HIGHWAY 7 CORRIDOR & VAUGHAN NORTH-SOUTH LINK PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS SUMMARY LISTING OF EA COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTATION

FOR

H2 and H2 VMC SEGMENT

PINE VALLEY DRIVE TO RICHMOND HILL CENTRE

(VIA CENTRE STREETAND BATHURST STREET)

November 2013

Completio	on Status	Notes				
On-going / I	n progress	Work has begun on this item but not completed				
Comp	leted	All work completed for this item.				
Future	Work	No work has begun on this item.				
No Action	Required	No action is required to meet commitments				
Does no	ot apply	Does not apply to segment H2.				
	Review Status (MMM)	Notes				
Any column	Bold and Underlined	If multiple components exist for an item, this shows which of the components were reviewed.				
Review column	No	Not reviewed during this annual review				
	Yes	Reviewed during this annual review				
Review Results column	EF (year)	Evidence Found means that the evidence provided reasonably shows that a compliance action (i.e., something done to address a compliance item) has been undertaken.				
	EFC (year)	Evidence Found of Change means that the evidence provided reasonably shows that a compliance action has been undertaken but the action is a change from the compliance item.				
	EF or EFC (year)	Dark blue indicates that the item Completion Status is "completed" and all components of the item have been reviewed and found to be either EF or EFC. No further review is anticipated for this item.				
	NSE (year)	Not Sufficient Evidence means that the evidence provided although applicable to the compliance action, is not adequate to reasonably show that the compliance action has been undertaken.				
	ENF (year)	Evidence Not Found means that evidence has either not been provided or that the evidence does not appear related to the compliance action.				
	Unclear (year)	Further explanation requested				

Table of Contents

Glossary	
Section 1.0 – Background & Purpose of the Program	
Section 2.0 - Monitoring of Conditions of Approval	
Section 3.0 – Compliance Management and Responsibilities	
Section 4.0 – Program Scope – General Commitments	
Section 5.0 - Actions Required to Address Commitments	
Section 6.0 – Modifying the Design of The Undertaking	
Section 7.0 – Consultation	
Section 8.0 – Program Schedule – section irrelevant to ACR	58
Section 9.0 - Submission and Circulation of the CMP	59
Section 10.0 – Annual Compliance Report – section irrelevant to ACR	60
Section 11.0 - Other Documents required by the Conditions of Approval	
Appendix 1	C 1
Appendix 2	
Appendix 3	
Appendix 4	
Appendix 5	192

Glossary

AADT – Annual Average Daily Traffic AAQC – Ambient Air Quality Criteria ACR – Annual Compliance Report AODA - Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act AQ – Air Quality BHF – Built Heritage Features BRT – Bus Rapid Transit CAH - Controlled-Access Highway CEAA - Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency CLU – Cultural Landscape Units CMP – Compliance Monitoring Program CN – Canadian National Railway CoA – Certificate of Approval CP - Canadian Pacific Railway CPAC - Cycling and Pedestrian Advisory Committee DBCR - Design Basis and Criteria Report DD - Detail Design DFO - Fisheries and Oceans Canada **DSC - Development Services Committee** EA – Environmental Assessment EAA – Environmental Assessment Act EAAB – Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch EBL – Eastbound Left EBR – Eastbound Right EBT – Eastbound Through ERS – Emergency Response Services GhG – Greenhouse Gases Gov't – Government GTA - Greater Toronto Area H2 - vivaNext segment on Highway 7 from West of Pine Valley Dr to Yonge St, excluding the H2-VMC segment H2-VMC - vivaNext segment on Highway 7 from West of Edgeley Blvd to East of Bowes Road HADD – Harmful Alternation, Disruption or Destruction Hwy - Highway IFC – Issued For Construction LOS – Level of Service LRT - Light Rail Rapid Transit LRTP – Long Range Transportation Plan MNR - Ministry of Natural Resources

MOE - Ministry of the Environment MTCS - Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport MTO – Ministry of Transportation NBL – Northbound Left NBT – Northbound Through OE – Owner Engineer OGS – Oil Grit Separator OSAA - Ontario Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs PCC – Public Consultation Centre PE – Preliminary Engineering QSD - Quick Start Design ROW – Right-of-way RT – Rapid Transit RTOR - Right-Turn-On-Red SBL – Southbound Left SBR – Southbound Right SBT – Southbound Through SWM - Storm Water Management SWMP – Storm Water Management Plan TAC – Technical Advisory Committee TCP - Transportation Conversion Plan TRCA – Toronto and Region Conservation Authority TS – Technical Support TSP - Total Suspended Particles TTC – Toronto Transit Commission WB - Westbound WBL - Westbound Left WBT – Westbound Through VCC – Vaughan Corporate Centre YR – York Region YRRTC - York Region Rapid Transit Corporation YRT – York Region Transit YSS – Yonge Street Subway YSSC - Yonge Street Subway Communications

		Sec	ction 1.0 – Background & Pur	pose of the Program			C	ompliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Stage Condition will be addressed	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Review ed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
1	CMP Section 1.0 - "The ACR documentation will be made available to the MOE, or its' designate upon request, in a timely manner during an on-site inspection or audit"	York Region	ACR documentation to be provided annually.	Status – Ongoing. CMP/ACR documentation will be provided to MOE annually.	Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID# YH2-012)	Yes	EF (2012) EF	 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID# YH2- 012) supports the asseration that CMP/ACR documentation is provided to MOE annually
2	CMP Section 1.2 - "Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking is not included in this CMP"	York Region	Does not apply to H2 Segment	Status – Does not apply to the H2 Segment The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.		No		
3	CMP Section 1.3 - "Modified alignment required at IBM / Cederland Avenue" " In January 2008, Regional Council endorsed a modified alignment along Cederland Drive and Warden Avenue as a local refinement to the undertaking approved in the EA An amendment report will be prepared	York Region	Does not apply to H2 Segment	Status – Does not apply to the H2 Segment The Cedarland Alignment is in the H3 Segment.		No		

			Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Stage Condition will be addressed	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Review ed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	and submitted for approval following the process described in section 6.0 of this CMP."							
	CMP Section 1.4 - "Cornell Terminal site plan is evolving post EA approval"	York Region	Does not apply to H2 Segment	Status – Does not apply to the H2 Segment The Cornell site is in the H4 Segment		No		
	"Since approval of the EA, progress has been made in the development of what is now known as the Cornell Transit Terminal Once the Cornell Terminal site plan is complete, it will be documented in the ACR."							

				Cor	npliance Review (MMM)				
Item	MOE Cor	ndition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
5.	1.1 The Protect the protect to the Mincorport provide provide provide the Mincorport to the Mincorport	al Conditions oponent shall comply with all visions of the EA submitted MOE which are hereby prated by reference except as ed in these conditions and as ed in any other approvals or s that may be issued.	York Region/ECM - (more specific information to be added by ECM with annual compliance reporting for all cells in this column).	Design,	annually. This condition will be addressed once all commitments have been	Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID# YH2-012)	Yes	EF (2012) EF (2013)	 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID# YH2- 012) supports the assertion that CMP/ACR documentation is provided to MOE annually.
6.	preven	proposed conditions do not t more restrictive conditions mposed under other statutes.	York Region	As applicable	Status - ongoing. More restrictive conditions imposed under other statutes is not foreseen at this time.		No		

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval		Compliance Review (MMM)		
ltem	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
7.	 2.0 Public Record 2.1 [1] Where a document is required for the Public Record, it shall be provided to the Director for filing with the Public Record maintained for this undertaking. Additional copies of such documents will be provided by the Proponent for public access at [2]: a) The Regional Director's Office; b) The Clerks offices of the Regional Municipality of York; c) The Town of Richmond Hill; d) The Town of Markham; and e) The City of Vaughan; f) Richmond Hill Central Library; g) Unionville Library; and h) Ansely Grove Library. These documents may also be provided through other means as considered appropriate by the Proponent and acceptable to the Director. [3] 	York Region	Design, Construction and Operation as specified	approved the Compliance Monitoring Program dated August, 2008. [1] The 2009 ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 to be placed on public record. [1] The 2010 ACR was submitted in December 2010 to be placed on public record [1] The 2011 ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2, 2012 to be placed on public record [1]. The 2012 ACR was submitted to MOE on December 17, 2012. [1]	[1] Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR	Yes	(2011) [1,3] EF (2011) [1,3] EF (2011) [1,3] EF (2012) [1,2, 3] EF (2013)	 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE [3], these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID YH2- 012) was found to support the assertion that [1] that the ACR was provided to the Director of MOE, copies provided at the locations listed, and [3] the ACR provided through other means. [2] provided by York Region.
8.	3.0 Compliance Monitoring and Reporting			Status – ongoing.		Yes		2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	3.1 The Proponent shall prepare and submit to the Director for review, comment and for placement on the Public Record an Environmental Assessment CMP as committed to in section 11.4 of the EA. The CMP shall be submitted no later than one year from the date of approval of the undertaking, or 60 days before the commencement of construction, whichever is earlier. A statement must accompany the CMP when submitted to the Director indicating that it is intended to fulfill this condition. The CMP, as may be amended by the Director, shall be carried out by the Proponent.	York Region		Assessment and Approvals Branch on August 18, 2008 and approved on December 29, 2008. The first ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 and subsequent submissions will follow annually as specified in the CMP.	 MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) MOE letter of approval of Hwy 7 EA - (ID# 4039) Notice of Submission of CMP (ID# 4121) York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) MOE email confirmation of receipt of CMP - August 20, 2008 (ID# 3150) Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Compliance Monitoring Report – Appendix 4 – July 6, 2009 (ID# 4703) Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Hwy & EA compliance 2010-H2-Draft to OE-2010-10-28.doc (ID#6594) Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID# YH2-012) 		EF (2012) EF (2013)	reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID <u>YH2- 012</u>) supports the assetions that ACR is submitted.

