				Compliance Monitori	ng		Complian	ce Review (MMM)
					Effects	and Mitigation for Smart G	Frowth and Economic Devel	opment									
٩L	Environmental	Environmental	Proje Phas		1	D. (.) 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Proposed N	litigation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of how	Compliance	d in	* 73	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	РС	0	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	Recommendat ion	person / agency	commitment has been addressed	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE D: To promot	te smart growth and e	conom	ic de	velopment in	the corridor							during design		œ		
D4 (a)	Protect provisions for goods movement in corridor	Ease of Truck Movement	· ·		Entire Corridor	Median transitway will restrict truck movement in corridor Construction may limit access for	Provided U-turns at major intersections to allow for truck access to side streets and properties. Traffic analysis at intersections indicated sufficient capacity for trucks using U-turns. Traffic management plan to ensure	sufficient turning width for WB 17 (articulated trucks).	Designate truck routes.		Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement	York Region York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment There are no sections of median transitway as part of the H3.4 interim configuration. Status –Future work		No No	Closed (2014)	
(D)					Corridor	trucks	truck access at all times	some areas	alternative truck routes	Ivegligible	None required	Tork Negion	Status –i utule work		INO		
D4 cont'd (a)		Truck U-turn Movement Prohibited			Westbound at Kipling Ave. intersection	The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: the gas station at the SE corner also has an access on Kipling Ave.; there is no other commercial property on the south side between Kipling Ave. and Islington Ave.	None required.	None expected.	None required.		Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 lanes to 6 lanes.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

					Δηηρ	endix 1										
		Hi	nhway 7	Corridor ar	• •	ink Public Transit Improven	nents FA - Table 10) 4-4				Compliance Monitori	na		Complianc	e Review (MMM)
		•	ga, .		•	Frowth and Economic Deve						Compilative monitori	''9		oomphane	e review (illimit)
AL.	Environmental	Environmental	Project Phase ¹				litigation Measures		Level of	Monitoring and	Responsible	Status and Description of how	Compliance	d in	≥ S	
GOAL	Value/ Criterion	Issues/Concerns	P C O	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	December	person / agency	commitment has been addressed	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE D: To promot	e smart growth and e	conomic d	evelopment ir							,	during design		Ř		
(b)				Eastbound a Kipling Ave. intersection	access to the many commercial	Truck U-turn Movement at this intersection cannot be prohibited.	Trucks making U-turn will have to negotiate with the EB through traffic as they will need to move out of the left-turn lane in order to make the U-turn.	EB through traffic	Moderately significant	Monitor the truck u-turn operation to confirm if this operation will impede EB through traffic operation severely. Widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 lanes to 6 lanes.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
D4 cont'd (c)			·	Westbound at Bruce St. intersection	The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: the commercial property on the SE comer has no access on Highway 7; there is no other commercial properties on the south side between Bruce St. and Helen St./ Wigwoss Dr.; and the next U-turn permitted intersection is only approximately 400m away at Islington Ave.	·	None expected.	None required.	Insignificant		York Region	Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

		Hi	ghwa	ay 7 (d Vaughan North-South Li	ndix 1 nk Public Transit Improven rowth and Economic Deve).4-4				Compliance Monitori	ng		Compliance	e Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value/ Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Ph	ject ase¹ C O	Location	Potential Environment Effects	Proposed M Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Nitigation Measures Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendat ion	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	eviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
(d)	TIVE D: To promot	e smart growth and e Truck U-turn Movement Prohibited (cont'd)	econo	✓	Westbound at Swansea Rd. intersection	the corridor The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: the commercial property opposite Bullock Dr. can be accessed at the signalized Bullock intersection; there is no other commercial properties on the south side between Swansea Rd. and Bullock Dr.; and the next U-turn permitted intersection is only approximately	None required.	None expected.	None required.	Insignificant	Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 lanes to 6 lanes.	ŭ	during design Status –Does not apply to H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

Action for Cor			Appendix 2 rom the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
Ministry of the Environment – Technical Support	Mr. Ernie Hartt, Supervisor – Air, Pesticides and Environmental Planning Central Region	1	a) Section 8.3.2 – In this section, Alternative B1 is identified as preferred, noting that this alternative will attract the highest ridership on east-west Hwy 7 service, contradicting the evaluation findings in Table 8.3-1 which indicate that this alternative "circuitous route to York U for trips from the east reduces Hwy 7 service daily boardings by 7-10%. Clarification should be obtained to ensure that the increased capital costs and increased potential for environmental impacts associated with the selection of Alternative B1 are justified based on the broader goals and objectives of this undertaking.	a) Section 8.3.2.4 of the EA report indicates that the preferred alternative is a combination of Alternative B1 and continuation of the partially-segregated Phase 1 Keele St service. This combination has the highest potential to attract ridership to both major destinations, Vaughan Corporate Centre (VCC) and York University, thus overcoming the primary disadvantage of Alternative B1 alone while gaining some of the benefits of Alternative B2.	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			b) Section 8.3.4.2 – The alternative alignments under consideration were evaluated using an analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the various options (Table 8.3-4). This approach is not consistent with the approach used for the evaluation of other segments which consider a broader range of environmental features (Tables 8.3-3 and 8.3-5). As the EA is seeking two alternative alignments in this section, an evaluation method as included under Tables 8.3-3 and 8.3-5 is recommended as it includes a broader discussion of environmental impacts that is included in the advantages/disadvantages table. The general comments provided in Chapter 10 of the EA are not sufficient, as they do not specifically discuss the Hwy 404 area under Goal C2, natural environment.	b) The alternative methods of crossing the Hwy 404 interchange were not considered a comparison of alignments within a segment of the route but an evaluation of the advantages and disadvantages of local design solutions to achieve a segregated right-of-way through the existing interchange. As noted in Section 8.3.4.2 of the EA report, the preferred initial strategy (option C-B1) is to avoid environmental impacts and significant capital costs by operating the rapid transit in mixed traffic through the existing underpass on Hwy 7, basically a "do nothing" approach between the inner traffic signals at the interchange.		b) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) Section 8.3.4.2 – Figure 8.3-13 identifies three local alignment options for alternative C-B2, which is the alternative for which approval is also being sought (as a contingency if the preferred alternative, C-B1, cannot provide the necessary level of service). Recognizing that this may be a highly urban area, the lack of an evaluation table does not allow us to determine if there are any natural features which could be impacted by the selection of one alignment over another. It is recommended that the Region identify the preferred alignment that this EA will be seeking approval for and discuss any potential environmental impacts.	c) The EA is seeking approval of Option C-B2, as an ultimate solution for phased implementation if Option C-B1 becomes unreliable. This option will focus on maintaining the transitway within the Hwy 7 right-of-way by modifying the lane arrangements or span of the existing Hwy 404 underpass as the preferred design solution. A table assessing the potential effects of the variations of alternative C-B2 is included as supplementary information.		c) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 127 of 171

Action for Com	nments Recei	ved fro	Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
		Public	Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	sment Final Report		·				
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		1 cont'd	d) Section 8.3.5.2 – The text in this section indicates that the "civic mall easement" is the preferred route alignment for this segment, while the accompanying table (Table 8.3-6) highlights the "Enterprise Drive Option" as being preferred over the "Civic Corridor Option". Clarification is recommended.	d) The highlighting in Table 8.3.6 of the EA report was inadvertently placed in the incorrect column. As stated in the text, the Civic Mall easement is the preferred option.		d) Status –No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			e) Section 12.5 – Central Region has received information from the TTC indicating the preferred alignment for the Spadina Subway Extension has been selected as the diagonal alignment at Steeles Ave. The result of the selection of this alignment is that the future works for the station at Hwy 407 would be located to the north of the future Hwy 407 rapid transit r.o.w. and would be constructed under the Hwy 407 ramps without directly impacting the Black Creek meander belt, reducing potential impacts to the watercourse. This section identifies that York Region is proposing to prepare an addendum upon final approval of TTC's EA to consider the extent of potential environmental impacts, including those on Black Creek, for the alignment recommended by the TTC. As indicated in Table 12.6-3, this amendment will include a detailed analysis of both subway tunnel and station construction methods and associated mitigation measures for the section from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave. Central Region recommends this type of analysis be undertaken in the EA amendment for the entire subway length from Hwy 7 to Steeles Ave to ensure a consistent level of environmental impact assessment for the entire subway component of this undertaking.	e) The EA amendment will assess the effects of subway construction and operation of any components developed in more detail than in this EA between Hwy 407 and the limit of the TTC EA undertaking at Steeles Ave.		e) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			Mitigation and Monitoring f) With respect to environmental commitments and monitoring, the revision to Chapter 12 provides a more substantial level of detail than provided for in the draft EA document, and this information will provide greater direction to the Region in the development of the Monitoring Program. APEP is encouraged by the outline of construction and operations monitoring and the commitment to establish an independent Environmental Compliance Manager.	f) Comment noted (refer to Section 11.3 of the EA report for Environmental Commitments and Section 11.4 for Monitoring).		f) Status –No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 128 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		1 cont'd	g) It is important to note that these commitments should be identified as minimum monitoring requirements, and that monitoring of additional environmental elements may be included in the Monitoring Program if further environmental impacts are identified. APEP encourages the Region to prepare an Annual Monitoring Program Report, outlining the results of the Monitoring Program and how any environmental impacts experienced have been addressed.	g) Comment noted for consideration during development of the detailed Monitoring Program as noted in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report.		g) Status – Future Work Section 11.4.1 of the EA Report addresses monitoring during construction		No		
Ministry of the Environment – Air Quality	Mr. Emie Hartt, Supervisor – Air, Pesticides and Environmental Planning Central Region		To a large degree, the comments are intended to reflect how effectively York Region and Senes have revised the EA report and Air Quality (AQ) appendix in line with Technical Support's July 29/05 comments that were provided to the Region with respect to the draft EA report. Technical Support (TS) continues to have some outstanding concerns with the August 2005 documents that require further attention with particular regard to: the incorporation of the Senes AQ Impact Assessment into the EA report with respect to "Future" cases, and the approach taken by Senes in their AQ Impact Assessment.		York Region	An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been Addressed.	Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)	No	EF (2011) Closed (2014)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 7713) was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed.
			Lack of Detail in EA Report on AQ Impacts of the Project (Future Cases) a) The details on the AQ impacts relating to the "Future Base Case" and the "Future BRT Case" have not been included in the body of the EA report in support of the brief summary statements made in Table 10.4-3 of the EA report. This approach is not considered appropriate by TS. It has consistently been TS's position that any evaluation of AQ impacts of a project such as this EA report should constitute the primary focus of the EA report as it relates to AQ. In the EA report, the Region continues to make the discussion of existing conditions the primary focus (Section 6.6.1)	a) The results of the AQ assessment are summarized in Chapter 10 (Table 10.4-3) of the EA report consistent with the summary of other potential environmental effects. The EA document references Appendix L which provides the detailed AQ assessment. The Proponent does not believe that a revision to the EA document is warranted.		a) Status – completed See above.		No	EF (2011) Closed (2014)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 7713) was found to support the assertions on how the condition was addressed.