			Compliance Review (MMM)					
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
9.	3.2 The Proponent shall provide a copy of the CMP to those agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the public who expressed an interest in the activity being addressed or being involved in the subsequent work no later than one year from the date of approval of the undertaking, or 60 days before the commencement of construction, whichever is earlier. If the Director amends the CMP, the Proponent shall ensure that the amended copy of the CMP is provided to those agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the public who expressed an interest in the activity being addressed or being involved in a timely manner.	York Region	Design stage (Timing as specified in condition 3.1)	[1] Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP and circulation to affected/interested stakeholders.	EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) [1] MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706)	No	[1] EF (2010)	[1] MOE Approval Letter #3706

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
10.	3.3 The Proponent shall prepare a CMP in order to provide a framework for the monitoring of the Proponent's fulfillment of the conditions of approval as set out in this Notice of Approval, and the fulfillment of the provisions of the EA for mitigation measures, built-in attributes to reduce environmental effects, public and Aboriginal community consultation, additional studies and work to be carried out, and for all other commitments made during the preparation of the EA and the subsequent review of the EA.	York Region	Design, Construction and Operation as specified	Status - ongoing. [1] Condition addressed with submission of the CMP for approval and as carried out by the Proponent until the final ACR. [2] The first ACR was submitted to MOE in February 2010 and will be followed by annual updates as specified in the CMP.	 EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) York Region letter of submission of final CMP Y2H3 4.7 (ID# 4157, 4158) [1] MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706) Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Compliance Monitoring Report – Appendix 4 – July 6, 2009 (ID# 4703) Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Hwy & EA compliance 2010-H2-Draft to OE-2010-10-28.doc (ID#6594) Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR [2] Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907) [2]Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID# YH2-012) 	Yes	[2] EF (2011) EF (2012) EF (2013)	 [1] MOE Approval Letter #3706 [2] 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID <u>YH2- 012</u>) supports assertion [2] that ACR was submitted to MOE.
11.	 3.4 The CMP shall at a minimum: a) set out the purpose, method and frequency of activities to fulfill compliance; b) provide a framework for recording and documenting results through the ACR; c) describe the actions required to 	York Region	Design stage	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP.	May 5, 2006 Proponent's letter and attachments included in EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683)	No	<u>EF (2011)</u>	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR (ID# 3683) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	Section 2.0 - Monitoring of Conditions of Approval								
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes				
	 address the commitments; d) provide an implementation schedule for when commitments shall be completed; e) provide indicators of compliance; and f) include, but not be limited to, a consideration of the commitments outlined in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 and Tables 11.3-1 to 11.4-2 in the EA, and Proponent's letter and attachments dated May 5,2006 (included in Appendix E). 											
12.	 3.6 The Proponent shall prepare an ACR which describes the results of the CMP and shall do so annually. 3.7 The Proponent shall submit each ACR to the Director for review and comment and for placement on the Public Record. 3.8 The timing for the submission of the ACRs shall be set out in the CMP. 	York Region		addressed with the submission of ACR's annually until the final ACR.	Highway 7 & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Compliance Monitoring Report – Appendix 4 – July 6, 2009 (ID# 4703) Letter from MOE, April 1, 2010, acknowledging receipt of 2009 ACR Letter from MOE, January 10, 2011, acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR	Yes	EF (2012)	 2011 ACR: As this item is ongoing with annual ACRs provided to MOE, these will be reviewed each year until the final ACR is submitted. At that point this item may be completed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID YH2- 				
	 ACRs shall be set out in the CMP, including the timing for submission of the first ACR. 3.9 The Proponent shall submit ACRs until all applicable conditions of approval and commitments of the EA are satisfied or until the Director notifies the Proponent that no further 				Acknowledging receipt of 2010 ACR Letter from MOE, March 1, 2012, acknowledging receipt of 2011 ACR(ID#8907) Letter from MOE, January 16, 2013, acknowledging receipt of 2012 ACR (ID# YH2-012)			2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID YH2- 012) supports assertion [2] that ACR was submitted to MOE.				

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	3.10 When alt conditions have been satisfied, the Proponent shall indicate in the ACR that this is its final submission.							
13.	 4.0 Transit Technology 4.1 The Proponent shall prepare a TCP that identifies how, when and if the undertaking will convert from a Bus Rapid Transit System (BRT) to a Light Rail Rapid Transit (LRT). 	York Region	from BRT to LRT technology	Status – future Timing for technology review identified as 2012 (EA Section 5.2.2.3) A draft Transition Plan was prepared and submitted on March 02, 2007 and is under review as part of the ongoing Network Plan update. Transit network analysis is ongoing including LRT / subway technology conversion considerations including ridership demand analysis.	Transition Plan – Draft, March 2, 2007 (ID# 910) <u>Correspondence from York Region to MOE,</u> <u>December 21, 2012 (ID# YH2-001)</u>	No		2013 ACR: Status is future work, therefore no review was undertaken.
14.	 4.2 The Proponent shall submit copies of the final TCP to the Regional Director for review and comment and to the Director for placement in the Public Record file. 4.3 The Proponent shall notify the Director and Regional Director 30 days before the technology conversion is to occur. 	York Region	Prior to conversion from BRT to LRT technology as required	Status –future Pending as per condition	Transition Plan – Draft, March 2, 2007 (ID# 910) Correspondence from York Region to MOE, December 21, 2012 (ID# YH2-001)	No		2013 ACR: Status is future work, therefore no review was undertaken.
15.	. 4.4 The TCP shall include an implementation schedule.	York Region	Prior to conversion	Status –future		No		2013 ACR: Status is future work, therefore no review was undertaken.

			Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	МС	DE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	4.6 F 4.6 F t t f f f f s	The TCP shall include information about ridership levels and compatibility of the corridor with other transit systems. Further to Section 5.2.2.3 of the EA, which outlines that converting from BRT to LRT is dependent on other transit initiatives being developed, a copy of the TCP shall be provided to the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission, the Toronto Transit Commission, the Town of Richmond Hill, the City of Vaughan, and the Town of Markham for review and comment. The Proponent shall provide these stakeholders a minimum 30-day comment period.		from BRT to LRT technology as required	Pending as per condition 4.1	<u>Correspondence from York Region to MOE,</u> <u>December 21, 2012 (ID# YH2-001)</u>			
16.	5.1 T 4 5.2 C 5.2 C	Air Quality The Proponent shall prepare a comprehensive Air Quality Assessment Report to address the air quality impacts of the Region's transportation projects. The study area for the air quality report will be determined by the Proponent in consultation with the Regional Director.[1] Copies of the Air Quality Assessment Report shall be submitted to the Regional Director for review and comment and to the Director for placement in the Public Record file.[2]	York Region		for a Study Area Bounded	Final Air Quality Report (2011-04-29) (ID#7270)[1] As per MOE request, copies of the Air Quality Report were submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)[2-3]	No	[1-3] EFC (2011)	The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion.

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	5.3 The Air Quality Assessment Report shall be submitted to the Regional Director prior to any construction beginning on the undertaking, including site preparation.[3]			effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. [1] As per MOE request, copies of the Air Quality Report were submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch[2] The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been addressed.[3]				
17.	 5.4 The Air Quality Assessment Report shall, at a minimum, include the following: a) A comparison of predicted contaminant concentrations with all available Ontario Regulation 419/05 Air Pollution - Local Air Quality Regulation Schedule 3 standards, ministry's ambient air quality criteria and proposed Canada Wide Standards for: Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Particulate Matter - Total Suspended 	York Region	Design Stage	Status – completed An updated Air Quality	Final Air Quality Report (2011-04-29) (ID#7270)[1-10] MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)	No	[1-11] EFC (2011)	The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion.

		Section 2.0 - Me	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Com	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	 Particulates (TSP) as well as PM10 and PM2.5, and selected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs);[1] b) Assessment of the study area, as determined in condition 5.1, consisting of a comparison between the background contaminant concentration levels and anticipated contaminant concentration levels resulting from the project, including future traffic volumes;]2] c) A broad-based air quality impact mitigation plan which will assist in reducing contaminant concentrations that exceed appropriate criteria/standards expected to result from construction/implementation of the project;[3] d) Development of project contaminant emission rates using a base year and future years as required[4] e) Use of appropriate Emission and Dispersion Models (e.g. Mobile 6, US EPA CAL3QHCR, Aermod);[5] f) Use of five years of meteorological data (including surface and upper air data);[6] g) Definition of roadway links as necessary;[7] 			was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. [1-11] The MOE accepted the Air Quality Assessment Report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been addressed.				

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Con	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	 h) Calculation of predicted contaminant concentrations at nearby sensitive receptors;[8] i) Traffic volume data[9] j) Detailed presentation of predicted data (including model input data); and,[10] k) Presentation of conclusions and recommendations.[11] 							
18.	 6.0 Complaints Protocol 6.1 Prior to construction the Proponent shall prepare a Complaints Protocol on how it will deal with and respond to inquiries and complaints received during the construction and operation of the undertaking. The Proponent shall submit the protocol to the Regional Director, District Manager, Town of Markham, Town of Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review and comment. The Complaints Protocol shall be placed on the Public Record. 	York Region/Contracto r		Status – completed Pending submission prior to construction. Will be addressed during Detail Design. Completed and submitted to MOE in October 2009.	<u>Letter from YRRTC to MOE – October 1,</u> 2009 (ID# YH2-002) Letter from MOE to YRRTC – November 12, 2009 (ID# YH2-003)	Yes	EF (2013)	2013 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2013 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
19.	 7.0 Amending the Design of the Undertaking 7.1 If the Proponent determines that there is a minor modification and that modification does not alter the expected net effects of the undertaking, the procedure set out in section 11.5 in the EA applies to this modification. [1,2] 7.2 Notwithstanding condition 7.1, 	York Region		Status – ongoing Refers to sections 1.3 and 6.0 of the CMP. Minor changes, if any, dealt with during Conceptual design are described under item 67 below. [1] [2011]The Final Cedarland Alignment Modification Report was submitted to MOE on	[2011][2] Does not apply to the H2 Segment.	No	(2011)	 2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition [1,3] was addressed. The Final Cedarland Alignment Modification Report (ID# 3018) does not apply to the H2 segment and should be removed from the status column. 2012 ACR: No assertions were made in the 2012 ACR. Text was added, bolded, and underlined to the Status column to clarify that the assertion [2] does not apply to the

		Section 2.0 - Mo	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	 section 11.5 of the EA does not apply where there is a change to the undertaking within the meaning of section 12 of the EAA. [3] 7.3 The Proponent shall consult with EAAB to determine the appropriate steps if there is uncertainty as to application of conditions of approval 7.1 or 7.2. 			February 2010 as Appendix 4 of the 2009 EA Compliance Monitoring Report.[2] The Final Cedarland Alignment Modification Report does not apply to the H2 Segment [2] An EA amendment report subtitled "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008 [3] The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.	[3] MOE letter of approval of the undertaking - Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization – SVCC 1.0 (ID# 4160). Does not apply to the H2 Segment. Does not apply to the H2 Segment.			H2 segment. No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: no assertions were made in the 2013 ACR. It is noted that this item does not apply to H2. Status should be changed to reflect this.
20.	8.0 Selection of the optimum location for the subway alignment (not applicable for the undertaking covered under this CMP)	York Region	Design Stage	Status – Does not apply to the H2 segment.		No		
21.	9.1 If a Stage 2 archaeological assessment is required [1] to be prepared and aboriginal archaeological resources are encountered during the preparation of that Assessment, the Proponent shall provide a copy of that assessment to the Huron-Wendat First Nation of	York Region		Status –Completed [1]Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further	[1]Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February 2012(ID#8294) [1] Letter from Ministry of Tourism, Culture,	Yes	EF (2012) EF (2013)	 2012 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition [1] was addressed. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided (ID#9429) supports the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed that the Stage

		Section 2.0 - M	onitoring of Con	ditions of Approval			Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Responsible person / agency	Stage condition will be addressed	Status and description of how the condition has been addressed	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	 Wendake, Quebec and any additional relevant First Nations as identified by the archaeologist, based on the findings of that assessment.[2] 9.2 The Proponent shall provide the Huron-Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec and any other relevant First Nation as warranted by the Stage 2 findings with 30 days to provide comments on the Stage 2 [2] Assessment and the opportunity to reasonably participate in the Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment is required in relation to aboriginal archaeological resources.[3] 			archaeological concern related to affected properties for H2. [2011] ASI is in the process of finalizing the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report, copies of which will be provided for review to all relevant parties as noted including requesting First Nations. [1]The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 [1] MTCS provided a letter of concurrence on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment on January 4, 2013 [2] No abnoriginal archaeological resources were identified in the assessment and therefore no circulation of the report to First Nations is required.	and Sport, January 4, 2013, Re: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 2 Property Assessment, VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering, Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection, Road Public Transit Improvements, Former Townships of York, Vaughan, and Markham, York County, Regional Municipality of York, Ontario" (ID#9429)			Il was completed and [2] that no aboriginal archaeological resources were encountered during the Stage 2 assessment. Note: ID#8294 was not provided but was not needed for review.

		Section 3.0 –	Compliance Management and Res	ponsibilities		Со	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsibl e person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
22.	CMP Section 3.2.1 Following the execution of a contract for final design and construction, the design-build contractor will be responsible for all further actions to meet design-related commitments during its completion of the detailed design. Design solutions developed, including mitigation and consultation procedures followed will be subject to review and approval by York Region staff. The contract provisions will include a copy of the CMP and special contract provisions will be added to ensure commitments outlined in the CMP are fulfilled, including commitments to further studies and consultation as applicable	/ Contractor	Status – complete for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] To be carried out during final design and construction [A] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC references the CMP and outlines contractor's, OE's and Region's responsibilities regarding the commitments outlined in the CMP. [A] Environmental monitoring is described in the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan.	[A] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC: Schedule 3, Section 15 and Appendix C (ID# YH2-004). [A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)	Yes [A]		H2-VMC [A] 2013 ACR: the evidence provided supports the assertion for [A] on how the condition was addressed.
23.	CMP Section 3.2.2 - The Contractor will be responsible for meeting CMP requirements during construction. In accordance with stipulated contracting arrangements, the party contracted to carry out the construction will be required to meet all commitments related to the mitigation of construction effects while the Region or its consultants will monitor the contractor's actions.	/ Contractor	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] To be carried out during final design and construction [A] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC references the CMP and outlines contractor's, OE's and Region's responsibilities regarding the commitments outlined in the CMP [A] Environmental monitoring is described in the Contractor's	[A] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC: Schedule 3. Section 15 and Appendix C (ID# YH2-004) [A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-11-08-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)	Yes [A]		H2-VMC [A] 2013 ACR: the evidence provided supports the assertion for [A] on how the condition was addressed.

		Section 2.0 - Me	onitoring of Con	ditions of Ap	oproval			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Item	MOE Condition of EAA approval	Stage Status and description of condition will Compliance Document Reference be addressed been addressed Compliance Document Reference			Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes			
		Plan	for H2-VMC.		-		-			

Note: Monitoring requirements for the Operations and Maintenance Phase (Section 3.2.3 of the CMP) are omitted from this document

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Com	mitments		Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
24	CMP Section 4.1 - Ability of infrastructure design to maximize safety for vehicles and pedestrians [1] and of streetscaping plan to enhance corridor and community environment;[2]	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2 [B] A Draft H2 Conceptual Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report contains the following design requirements; [A,B] [1]Vehicle Safety: The H2 Conceptual DBCR deals with road design standards and vehicle safety in Section 2.3 Geometric Design and Other Features. [A,B] [1]Pedestrian Safety: Architectural drawings will show platform and canopy design. The DBCR addresses pedestrian safety, in the following sections: Guardrail / Railings (Section 3.5 & 3.12), Safety and Security Guidelines (Section 3.9.4), Placement of all Streetscape Elements (Section 3.18), etc. [A,B] [2] Streetscaping Plan: DBCR examples will include: Streetscape Design Guidelines	November 2011 (ID#8035) [A] [1]Highway 7 Roadside Safety Requirements for Black Creek Culvert Retaining Wall, Final Draft, August 2013 (ID#0448) (H2-VMC) [A] [1]Memo June 24, 2013 – DRAFT - Road Safety Implications of Variations in Shape and Dimensions of Median Concrete Barriers, June 24, 2013 (ID#0533) (H2-	Yes	[A][1] EF (2013) [A][2] EF	 2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8680) in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition [1] was addressed. Specifically, the following sections include measures for safety: 2.3.12.4; 2.3.15.5; 2.6.2.42. Section 3.1 states that all major components of the design shall follow the details developed and approved as part of the H3 Final Design (ID 8035). Item remains ongoing through detail design. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed. Note: evidence ID#0416 was not found in the reference material provided. This did not change the review. Evidence provided [ID#0448 & ID#0533] supported the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed in Detail Design and it remains ongoing as ID#0448 only pertains to one intersection and is a draft report, and ID#0533 is a literature review memo

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Com	nmitments		Со	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			[A] [1,2]Detail Design for H2- VMC has incorporated these requirements.				
	CMP Section 4.1 - Application of design standards that permit future conversion to LRT technology;		Status –ongoing The H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) submitted Sept. 8, 2010 addresses this requirement, for example BRT Standards (Section 2.3.1), Station Platforms (Section 2.3.12), etc.	 [2011]Draft Design Basis and Criteria Report , September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) 	No	EF (2012)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing through detail design.

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Com	mitments		Со	npliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
26.	CMP Section 4.1 - Effectiveness of infrastructure design[1] and service plans in enhancing connectivity to local and inter-regional transit services;[2]	York Region	Status –ongoing Effectiveness of infrastructure design: Discussions with YRT during the design process will cover connectivity with local and inter-regional transit services.[1] Effectiveness of service plans: The Transition Plan – Draft (March 2, 2007), Section 4.6.1 - The	Transition Plan – Draft, March 2, 2007(ID# 910)	No	EF (2012)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8908) was found to support the assertion regarding transition to LRT. No new evidence was provided for assertions [1,2] therefore conditions [1,2] remain ongoing.
			Evaluation of Qualitative Measures – Includes a discussion of Network Connectivity.[2] The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and is not expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be finalized until new information on this issue becomes available.	Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments, April 3, 2012.(ID#8908)			
27.	CMP Section 4.1 - Simulation of intersection performance to verify transit service reliability and effects on general traffic	York Region	Status – Completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] Detailed traffic analysis simulation will be done as part of Detail Design.		Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
			[A] The Transit Priority	[A] Transit Priority Measures Design Report VISSUM			

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Con	nmitments		Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			Measures Design Report VISSUM Analysis, September 26, 2013, includes micro- simulation analysis of the H2- VMC corridor to estimate benefit to transit, impact on vehicular traffic and pedestrians and operational analysis for design for the purposes of supporting pedestrian and transit goals.	Analysis, September 26, 2013 for H2-VMC (ID#0518)			
28.	CMP Section 4.1 - Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment;	York Region	Status –completed Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further archaeological concern related to affected properties for H2. ASI is in the process of finalizing the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report, copies of which will be provided for review to all relevant parties as noted including requesting First Nations. [1] The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 and is awaiting MTCS concurrence. [1]MTCS provided a letter of concurrence on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment on January 4, 2013	 [1] Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February 2012(ID#8294) [1] Letter from Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport, January 4, 2013, Re: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 2 Property Assessment, VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering, Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection, Road Public Transit Improvements, Former Townships of York, Vaughan, and Markham, York County, 			2012 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2012 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition [1] was addressed. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Section 4.) – Program Scope – General Com	mitments		Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
29.		York Region	addressed during design Status – completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] [2011]The Draft H2 Design Basis Criteria Report (DBCR) was developed and the Draft Preliminary Engineering- 30% for Preliminary Engineering- 30% for the VCM section is currently under development. Traffic management concepts, plans and measures will be developed during H2 Detail Design. Measures will be referenced in the DBCR: Construction Specifications (Section 2.3.21), Detail Design Phase, etc. The H2 PE DBCR was completed	Compliance Document Reference Regional Municipality of York, Ontario" (ID#9429) [2011]Draft Design Basis and Criteria Report , September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) H2 VMC Preliminary Engineering Design GMP Drawings September 9, 2011(ID#7885) H2 VMC Extended Preliminary Engineering Design GMP Drawings December 9, 2011(ID#8193) H2 Remainder Preliminary Engineering Design 30% Drawings March 13, 2012(ID#8359)	in 2013 Yes	Results EF (2012) [A] EF	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8680) makes reference to Construction Specifications in section 2.3.15.12. The three sets of drawings (IDs 7885, 8193, 8359) were found to support the assertions. The item remains ongoing as traffic management concepts, plans and measures will be developed during H2 Detail Design. 2013 ACR: sufficient evidence (IDYH2- 004).was provided for [A] to support the condition that mitigation was in the contract specifications.
			[A] Contract specifications included in the Design-Build Agreement include specific requirements to mitigate impacts on residences,	[A] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC: Schedule 3, Section 18 (ID# YH2-004).+ +			