December 2015 Page 129 of 171

Action for Com	nments Receiv	ved fro	Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monitoring			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
		Public	Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	sment Final Report	Responsible	Status and Description of how				
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			and has relied solely on referring the reader to the Senes AQ Impact Assessment when it comes to the Future Cases. This definitely detracts from the standalone nature of the EA report as a means of supporting decisions on the impact of the project with respect to AQ. It remains TS's position that York Region should further revise the EA report accordingly to resolve this issue.							
		2 cont'd	Focus of EA Report and Senes Report on Particulate Matter Emissions b) TSP "was not assessed because the larger particles only affect visibility, while the PM ₁₀ has been associated with health impacts". Since TSP is a parameter regulated by the MOE, TS might have wished to see some further discussion of TSP and its role in defining existing AQ, however TS does acknowledge that it is not a health based parameter and agree to its being excluded from further discussion.	b) Comment noted.		b) Status- No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) PM _{2.5} is included in the "Existing Conditions" discussion and has been discretely inserted into the text/discussions of the "Existing Base case", "Future base Case" and "Future BRT Case". However, overall PM emissions as discussed in the August 2005 AQ Impact Assessment continue to focus on PM ₁₀ as is demonstrated by Tables 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 as well as Table 5.1 and 5.2, none of which have been revised to include PM _{2.5} . Figures 5.1 and 5.6 also focus on PM ₁₀ . TS feels that the adjustments made by York Region and Senes to include PM _{2.5} are inadequate and continues to recommend that PM _{2.5} be fully incorporated into all aspects of the AQ Impact Assessment.	c) As noted in the Senes AQ Impact Assessment, there is little information about PM _{2.5} emissions from vehicles and roadways, and therefore the ratio method of PM ₁₀ to PM _{2.5} was used in order to calculate the values for PM _{2.5} . Note in the Terms of Reference it says that respirable particulate matter (PM _{2.5}) will also be assessed in comparison with the proposed Canada Wide Std of 30 ug/m³.		c) Status – No Action Required Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Comparison of Existing AQ Data with MOE AAQC Values d) Overall, some inaccuracies remain in the MOE AAQC's which have been included in the assessment of historical and measured data that appears in Section 6.6.1.3 of the EA report and in Section 2.3 of the Senes AQ report. However, TS does not require further clarification of these inaccuracies.	d) Comment noted.		d) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 130 of 171

Action for Com			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			TS acknowledges that Senes has reviewed the historical and monitored data bases in some detail and found them to be accurate and not in need of further adjustments or changes.	e) Comment noted.		e) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		2 cont'd	f) TS is in agreement with the comments in the preamble to Tables 6.6-6 and 6.6-7 of the EA report and Tables 2.6 and 2.8 of the Senes report that reflect PM as being the most significant parameter of concern with respect to both historical data and measured ambient monitoring data. The concerns identified with respect to PM (ie. PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}) are to be dealt with in comments which follow in terms of dispersion modeling and mitigation.	f) Comment noted.		f) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Development of Vehicle Emissions Data g) TS acknowledges that their concerns identified in the Vehicle Emissions data/discussion have been reviewed by York Region and dealt with satisfactorily. TS is in agreement that no further action is required on these concerns at this time.	g) Comment noted.		g) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Dispersion Modeling/Assessment of Air Quality h) TS still has some concerns with respect to the representation of the project measurement/monitoring locations and the accuracy of the measurement/monitoring data collected during the somewhat limited program. TS however do not feet such concerns are significant and acknowledge that they will not change the overall conclusions of the AQ Impact Assessment.	h) Comment noted.		h) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 131 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High : Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			Matching of Alternatives Assessed in EA Report with Those Screened in the Senes Report i) The July 2004 Senes Report and the draft EA report did not clearly match-up in terms of the evaluation of alternatives noted in Section 8 of the EA report and the preliminary screening of alternatives dealt with in Section 3 of the Senes Report. To clarify this issue Senes removed Section 3 from their report. In order to clear up this matter, TS requests that York Region confirm that Senes' approach on screening with respect to AQ did not provide any different result on selection of the preferred alternative from that shown in Section 8 of the final EA report.	i) The assessment of the effects of route segment alternatives on air quality, while a factor in the evaluation of natural environmental effects, did not provide any different result in the selection of the preferred alternatives from that shown in Section 8 of the EA report.		i) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		2 cont'd	Identification of Mitigation Measures j) Section 9.1.1 of the EA report contains a statement noting the intent to plant trees as part of the landscaping plan and that "trees also act as a solid body for air pollutants to settle on and therefore reduce negative effects in the atmosphere". TS would identify such efforts as tree planting as a factor in such mitigation and requests that they be considered by York Region and the appropriate revisions reflected in Table 10.4-3.	j) A conceptual streetscape plan is identified in Section 9.1.1 of the EA report. A detailed streetscape plan will be developed during detailed design [1]. It is acknowledged that tree planting provides an additional built-in positive effect on air quality. Tree planting will be considered further in the development in the detailed streetscape plan.		 j) Status – Future work A detailed streetscaping plan will be developed during detailed design [1]. 		No		

December 2015 Page 132 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses:	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			k) Before any specific comment can be made on the implication of the landscaping plan, it is necessary to look at the AQ related statements in Table 10.4-3. The statement as noted under Proposed Mitigation Measures – Potential Residual Effects, suggests a 3.6% (it actually appears to be 1.6%) improvements (or decrease) in PM ₁₀ concentrations "when comparing 2021 (future) forecasts with ("Future BRT Case") and without ("Future Base Case") proposed rapid transit. The major difficulty that TS has with the conclusion on future PM ₁₀ concentrations (as noted above) is that it does not include consideration of Table 3.2, the existing base case pollutant concentration estimates. It is TS's opinion to include consideration of the fact that PM ₁₀ emissions will increase markedly from the existing base case to the future base case. As a result there will be a 38% increase in PM ₁₀ initially and it will decrease 1.6% with inclusion of BRT. For York Region to then conclude that the focus should be only on 2021 is misleading and not something we can easily agree to. At the very least TS feels that this change over the period 2001 to 2021 could be characterized in terms of BRT "slowing" the increase but it should in TS's opinion include consideration of "Further Mitigation" based on significant initial increase in PM ₁₀ concentrations.	vehicles this increase is unavoidable. The introduction of the BRT system will slow this increase. The EA report's		k) Status – No Action Required Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document. (see corresponding comments)		No	Closed (2014)	
		2 cont'd	The reference for the statement in k above is data noted as being available in Tables 4.3 and 4.4 of the Senes Report, when in fact it should be Tables 3.3 and 3.4.	l) Comment noted. Table 10.4-3 of the EA report should refer to Tables 3.3 and 3.4 of the Senes AQ report, and not Tables 4.3 and 4.4.		I) Status –No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			m) In light of comments b and c, it is TS's opinion that the issue of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations also needs further review and as such, Table 10.4-3 should be modified to include consideration of $PM_{2.5}$ as well as PM_{10} .	m) There will be a net positive effect to the environment from PM _{2.5} and PM ₁₀ , therefore no further mitigation is required.		m) Status – No Action Required Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document.		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 133 of 171

Action for Com			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			Monitoring of Construction PM Emissions n) Table 10.4-3 of the EA report includes comments on "Degradation of air quality during construction: which indicates that "some PM emissions locally" are expected but no "Monitoring" is recommended. This information raises some concern with TS about its compatibility with information provided in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report, which does indicate that "Monitoring" will be done in the form of regular inspections of dust and vehicular emissions control. Table 11.4-1 of the EA report does provide some qualitative comment on "Monitoring" associated with "effect of construction activities on air quality (dust, odour)." TS strongly in favour of the need to do such monitoring and requests that York Region clarify what appears to be contrary statements in table 10.4-3 that no "Monitoring" is recommended.	Table 10.4-3 of the EA report was intended to indicate that no specific monitoring program beyond that normally required by the construction contract conditions is recommended. The Region will enforce the requirements of the standard contract conditions as described in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report.		n) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Senes Project Description o) The content of Section 1.1 of the Senes report has been reasonably clarified with the addition of explanatory paragraph.	o) Comment noted.		o) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Executive Summaries p) Both the EA report and the Senes report executive summaries need further review in order to substantiate that they are compatible with changes to the bodies of the reports as may occur in terms of addressing the comments provided by TS and noted in the memo.	p) There are no changes proposed to the main EA report to address comments provided by TS. Clarification will be provided as appropriate.		p) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Querall Assessment of Air Quality q) The Overall Assessment as noted in Section 8 of the Senes report and quoted in the EA report needs further review in order to substantiate that they are compatible with changes to the bodies of the reports as may occur in terms of addressing the comments provided by TS and noted in the memo.	q) There are no changes proposed to the main EA report to address comments provided by TS. Clarification will be provided as appropriate.		q) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 134 of 171

Action for Cor			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
Ministry of the Environment – Water Resources	Ms. Ellen Schmarje, Supervisor, Water Resources Unit, Central Region – Technical Support Section	3	In reference to the definitions of "Insignificant" and "Significant" in Section 10.1: Assessment Methodology, an effect that is temporary or short term in duration may be considered significant as the release of suspended solids to a watercourse can potentially cause a permanent loss of critical or productive aquatic habitat.	Comment noted. As described in Section 10.1 of the EA report, the definition of significant effect includes a permanent loss of critical or productive aquatic habitat, regardless of the duration of the original net effect that precipitates the permanent effect.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			b) The Proponent should note that Section 53 (OWRA) approvals from the MOE will be required for the new and expanded storm sewers and end-of-pipe stormwater management facilities prior to the construction phase (Section 11.2: Project Implementation Plan).	b) Comment noted and will be carried forward for consideration during detailed design. Section 11.2.1 of the EA report identifies examples of other approvals that may be required during the detailed design phase, but is not intended as a complete list of all post EA approvals that will be required.		b) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) A permit to take water must be obtained for all dewatering activities in excess of 50,000 L/day. The permit must be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction related activities requiring groundwater dewatering (Section 11.2: Project Implementation Plan).	c) Comment noted and will be considered during both the preparation of the EA amendment for the southern portion and during detailed design of the entire undertaking.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			d) Table 11.3 indicates that "in the event a shallow or upward groundwater movement becomes an issue due to construction of the subway during the detailed design stage, TRCA's hydrogeologist will be consulted." It is important to note, that any groundwater issues (including dewatering or water quality issues) related to the proposed undertaking must be dealt directly with the MOE, which may consult with TRCA if necessary.	d) Comment noted. The MOE and TRCA will be consulted accordingly during detailed design.		d) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment This item relates to the Spadina Subway Extension.		No	Closed (2014)	
			e) No major outstanding surface water or groundwater issues were identified regarding the preferred alternative. Additional input during the detailed design phase may be required to ensure that monitoring, mitigation and contingency plans adequately assess any adverse impacts to the natural environment and/or sufficiently protect the natural environment.	e) Comment noted. The MOE will be consulted during development of the detailed Monitoring Program as appropriate[3,4].		e) Status – Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 135 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	nway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	empliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
Ministry of the Environment – Air and Noise Unit	Mr. Denton Miller	4	Noise a) With respect to Section 5 of Appendix K, there were several errors noted in the assessment of the 2021 baseline, BRT and LRT noise calculations. Some of the errors cancelled other errors and it is unlikely that the actual impact will change the overall conclusions drawn in Appendix K. Nonetheless the errors should be corrected.	a) Refer to responses below. As shown in the revised data attached, the conclusions drawn in the original report are still valid. Please refer to the attached Noise and Vibration Supplementary Information package for revised tables and appendices to Appendix K – Noise and Vibration impact Assessment, of the EA report.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Surface Type Used in Stamson Calculations b) The majority of the calculations in Appendix K are based on absorptive ground surfaces. Based on drawings submitted with the proposal, it is the Air and Noise Unit's opinion that ground absorption was used incorrectly in the assessment of the roadway. The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	b) In all cases where noise monitoring was conducted (receptors) the intermediate surface was covered by grass and therefore it was determined that an absorptive designation was appropriate. ORNAMENT Technical Document (MOE 1989), states that "Soft ground surfaces such as ploughed fields, or ground covered with grass, shrubs, or other forms of vegetation are considered to be sound absorptive". This is also reflected in the monitoring results. The predicted sound levels for existing conditions (2002) (section 4.0 in Appendix K) closely resemble the measured sound levels. To be consistent in the modeling approach, the absorptive surface was also used in the prediction of noise level for future cases. However, in light of the above comment b, the noise modeling was revised using a reflective ground surface. The predicted sound levels were found to be still within the range of the measured results in most instances. Therefore, all scenarios have been revised using a reflective ground surface and are attached for review.		b) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Daytime and Nighttime Receiver Heights Used in Stamson Calculations c) The receiver heights used in the assessment of the receptors are not consistent with Section 5.5.4 of the MOE's publication ornament where it is stated that for the purposes of assessing the noise impact on single family dwellings and townhouse units, the following receiver heights are used: 1.5 m for defining the outdoor living area, and 4.5 m for defining a 2 nd storey window. The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach is used.	c) The purpose of Section 4.3 in Appendix K is to compare the predicted sound level (from traffic) with the existing sound levels using noise monitoring data collected at specific receptors along the route. For this purpose only, the actual height of the microphone of the noise monitoring equipment was used for a direct comparison with the traffic passby at each specific receptor location. However, for predicting future noise impact the noise modeling was carried out using 1.5 m for outdoor living area and 4.5 m for a 2 nd story window.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 136 of 171