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Corr	nmitments		Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			businesses, road traffic and pedestrians.				
30.	CMP Section 4.1 - Opportunities to obtain input from affected communities, First Nations and heritage associations;	York Region	Status - completed "Open House" format public consultations were held on June 9 and 10, 2010 during H2 Conceptual Design. Public Open Houses are also currently being planned for November, 2011 during Preliminary Design. Notices will be provided closer to the time and will include First Nations and heritage associations. Notices of public consultation opportunities, including newspaper advertising, postcards, individual letters, etc. Presentation to attendees. Opportunities for the public to comment were provided prior to final submission of the document.	Public Meeting June 9 and 10, 2010 (ID # 6220) Poster (ID# 6220) Newspaper advertising (ID# 6219) Presentation (ID#6158) Have Your Say Results, Viva presentation held June 9 & 10 (ID# 3330) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) York Region letter of submission of final CMP Y2H3 4.7 (ID# 4157, 4158) MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval (ID# 3706) Hwy 7 EA compliance 2010-H2-Draft to OE-2010-10-28.doc (ID#6594)	No	EFC 2010	Reviewed documents #6220, #6219 2011 ACR: Additional compliance documents (ID# 3683, 4158, 4157, 3706, 6594) were referenced but were not reviewed as this item was completed in the 2010 ACR.
31.	CMP Section 4.1 - Inclusion of built-in attributes to mitigate adverse effects in design solutions;	York Region	Status –ongoing See Appendix One for monitoring for Built In Attributes	[2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680)	No		2012 ACR: Appendix 1 of this ACR includes built-in attributes. It is suggested that the reference is removed from the Compliance Document Reference column. This item remains ongoing until all monitoring identified in Appendix One is complete.
32.	CMP Section 4.1 - Adoption of design solutions that mitigate effects on surface water quality and quantity and aquatic habitat at watercourse crossings;	York Region	Status – Complete for H2-VMC [A] Status – Ongoing for H2 [B] [A, B] The H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) includes: -	 [A, B] [2011]Draft Design Basis and Criteria Report , September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476)[1] [A, B] [1]Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) 	Yes	EF (2012)	2010 ACR: Eighteen oil grit separators are proposed for the existing water treatment facilities under Section 2.7 of the DBCR. 2012 ACR: the Draft DBCR provided as evidence in 2011 was finalized with no

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Con	nmitments	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			The Transition zone or the continuity strip (Section 3.15.1) - eco pavers allow for water percolation improving quality and reducing quantity. The median island also includes softscape wherever possible to achieve the same. In addition, in the DBCR, The drainage design (Section 2.7) includes oil grit separators to treat the runoff from impervious areas ensuring a net improvement in runoff quality for all release points.[1] [A, B] In addition, the TRCA representatives and designers from the York consortium discussed water quality treatment for the H2 Project at a meeting in March 17, 2010. At that meeting it was determined that the water quality treatment would consist of oil grit separators where the minimum pollutant size removed is 50 microns (coarse sand and silt, free oil and grease), total suspended solids removed is 80% and treatment verification is based upon manufacturer performance data and testing results provided to the TRCA. Preliminary Engineering for the H2 Rapidway design is based upon these requirements as per the Overview			[A] [1,2] EF (2013)	change to the proposed oil grit separators. The evidence provided (ID 8459) was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was met. This item remains ongoing as detailed oil grit separator selection will be undertaken during detail design. 2013 ACR: Bold and underline added for clarity. The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] and [2] on how the condition was addressed. The drawing package (ID#0467/0524) does show oil grit separators,.	

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Con	nmitments		Cor	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
33.	CMP Section 4.1 - Procedures to obtain regulatory approvals and input from municipal departments.	York Region	Status – Ongoing for H2 [B] [A, B] The Draft H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) was developed. The DBCR includes an outline of approval requirements - Section 4 Approvals and Permits. [2] [A, B] Preliminary consultation with municipalities regarding design has commenced, e.g. BRT design update presentation to the Vaughan Committee of Whole 2008-11-17, Viva Canopy design consultation 2009-01-13 and	August 3, 2010 (ID# 6279) [A] [2]H2VMC New Construction. Drawing Package 060403 August 8, 2013/September 25, 2013 (ID#0467/0524) [A. B] [2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [A, B] [2]Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) [A. B] Consultation with municipalities on the Viva Canopy design (ID# 4233) [A. B] Minutes of Meeting: Meeting TRCA – Review of Vivanext phase H2 – Hwy 7, Centre Street, Bathurst Street - March 17,		[2] EF (2012) [A] [2] EF 2013	 [1] The letter dated August 18, 2010 demonstrates that Transport Canada officials have determined that the provision of the NWPA do not apply to this project, and therefore approvals are not required. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided updates the draft DBCR (ID 6476) to the Final DBCR (ID 8608) and was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed. Ongoing as it not asserted that these procedures have been created

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Con	nmitments		Con	npliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			[A, B] H2 conceptual design consultation with TRCA has commenced regarding proposed works on March 17, 2010.	2010 (ID# 6562) [A, B] Minutes of Meeting: TRCA with York Consortium – June 24, 2010 (ID# 6386) [A, B] [1] Navigable Waters Determination Letter. August 25, 2010 (ID#6429) [A] [2] Design-Build Agreement for H2-VMC: Schedule 3, Section 12 and Appendix C (ID# YH2-004)			

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Com	mitments		Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Contractor compliance with the measures stipulated in the technical specifications and contract conditions to mitigate construction effects on the <u>natural environmental features</u> within the influence of the works; (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	/ Contractor		[A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
35.	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Contractor compliance with the measures stipulated in the technical specifications and contract conditions to mitigate construction effects on <u>community activities such as pedestrian</u> <u>and vehicular circulation, access and</u> <u>ambient noise and air quality levels;</u> (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	York Region / Contractor		[A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Section 4.	0 – Program Scope – General Com	mitments		Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored Responsible person / agency		Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	CMP Section 4.2 – In general terms commitments to be monitored include Compliance, by all parties to construction contracts responsible for public safety and construction management and administration, with the procedures established to manage and mitigate effects on the natural or social environment <u>of accidents or</u> <u>incidents during construction activities</u> ; (Refer also to Section 5 – Table 5.2 below for specific items to be monitored)	/ Contractor		[A] vivaNext Program Management Plan, Procedure PM9 Incident Management (R02, August 14, 2013) (ID# YH2-005)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Note: Monitoring requirements for the Operations and Maintenance Phase (Section 4.3 of the CMP) are omitted from this document

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
37.		The Proponent shall comply with all the provisions of the EA submitted to the MOE which are hereby incorporated by reference except as provided in these conditions and as provided in any other approvals or permits that may be issued. This also includes the summaries of commitments for additional work, built in attributes and monitoring identified in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 and Tables 11.3-1 to 11.4-2 of the EA and Proponent's letter and attachments dated May 5, 2006.	York Region	 Status - ongoing [1] Refer to tables in Appendix 1 of this document for monitoring against Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4. [2] Issues in Table 11.3-1 are monitored through items 38-57 below. [3] Table 5.2 of the Compliance Monitoring Program incorporates Table 11.4-1 of the EA (relates to construction) and is added to Section 5 of this document for monitoring. [4] Issues in Table 11.4-2 relate to the operations stages respectively and are not in this document. [5] Refer to Appendix 2 and 3 for monitoring against responses to the Government Review Team and the Public respectively. 		No	(2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains 'Ongoing' until final ACR.

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
38.		EA Reference - Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.1 - All culverts/ bridge modifications regarding potential Harmful Alterations, Disruption or Destruction of fish habitat, compensation under the Fisheries Act and identification of additional watercourses during the detailed design phase will be reviewed and approved by TRCA to ensure the compliance to their requirements.	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] To be resolved in the Detail Design phase / discussed with TRCA, as required. [A, B] H2 conceptual design consultation with TRCA has commenced regarding proposed works on March 17, 2010. [A, B] At a meeting on June 24, 2010, TRCA indicated that based on the information provided, the effects of the proposed works in these segments could be mitigated and that consequently, a Letter of Advice would be acceptable since a HADD should not result at any crossing. [A] Permit applications have been submitted to TRCA.	[A, B] Minutes of Meeting: Meeting TRCA – Review of Vivanext phase H2 – Hwy 7, Centre Street, Bathurst Street - March 17, 2010 (ID# 6562) [A, B] Minutes of Meeting: TRCA with York Consortium – June 24, 2010 (ID# 6386)	No	2010	The Meeting minutes dated June 24, 2010 between TRCA and YC satisfy this condition. 2013 ACR: noted that permit applications have been submitted to TRCA. Item remains ongoing.
39.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.2 - For the proposed crossing at Rouge River between Town Centre Boulevard and Warden Avenue, a meander belt analysis will be carried out and a 100-year erosion limit will be determined during the preliminary & detailed design phases to meet TRCA's approval in determining the sizing of the bridge span.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

	-	Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
40.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.3 - Discussion with TRCA carried out to determine if a HADD will occur at one culvert extension, and if so, to secure a Fisheries Act authorization.	York Region	[A, B] Table 7 of Appendix D of the EA identifies	[A, B] Minutes of Meeting: TRCA with York Consortium – June 24, 2010 (ID# 6386) [A] Minutes of Meeting: Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Discussion of Initial Comments and Responses - September 9, 2013. (ID#0507)	Yes	2010 [A] EF (2013)	The Meeting minutes dated June 24, 2010 between TRCA and YC satisfy this condition. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notos
41.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 1.4 - Any proposed in-stream work and site-specific mitigation measures carried out as outlined in Table 7 of the Natural Science Report	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A], [B] Provision for site-specific measures will be made in the Detail Design phase. [A], [B] The DBCR indicates that "Erosion Control protection shall be designed at all culverts, storm sewers inlets/outlets and ditch inlets/outlets". [A] In-stream work and site specific mitigation measures as outlined in the referenced Table 7 have been updated in the H2-VMC Natural Sciences Report dated April 2013, which accounts for refinements in the proposed design[1]. Approvals will be via TRCA permits, which are presently under review.	 [A], [B] [2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [A], [B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) [A] H2VMC Natural Sciences Report Detail Design and Approvals for the Culvert Works at Four Watercourse Crossings in the H2VMC Segment April 2013 (ID#0081) 	No		 2012 ACR: The evidence provided updates the draft DBCR (ID 6476) to the Final DBCR (ID 8608). No review was undertaken. The requirements outlined in Table 7 of the Natural Science Report will need to be broken down and identified for future review. 2013 ACR: Updating of Table 7 of the original Natrual Science report addes a step to this item. Reviewing the assertion that [1] the Natrual science report (2013) superceeds Table 7 of the original Natrual Science report. [2[the proposed in-stream work and site-specific mitigation measures carried out are as per the 2013 report
42.	Vegetation and Wetlands	Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 3.1 - Edge Management Plan and Tree Preservation Plans will be prepared during the detailed design to mitigate impacts to adjacent natural features, as well as the preparation of detailed compensation and restoration plans to strive to provide for a net improvement to existing condition. TRCA guidelines for Forest Edge Management Plans and Post- Construction Restoration will be followed.	York Region	Status – <u>future for H2-VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> To be determined during Detail Design Edge Management Plan, Tree Preservation Plans and compensation and restoration plans will be prepared during the Detail Design phase, as required.		No		2013 ACR: noted as future work.

		Sect		Com	pliance Review (MMM)			
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
43.	Resources	Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 4.1 - In the event the shallow or upward groundwater movement becomes an issue due to the construction of subway during the detailed design stage, TRCA's hydrogeologist will be consulted.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment This issue relates to the Spadina Subway Extension, and will be addressed during design and construction of the Spadina Subway Extension, covered under a separate CMP.		No		

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
44.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 4.2 - For wells that remain in use, if any, a well inspection will be conducted prior to construction to establish baseline conditions and to confirm the relationship of the widened roadway to existing active water well will not have an adverse affect on water quality [1]. If it does, a contingency plan will be developed [2]. In the event that wells are required to be closed, closure will proceed in accordance with O.Reg.903 of the Ontario Water Resource Act [3]. If the widened roadway has adverse effects on the active well on water quality, a contingency plan will be developed [4].	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] Well inspection to be undertaken in the future, prior to construction. EA Appendix D, Section 4.2.3 & 2.2.5 – Large majority of wells historically documented are no longer active. However, additional water supply wells that are unregistered in the MOE database may exist. [A] [1] Well Study identification report for H2-VMC was completed January 30, 2013.[2] [A] [3] 2 domestic wells were identified within the H2VMC corridor and a third was identified through discussions with the YR Property. Further investigation showed that only one well would be affected by construction requiring decommissioning. This well is not used for drinking water and will be decommissioned by York Region in advance of construction [A] [2, 4] As there are will be no wells remaining within the construction limits, a contingency plan is not required.	[A] [1,2,4] VivaNext H2VMC Well Study, January 30, 2013. (ID#0137) [A] [1,2,4] Well Status Correspondence (KED ID# 2013-003)	Yes	[1,2,4] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: [A] evidence (ID0137, ID 2013-003) was found to support the assertion [1,2 and 4] on how the conditions were addressed. Item [3] well decommissioning remaings ongoing for the existing well.

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notoc
45.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D CMP I.D. # 4.3 - For subway extension, a subsurface investigation will be conducted during preliminary and detail design to identify groundwater and soil conditions. Impact assessment and mitigation measures will be performed at that time to address any issues related to groundwater quality and quantity	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment This issue relates to the Spadina Subway Extension, and will be addressed during design and construction of the Spadina Subway Extension, covered under a separate CMP.		No		
46.	Surface Water Resources	Sect. 9.6, Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D & G CMP I.D. # 5.1 - A detailed Storm Water Management Plan (SWMP) will be developed in accordance with the MOE's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003) and Guidelines for Evaluating Construction Activities Impacting on Water Resources. This SWMP will outline monitoring & maintenance commitments for SWM facilities constructed as part of this undertaking.	York Region	 [A, B] A Draft Drainage Study was completed for the conceptual design phase on August 3, 2010. It outlines requirements for storm water management to be included in the design when finalized during Detail Design. [A] [1] A Drainage Report for the H2 VMC completed on April 05, 2012 outlines requirements for storm water management. 	 [A, B] Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) August 3, 2010 (ID# 6279) [A] [1] vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) [A] [1] Letter from TRCA. September 4, 2013, noting approval in principle of the stormwater management plan (ID#0488) 	Yes	(2012) [A] [1] EF	2012 ACR: Status changed to ongoing as work has been done and numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID#0488) for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed.
47.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Appendix D & G	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B]	[A, B] [2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476)	Yes	(2012)	2012 ACR: Status changed to ongoing as work has been done and numbering added for clarity. The

		Sect	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
		CMP I.D. # 5.2 - Water quality controls up to the MOE water quality guideline of Enhanced Level (80% total suspended solids removal) required for areas where an increase in impervious surface is observed.			Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7),		EF	evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was met. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID#0488) for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed.
48.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Section 9.6 CMP I.D. # 5.3 - An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan developed to manage the flow of sediment into storm sewers and watercourses and to monitor erosion and sedimentation control measures during construction.	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A, B] Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Measures will be finalized in the Detail Design phase. [A, B] The H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) is under development. [A, B] The Draft DBCR summarizes proposed stormwater management measures throughout the	Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680)	Yes	(2012)	 2011 Review of documents provided shows minimal evidence of erosion and sediment control measures and no mention of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. This will need to be completed and added to the final draft in detail design. 2012 ACR: Status changed to ongoing as work has been done and numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was met. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided

	Ŧ	Sect	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	quired to Address Commitments			Compliance Review (MMM) Reviewed in 2013 Review Results Notes [A] EF (2013) (KED ID#2013-001) for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Yes [A] [1] 2013 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. Evidence was found to support		
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference			Notas	
				Draft VMC Section Drainage Report, August 8, 2011. [A, B] [1[An H2 PE DBCR and a VMC Drainage Report completed in June 2012 and on April 05, 2012 respectively continue to outlines the requirements mentioned above. [A] Component Environmental Management Plan for Sediment and Erosion Control included in Contractor's Environmental Management Plan	Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) [A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-11- 18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)			to support the assertion on how the	
49.	Contaminated Soil	Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Proponent Response to Government Review Team Comments, Appendix F CMP I.D. # 7.1 - In the event contaminated sites are identified after construction activities begin, the contingency plan prepared to outline the steps that will be taken to ensure that contaminant release will be minimized and appropriate clean-up will occur[1]. The site clean-up procedure of the plan compliance with the MOE's Brownfield's legislation and the Record of Site Condition Regulation (O.Reg. 153/04) [2]	York Region	Status – <u>ongoing for H2-VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> Contingency planning to address contaminated sites will be considered during the Detailed Design phase. [A] Protocol for addressing management of <u>contaminated materials found after</u> <u>construction activities begin has been</u> <u>developed for H2-VMC.</u>	[A] Contaminated Materials Management Protocol for Utilities and Roadway Construction Work, April 10, 2013 (ID# YH2-006)	Yes	EF (2013) [A] [2] EFC	2013 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. Evidence was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was address. Owner Engineer informed that there was a change for [2] that a Record of Site Condition Regulation (O.Reg. 153/04) was not being obtained.	

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Compliance Review (MMM)		
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notos	
50.		Chapter 11, Table 11.3-1, Proponent Response to Government Review Team Comments, Appendix F CMP I.D. # 7.2 - Health Canada's Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada will be obtained	York Region	Status – future work To be obtained during Detail Design, if required.		No			
51.	Effects on Businesses and Other Land Uses	Section9.1.8, Chapter11, Table 11.3-1 CMP I.D. # 9.1 - The parking need assessment and management study developed.	York Region	Status - ongoing Work has commenced and will be analyzed as part of Detail Design.	Eight Steps to A Viva Park-and-Ride Strategy – YC 8.21 (ID#1037) Memo - Viva Cornell Terminal Park-and- Ride Development – Preliminary Analysis of Alternatives – YC 8.21 (ID#1117) Memo - To: Terry Gohde From: Al Raine Re: VIVA Park-and-Ride Initiative Dates: September 29, 2006 – YC 8.21 (ID#1739) Commuter Park N Ride Strategy Work Plan Description – YC 8.21 (ID#978) Technical Memorandum – Park-and-Ride Best Practices (Draft) – January 25, 2008 - YC 8.21 (ID#2232) Technical Memorandum – Park-and-Ride Siting Criteria and Methodology - (Draft) – February 29, 2008 - YC 8.21 (ID#2363) – etc. vivaNext Bus Rapid Transit Park and Ride Strategy Update - Report No. 9 of the Rapid Transit Public/Private Partnership Steering Committee - Regional Council Meeting of November 20, 2008				
52.	Archaeological	Table 11.3-1 and proponent	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC and H2	[1] Stage 2 Property Assessment	Yes	EF	2012 ACR: Numbering, bolding and	

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notos
	Resources	Response to Government Review Team Comments, Appendix J. CMP I.D. # 10.1 – [1] Completion of a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and procedure for continued consultation [2] with the Ministry of Culture. [3] Records of consultation with First Nations.		Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further archaeological concern related to affected properties for H2. [2011] ASI is in the process of finalizing the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report, copies of which will be provided for review to all relevant parties as noted including requesting First Nations. [1] The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 and is awaiting MTCS concurrence. [2]MTCS provided a letter of concurrence on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment on January 4, 2013.	VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February 2012(ID#8294) [2]Letter from Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport, January 4, 2013, Re: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 2 Property Assessment, VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering, Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection, Road Public Transit Improvements, Former Townships of York, Vaughan, and Markham, York County, Regional Municipality of York, Ontario" (ID#9429)			underline were added to the Mitigation Measures column to clarify what condition was reviewed. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was met. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed.
53.	Agriculture	CMP I.D. # 12.1 - A policy to protect agriculture lands during construction will be developed during the detailed design phase.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H2 segment [2011]To be developed during the Detail Design phase Agriculture lands are not present within the H2 segment in accordance with the Appendix H Land Use Study Report of the Highway 7 and Vaughan N-S Environment Assessment 2005. See vivaNext website (www.vivanext.com/279).		No	EF (2012)	2012 ACR: evidence was provided to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. The Owner Engineer provided Appendix H.

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
54.		Section 9.1.5 CMP I.D. # 13.1 - MTO will be consulted and their approval will be sought in any modifications to the CAH bridges, and the grade separated option (C-B2) through Hwy 404 interchange when required.	York Region/Contractor	Status – Not applicable to H2 Segment The Highway 7 crossing of Highway 404 is not within the H2 segment limits		No		
55.		Section 9.1.5 CMP I.D. # 13.2 - The Highway 427 Extension Preliminary Study will be obtained during detailed design once they are finalized. MTO will be consulted in the design of Highway 7 structure over Highway 427.		Status – Not applicable to H2 Segment The Highway 7 structure over the proposed Highway 427 Extension is not within the H2 segment limits.		No		
56.		CMP I.D. # 13.3 - Public concerns/ complaints will be address through public consultation centres during detailed design phase [1]. As well, public complaints protocols will address complaints regarding construction and operations of the transitway [2]. The received concerns/ complaints will be circulated to appropriate department for action [3].		Status – Completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – Future for H2 [B] A Complaints Protocol will be developed during Detail Design. Public concerns will be addressed through public consultation centres during PE Design and, if necessary, will be addressed through public consultation centres during the Detail Design phase. [A] The Community Relations Protocol addresses concerns/complaints received during design and construction. The complaints protocol for operations will be developed prior to commencing service at the completion of construction	[A] Letter from YRRTC to MOE re Complaints Protocol - October 1, 2009 (YH2-002)	Yes	ÊF	2013 ACR: Numbering was added. The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed. The item will remain open as condition [2,3] cannot be completed until the completion of the project. To address this ongoing the duration of the project, the number of complaints received to date can be included in the ACR. Status for H2-VMC should be changed to 'Ongoing'