Action for Com	nments Rece		Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		cont'd	Nighttime Receiver Source Distances Used in Stamson Calculations d) When homes are backing onto the subject roadway, the daytime source receiver distance should not be equal to the nighttime source receiver distance. The daytime distances should address the sound levels in the outdoor living area (backyard), and the nighttime distance should address the sound levels at the plane of a bedroom window. In the majority of cases the two distances should differ by 3m. This was not the case in the assessments in Appendix K. The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	d) The shorter of the two horizontal distances was conservatively used for both daytime and nighttime. In any case, the 3 m difference does not result in a significant/noticeable difference in the predicted sound levels. However, the nighttime receptor distances used in the revised model have been changed to reflect the 3 m difference. Refer to the attached STAMSON sheets.		d) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Percent Traffic Split of Provincial Roadways that should be used in Stamson Calculations e) The recommended day-night traffic volume ratios are 85%-15% for provincial roads. Hwy 7 is a provincial roadway. Clarification is required as to why the appropriate traffic split was not used in the assessment or the calculations should be adjusted accordingly.	e) The 90%-10% day-night traffic volume ratio used in the modeling was derived from traffic count data and adopted as an appropriate representation of conditions on Highway 7 in the study area.		e) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Designation of Buses in Stamson Calculations f) As noted in the MOE's publication ornament, buses are considered to be medium trucks; hence the percentage of medium trucks should not be the same in Appendices K-D (Predicted 2021 Baseline Traffic Noise Levels) and K-E (Sound Levels Due to Added Bus Transit Traffic). The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	f) The added bus transit traffic was treated as an RT/Custom source for the STAMSON modeling, that is, a separate source from the regular traffic. Also, the traffic volume of bus transit was not included in the AADT volume for the regular traffic. Hence the percentage of medium trucks is indeed the same in Appendices K-D and K-E. The actual noise level for the bus transit was provided by the manufacturer.		f) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 137 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 cm the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			g) Section 5.2 of Appendix K (Scenario 2 – Bus Transit Option), states that "Scenario 2 predicts the sound levels on the same road segments for the same year (2021), but with the added influence of the bus transit traffic". However the AADT in Appendix K-E (54,144; Sound Levels Due to Added Bus Transit Traffic) is lower that the AADT in Appendix K-D (54,528; Predicted 2021 Baseline Traffic Noise Levels). The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	g) The data used were generated by the travel demand modeling with the model calibrated against York Region's most recent AADT counts for Highway 7. The AADT figure for the "with BRT" scenario represents general traffic only and does not include the BRT vehicles themselves. The modeling projects a minor reduction in auto vehicle use after BRT implementation however the overall person-capacity of the roadway is increased by the carrying capacity of the BRT service.		g) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Distances in Stamson Calculations h) Some of the distances in the assessment of the proposal are not correct. For example, the distance to the centre of the eastbound segment of the roadway is 28.6 m. This is clearly not correct when assessed against Figure 9.7 of the EA report. The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	h) The distances have been revised to reflect those shown in the figures in Chapter 9 of the EA report. Refer to the attached STAMSON sheets.		h) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			LRT Assessment i) The above concerns are for the most part also applicable to the assessment of the proposed LRT. The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	The distances have been revised to reflect those shown in the figures in Chapter 9 of the EA report. Refer to the attached STAMSON sheets.		i) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Preferred Assessment Methodology j) The preferred assessment would see the dedicated bus lanes and the LRT, defined as separate segments in Stamson. This approach would simplify the Proponent's assessment and our review of the undertaking.	j) The recommended assessment methodology as suggested by the MOE was used in the study submitted. The bus transit and LRT were treated as a separate segment in the Stamson modeling. Please refer to Appendix K-E and Appendix K-F.		j) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Vibration Reference Vibration Value k) Confirm that the reference value for the vibration calculations in Section 6.1 of Appendix K is 1 micrometre per second. If correct, please provide a detailed sample calculation of the results noted in Table 6.1. If incorrect please comment on the use of an appropriate reference value and the impact it will have on the calculations and the subsequent conclusions.	k) This issue had been previously responded to and discussed with Mr. Denton Miller of the MOE Noise Unit in June 2005. Please see the revised Table 6.1 attached.		k) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 138 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
Ministry of the Environment	Ms. Gemma Connolly, Special Project Officer	5	CEAA Approval a) Page 1-1 identifies that approval under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act is being sought through an integral parallel process. No federal trigger was identified by CEAA through their review of the provincial EA. Therefore, EAAB is unaware of any coordinated and/or concurrent federal approval process.	 a) Given that federal funding has not yet been approved, it is anticipated that the only likely trigger will be the DFO's approval of the major river crossings. The Region expects that this local approval will be obtained through DFO's delegation of authority to the TRCA. 	York Region	a) Status – Future work Transport Canada email of September 7, 2010 confirms there is no need for a Federal EA. Further discussion with egulatory authorities with interests in Federal approvals will be carried out in detailed design.	September 7, 2010 Email from Transport Canada ID#6482)	No		
			Chapter 8 Evaluation Local Alignment Options b) It is difficult to follow the evaluation methodology used to select the preferred local alignment options. This analysis is identified in Tables 8.33 to 8.3-7.	b) Generally, where applicable, these options were evaluated using the major objectives adopted for the primary route alternatives analysis. In some cases, such as the Markham Centre/Enterprise Dr area, more specific local factors were used to compare options.		b) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) Table 8.3-5 identifies Option C3-4 as the preferred option and Option C3-3 as the next preferred. It is unclear how these options were ranked and evaluated.	c) The table presents the basis for the evaluation of the options by listing the key attributes or effects of each option in terms of the goals and primary objectives adopted for evaluation of the larger route segments along the corridor. Each option's performance against the goals was assessed by evaluating the individual attributes/effects to identify the preferred option in terms of each of the five main objectives. Options C3-3 and C3-4 were selected from this initial screening. The relative merits of these two options were discussed in the text supporting the evaluation table in Section 8.1.5.1. This comparison indicates that Option C3-4 is cost-effective and would provide the most convenient access to rapid transit for several trip types and destinations. At the same time the design of the new Rouge crossing to meet TRCA requirements will mitigate adverse effects on the natural environment.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		5 cont'd	d) Table 8.3-6 highlights Enterprise Dr as the preferred option, while the text identifies Civic Corridor as the preferred option. Qualitative rankings are provided in Table 8.3-6 indicating fair, good but no rationale is provided on what this means in the weighing of the criteria.	d) In Table 8.3-6, the Enterprise Drive option was inadvertently highlighted as the "Technically Preferred Option". The qualitative rankings shown against each indicator were assessed collectively with implicit weighting and found to support the conclusion in the text that the Civic Mall Option best met the objectives for improved transit service through the planned Markham Centre.		d) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 139 of 171

Action for Com	nments Rece		Appendix 2 m the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		(e) Table 8.3-7 provides check marks with no rationale on what these mean. Please provide further clarification on how these local alignment options were assessed and evaluated.	e) Each check mark in Table 8.3-7 indicates the alignment alternative (Option C-C1 or C-C2) that is preferred in terms of the individual planning criteria noted in the table. For some criteria, both options were considered to be equally responsive and thus both were checked. Again, these responses were assessed collectively leading to the recommendation of the northern alignment stated in the text.		e) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		5 cont'd	f) Section 8.3.4.2 is seeking approval for both C-B1 and C-B2. The preferred option is identified as C-B1. Any proposed changes to the preferred option would be considered an amendment to the undertaking.	f) The alternative methods of crossing the Hwy 404 interchange were not considered a comparison of alignments within a segment of the route but an evaluation of the advantages and disadvantages of local design solutions to achieve a segregated right-of-way through the existing interchange. As noted in Section 8.3.4.2 of the EA report, the preferred strategy (option C-B1) is to avoid environmental impacts and significant capital costs by operating the rapid transit in mixed traffic through the existing underpass on Hwy 7, basically a "do nothing" solution. The Region is seeking approval of Option C-B2, as the preferred ultimate solution for phased implementation if Option C-B1 becomes unreliable. This option will focus on maintaining the transitway within the Hwy 7 right-of-way by modifying the lane arrangements or span of the existing Hwy 404 underpass as the preferred design solution. A supplementary table assessing the potential effects of the three variations of alternative C-B2 is attached. Option C-B2, grade separated right-of-way, will be the Region's preferred ultimate option if and when required to traverse the Hwy 404 interchange without congestion delays. Option C-B1, operation of the transitway in mixed traffic, will be used until such time congestion problems trigger the need for the grade separation Option C-B2. Improvements to the road system, currently planned by the municipalities will also influence the timing of and need for the ultimate grade separated right-of-way (C-B2).		f) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 140 of 171

Action for Com	nments Rece		Appendix 2 from the Government Review Team on the High lic Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			Intermodal Stations g) The York Region intermodal terminal and Richmond Hill intermodal terminal are discussed as part of the undertaking on page 9-2. These stations are not supposed to be part of this EA approval and should not be described as part of the approved undertaking.	Comment noted. These terminals were mentioned as examples of associated facilities in the context of interconnectivity with other modes.		g) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Missing Information N) Please provide the missing information in Table 10.4-2 on page 10-9.	h) A completed page 10-9 of Table 10.4-2 from the EA report is provided as supplementary information.		h) Status – No Action Required Table 10.4-2 has been updated.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Effects and Mitigation i) On Table 10.4-2 some issues are evaluated as "Significant" after mitigation, yet monitoring is not recommended. Could you please justify why monitoring will not occur?	i) The issues identified as significant after mitigation are those concerning intersection levels of service analyzed as near or at capacity. The anticipated traffic volumes with or without the undertaking are such that monitoring will not lead to any further mitigation options.		i) Status – No Action Required Refer to Table 10.4-2 in Appendix 1 above for individual comments.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology	Refer to the detailed supplementary information provided for the Vaughan North-South Link		Items j, k & I: Not applicable to H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014)	
			j) Page 6 of the terms of reference allowed the Region to assess the environmental effects of a subway extension between the VCC to York University. This assessment was contingent upon the Spadina Subway being extended from Downsview Station to York U in the City of Toronto.	j) The extension of subway technology from York University to VCC was contingent on the extension from Downsview Station to York University being completed. The Region's EA for the extension into York Region is contingent on approval of the EA for the portion within the City of Toronto.		Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			k) Chapter 12 identifies that the logical northern limit of the Spadina subway extension would be the VCC. As a result, a major component of the analysis would have built upon the conclusions and recommendations of the City's Spadina Subway Extension EA Study, which is still ongoing. Without the conclusions of the City's study, it is difficult to determine whether or not the protection of Alignment A-1 would be feasible and should be considered as part of this EA approval.			Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 141 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Cor	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			Section 12.5 also defers most of the effects assessment of Alignment A-1 to be done as part of an amendment to the EA. It may be premature to protect a r.o.w. without having the benefits of what types of effects are anticipated to occur. EAAB would like the opportunity to meet with the Region and the City to discuss this component of the EA.	I) Refer to the detailed supplementary information.		Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
City of Vaughan	Mr. Roy McQuillan, Manager of Corporate Policy	6	Committee Report Recommendations (a through d): a) The MOE be advised that the City of Vaughan supports the approval of the Hwy 7 EA as submitted by the Region of York.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		6 cont'd	b) The Region of York be advised that the report entitled "Design Concept for Avenue 7 including Rapid Transit through the Vaughan Corporate Centre" also forms part of the City's comments on the Hwy 7 EA report and that the recommendation contained in that report be implemented as requested.	b) Comment noted and information will be carried forward for consideration during development of a detailed streetscape plan (refer to Section 9.1.1) at the time of detailed design. The Proponent will commit to consult the local municipalities during development of the detailed streetscape plan.		b) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) The Region of York be requested to proceed with the amendment to the subway extension component of this EA (Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology) at first opportunity, once the TTC Spadina Subway EA is approved, in order to finalize the subway alignment north of Steeles Ave.	c) Detailed comment noted. As noted on Figure 12-4 and described in Section 12.5 of the EA report, the final alignment of the subway from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave will be determined following completion of the Toronto/TTC EA Study (Spadina Subway Extension from Downsview Station to Steeles Ave).		c) Status- Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			d) The Region of York be advised that the City of Vaughan is currently completing a number of land use studies along Hwy 7 and along the Vaughan North-South Link. It is requested that the Region of York work with the City in refining the transitway and boulevard treatments in response to the land use and design policies that may result from the studies in order to optimize the attractiveness of the urban environment and support the Region's and the City's development objectives; and that such consultation take place during the detailed design phase for the transitway and associated road allowances.	Detailed comment noted. York Region will work with the local municipalities, including the City of Vaughan, during detailed design and development of a detailed streetscape plan to incorporate recommendations from adjacent land use planning studies where feasible.		d) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 142 of 171

Action for Com	iments Rece		Appendix 2 m the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			The Undertaking – Implications for the City of Vaughan e) The introduction of a rapid transit service will be a major catalyst in the transformation of the current Hwy 7 and Centre and Bathurst Streets from a Provincial highway to an urban arterial road. The City is looking to build on and support this initiative through the Centre St Study and the Hwy 7 Futures Study.	e) Detailed comment noted.		e) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
		f	Generally, the impacts were positive or could be mitigated to a minimal level of significance. Given the diversity of the corridor and the form of the transitway, there will be impacts on traffic operations and urban design.	f) Detailed comment noted. As noted in Table 11.4-2 of the EA report, the Region is committed to monitoring traffic operations after implementation of the undertaking. In addition, a detailed traffic management plan will be developed prior to commencing construction (Section 11.2.2.1).		f) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			Urban Design The plan shown in the EA for the Corporate Centre does not reflect the City's ultimate preference as illustrated in the report to Committee of the Whole on October 11, 2005. The plan currently shows minimal landscaping. The recommendations contained in this report should reaffirm the City's desire to see the streetscaping/transitway plan revised either by amendment to the EA or at the time of detailed design to reflect the City's ultimate intentions. It is noted that the subway extension portion of the EA deals specifically with this issue by stating that "Transit intermodal facilities will be developed in consultation with Vaughan as part of the introduction of a comprehensive landscaping and streetscaping plan for the VCC and station precinct". These measures will need to be taken into account in the original transitway design.	g) As described in Section 9.1.1 of the EA report, a conceptual streetscape plan has been developed as part of this EA and will provide the basis for the detailed streetscape design. The Region will commit to working with the local municipalities during detailed design to incorporate streetscape elements recommended through other studies where feasible.		g) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 143 of 171