		Sectio	on 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
57.		Section 13.9.4 CMP I.D. # 13.4 - During the preliminary and detailed design phases, the Cycling and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (CPAC) will be consulted regarding the cyclist and pedestrian treatments.		Status – Does not apply to the H2 segment This commitment relates to the Highway 7 widening between Warden Avenue and Sciberras Road, which is a separate project by York Region. This is not within the limits of the H2 segment.		No		 2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: No evidence or assertion was provided to support the condition to consult the Cycling and Pedestrian Advisory Committee. 2012 edit: additional information provided by the Owner Engineer clarified that it was concluded that the condition related to the Highway 7 widening from Warden to Sciberras, was included in the rapid transit EA in Chapter 13. The widening work east of Warden is a separate project that will be progressed by York Region. It has not been designed as yet, or programmed for construction. This changed the review.
58.	Community vistas and street and neighbourhood aesthetics	Sections 9.6 and 10.4.2, and Proponent's Response to Government Review Team Comments CMP I.D. # 13 - Development of a comprehensive streetscaping plan to mitigate adverse effects on residential and pedestrian environment.	York Region	Status – ongoing <u>for H2-VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – ongoing for H2 [B]</u> [<u>A, B]</u> The Draft H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) includes streetscaping recommendations under Streetscape Design Guidelines (Section 3.8), General Guidelines (Section 3.9), etc. Examples of design features that could mitigate adverse effects on residential and pedestrian environment include the incorporation of plantable median islands and a reduction of lane widths consistent with the intent of developing Highway 7	 [A, B] [2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [A, B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) [A, B] Highway 7 Rapidway, Segment H3 – Yonge St to Kennedy Rd*, Preliminary Engineering Design Basis 	Yes	(2012) [A] EF	 2012 ACR: status changed to ongoing as evidence was provided of work undertaken. The evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertion that the development of a streetscaping plan is underway. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Sect	ion 5.0 - Actions Re	quired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
				Further consultation will occur during the Detail Design phases. [A] Streetscape Layout Plans for H2-VMC show detailed designs and streetscape improvements for pedestrian areas incorporating best practices including: AODA guidelines, CPTED principles, City of Vaughan	& Criteria Report, Update to Dec 2009 Final Version, Final Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035) [A, B] [2011]Draft Highway 7 Segment H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Section Design Basis & Criteria Report, August 8, 2011 (ID#7719) [A] Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060901 (ID#0187)			

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
59.	access during	EA Section 10.6 and Proponent's Response to Gov't Section 9.6 and Proponent's Response to Gov't Review Team Comments CMP I.D. # 14 - Development of a comprehensive Construction and Traffic Management Plan including consultation with school board officials to ensure safe, uninterrupted access to schools affected by the works.	York Region/Contractor	each construction stage. The traffic analysis reports estimate the traffic performance, given the operational constraints due to construction. [A] PHM-125 drawings are prepared for each signalized intersection operation, which facilitates pedestrian movements.	[A] Traffic Impacts Summary Report – Construction Stage 2, May 9, 2013 (ID#0311) [A] Traffic Management Plan R000- 2013-11-23-CM (KED ID# 2013-004) [A] YR School Board Boundaries (KED ID# 2013-005)	Yes		2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Sec	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	equired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		
60.	and pedestrian circulation and access during	Section 9.6 and Government Review Team Comment response CMP I.D. # 15 - Infrastructure design features, built-in safety measures and operating procedures adopted in the preparation of the detailed design solution.[1] Analysis of the need for speed limit reductions to address safety concerns.[2] Inclusion of numerical countdown pedestrian lights in detailed design.[3]	York Region	with respect to speed limit; DBCR Sections 2.3.1 BRT Standards, 2.3.4 Posted Speed, etc.). Detail Design will include analysis and recommendations for intersection crosswalk timing to meet pedestrian	 [A, B] [2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [A, B] [1] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) 	Yes	(2012)	2012 ACR: status changed to ongoing as work has been done and numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed.
				 [A] [1] With respect to Creditstone and Keele St stations for H2VMC project, station platform glass guards on top of station canopy rear wall, railings, station canopy rear wall, station canopy, station platform edge treatment and platform height have been provided in the IFC documents issued on 2013-APR-10. Design details for the VMC Station are still being developed. [A] [B] [2] Speed limit reductions have been incorporated on Council authorization. [A] [3] Countdown signals have been provided at signalized intersections. 	H2VMC-DWG-F-ARC-061101			

		Sect	tion 5.0 - Actions Re	quired to Address Commitments			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Element	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
61.	MTO future 407 Transitway undertaking	Government Review Team	York Region	Transitway during the Yonge Subway Extension Transit Project Assessment Process. Further consultation will take place during Detail Design. [1]MTO was consulted during PE Design regarding the interface at Bathurst viva Station and	 [1] Hwy 7 and Bathurst Street Station Commuter Parking Lot Review Task 1.2 Final 2012-07-13 (ID#8728) [1]Presentation, meeting notes and evaluation criteria from the Bathurst Station Workshop June 15, 2011 (ID#8961) 	No		2012 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1]. The assertion is in regard to consultation during PE Design. As the condition requires consultation during Detailed Design and Construction, not during PE Design, no review was undertaken. If it is intended to replace DD consultation then this should be clarified. Item remains Future status. Conditions in the Mitigation Measures column should be numbered for clarification.

Note: Requirements for Construction Monitoring (Section 5.2 of the CMP) and Operations and Maintenance Monitoring (Section 5.3 of the CMP) are omitted from this document.

		Section	n 5.0 - Actions Required	I to Address Commitm	nents - Table 5.2	Construction	Monitoring							
	C	Construction and Co	mpliance Monitoring		Specific inform		dded by ECM		npliance reporting	Contractors	Notes	Co	mpliance R	eview (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorizatio n	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
62.	activities	To ensure noise levels comply with Municipal by- laws and construction equipment complies with NPC-115 noise emission standards.	Site measurements of levels produced by representative equipment / activities	At time of introduction of equipment/ activities producing significant noise level with potential to disturb sensitive areas.						Status –ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status - future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design and construction [A] Addressed in Environmental Management Plan	[A] H2VMC- ENV-EMP- R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
63.	activities on air quality(dust, odour,)	To confirm that local air quality is not being adversely affected by construction activity	Regular inspections of site dust control measures and of construction vehicle exhaust emissions	Monthly during construction seasons.						Status –ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status - future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design and construction [A] Addressed in Environmental Management Plan		Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Sectior	n 5.0 - Actions Required	to Address Commitm								_		
	C	Construction and Co	mpliance Monitoring		Specific inform		dded by ECM v Il cells in these		npliance reporting	Contractors	Notes	Coi	npliance R	eview (MMM)
Item	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorizatio n	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
64.	homes adjacent to transitway	To determine if any damage/deteriora tion is due to construction activity	Pre-construction inspection to obtain baseline condition and monitoring during nearby construction	As required by construction schedule for work adjacent to heritage features.						Status –does not apply to H2- VMC [A] Status - future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design and construction		No		2013 ACR: noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.
65.	on water quality and quantity in watercourses	To confirm that water quality is not being adversely affected by construction activity	Monitor sediment accumulation after rain events during construction to ensure that the proposed mitigation measures in the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan have been satisfied.	After first significant rain event						Status –future for H2-VMC [A] Status - future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design and construction		No		2013 ACR: Noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.

		Sectio	n 5.0 - Actions Required	d to Address Commitm										
	C	Construction and Co	mpliance Monitoring		Specific inform		dded by ECM v II cells in these		npliance reporting	Contractors	Notes	Co	npliance R	eview (MMM)
ltem	Environmental Effect	Purpose of Monitoring	Monitoring Method	Monitoring Frequency	Changes to Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Agency Responses and Dates	New Mitigation Protection and/or Monitoring	Date of Permit Approval or Authorizatio n	Record of Compliance (ECM Signature and Date)	Status and Description of how commitments have been addressed during Construction	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
66.	Effect of construction on boulevard trees	To ensure the survival of boulevard trees	Inspection of protective measures and monitoring of work methods near trees	Prior to commencement of work and bi- weekly during work activities.						Status –ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status - future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design and construction [A] Tree Inventory and Preservation Drawings identify conditions of trees prior to start. Environmental Management Plan outlines monitoring activities once construction starts.	[A] Tree Inventory and Preservati on Drawings H2VMC- DWG-Q- ENV- 020202 (ID#0302) [A] H2VMC- ENV-EMP- R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	Yes		2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Note: Requirements for Operations and Maintenance Monitoring (Section 5.3 of the CMP) are omitted from this document.

		Section 6.0 – M	lodifying the Design of The Undertaking		Compliance Review (MMM)			
ltem	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
67.	CMP Section 6.0 - In the event that there is a minor change to the design of the undertaking which does not adversely impact the expected net environmental effects of the undertaking, these changes will be considered minor and documented in the annual compliance report. CMP Section 6.0 – " a required modification to the transitway alignment and station location in the area of the IBM campus in Markham has been identified. The modified alignment is a local refinement to the undertaking approved in the EA and an amendment report will be submitted specifically documenting the design modification."		 Status – Future for H2 [B] [A. B] Minor changes to the design of the undertaking during H2 Conceptual Design have included: Minor changes to intersection approaches / configurations supported by the requisite traffic modelling; Minor reductions in general purpose lane widths; Minor adjustments to Rapidway alignments to minimise environmental impacts; Cross sections adjusted where possible to provide for bicycle lanes and maximize median green space. [A. B] A minor change to the design of the undertaking during H2 Preliminary Design includes the urbanization of Hwy 7 for the limits of the project (Islington Ave. in the West to Garden Ave in the East)changing the speed limit from 70km/h to 60km/h. [A. B] Further minor changes to the design of the undertaking includes: Minor changes to platform positions at station locations; Limited removal / addition of green medians where property permitted; 	[B] [2]Operational Review - Highway 7: Bathurst to Yonge Contract H2 Task 4.5,	Yes	EFC 2010 EF (2012) [A] EF 2013	 This table is the documentation. This table should be updated to reference itself. 2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. No evidence is provided to support assertions [3,4,5]. The evidence provided (ID 8737, 4486) was found to support the assertions [1,2] on how the condition was met. 2012 edit: discussion with the Owner Engineer clarified that evidence provided (ID 8680) supports assertions [3,4]. 2012 edit: additional evidence (ID#9127 H2 PE Minor Changes from the Environmental Assessment) was provided for [5] transit lane between Baldwin Ave./Bowes Rd and the GO Bradford line. This evidence supports the assertion. The Compliance Document Reference column should be updated to include the above documents. This changed the review. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion that minor changes have been documented in the ACR. 	

		Section 6.0 – N	lodifying the Design of The Undertaking			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			 measure to optimize operational efficiency; and Change of mixed traffic to single transit lane on Highway 7 between west of Baldwin Ave./Bowes Rd and the GO Bradford line to improve mixed traffic transition. [A] In response to the City of Vaughan's requests as part of their Master Plan for the Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) (stakeholder request), the additional minor changes to the design have been made as part of Preliminary Design: Widening of the median at the Jane & Hwy 7 intersection (with no impact to the overall width of the ROW); Full signalization at the intersections of Hwy 7 and Millway Ave., Maple Creek and Creditstone Rds. [A] Minor realignment of Highway 7 and Keele Street at the north east corner of Keele Street and Highway 7 to accommodate full boulevard width, resulting in culvert extension and the addition of a retaining wall. As per meeting with TRCA on September 4, 2013, culvert extension is acceptable by TRCA. 	[A] [6] Minutes of Meeting: Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Discussion of Initial Comments and Responses - September 9, 2013. (ID#0507)			
68.	CMP Section 6.0 - In the event that there is a change to the design of the undertaking that results in a material increase in the expected net environmental effects of the undertaking, the process set out in the CMP for modifying the design of the	York Region	Status- Ongoing No changes requiring a major amendment have been identified during H2 Preliminary Engineering. See also item 19 above. An EA amendment report subtitled "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link	Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization June 2007 (ID#1519)	No	()	2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 4160) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met.
	undertaking (including submission of an amendment report to the MOE) will		Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4,	MOE letter of approval of the undertaking - Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment			

		Section 6.0 – M		Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	be followed.		2008.	Optimization (ID#4160)			

		Sec	tion 7.0 – Consultation		Compliance Review (MMM)			
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
69.	CMP Section 7.1.1- [1] One "Open House" format public consultation opportunity on completion of the preliminary design development work for each segment of the transitway planned for construction as a stand-alone component of the project implementation. The open house will take place at a location within the limits of the segment to be implemented and [2] the design solution presented and modified as necessary to address public comment, will be the basis for the detailed design.	York Region	Status – completed for H2-VMC and H2 H2 Conceptual Design "Open House" public consultations were held on June 9 and 10, 2010. Opportunities for the public to comment were provided. Notices of public consultation opportunities, including newspaper advertising, postcards, individual letters, etc. Presentations to attendees. Further Open Houses for H2 Preliminary Design are currently being planned for November, 2011. Public meetings were held at the completion of preliminary design (encompassing H2 including for H2-VMC) on November 27 and 28, 2012. Public meetings were held at two locations (west and east) in the study corridor.	 [1] Public Meeting June 9 and 10, 2010 (ID # 6220) Poster (ID# 6220) Newspaper advertising (ID# 6219) Presentation (ID#6158) [1] Have Your Say Results, Viva presentation held June 9 & 10 (ID# 3330) [1] Public Meeting November 27 and 28, 2012 (ID# YH2-007): Newspaper advertisement tear sheet Newsletter and Canada Post delivery details Display panels (also at http://www.vivanext.com/files/Past Meetings/Highway7West_Vaughan/ 1211_Boards.pdf) Summary of PIC comment cards[2] 	Yes	EFC 2010 [1,2] EF (2013)	 [1] Reviewed documents # 6220, #6219, #6158, and #3330. They show evidence that: consultations were held on the dates referenced in this table. Presentations were prepared. Opportunities for public comment were provided. 2013 ACR: 2013 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. [1,2] The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 	
70.	CMP Section 7.2.1 - The findings of the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and any subsequent assessments will be circulated to all affected stakeholders and First Nations that have asked to be kept informed of the outcome of any archaeological investigations during the design and construction phases.	York Region	Status – completed for H2-VMC and H2 Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further archaeological concern related to affected properties for H2. [2011]ASI is in the process of finalizing the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report, copies of which will be provided for review to all relevant parties as noted including requesting First Nations. [1] The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 and is awaiting MTCS concurrence [2]. The	[1] Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street	Yes	[1] EF (2012) EF (2013)	 2012 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided (ID 8294) was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed. Note, circulation includes all affected stakeholders, not just First Nations. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing for duration of construction phase. Status should be changed to 'ongoing'. 	

		Sec	tion 7.0 – Consultation			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			circulation of the report to First Nations will be carried out in Detail Design [3]. [2] Notice of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment finding were sent to the Huron- Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec in February 2013 [1]MTCS provided a letter of concurrence on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment on January 4, 2013	Improvements February 2012(ID#8294) [2]Notice of Completion of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Results, February 11, 2013 (ID#0154) [1]Letter from Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport, January 4, 2013, Re: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 2 Property Assessment, VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering, Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection, Road Public Transit Improvements, Former Townships of York, Vaughan, and Markham, York County, Regional Municipality of York, Ontario" (ID#9429)			
	CMP Section 7.2.1 - The Region and/or designate will consult [1] and respond [2] to First Nations concerns regarding its findings on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment. The Region and/or designate will obtain any necessary approvals [3] and conduct any additional studies [4] that may be required as a result of the findings and recommendations of the Stage 2 Assessment.	York Region	Status – completed for H2-VMC and H2Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further archaeological concern related to affected properties for H2. ASI is in the process of finalizing the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report, copies of which will be provided for review to all relevant parties as noted including requesting First Nations.The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 and is awaiting MTCS concurrence. The circulation of the report to First Nations will be carried out in Detail Design [1].	Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February 2012(ID#8294)	Yes		 2012 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. The assertion does not address the required conditions [1-4]. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1,3,4] on how the condition was addressed. Assertion [2] cannot be completed as no deadline was provided for comments from First Nations, and therefore must remain open for the duration of the construction phase. Status should be changed to 'ongoing'. This supports consistency with item 72.

		Sec	tion 7.0 – Consultation			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		Ved Design	[2]Notice of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment finding were sent to the Huron- Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec in February 2013	[2]Notice of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment to the Huron-Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec in February 2013 (ID#0154)	Yee		
72.	CMP Section 7.2.2 - Notices of public consultation opportunities will be sent to First Nations that wish to be kept informed of the implementation of the undertaking. [1] Should First Nations wish to be kept informed of the study and any additional work the Region will consult and notify First Nations in the manner in which they wish to be notified and/or consulted. This could vary from sending notices to attending meetings. [2]	York Region	Status - Ongoing Hwy 7 EA Notice of submission of CMP for public review and comment. [1] Notices of "Open House" format public consultation opportunities will be provided through newspaper advertising, or as appropriate to meet the commitment. Notices of public consultation opportunities, including newspaper advertising, postcards, individual letters, etc. Further Open Houses for H2 Preliminary Design are currently being planned for November, 2011 [2]Notice of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment finding were sent to the Huron-Wendat First Nation of Wendake, Quebec in February 2013	Nations (ID# 4123) [2] Poster (ID# 6220)	Yes	(2011) [2] EF (2013)	The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion. This status of this item will remain 'Ongoing' as further consultations are being planned. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed.
73.	CMP Section 7.1.2 - One "Open House" format public information centre prior to commencement of construction to present the construction staging and methods to be adopted including temporary works and methods to maintain traffic and pedestrian access and circulation, protect the existing natural and built environment and minimize noise, vibration and air pollution during construction	York Region / Contractor	Status – Completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – Future for H2 [B] [A] Public meetings were held on November 27 and 28, 2012 including staging methods, pedestrian movement/safety, minimizing impacts and the community liaison strategy for H2-VMC.	 [A] Public Meeting November 27 and 28, 2012 (ID# YH2-007): Newspaper advertisement tear sheet Newsletter and Canada Post delivery details Display panels (also at http://www.vivanext.com/files/Past Meetings/Highway7West_Vaughan/ 	Yes	(2013)	2013 ACR: The evidence (ID# YH2-007 provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Sec	tion 7.0 – Consultation			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
				<u>1211_Boards.pdf)</u> <u>Summary of PIC comment cards</u>			
74.	CMP Section 7.1.2 - Availability of a "Community Relations Officer" throughout the construction period to provide information to, consult with and respond to complaints from, property and business owners and the general public. This Officer will prepare a protocol for dealing with and responding to inquiries and complaints during the construction and subsequent operation. The protocol will be submitted to the MOE for placement on the Public Record prior to commencement of construction.	York Region / Contractor	Status – Completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – Future for H2 [B] [A] The Community Relations Protocol addresses concerns/complaints received during design and construction. The complaints protocol for operations will be developed prior to commencing service at the completion of construction [A] Community Liaison Officer for H2-VMC identified in November 27 and 28, 2012 public meeting materials and on vivaNext website	 [A] Letter from YRRTC to MOE – October 1, 2009 (ID# YH2-002) [A] Letter from MOE to YRRTC – November 12, 2009 (ID# YH2-003) [A] Public Meeting November 27 and 28, 2012 (ID# YH2-007): Newspaper advertisement tear sheet Newsletter and Canada Post delivery details Display panels (also at http://www.vivanext.com/files/Past Meetings/Highway7West_Vaughan/ 1211 Boards.pdf) [A] Community Liaison information at http://www.vivanext.com/highway-7-west-vaughan/ 	Yes		2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Note: Monitoring requirements for the Construction Phase (Section 7.1.2 of the CMP) and the Operations and Maintenance Phase (Section 7.1.3 of the CMP) are omitted from this document

Section 8.0 – Program Schedule – section irrelevant to ACR

		Section 9.0	- Submission and Circulation of the CMP			C	Compliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Results	Notes
75.	CMP Section 9.0 - In order to fulfill the Condition of Approval requiring submission of a CMP, this document [CMP] is submitted to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch (EAAB) of the Ministry of the Environment for review and approval.	York Region	Status – completed CMP submission requirements addressed with the approval of the CMP. The final CMP was submitted to the Acting Director, Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch on August 18, 2008 and approved on December 29, 2008.	MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval ID# 3706) EA Compliance Monitoring Program August 2008 (ID# 3683) MOE email confirmation of receipt of CMP - August 20, 2008 (ID# 3150)	No	EF (2010)	The letter of approval states: This memo acknowledges receipt of the Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP) for the Highway 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment (EA).
	CMP Section 9.0 - Following approval it [CMP] will be provided to the Director for filing with the Public record maintained for the undertaking. [1] Accompanying the CMP submitted to the Director will be a statement indicating that the CMP is intended to fulfill Condition 3 of the Conditions of Approval. [2]	York Region	Status – completed CMP submission requirements addressed with the approval of the CMP. [1] The letter of submission includes a statement indicating that the CMP is intended to fulfill Condition 3 of the Conditions of Approval.[2]	 [1] MOE Compliance Monitoring Program letter of approval – (ID# 3706) [2] York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) 	No	[1-2] EF (2011)	The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion.
77.	CMP Section 9.0 - Additional copies [following approval] will be provided by the Proponent for public access as specified in condition of approval 2.1.	York Region	Status – completed Refer to item 7 of this document		No	EF (2011)	The evidence provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion.
	CMP Section 9.0 - The CMP will be made available to agencies, affected stakeholders and/or members of the public who expressed an interest in activities being addressed in the CMP or being involved in subsequent work.	York Region	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP and circulation to affected/interested stakeholders.	Notice of Submission of CMP (ID# 4121) and CMP distribution lists to First Nations, Government Review Team and other stakeholders (ID# 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125)			 2010 ACR: ENF No evidence has been provided that the CMP has been circulated to affected/interested stakeholders. 2011 ACR: The evidence that was provided in the 2011 ACR was found to support the assertion.
79.	CMP Section 9.0 - Copies of the CMP will be provided to those agencies/interested groups identified in Table 11.3-1 of the EA. A notice will be sent to all other agencies involved during the EA and to other	York Region	Status – completed Condition addressed with the approval of the CMP and circulation to affected/interested stakeholders.	York Region letter of submission of final CMP (ID# 4157, 4158) Notice of Submission of CMP (ID# 4121) and CMP distribution lists to First Nations, Government Review Team and other	No	EFC (2010)	Documents provided satisfy requirement.