Action for Con	nments Rece		Appendix 2 rom the Government Review Team on the High ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	•		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			h) In addition, the plan shows a "VCC Transit Square Concept" at the northwest corner of the intersection of Millway Ave and Hwy 7, which is identified as a transit terminal facility in Section 12 of the EA report. It is recognized that there will be the need for some surface intermodal facilities at a future subway terminal station. However, there is minimal information available on the facility identified in the EA study. It will have to be addressed further with the City in accordance with the statement quoted above, including the basis for the selection of this location.	h) The intention in showing a concept for the surface intermodal facilities is to identify the need for an efficient means of transferring passengers from feeder bus services to the rapid transit service. The concept, while not intended to be a detailed design is representative of the extent of surface facilities and indicative of the opportunities for integration of these facilities into the urban design of the transportation node. It also provides a basis for assessment of any potential effects on the surrounding built or natural environment. The location of the typical concept was based on the recommendations of the draft report on the City of Vaughan's study of streetscaping for the VCC.		h) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			i) The study acknowledges that there are areas that have insufficient road allowance width to permit significant landscaping. An example is the section of Hwy 7 between Martin Grove and Pine Valley Dr. For such areas, the plan suggests that redevelopment be monitored and that property be acquired through redevelopment. An alternative would be to incorporate sufficient setbacks to allow for landscaping to be provided on the private lands between road allowance and the building.	 Comment noted. The Region will work with the local municipalities to secure the required r.o.w. and setbacks through the development approval process. 		i) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
		6 cont	", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", "	j) Comment noted. York Region will work with the local municipalities, including the City of Vaughan, during detailed design and development of a detailed streetscape plan to incorporate recommendations from adjacent land use planning studies where feasible.		j) Status – Future work		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 144 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses:	nway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			Road Operations The introduction of the centre median will have a number of effects, which include: k) A prohibition on left turns in and out from driveways and minor roads due to the transitway – The EA indicates that alternative access can be obtained by way of another site or an adjacent roadway. Users will have to adapt and find alternative routes. The introduction of U-turns at signalized intersections is also provided. The impact of the introduction of U-turns to accommodate left-in and left-out turns – in some instances there might be conflicts between U-turns and right turn movements onto Hwy 7 from side streets when the traffic signal is red. It may be necessary to restrict right turns on red lights from side streets. This should be monitored and measures taken to reduce any potential conflicts. It is noted that some of the intersections with four lane road sections may not permit U-turns by large trucks. Restrictions may have to be imposed where warranted.	k) Detailed comment noted. The Region will consult with the local municipalities during development of the detailed Traffic Management Plan (as described in Section 11.2.2.1 of the EA report).		k) Status- Future work		No	Closed (2014)	
		6 cont'd	Pedestrian crossings given the additional road width in some areas – Given the introduction of the transitway and the station facilities, there is a substantial increase in the paved portion of the road allowance, especially at major intersections. Some pedestrians may not be able to cross in one signal phase. The transitway will have pedestrian refuge areas built into the design to allow them to wait at mid-crossing. A further alternative would be to have a two-stage crossing system to accommodate heavier traffic. Before proceeding to a two-stage system, monitoring should occur under operating conditions to determine if it is warranted. [1-3]	Detailed comment noted and will be carried forward for consideration of the detailed Traffic Management Plan (Section 11.2.2.1). Traffic Operation Monitoring (noted in Table 11.4-2) will include consideration of effects on pedestrians[1-3].		I) Status – Future work		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 145 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 cm the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			m) The potential for traffic infiltration in some areas – Traffic infiltration has been identified as a possible problem in certain neighbourhoods, resulting from drivers trying to avoid Hwy 7. This may increase as a result of the constraints introduced by the transitway. The following neighbourhoods may be affected: Monsheen Dr, Willis Rd/Chancellor Dr, New Westminster Dr, and Beverly Glen Blvd. The EA recommends that these neighbourhoods be monitored before and after the implementation of the transitway to determine if additional mitigation measures are required.	m) Detailed comment noted. York Region will work with the municipalities during monitoring of traffic operations after implementation of the transitway to address issues/concerns including traffic infiltration.		m) Status – future work		No	Closed (2014)	
			Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology n) The EA study confirmed the alignment selected through the Higher Order Transit Corridor Protection Study, which was incorporated into OPA 529, subject to consideration of the results of TTC's current EA process.	n) Comment noted.		n) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			This EA is seeking the approval of this alignment with the option to finalize the portion south of Hwy 407 to tie into the alignment that may ultimately be chosen through the TTC's EA process for the Spadina Subway Extension. No change to the alignment to the north of Hwy 407 is proposed.	o) Comment noted. Refer to Section 12.5 and Figure 12-4 of the EA report.		o) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		6 cont'd	p) The recommendations of this portion of the EA study should be supported. Putting in place the EA approvals for a subway extension from Steeles Ave to the Corporate Centre is a welcomed initiative for a number of reasons. It will clearly establish a commitment to the development concepts that are being put forward in City, Regional and Provincial planning documents in the interim it will inform investment decisions by both the public and private sectors; it will allow for the necessary property protection; and the project will be design-ready so that the next steps in the process can take place quickly once financing has been committed.	p) Comment noted.		p) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 146 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			q) There is a level of uncertainty surrounding the alignment between Steeles Ave and Hwy 407 as a result of the TTC's Spadina Subway Extension EA. This is unavoidable due to the timing of the two processes. Of primary concern is maintaining the Millway Ave alignment through the Corporate Centre in order to ensure that the Hwy 7 station can be built at its planned location and so property protection and acquisition can continue. The TTC has demonstrated that the three alignment alternatives currently under consideration in the Spadina EA will all work in the context of the City's objectives for the Corporate Centre. All three can provide for the location of an additional station at the planned Hwy 407 Transitway, on the west side of Jane St, south of the highway.	q) Comment noted.		q) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			r) In order to overcome this issue, the EA recommends that additional studies take place when the preferred designs for the inter-related facilities have received EA approval. These studies would form the basis for an EA amendment. It is critical that none of the EA processes be slowed. Approval of this portion of the EA on the basis of the planned amendment should be supported. In addition, the Region of York should be requested to initiate the amending report shortly after the approval of the TTC's EA. Failure to proceed expeditiously with the amendment to the EA may be interpreted as a lack of commitment to the project, possibly altering investment decisions and compromising the preservation of r.o.w.	r) Detailed comment noted. As noted on Figure 12-4 and described in Section 12.5 of the EA report, the final alignment of the subway from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave will be determined following completion of the Toronto/TTC EA Study (Spadina Subway Extension from Downsview Station to Steeles Ave).		r) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
		6 cont'd	s) The implementation of the YRTP will be a positive step in the evolution of the Region of York and the affected local municipalities. The plan will promote the transformation of southern York Region into a more urban place by shaping the style and intensity of development in the affected corridors, supporting economic development, increasing public mobility and improving environmental quality by offering an alternative to the private automobile. For these reasons the approval of the EA should be supported.	s) Comment noted.		s) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 147 of 171

Action for Cor			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High : Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	empliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
Ontario Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs (OSAA)	Mr. Richard Saunders, Director Negotiations Branch	7	a) In Section 14.2-Stakeholder Consultation of the EA Report, the Proponent indicates that they have followed OSAA's recommendations as outlined in correspondence dated July 28, 2005. This table indicates the responses and requests for information from the various First Nations contacted by the Proponent.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			b) OSAA recommends that the Proponent continue to contact the relevant First Nations and that follow-up contact be made with all the identified First Nations and Aboriginal organizations. [1-3]	b) Comment noted. The Proponent will continue to consult First Nations based on their identified interests/concerns and specific request for additional involvement (as an example, any First Nation that identifies an interest in archaeological findings will be forwarded any future archaeological reports prepared during detailed design)		b) Status – ongoing [1] Hwy 7 EA Notice of submission of CMP for public review and comment.	[1] Notice of Submission of CMP ID# 4121) and CMP distribution lists to First Nations, Government Review Team and other stakeholders (ID# 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125)	No	EF 2009	Notice of Submission of CMP – Y2H3 4.7 (ID# 4121) 22-Aug-08 4122 – email distribution list 16-Mar-09 4123 – First nations contact MOE 16-Mar-09 4124 – GRT CMP 4125 – Stakeholder Contact list
		7 cont'd	c) The Crown has a duty to consult with Aboriginal peoples where its actions may adversely affect established or asserted Aboriginal or treaty rights. OSAA recommends that MOE consult their legal branch for advice on whether the Crown has any constitutional or other legal obligations to consult Aboriginal peoples in these circumstances. [1-3]			c) Status – Future work		No		
Health Canada	Ms. Carolyn Dunn, Environmental Assessment Officer	8	These comments are in regards to the responses to Health Canada comments on the draft EA report dated July 8, 2005 a) Section 6.2.5 – A contingency plan for managing effects to drinking water wells needs to be developed as part of the environmental assessment, rather than later in the process [2-4]. Furthermore, no responses were provided related to the identification of municipal drinking water intakes; this is required as part of the assessment [1].	a) As noted in Table 11.3-1 (I.D.#4), the Proponent has committed to preparing a contingency plan to address potential effects to water wells during detailed design of the undertaking [2-4]. Identification of wells and municipal drinking water intakes will be undertaken during detailed design [1].	York Region	a) Status- Future work		No		

December 2015 Page 148 of 171

Action for Cor			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			b) Appendix K – it is crucial that construction noise be included in the EA. This is standard practice in EA, to consider the effects of all phases of the project. The changes in the acoustic environment during construction constitute an important potential effect to human health.	b) As noted in Table 11.4-1 (Construction Monitoring), the Proponent has committed to monitoring noise generated by construction activities to ensure compliance with Municipal By-Laws. [1]		b) Status- Future work		No		
		8 cont'd	c) Appendix L – In order to fully protect human health, ozone must be included in the air quality assessment of the EA. The reference for odour and formaldehyde in Section 4.2 of the air quality assessment should be provided in the EA (not referenced on the internet).	c) As noted in Table 10.4-3, there is a net positive effect on all air pollutants assessed related to the proposed undertaking.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
Ministry of Transportation MTO)	Mr. Robb Minnes, Project Manager	9	The notes below are items that the MTO raised on the draft EA report and how they have been addressed in the final EA report. GO BRT and Hwy 407 Transitway a) MTO indicated that the references in the EA to the relationship between the GO BRT project and the 407 Transitway were confusing. While not a critical issue, it would have been preferred if section 1.3g had included the following clarification: "The initial phase of the GO BRT project, as supported by MTO, consists of buses running in mixed traffic on existing road facilities including section of Hwy 407. The 407 Transitway, which has been planned and is being protected by MTO, is designed as a fully grade separated transit facility supporting bus or LRT technologies. It will run adjacent to, but outside of the Hwy 407 r.o.w. between Burlington and Oshawa".	a) Comment noted. The undertaking for the 407 Transitway will be defined through a separate EA by the MTO.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014	
			b) MTO had also requested that where the EA discusses Hwy 7 or Vaughan north-south transit service interface with Hwy 407 transit service, it should address both shorter term interface with GO BRT mixed traffic service on Hwy 407 as well as longer term interface with the grade separated 407 Transitway service. This has been done.	b) Comment noted.		b) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014	
			Plans and Figures c) All of the plans referring to "407 Transitway" have been changed to "Future 407 Transitway" except Figures 8.3-1 through 8.3-17.	c) Comment noted.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 149 of 171

Action for Cor	mments Rece		Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High c Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report	Compliance Monitoring				Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes		
			d) The proposed sidewalk on the south side of Hwy 7, shown on Figures 9-43 and 9-44 has been deleted as requested.	d) Comment noted.		d) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014			
			Structures e) Section 9.1.5 identifies work required to accommodate the transit corridor where it crosses CAH designations including lane width and sidewalk reductions as well as structure modifications. Pursuant to the MTO's request, the introduction to Section 9.1.5 now indicates that the identified modifications within the CAH must be reviewed and approved by the Ministry. Further, the CAH modifications are now identified throughout this section.	e) Comment noted.		e) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014			
			f) The Final EA document is acceptable to the MTO.	f) Comment noted.		f) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014			
Town[City] of Markham	Mr. Arup Mukherjee	10	General Committee Report re. Hwy 7 EA a) Recommendations include that Council endorse the findings of the Environmental Study Report for the Hwy 7 rapid transit project, and that staff continue to work with Regional and YRTP staff to finalize the design for the rapid transit facility.	Comment noted. York Region will continue to work with local municipalities including the Town[City] of Markham, during detailed design and implementation of the undertaking.	York Region	a) Status – ongoing Preliminary consultation with municipalities, including the City of Markham, regarding design approvals commenced during the PE design phase as described under Item 33 of this document.	Refer to item 33of this document for consultation references.	No				
			b) Based on the above endorsement, staff has worked with the Proponents for the Liberty development to secure and protect sufficient r.o.w. along Town Centre Blvd for the rapid transit proposal. It is recognized that further consultation will be required with IBM to secure the remaining r.o.w. for this option.	b) Comment noted. The Region will work with the local municipalities to secure the required r.o.w.		b) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014			

December 2015 Page 150 of 171

Action for Cor			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses:	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
City of Toronto	Mr. Rod McPhail		Letter dated December 6, 2005 Hwy 7 EA a) The EA report indicates that, in the absence of an approved alignment for the Spadina Subway extension between Downsview Station and Steeles Ave, the study could not come to any conclusions regarding a recommended alignment and preferred design for a further extension of the Spadina Subway north of Steeles Ave. The EA report proposes, in spite of the lack of a recommended alignment or preferred design, that a subway extension from the potential Steeles Station to Vaughan Corporate Centre (VCC) be approved. The EA report recommends, however that in order to follow through on a subway extension, an amendment (or addendum) to the EA will be completed. This amendment would use the approved alignment from the TTC/City EA, once MOE approval is received, as a starting point to develop and assess alternative design concepts for the subway extension between Steeles Ave and VCC. Chapter 12 of the EA report contains a description of the components of the amendment report.	a) Throughout the Region's EA Study process, York Region, TTC and City of Toronto staff have participated in a reciprocal manner on the respective Technical Advisory Committees for the Spadina Subway Extension, both in Toronto and York Region. The confirmation of subway alignment recommended in prior studies relating to property protection for the VCC and the identification of the extent and scope of the tie-in alignment to be addressed in the addendum resulted from close collaboration with TTC staff and their consultant. This consultation has ensured that the alignment for the portion of the subway extension north of Hwy 407, for which approval is sought in the Region's EA is compatible with all alignment options from which the TTC/City of Toronto EA's preferred alignment will be selected. Also, the discussions and exchange of information form the basis of the description of components that are required to be addressed in the proposed addendum for the portion south of Highway 407 where the tie-in to the TTC's preferred alignment would be achieved.	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
				A revised Figure 12-4 is included in the supplementary information regarding the Vaughan North-South Link and includes the preferred alignment identified in the TTC Spadina Extension EA (The preferred TTC EA alignment had not been confirmed at the time the Region's Hwy 7 and VNSL EA was being completed for formal submission).		Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 151 of 171

Action for Com			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		11 cont'd	c) In addition to attending TTC/City EA TAC meetings for the Spadina Subway extension EA, York Region, YRT and City of Vaughan representatives have met with TAC staff regarding proposed Steeles Ave station options and subway design requirements to extend the subway beyond the proposed Steeles Ave station. The outcome of this work was the development and evaluation of concepts for the proposed Steeles Ave station, subway alignment, and ancillary facilities. The preferred concept for the Steeles Ave station, and the subway alignment in its vicinity, will be put forward to the MOE upon Toronto City Council approval of the Spadina Subway Extension EA findings and the completion of the EA report (early 2006). The preferred alignment (N-3 on attached figure) was identified through the TTC/City EA study process and was evaluated by the TAC during the summer of 2005. This alignment is not consistent with the preferred alignment A-1 shown in the Hwy 7 EA.			Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			Timing of Evaluation/Selection of Alignments d) The draft Hwy 7 EA was circulated for review in April 2005. At that time the TTC/City Spadina Subway Extension EA study was finalizing the selection of a preferred route, which was shown at public meetings in May 2005. The City's review of the draft EA, noting no substantial comments, was based on their understanding that the component of the study dealing with the subway would be updated to reflect current work from the TTC/City study prior to York Region submitting its final EA report. In particular that Chapter 12 would be reworked to reflect the TTC/City EA work.			Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment.		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 152 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 n the Government Review Team on the High Fransit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		11 e	e) York Region changed the final version of Chapter 12 quite substantially from the draft EA. However, the evaluation of alignment options relies almost entirely on alignments generated based on the 1993 TTC EA for the subway extension. While the recommended A-1 alignment, for which approval is requested, is similar to one of the alignments evaluated in the more recent TTC/City EA (as far as the tail track north of Steeles Ave), it is not the preferred alignment that has been put forward to Toronto City Council for approval. The preferred alignment from the TTC/City EA was not evaluated in the Hwy 7 EA, even though that alignment was identified prior to the Region finalizing its EA report in August 2005.			Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			Amendment to Hwy 7 EA The City of Toronto and TTC suggest that an addendum to the Hwy 7 EA, reflecting the preferred alignment to Steeles West Station, would be an appropriate venue to address the concerns that they have, assuming that an addendum is completed prior to the City and TTC considering a further extension of the Spadina Subway for approval through the City's and TTC's planning and approval processes.			Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
Region of Peel	Sabbir Saiyed, Principal Transportation Planner	12 a	The Region of Peel Official Plan places a strong emphasis on the increased use of sustainable transportation nodes such as transit, cycling and walking. Peel Region recently adopted the following transportation vision to focus efforts in achieving a desired future transportation system: "Peel Region will have a safe, convenient, efficient, multi-modal, sustainable and integrated transportation system that supports a vibrant economy, respects the natural and urban environment, meets the diverse needs of residents and contributes to a higher quality of life".	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 153 of 171

Action for Com			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses:	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		12 cont'd	b) The Region of Peel supports a balanced transportation system that promotes both roads and transit. The Region encourages improved accessibility by road and public transit to major nodes and corridors. On page E-7, it is stated that the preferred alternative will be able to meet long-term growth needs and planning objectives. They suggest that the current EA should take into consideration the needs to move automobile and truck traffic safely and efficiently on the Hwy 7 corridor and examine an alternative that supports all modes of transportation. Thus, a balanced alternative needs to be investigated further.	b) Comment noted. A wide range of alternatives to the undertaking were included in the assessment (refer to Chapter 3 of the EA report) to address the purpose of the undertaking as approved by the Minister of the Environment. The purpose of the undertaking is summarized in Section E.2 of the EA report. The preferred alternative to the undertaking (described in Section 3.1.5) includes all components of the "current commitments" (described in Section 3.1.2), including all York Region Transportation Master Plan improvements. The Transportation Master Plan includes a multi-modal approach to address travel demand and goods movement to 2031.		b) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			c) Local public transit along Hwy 7 (Regional Rd 107) in Peel Region is operated by the City of Brampton. Therefore in order to improve future transit services on the Hwy 7 corridor, it is important to coordinate transit improvements in close partnership with the City of Brampton and Peel Region.	c) The Region of Peel has been included in the Technical Advisory Committee and the Government Review Team for this formal EA submission. York Region will work with Peel to integrate any future Hwy 7 transit improvements west of Hwy 50 with the York Region undertaking defined in this EA.		c) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			d) A station should be considered in the vicinity of Hwy 7 and Hwy 50. Schedule A of the City of Brampton Official Plan designates this area as a "Primary Office Node". Since this area will be a major trip generator, a station is justified at this location. Section 4.3.4.12 of the Peel Region's Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) supports this position by directing the Region to "support gateways and interconnections between the local bus network and future transitways, especially at Regional urban Nodes".	d) As noted in Figures 9-1 and 9-2, a transit stop has been proposed at Hwy 50 which is the planned terminus of rapid transit service as defined through this EA. Should rapid transit service be planned west of Hwy 50 into Peel Region, York Region will work with Peel Region to integrate services appropriately.		d) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			e) A reference is made regarding Hwy 427 on page 9-8 as: "Between Hwy 50 and Hwy 27, the existing Hwy 7 alignment would shift to the north up to 6.7 m to incorporate the MTO's future Hwy 427 extension allowing Hwy 7 to be widened on the north side only". This should be discussed with Peel Region and MTO before proceeding further.	e) MTO will be consulted during detailed design as it relates to any work within their jurisdiction, including widening of the existing Hwy 7 structure over Hwy 427.		e) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 154 of 171

Action for Com	nments Rece		Appendix 2 m the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
		1	f) To ensure that there will be good connectivity between Peel and York Regions, the EA study area (page 2-1) should include areas west of Hwy 50 along Hwy 7 in Peel.	f) The study area for this EA extends from the York/Peel boundary (Hwy 50) to the York/Durham boundary. Should Peel Region or Brampton choose to define transit improvements west of Hwy 50, York Region will work with the neighbouring jurisdiction to integrate services accordingly.		f) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
		12 g	g) The Region of Peel LRTP has the following policies regarding transit improvements and promotion: LRTP Policy 4.3.4.4: Support fare integration and service coordination of inter-regional and local transit, especially at transfer points within Peel, with services in neighbouring municipalities and with GO Transit. LRTP Policy 4.3.4.9: Work with all levels of government to advance inter-regional transit plans including rapid transit, commuter rail, GTA transit corridors and GTA transportation centres. To make transit an attractive alternative between York and Peel Regions, Viva and the City of Brampton – AcceleRide – transit initiative should commit to plan and implement seamless travel between York and Peel with better fare integration and hassle-free transfer service.	g) Comments noted. The undertaking defined in this EA includes rapid transit service as far west as the York/Peel boundary. Should Peel Region or the City of Brampton choose to plan additional service within their municipal boundary, York Region will work with the neighbouring jurisdiction to integrate services accordingly. Transit fare integration is outside the scope of this EA.		g) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			h) The pedestrian environment is not adequately addressed at the boundary of Peel/York Region. The EA study indicates that Hwy 7 may be perceived as a highway-like road, which in turn with the introduction of transit service vehicles could create an unfriendly environment for pedestrians" (page 10-5). In order to attract transit users, it is important to provide a safe, comfortable and attractive pedestrian environment. An unfriendly pedestrian environment can be a barrier for commuters to choose transit as their preferred mode of transportation. Therefore, more effort should be taken to ensure the pedestrian friendliness of the project.	h) As shown on Figure 9-2, sidewalks are planned for both sides of Hwy 7 as far west as the York/Peel boundary (Hwy 50). A conceptual streetscape plan is described in Section 9.1.1 of the EA report. A detailed streetscape plan will be developed during detailed design. Page 10-5 (Table 10.4-2) identifies potential Environmental Effects. The table also identifies the Built-in Positive Attributes of the undertaking (i.e. Design transitway to facilitate safe pedestrian road crossings with median refuge. Improved streetscaping in order to create a friendlier pedestrian environment).		h) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 155 of 171

Action for Co			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses:	nway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			i) On page E-5, the description of route alternatives is provided for Segment A: between Hwy 50 and Hwy 400. It is mentioned that "the only feasible route alternative is to locate the transitway in the median of the existing Hwy 7 cross-section". The above statement needs to be discussed further and coordinated with Peel Region and the City of Brampton for further service integration.	i) Chapter 5 of the EA report includes screening of route alternatives for Segment A (York/Peel boundary to Hwy 400) and includes the consideration of six different routes (Steeles Ave, Hwy 407, Hwy 7, Langstaff Rd, Rutherford Rd and Major Mackenzie Dr). See Table 5.1-1 (Preliminary Screening of Route Options) and Table 5.3-1 (Analysis of Alternative Routes and Technology Combinations).		i) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
Durham Region of Peel	Mr. Ramesh Jagannathan, Manager Transportation Planning and Research	13	a) As noted in the EA report, the preferred option proposes buses operating in mixed traffic between the York-Durham Line and Reesor Rd, until such time as an extension of the transitway is warranted. Durham Region supports the wording that has been added to Section 8.3.6.1 since the draft EA report, which states that additional r.o.w. east of Reesor Rd should be acquired through the site plan process for adjacent development, in order to accommodate dedicated transit lanes in the long-term.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
			b) The Region will assume local transit services from the area municipalities on January 1, 2006. Accordingly, Durham Region Transit is committed to working with York Region Transit to coordinate future transit service delivery.	b) Comment noted.		b) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014	
			c) The preferred option (Option 9-1.1) proposes a future transit station at Hwy 7 and the York-Durham Line. Durham Region note that this station has been detailed further, since the Draft EA report in the preferred alignment drawing (i.e. Figure 9-81). Durham Region suggests that additional wording be added in Section 8.3.6, noting that this station could potentially be moved to an easterly location in the future urban area of Seaton. This would provide a more direct connection with Durham Region Transit services. Please note that the proposed Draft Central Pickering Development Plan for the Seaton urban area identifies a future transit station (referred to as a Transit Interchange) at Hwy 407 and Sideline 26.	c) Comment noted. York Region Transit will work with Durham Region Transit to ensure coordinated service at the boundary between the two jurisdictions.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page **156** of **171**

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring		Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes	
			d) The choice of Hwy 7 for rapid transit services, over Hwy 407, is understandable given York Region's focus on intra-regional urban transit services. The Hwy 407 Transitway, however, is more significant from an inter- regional point of view. As such, rapid transit service on Hwy 7 should be treated and designed to be complementary with future Hwy 407 Transitway services, rather than competitive.	d) Comment noted. As noted in this comment and described in the Region's Transportation Master Plan and in various sections of the EA report, the undertaking is a key component of the York Region Rapid Transit Plan, which focuses on intra-regional urban rapid transit, with connections to inter-regional services (such as GO Rail and 407 Transitway) and other neighbouring rapid transit (TTC etc).		d) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014		
Toronto and Region Conservation Authority	Ms. Beth Williston	14	a) TRCA recognizes that the Preferred Design requires a new crossing of the Rouge River (see figure 9-60). Staff met on site with York Region and Rouge Park representatives to discuss the implications of this crossing on November 18, 2005. Further to this meeting, staff completed its review of the document and advises that TRCA has no objection to the proposed crossing, as its impact to the placement and function of the transitway is now understood.	TRCA agreement in principle to the proposed Rouge River crossing is noted.	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014		
			b) Table 8.3-9 should be revised in order to clearly distinguish this alternative as preferable to the others, particularly as it will have the greatest negative impact on the natural environment.	b) A revised Table 8.3-9 is included in the attached supplemental information to TRCA. The table is revised to include more of the detailed information as presented in Table 8.3-5 and wording as summarized in the text of section 8.3.5.1 that better distinguishes the preferred alignment alternative.		b) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014		
			c) Any new crossing of a valley or stream corridor has a significant impact on the ecological function of the system. In accordance with TRCA's Valley and Stream Corridor Management Program as well as Rouge Park programs and policies, valley and stream crossings must be minimized in order to preserve the environmental integrity of the system. To this end, TRCA is advising that any future crossings of the Rouge River and its tributaries in this area are of significant concern. TRCA and Rouge Park will require that future Environmental Assessment or Planning Act applications in this area be developed such that no new crossings of the Rouge River, Apple Creek or Beaver Creek are approved.	c) Comment noted for future Environmental Assessment or Planning Act applications in this area.		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014		
			d) TRCA requests that York Region commit to restoring the surrounding valley land and floodplain as part of a	The Region will work with TRCA to develop a compensation plan during detailed design that satisfies the		d) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014		

December 2015 Page 157 of 171

Action for Com	ıments Rece		Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			compensation plan to address the impacts associated with this new crossing. This process would include the acquisition of the flood plain property west of Warden Avenue and south of Cedarland Drive for this purpose. A restoration plan should be prepared in consultation with TRCA staff to ensure that Terrestrial Natural Heritage objectives are met to maximize the ecological benefit to this area. Not withstanding the above, additional compensation may be required when this project moves to detailed design.	agencies requirements. As noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits are identified as part of post-EA approval activities.						
		14 cont'd	Please note that other outstanding TRCA concerns are provided below:					No	Closed (2014	
			e) The sentence in the third paragraph on page E-7 that ends " to preserve the aquatic habitat" should be revised to read " to preserve the aquatic and terrestrial habitat".	e) Comment noted.		e) Status – No Action Required				
		14 cont'd	f) It should be noted on Page 9-16 that the minimum crossing opening for Local Alignment C3-4 to satisfy geomorphic requirements is expected to be approximately 80 to 120 metres, and may be greater depending on site conditions. Additionally, the conceptual crossing structure profile and dimensions should be removed from Fig 9-60 to ensure that the EA is not misinterpreted to read that a 30 metre crossing may be permitted.	f) Section 9.1.5 (27) indicates that a meander belt analysis and a 100 year erosion limit will be determined during preliminary and detailed design to determine the sizing of the bridge span for the planned Rouge River crossing. Figure 9-60 also indicates that the sizing of the structure will be determined during the design phase. A revised figure 9-60 is attached and has been revised to delete the reference to a 30 metre structure span		f) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	
		14 cont'd	g) Table 8.2-1 has been revised to include an indicator under Objective C4 for "extent of channel realignment", but not for impacts to restriction of channel plan form as per previous comments. Staff considers the extension of existing watercourse crossings to be potentially detrimental to physical processes in the watercourse, as this will impede natural plan form migration by confining additional channel length in structures that are of insufficient width to allow full meander bend development and evolution. Table 8.2-1 and 10.4-3 should be revised so that this issue is reflected in the evaluation.	g) The indicator "extent of channel realignment" has been considered a measure of any additional restriction of channel plan form due to the channel having to be realigned locally at existing crossings to follow the increment of increase in length of existing crossing structures. Generally, this increase is under 5 metres at the entrance and exit of culverts and bridges which at present, have a length suitable for crossing a 5-7 lane roadway. The Region agrees that the textual assessment of effects preceding Table 10.4-3 should include recognition that the extension of existing crossings with insufficient width to allow full meander development will introduce a moderately significant effect on natural plan form migration at existing crossing entrances and exits. This will be		g) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014	

December 2015 Page 158 of 171

Action for Con			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	nway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
				addressed further during the TRCA permit approval stage in the development of a compensation plan to maximize ecological benefit						
		14 cont'd	h) The number of new and widened watercourse crossings associated with each alternative route should be included in Table 8.3-2, as per evaluation tables in other sections.	h) The three alternatives for Segment B East (refer to page 8-10 of the EA report) have the following new/widened watercourse crossings. Alternative B4 – No new or widened crossings required. Alternative B5 – New crossings include: Westminster Creek east of Dufferin Street; West Don River east of Dufferin Street, west of Bathurst Street and east of Bathurst Street; Widened structures at Hwy 7 over East Don River. Alternative B6 – No new crossings or widened crossings required. With the inadvertent omission of listing the watercourse crossings from Table 8.3-2 in the EA report, the selection of Alternative B6 as the Technically Preferred Alternative does not change		h) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014	
		14 cont'd	 The transitway station on Fig 9-60 should be removed from the Rouge Valley corridor and regional floodplain. The note provided does not sufficiently indicate that the station location must be outside the valley corridor and floodplain. 	During detailed design, the Region will refine the station location and design solution to meet TRCA requirements for protection of the valley corridor and flood plain based on a detailed survey of site conditions.		i) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment .		No	Closed (2014	
			j) The Stormwater Management Preliminary Assessment provided in Appendix G is not sufficient to confirm that an effective stormwater management system for the transitway can be provided, and therefore the "insignificant" level of impact to water quality assumed in Table 10.4-3 cannot be confirmed. The material provided in Appendix G does not confirm the locations and availability of land for stormwater management measures and for many segments of the transitway no stormwater management measure are proposed. The consultant presents an argument to explain the latter in Appendix G as follows: "The existing roadway runoff has a greater impact on the downstream watercourses that the potential increase in runoff due to the proposed transitway. Stormwater management in urbanized areas should therefore be developed as part of an initiative to provide treatment	j) The Proponent will commit to [1] working with the TRCA during preliminary and detailed design to ensure that the stormwater management plan [2] provides [2a] a net improvement in water quality of the receiving watercourse. [3] Opportunities to include treatment for this undertaking with broader infrastructure initiatives will be reviewed during the design phase. The proponent agrees that deferring the fulfillment of treatment of this objective is not acceptable. Additional information regarding the Stormwater Management Preliminary Assessment is included as supplementary information with this response to TRCA.		j) Status –ongoing A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design. Further work and consultation will be carried out in detail design.	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendix D (ID# Y2014-001)	No	[2] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [2] (SWMP) – evidence found that SWMP will be developed Not review Item [1] (TRCA) Item [2a] (improvement) Item [3] (opportunities) All items are ongoing

December 2015 Page **159** of **171**

Action for Com			Appendix 2 m the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Assess	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link	Responsible Status and Description of how Compliance				Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes	
			on a watershed basis rather than trying to manage the incremental change resulting from the proposed transitway. This type of initiative would be separate from the current environmental assessment for the Hwy 7 Corridor Public Transit Improvements." This rationale does not justify that lack of proposed treatment for portions of the transitway, as it is the objective of the TRCA to obtain a net benefit in water quality treatment for all new transportation infrastructure projects. Deferring the fulfillment of treatment of this objective to large scale initiatives for urban stormwater retrofit, as the consultant suggests, is not acceptable, as it has been shown to be significantly more difficult and costly to provide stormwater treatment in a retrofit context than incrementally during the design and construction of new infrastructure. Therefore, the Proponent should demonstrate that stormwater measures for the transitway can be provided that will provide a net improvement in water quality in the receiving watercourses. The appendix should be revised to address stormwater management for all sections of transitway that will be service by each measure. It may be useful for the consultant to review the recent EA report for the Markham Bypass (southern portion) being prepared by the Regional Municipality of York, as it contains an appendix that addresses stormwater to a comparable level of detail as is expected in the response to the above comments.								
		14 cont'd	k) Suitable information has not been provided to confirm that impacts to terrestrial passage at stream crossings will be "insignificant", after mitigation, as indicated on Table 10.4-3 under objective C2. In particular, the extension of existing crossings may significantly reduce the potential for wildlife use and these effects cannot be entirely mitigated with the types of measures proposed, particularly as the option of "increasing vertical and horizontal clearances" is not available for the extension of existing crossings. In the absence of additional information, the level of significance after mitigation for this item should be ranked as at least "moderately significant".	k) Culverts/bridges that will not be replaced for transitway insertion in the roadway cross-section will be [1] investigated further during detail design to [1a] formulate site-specific retrofit opportunities to enhance wildlife passage. The culvert extensions required are not expected to significantly impede or improve wildlife passage under Highway 7. As suggested by TRCA, the level of significance after mitigation can be considered to be moderate in the absence of additional information to be provided during the design and permit approval phase of the project.		k) Status – ongoing Preliminary design is underway and will include consultation with TRCA in preliminary and detail design.		No		2014 ACR: no documents provided - not reviewed All items are ongoing	

December 2015 Page 160 of 171

Action for Com		Appendix 2 Received from the Government Review Team on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report			Compliance Monitoring			Co	mpliance Review (MMM)	
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			The monitoring frequency in Table 11.4-1 for "effect of construction on water quality and quantity in watercourses" should be revised to indicate that monitoring should occur after every major storm event.	Comment noted and will be carried forward to the design and construction phase of the project.		I) Status – Future work		No		
		14 cont'd	m) The discussion of water quality and quantity monitoring in Table 11.4-2 is not satisfactory as the monitoring methods and frequency are not appropriate for the monitoring purposes. Specifically, monitoring of sediment accumulation in stormwater management facilities will not indicate the effect of snow and ice removal in corridor watercourses. It is recommended that separate monitoring items be developed for sediment accumulation, stormwater management facilities and impacts of snow and ice removal. Water quality impacts of snow and ice removal, as well as regular transit operations, should be monitored by measuring chlorides, suspended sediment, and other water quality parameters, at the outlets of the various stormwater management facilities during both storm and snowmelt events. The accumulation of sediment in stormwater management facilities should be monitored by measuring the accumulation at a reasonable interval based on the expected sediment loading and storage capacity of the facility. Table 11.4-2 should be revised accordingly.	m) The Region will develop a detailed monitoring program covering all aspects noted during detailed design in consultation with TRCA. All required measurements, specifically to assess the effect of the transitway insertion, will be included in the monitoring program.		m) Status – Future work		No		
			n) It has been correctly identified that all culvert and bridge extensions or widenings may result in the Harmful Alteration, Disruption or Destruction of fish habitat and that compensation under the Fisheries Act may be required. At the detailed design stage, TRCA ecology staff will review all culvert/bridge modifications, and will require that: a) Any potential impacts are mitigated whenever possible; b) Effective sediment and erosion controls are provided; and c) There will be a net benefit to the aquatic an floodplain system. Please note that it is possible that additional watercourses may be identified during detailed design stage, and that a TRCA permit and review under Fisheries Act, along with all other applicable legislation	n) Comment noted to be carried forward to the detailed design phase (as noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits are identified as part of post-EA approval activities)		n) Status – ongoing Preliminary design is underway and will include consultation with TRCA in preliminary and detail design.		No		2014 ACR: no documents provided – not reviewed All items are ongoing

December 2015 Page 161 of 171

Action for Com			Appendix 2 om the Government Review Team on the High Transit Improvements Environmental Asses	way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link sment Final Report				Compliance Review (MMM)				
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Notes Results			
		14 cont'd	may apply. o) Note that the tributary at station 541+300 (approx.) is being relocated to the east. Please contact Leslie Piercey for more information.	Comment noted to be carried forward to the detailed design phase (as noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits are identified as part of post-EA approval activities).		Status – ongoing Preliminary design for this crossing is underway and will include consultation with TRCA in preliminary and detail design.		No	2014 ACR: no documents provided – not reviewed All items are ongoing			
			p) Impacts to groundwater resources will need to be addressed in greater detail, particularly in terms of construction related impacts from any required dewatering. Studies will be required to identify quantities, durations and zones of influence associated with aquifer depressurization or dewatering, along with any other environmental impacts that may be anticipated. Mitigation plans will be needed to protect any associated natural heritage features and groundwater related resources. Areas of particular concern have been identified within the EA report (between Hwy 400 and Jane St, and Hwy 404 and McCowan Rd), however, groundwater resources and the features dependent on them will need to be identified and protected throughout the entire corridor during the detailed design phase.	p) Comment noted. [1] The impacts on groundwater resources and the features affected by them, throughout the entire Highway 7 Corridor, will be identified during the detailed design phase when the extent of any dewatering is known. [2] Mitigation plans will be developed to provide the necessary protection for natural heritage features and groundwater related resources in consultation with TRCA and other appropriate authorities.[3]		p) Status- Future work		No				
			q) Please note that the area identified for the Vaughan North-South Link (between Hwy 400 and Jane St) is an area of shallow or upward groundwater movement. This is an issue that will need to be addressed by TRCA's hydrogeologist at the detailed design phase.	Comment noted. TRCA's hydrogeologist will be contacted during the detailed design phase.		q. Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)			

December 2015 Page 162 of 171

Action for Co	omments Received f	from the	Appendix 3 Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit In	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Re	eview (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
	Mr. Jeff Stone		a) Section 6.1.1.5 – To the locations of the additional terminals add the following: Promenade: Southwest of Bathurst and Centre; Vaughan Mills: Southwest of Jane and Rutherford; and York University: Southwest of Keele and Steeles.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Section 6.1.2.5 – Add to the Bathurst St Station "for Hwy 7 West" or future GO Transitway. 	b) Comment noted.		b) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) Yonge and Centre Station was omitted. Was the level unacceptable?	c) Both Yonge St and Centre St are included in the listings of level of service in Section 6.1.2.5 of the EA report.		c) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
		1	d) Where are the ratios of traffic at Laidlaw Blvd?	 Existing traffic at the Laidlaw Blvd. intersection is operating at an acceptable level hence it does not appear in the listing of intersections at or near unacceptable levels of service. 		d) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Section 6.1.2.6 – Add "High traffic volume on Beverly Glen" and "There is a threat of neighbourhood traffic infiltration" to the Wiltshire Neighbourhood. 	e) Comment noted		e) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			f) Section 6.3.3.1 – Under the City of Vaughan, note that Thomhill is divided in half at Yonge St between Vaughan and Markham, not Vaughan and Richmond Hill. Note that Thomhill is not in Richmond Hill as it is entirely below Hwy 7.	f) Inadvertent error acknowledged. Reference to Richmond Hill is incorrect.		f) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
		[g) Section 6.3.3.2 – Add the future areas at Bathurst and Centre/Promenade.	g) Comment noted.		g) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
		Ī	h) Section 6.4.1.1 – Under Thornhill (Yonge St and Centre St), add that Yonge and Centre is an epicentre.	h) Comment noted.		h) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Section 7.2 – Add "Proximity to development and origin-destination node/traffic generators". 	i) Comment noted.		i) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Section 7.3 – Add "intrusion into land uses" and "Public comfort stations/commercial land uses nearby". 	j) Comment noted.		j) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Figures 8.3-7, 8.3-9 and 8.3-10 – Add transit station at Bathurst and Hwy 7 West (Connection to GO/407 Transitway). 	k) Comment noted. Potential station at Bathurst St and Hwy 7 identified in Section 8.3.3 of the EA report.		k) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Page 8.3.20 – The best choice for Hospital Complex as midpoint in the area, therefore is most accessible. 	I) Comment noted.		I) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			m) Table 8.3-2 – Why was B6 chosen when B-3 has 11 most responsive and B5 and B6 have only 8 criteria?	m) B3 is an alternative to B1 and B2 and does not correspond with the section of route containing B6.		m) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 163 of 171

Action for Com	nments Received	I from the	Appendix 3 Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Imp	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance R	eview (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			n) Table 8.3-2 – Why was B6 chosen when B-4 has 3 least responsive and B4 and B6 have no criteria?	B6 was assessed as having greater potential for the development of transit supportive land uses with convenient access to the stations while having no adverse effects that could not be mitigated.		n) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
		1 cont'd	o) Page 9.1 – GO stations in Woodbridge near Hwy 7 and Islington in Kleinberg are not shown in the plan.	o) Stations on potential future GO services are not shown in the figure.		o) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Figure 9-25 p) One bus terminal is shown on the North side, but two terminals are shown on the Spadina Extension EA plan.	 The figure shows only the Region-owned land designated for future transit terminal use. Any additional terminal facilities required are part of the undertaking for the Spadina Subway Extension EA. 		p) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			q) Add one terminal on the south side of Steeles Ave (i.e. permanent for TTC routes S. of Steeles Ave).	 q) Terminals on the south side of Steeles Ave are not part of the undertaking for this EA but may be included in the City of Toronto/TTC's Spadina Subway extension EA. 		q) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			r) Figure 9-35 – Add a second gap on Centre St to adequately serve retailers or some stores will die.	 r) As shown in Figure 9-35 of the EA report, a full movement intersection (signalized) has been shown conceptually providing access to the lands north of Centre St between Vaughan Blvd and New Westminster Dr. 		r) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Figure 9-36 s) The station site west of Promenade loop is on a slope and could pose stopping problems.	s) A station at the location shown will meet design standards.		s) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			t) The right turn lane should be extended south of Centre St to the condo building entrance for flow.	t) The extent of turning lanes will be determined after further analysis of needs during the detailed design phase.		t) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			Add a one to two lane northbound road versus three lanes shown in both directions on future plans.	Bathurst St will retain the existing two lanes in each direction, with the additional lanes being dedicated to rapid transit.		u) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			v) Note the northbound station north of Atkinson poses a problem for the retail strip plaza vehicle access.	 Access to the plaza on the east side of Bathurst St will be possible by making either a U-turn SB at the Atkinson Ave intersection followed by a right-turn into the plaza, or a left turn into Atkinson Ave and a second left-turn into the southern entrance to the plaza. 		v) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			w) Note the southbound station south of Atkinson poses a problem for school and community centre access.	Access to the community centre and school will be possible through the signalized intersection at New Westminster Dr.		w) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			x) Section 12 – A1 Station Site: The advantages are it is a better choice as it is under Steeles completely; lesser capital cost as no expropriation needed nor use of vacant land; better service to York University and has least effect on future development; and central location as perpendicular site allows access to all terminals. The disadvantage is that this location poses higher noise and vibration problems.	x) Comment noted.		x) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 164 of 171

Action for Co	omments Received fro	om th	Appendix 3 ne Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Im	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance	Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			y) Page 12-4 – Add "Possible 2nd bus terminal" on the north side. Note that non-TTC routes can be accommodated by one terminal until Spadina is extended north.	y) Overall terminal requirements at the Steeles Ave subway station are being defined by the Spadina Subway Extension EA. The station site will be addressed as part of the Spadina EA.		y) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
			In general, the EA omits reference to other potential east-west or north-south arterial corridors for rapid transit in future in south York Region.	The modeling of future rapid transit ridership has assumed enhanced transit service on parallel arterial routes in both the east-west and north-south directions.		z) Status – No action required		No	Closed (2014)	
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP	Mr. Stephen Waque	2	a) Counsel for property owners whose lands are located on the north side of Centre St, between New Westminster Dr and Dufferin St. It appears to their client that the analysis being undertaken is still defective in that it fails to recognize and implement the policies set out in City of Vaughan OPA 672. In particular, policies numbered 8 and 9 in that OPA. The lawyers would appreciate specific acknowledgement of their client's concerns and a specific response indicating how the Proponent will address them. The following are the excerpts from the City of Vaughan OPA 672: OPA 672 – Section 8 notes that amending OPA#210, Section 2.2.3.6, General Commercial Areas, by adding the following paragraph to subsection b): "Council consideration should be given to broadening the permitted retail and service commercial uses within an implementing zoning by-law and definitions to allow a greater range of commercial uses which reflect evolving consumer needs without imposing negative impacts on neighbouring residential areas."	As shown on Figure 9-35 of the EA report, a full movement intersection (signalized) has been shown conceptually providing access to the lands north of Centre St between Vaughan Blvd and New Westminster Dr. As noted on Figure 9-35, the final location of the full movement intersection will be determined during detailed design and in consultation with affected property owners.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
			OPA 672 – Section 9 notes that amending OPA#210, Section 2.3.6 by adding the following paragraph: "That the Region of York recognize the importance of maintaining full movement access to the existing commercial centres on the north side of Centre St between Vaughan Blvd and New Westminster Dr, and reflect this in the planning for any transit facilities in the Centre St Corridor between Bathurst and Dufferin St."					No		2014 ACR: status needs to be determined
	Mr. Lloyd Helferty	3	The entire length of the proposed transitway should include, for both environmental and health reasons, the accommodation of additional space along the transitway corridor for safe and "continuous" passage of non-motorized vehicles, particularly bicycles, foot traffic and other human-powered or small-capacity vehicles (e.g. scooters or segways).	Detailed comment noted and will be carried forward for consideration during development of the detailed streetscape plan (Section 9.1.1 of the EA report describes the conceptual streetscape plan). As identified on Figures 9.1-2 to 9.1-10, a 2.0 m sidewalk is proposed along each side of the transitway/road corridor for pedestrians. As shown on Figures 13.9-3 to 13.9-5, a 3.0 m bicycle path is	York Region	a) Status – Future work		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 165 of 171

Action for Co	omments Received fr	om the	Appendix 3 Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Imp	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance	Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			The path would be a positive environmental benefit to the users of the traffic corridor because the users of the transit corridor could choose, on those days which have appropriate weather for alternate modes of travel, to safely use a pathway instead of a private vehicle or public transit (which itself uses internal combustion technology and is beneficial in reducing emissions but does not eliminate them). A pathway along the transit route could significantly reduce both the traffic congestion along the corridor as well as reducing the emissions that would otherwise have resulted from elimination of the use of an additional vehicle on the road. "Continuous" meaning the pathway should not be broken along any section because of incompleteness or obstruction (such as highway bridges), and should allow the passage of small/light vehicles without the users of such a path having to resort to simultaneous use of the same roadway as heavy vehicles.	proposed from Warden Ave to east of Sciberras Rd and has been developed in consultation with the local municipality. The local municipality has jurisdiction over bike paths. At the time of detailed streetscape design, York Region will continue to work with local municipalities to incorporate additional streetscape facilities and bicycle access to stations where feasible.						
	Mr. James Puddy	4	a) Mr. Puddy mailed letters concerning the meetings at Markville on September 19, 2003 and September 17, 2004 and had no replies. He went to the Markham Town Centre to review the EA report and noticed that there were eighty replies from the total of twelve meetings and did not see his letter of September 19, 2003, although his letter of September 17, 2004 was recorded. The following are his comments on the EA report.	a) It appears that the Rapid Transit Program Office inadvertently omitted to acknowledge receipt of Mr. Puddy's letters and respond to the comments contained in them. However, the comments were taken into consideration in evaluating alternatives and developing the preferred design for the undertaking. The responses below indicate how his comments were addressed in the EA report.	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			b) The transit lane should be in the curb lanes with the transit stops at the far side of the traffic control intersections.	b) Curb side transit lanes were considered in the EA report (refer to Section 5.4.1, Alternative Locations within a Road r.o.w.). Table 5.4-1 provides an evaluation of the alternative locations for the transit lanes, with a median transitway identified as the preferred location. The typical station layout includes far side stops at intersections with traffic and pedestrian control signals (refer to Figure 7.3-1).		b) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			c) The transit lanes should run straight along the corridor with a subway or overpass at the GO crossing and not detoured up and down to the GO station where the trains operate approximately two hours each direction on working days.	c) Alternative routes and alignments were considered and evaluated in the EA (refer to Section 5.3.1, Analysis and Evaluation of Alternative Technology/Route Combinations and Section 8.3, Development of Segment Alignment Alternatives). In addition to inter-connectivity with GO Rail services, the routing selected serves the planned mixeduse Markham Centre where significant transit-supportive development is planned.		c) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 166 of 171

Action for Con	nments Received f	rom the	Appendix 3 Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Im	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance	Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			 d) The raised transit lanes will separate the corridor into a north and south side of the community requiring at each traffic control intersection numerous traffic light functions such as through, right, left and U-turns. 	d) As noted in Section 9.1.1 of the EA, a streetscape concept has been developed in consultation with local municipalities to be a catalyst for transit-oriented development and attract transit ridership by creating a pedestrian friendly environment. The effect on traffic operations was considered in the evaluation of options to locate a transitway in a roadway (refer to Table 5.4-1) and the analysis of traffic conditions during operation of the transit service (refer to Chapter 10). In addition, traffic operations will be monitored during rapid transit operations as noted in Table 11.4-2.		d) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
		4 cont'd	l' ""	e) Environmental criteria for assessing the effects of the undertaking on congestion, pollution and safety are included in Section 10.4 - Analysis of Environmental Effects and Mitigation, of the EA report.		e) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			Comments from PCC#4, September 17, 2004 f) Mr. Puddy spoke to a representative of Lynton Erskine at the Markville Mall presentation on September 17, 2004. He does not consider the present plan will enhance the quality of life in the Hwy 7 Corridor.	f) Protecting and enhancing the social environment in the corridor was a key objective in the development of the undertaking (refer to Chapter 1 and Chapter 10, Table 10.4-2).		g) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			g) The transit lanes should be in the curb lane of Hwy 7 corridor with stops at the far side of intersections	g) Curb side transit lanes were considered in the EA report (refer to Section 5.4.1, Alternative Locations within a Road r.o.w.). Table 5.4-1 provides an evaluation of the alternative locations for the transit lanes, with a median transitway identified as the preferred location. The typical station layout includes far side stops at intersections with traffic and pedestrian control signals (refer to Figure 7.3-1).		h) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			h) The level crossing on Hwy 7 in Unionville should have an underpass allowing safe passage for GO trains and Hwy 7 traffic which was done at Finch Ave, west of Leslie St.	h) Comment noted. Refer to Figure 9-63 of the EA report which shows a proposed underpass for the transitway crossing of the GO Stouffville line.		g) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			i) The transit line in the middle of Hwy 7 corridor with its left and U-turns at intersections are not safe and convenient for pedestrians or vehicles contributing to gridlock and pollution. The transit line should not be detoured off the Hwy 7 corridor to the GO station for four trains each way on working days.	i) Refer to responses c and d above.		h) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			j) The primary purpose of what used to be a provincial highway was for the movement of goods, people and	j) The purpose of the undertaking is presented in Section 1.2.2 of the EA report. The existing Social Environment is		i) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 167 of 171

Action for Co	mments Received f	rom the	Appendix 3 e Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Im	provements Environmental Assessment Final Report		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance	Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			services and should be the main function of this arterial road serving a commercial area.	described in Section 6.3 and includes a wide range of adjacent land uses						
		4 cont'o	Comments from PCC#3, September 19, 2003 In the preferred plan for enhancing the quality of life in the Hwy 7 corridor is similar to the Spadina Ave transit in Toronto and Mr. Puddy does not consider that the Toronto system meets any of our criteria for the proposed plan.	 k) Comment noted. Analysis and Evaluation of Alternatives to the Undertaking is provided in Chapter 3 of the EA report. 		j) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			 Mr. Puddy suggests that the preferred plan for all purposes would be better located in either the hydro or 407 corridors. 	 Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors). 		k) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			m) The rapid transit line in the centre of the Hwy 7 corridor would not contribute to the safety and convenience of pedestrians or other users. The detouring of the transit line off the corridor to connect with the GO station for only 10 trains on working days.	m) Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors).		I) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			The transit line should be built in the curb lanes and an underpass built at the Hwy 7 corridor and the GO level crossing which would allow passengers to transfer to the GO trains and provide a safe Hwy 7 corridor by eliminating a level crossing.	Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors).		m) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
	Ms. Gloria Boxen	5	Ms. Boxen welcomes the Region's decision to improve transit but is concerned about the Region's inability to address land use planning where it works against good transit and community development and when it doesn't dare to hope that people will get out of their cars and walk.	 Approval of site plan development is a local municipal jurisdiction and subject to the Ontario Planning Act, as well as conformance with land use as provided in the York Region Official Plan. The Region is also undertaking a Centres and Corridors Study to facilitate development of both the Regional Centres and Corridors with more intensive development supporting transit ridership (the Region's planning initiatives are briefly described in Section 12.1.1 of the EA report). 	York Region	a) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	
			b) The evaluation and comments provided are based on the following principles: 1) Efficient use of resources, existing infrastructure, land, energy, and most direct route to service the most people and destinations, with least environmental impacts; 2) Promotes health, reduces air, water and soil pollution by reducing the use and need for private vehicles, and promotes walking and cycling; 3) Other environmental concerns – Decreases the need for paved and other impervious surfaces and reduces flood potential. Increases vegetation to reduce runoff, provide shade, filter pollutants, and absorb CO2. Reduces	 b) Comment noted. Many of the factors noted here have been included throughout the EA (Chapter 5 - Alternative Methods of Improving Public Transit, Chapter 7 – Planning and Design Parameters, Chapter 8 – Development and Selection of Preferred Design, and Chapter 10 – Assessment of the Undertaking). 		b) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 168 of 171

Action for Con	Appendix 3 Action for Comments Received from the Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes	
			greenhouse gas emissions and moderated the effects of climate change; 4) Promotes community health – stops and terminals are located near centres of activity. Accessible to all residents in geographical sense and to those with physical handicaps. Inclusive of residents regardless of age and economical status; and 5) Convenience.								
		5 cont'd	Current Events c) Ms. Boxen presumes that the study does not include the impacts of the construction of the additional lanes on Hwy 407 in the central portion that are exempt from environmental assessment. These impacts should be added to those calculated for any added lanes to Hwy 7.	c) The widening of Hwy 407 is not included as part of the proposed undertaking and not under the jurisdiction of York Region.		c) Status – No Action Required.		No	Closed (2014)		
			d) Does the study take into account today's world? The world has changed since the study commenced. Gas prices have gone from cheap to a point where people are actively looking for other means of transportation such as walking and cycling, as well as transit.	 d) Comment noted. The undertaking will have a positive effect on improving mobility as noted in Table 10.4-1 of the EA report. 		d) Status- No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)		
			Price volatility has mirrored the weather's volatility. Scientists have predicted the weather extremes and severity would increase with increased greenhouse gases and climate change.	 e) Comment noted. As noted in Table 10.4-3 of the EA report, the recommended undertaking will have a net positive effect on local and Regional Air Quality. 		a) Status- No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)		
			f) Decreasing the permeable surfaces through increased road pavement and loss of greenspace helps to increase the risk of flooding. If we are to implement infrastructure changes to accommodate rapid transit, they must be taken from existing paved surfaces or be in the form of rail. In August there was local flooding in basements in Thomhill and North York. Finch Avenue near Jane Street was washed out at Black Creek. Look again at the calculated impacts of increased river crossings and determine if they are realistic in view of what happened in August.	f) Comment noted. As noted in Table 11.3-1 (I.D. #5.1) of the EA report, the Proponent will develop a [1] detailed storm water management plan during the detailed design phase of the proposed undertaking.		b) Status – ongoing A preliminary Stormwater Management (SWM) Design Report was prepared in support of the preliminary design	Preliminary Design Report – H3.4, October 28, 2014, Appendix D (ID# Y2014-001)	No	[1] EF (2014)	2014 ACR: Item [1] (SWMP) – evidence found that SWMP will be designed. Items [1] is ongoing.	
			Road Capacity g) Four lanes of road at capacity is not a signal to add additional lanes of road. Rather they are an indicator for increasing road efficiency by adding more public transit, separated bike lanes and sheltered sidewalks. This is the point at which travel demand is high enough to support these alternative modes of transportation and opportunity to reduce car dependency. If instead road capacity is increased by	g) Comment noted. The recommended undertaking is predominately transit related infrastructure (as described in Chapters 9 and 12 of the EA report). Proposed road widening from Lunar Crescent (east of Woodbine Ave) to east of Sciberras Rd is presented in Chapter 13 of the EA report. The Region's Transportation Master Plan (June 2002) includes a multi-modal strategy for dealing with travel demand in York Region to 2031, including		c) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)		

December 2015 Page 169 of 171

Action for Cor	Appendix 3 Action for Comments Received from the Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes	
			adding more lanes, induced traffic demand results as it becomes initially easier to drive to further destinations, perhaps permanently changing travel patterns. Time, not distance, determines how far we go. If travel distances double, traffic volumes double. The above principles are achieved by focusing on people, not cars and to move people and goods, not cars and trucks.	significant planned transit infrastructure as well as road improvements.							
		5 cont'd	Infrastructure h) First build infrastructure that promotes convenience and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Provide covered, separated bikeways [1] and sidewalks [2] along major arteries to allow the option of walking and cycling for commuting and doing errands. Provide covered bike lockers [3] for bicycle storage near transit stations and bike racks [4] on transit.	h) Safety and convenient access/mobility were important criteria used in the development of the undertaking (see Tables 10.4-2 and 10.4-4 of the EA report). Figures 9.1-2 to 9.1-10 present typical cross-sections for the transitway that include pedestrian sidewalks on each side of the r.o.w [1,2]. A conceptual streetscape plan is described in Section 9.1.1 – Transitway Elements. During the development of a detailed streetscape plan and transit station design, specific features such as bicycle storage will be considered. [3,4]		d) Status – Future Work		No			
			Land Use and Development i) Reducing of car use and dependency is achieved by land use that promotes walking and cycling. Compact, mixed-use development reduces car needs. Six to ten lanes of traffic and buildings opening onto parking lots rather than streets works against reducing car dependency and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Researchers are examining the connection between community design, physical exercise and transit use, and are finding that pedestrian friendly environments promote walking and the use of transit. Examine land use and transportation through the eyes of children.	 As described in Section 9.1.1 – Transitway Elements, a streetscape plan has been developed for the transitway that would be a catalyst for transit-oriented development and attract transit ridership. In addition, as described in Section 12.1.1, York Region is undertaking a number of land use planning initiatives to facilitate development of both the Regional Centres and Corridors with more intensive development supporting transit ridership. 		i) Status- No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)		
			Conclusion j) Expensive infrastructure for rapid transit is unnecessary to get people out of cars and onto buses. For example, the Yonge GO Bus has been well used for decades. When high demand transit is established, then concentrate on rapid transit with its own r.o.w. Transit is well used when there is connectivity to the surrounding community. Unless it is a subway, transit on its own r.o.w. is isolating. With people now actively looking for options to driving, it is an opportune time to present residents with a	j) The analysis and evaluation of Alternatives to the Undertaking is presented in Chapter 3 of the EA report and includes consideration of local transit service improvements and GO Transit improvements. York Region Rapid Transit Corridor Initiatives was selected as the preferred alternative as described in Table 3.2-1 of the EA report.		j) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)		

December 2015 Page 170 of 171

Appendix 3 Action for Comments Received from the Public on the Yonge Street Corridor Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2015	Review Results	Notes
			convenient system of public transit that provides excellent service.							
		5 cont'd	Recommendation k) It is imperative that we reduce pollution and car use in the GTA for health and safety of our children and unborn grandchildren. Change the streetscape first. Along Hwy 7, add continuous sidewalks and separated, covered bike paths, street-facing buildings with bike racks, litter receptacles, shade trees and benches. The lanes are too wide – they encourage speeding. Take the room for the bike lanes from the existing roadways. Place a treed median down the centre of Hwy 7. Once transit ridership is sufficiently high, examine other infrastructure changes. Implement changes with little disruption of the environment as possible. Perhaps, opportunities for environmental rehabilitation will emerge. Examine Portland Oregon's rapid transit system. It goes from being on its own surface r.o.w. in the suburbs, to a subway, to a system in mixed traffic stopping at ordinary street corners, to a track on its own city street. It is connected in the city to the street and pedestrians.	k) Chapter 1 of the EA report sets out the fundamental objectives of the undertaking which encompass many of the recommendations of Ms Boxen. As described in Chapter 9, the recommended undertaking includes a streetscape plan that will attract transit ridership within a pedestrian friendly corridor. As noted in Table 10.4-3, the recommended undertaking will have a net positive effect on local and Regional Air Quality. The expected environmental effects and mitigation are identified in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 in the EA report.		k) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			Other comments I) When rapid transit is implemented on Hwy 7, there should still be a good local Hwy 7 bus service accessible to all residents. For example, there should be stops at Hunter's Point, west of Yonge St and Silver Linden, east of Yonge St.	Detailed comment noted. As noted in Table 10.4-1, compatibility with proposed local transit network will be monitored.		I) Status – No Action Required		No	Closed (2014)	
			m) Parking at the Bathurst connection ramp represents the loss of more pervious surface close to the East Don River. A good transit system should require only as bare minimum of commuter parking.	m) The bus platforms and parking facilities (shown on Figure 9-40) at the Bathurst St Connector Rd are identified as future 407 Transitway Facilities and are not part of the recommended undertaking. These facilities will be planned and assessed under a future EA for that undertaking.		m) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	
		5 cont'd	Naughan Link to Spadina Subway – ensure that Black Creek is minimally avoided, keeping in mind the August flooding.	n) Minimizing adverse effects on aquatic ecosystems is included in the assessment Table 12.6-3 (Goal C1) in the EA report.		n) Status – Does not apply to the H3.4 segment		No	Closed (2014)	

December 2015 Page 171 of 171