		Section 9.0			C	ompliance Review (MMM)	
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	stakeholders who identified an interest by providing comments during public review of the EA or EA review. The notice will advise that the CMP is available on the Region's website or hard copy on request. A copy of the stakeholder list will be provided to MOE for the public record submission of the CMP and subsequent ACR's.			stakeholders (ID# 4122, 4123, 4124, 4125)			
80.	CMP Section 9.0 - The CMP will be available for public information on the Proponent's website at www. vivayork.ca	York Region	Status – completed The CMP is posted on York Regions york.ca website.		No	ECF (2010)	The CMP is available on the york.ca website.

Section 10.0 – Annual Compliance Report – section irrelevant to ACR

	Sect	ion 11.0 - Other D	ocuments required by the Conditions of Appro	val		Co	ompliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
81.	Ridership Monitoring Program: CMP Section 11.1 - York Region will prepare the results of its Ridership Monitoring Program as committed in Section 5.2.2.3 of the EA and EAA Condition 4.1. The Ridership Monitoring Program will be provided to the City of Toronto, GO Transit, Ministry of Transportation, TTC, the Towns of Markham and Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review.	York Region	Status – ongoing Relates to Section 5.2.2.3, Step 3, of the EA. The ridership monitoring period is 2007 – 2011 and the major review will not take place until 2012. In the mean time, ridership monitoring is ongoing as evidenced by the referenced reports. 2013 - The proposed major review in 2012 outlined in Section 5.2.2.3, Step 3 of the EA is based on the rapid transit improvements "Network Alternative A1" being constructed and operating by 2010. Funding timing has resulted in implementation later than planned at the time of the EA (2013-2019 on the funded Highway 7 segments), therefore a major update in 2012 is no longer relevant. An updated monitoring program reflecting the current timelines and meeting the intent of the EA will be developed and reported in the 2014 ACR. Ridership monitoring is ongoing.	YRT\Viva 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary, YRT\Viva 2007 Ridership Summary - Specialized Services – Mobility Plus, Viva Monthly Operations Summary December 2007 Y1 8.02 (ID#'s 3106, 3107, 3108) <u>York Region Transit/Viva Ridership</u> <u>Summaries – 2005 to 2012 (ID# YH2-008)</u>	Yes	EF (2013)	2012 ACR: Item not reviewed but is expected for 2013 ACR. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
82.	Technology Conversion Plan CMP Section 11.2 - A Technology Conversion Plan will be prepared to identify when and if conversion from a bus rapid transit (BRT) system to a Light Rail Transit (LRT) system will occur.	York Region	Status – ongoing A Draft Transition Plan was prepared and submitted on March 02, 2007 and is presently under review as part of the ongoing Network Plan update. Transit Network Analysis is ongoing including LRT / subway technology conversion considerations. The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid	Draft Transition Plan, March 2, 2007 (ID# 910) Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments, April 3,	No	EF (2012)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8908) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met.

		on 11.0 - Other D	ocuments required by the Conditions of Appro	val			mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and is not expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be finalized until new information on this issue becomes available.	2012.(ID#8908)			
83.	CMP Section 11.2 - If conversion is found to be required prior to 2021, the Plan will include an implementation schedule.	York Region	Status – ongoing The draft Transition Plan included general indications of alternative schedules. The 2009 Network Update Report will address the overall sequence of implementation. The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and is not expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be finalized until new information on this issue becomes available.	Draft Transition Plan, March 2, 2007 (ID# 910) Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments, April 3, 2012.(ID#8908)	No	EF (2012)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8908) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met.
84.	CMP Section 11.2 - The Ridership Monitoring Program and Technology Conversion Plan will be placed on the public record file at the EAAB and the MOE's Central Regional Office. A copy of these documents will also be provided to the City of Toronto, TTC, GO Transit, the Ministry of Transportation, the Towns of Markham and Richmond Hill and the City of Vaughan for review.	York Region	Status – ongoing As per above, the pending 2009 Network Update Report will address technology conversion. Ridership monitoring is ongoing as evidenced by the referenced reports. The potential future evolution from Bus Rapid Transit to higher capacity Light Rail Rapid Transit is not being planned at this time, and is ultimately dependant on significant growth in transit ridership and available funding in the future, and is not expected within the 2031 horizon. No Technology Conversion Plan will be	YRT\Viva 2007 Revenue Ridership Summary, YRT\Viva 2007 Ridership Summary - Specialized Services – Mobility Plus, Viva Monthly Operations Summary December 2007 YC 8.02 (ID#'s 3106, 3107, 3108) Letter from York Region, April 3, 2012, responding MOE comments, April 3, 2012.(ID#8908)	No	EF (2012)	2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8908) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met.

	Sect	ion 11.0 - Other D	ocuments required by the Conditions of Appro	val		Co	mpliance Review (MMM)
Item	Mitigation Measure / Commitment to be Monitored	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			finalized until new information on this issue becomes available.				
85.	Complaints Protocol	•	Status – completed for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B]		Yes	[A] [1,2] EF	2013 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided for [A] was found to
	CMP Section 11.3 - Prior to construction, the Region will prepare a		Protocol will be prepared during the Detail Design phase.				support the assertion [1,2] on how the condition was addressed.
	protocol on how it will deal with and respond to inquiries and complaints		[A] The Community Relations Protocol	[A] Letter from YRRTC to MOE – October 1,			
	received during the construction and operation of the undertaking [1]. The		addresses concerns/complaints received during design and construction. The	2009 (ID# YH2-002)			
	protocol will be submitted to the Central Region Director for placement on the		complaints protocol for operations will be developed prior to commencing service at	[A] Letter from MOE to YRRTC – November 12, 2009 (ID# YH2-003)			
	Public Record [2].		the completion of construction.				

Summary Listing of EA Compliance Documentation

			Hig	hway	7 Corridor and Va	Appendix ughan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improver	nents EA – Table 10.4-	1				Compliance Monitoring	J		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject ase¹	- Location	Potential Environmental	Pro	posed Mitigation Meas	sures	Level of Significance tfter Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
60	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
A1 (a)	Maximize Inter- regional and	rove mobility by provi Connections to inter- regional services and future gateways	ding a f		nvenient, reliabl Highway 7 & Highway 50		Highway 7 transitway will provide a direct connection from western York Region to the Region of Peel. It also provides a direct connection from York University to the Region of Peel.	Increased potential for infill development around the regional boundary.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the performance of the connection to the Region of Peel.		Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(b)		Connections to inter- regional services and future gateways			At 400 series highways, e.g. Highways 427, 400, 404 & 407	Opportunity to connect to MTO's future rapid transit services on the 400 series highways to improve the inter-regional transit network.	will provide additional stations for	Increased potential for infill development around these transfer points.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the ridership and the needs to provide additional stations as warranted by the future rapid transit services.[2]		connect to MTO's Highway 407 Transitway have been explored. <u>This has been</u> reflected in the	Bathurst Street Station Commuter Parking Lot Review Task 1.2 Final 2012- 07-13	Yes	[B] [1] EFC (2013)	 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8728, 8961) was found to support the assertion. This assertion does not address the required conditions to monitor the ridership and the needs to provide additional stations as warranted by the future rapid transit services. Item remains ongoing. 2013 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. Evidence for [B][1] ID#8359 drawing number 124- H2-52953-C-0389 was found to support the assertion of parking lot which is a change from additional stations.

	_		Hiç	ghway	7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.4-	1				Compliance Monitoring]		Com	oliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject nase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	oosed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	esults	
60	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE A: To imp	rove mobility by provi	ding a	fast, c	onv	enient, reliable a	nd efficient rapid transit	service			_	_				<u> </u>		
														design. Ridership monitoring is ongoing. See item 81 of this document.	criteria from the Bathurst Station Workshop June 15, 2011 (ID#8961) IBJ H2 Remainder Preliminary Engineering Design 30% Drawings March 13, 2012 (ID#8359)			
A1 conťd (c)		Connections to inter- regional services and future gateways	✓ 		✓ Y	-	improve ridership on these transit services.	Vaughan North-South Link will provide a direct connection to the York University and to the future TTC rapid transit connecting the Toronto system prior the implementation of subway extension.	Increased potential for infill development around this transfer point.	None	Positive effect	Monitor the Y ridership and the performance of the connection to Toronto.	fork Region	Status – Ongoing Ridership monitoring is ongoing. See item 81 of this document.		No		
(d)	Maximize Inter- regional and local transit connectivity (cont'd.)	Connections to inter- regional services and future gateways	~	,	F	Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station	Stations and future provincial inter-regional 407 Transitway station will improve ridership on all transit services	Highway 7 transitway will provide a direct connection to GO Rail's Richmond Hill Line at the proposed Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station [1]. It will also have a connection to York's Yonge Street Transitway [2] and the future provincial transit corridor along Highway 407 [3].	Increased potential for infill development around Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station	None	effect	Monitor ridership and the performance of the connection to GO Langstaff Station [4]		Status – does not apply to H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] [Constructed and opened for use April 2008, improving connection to the Go Station. [B] Opportunities to connect to MTO's Highway		Yes	[2,3] EF (2012)	2012 ACR: Numbering was added and altered for condition clarity. Status was changed to ongoing as work has been done. Assertion [1] was not reviewed as it appears completed. Evidence was not found for assertion [2]. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [3] on how the condition was addressed. Assertion [4] is ongoing. 2012 edit: discussion with the Owner Engineer clarified that all current connections interconnect at the Richmond Hill Terminal.

			Н	ighwa	y 7 C	Corridor and Vau	Appendix ghan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.4-	I				Compliance Monitoring	9		Com	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		posed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of how commitment has	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
	Criterion	Issues / Concerns			0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
A1		Connections to inter-	√ √	fast,	~ (Unionville GO	Connection to Unionville	A pedestrian walkway	Increased potential	None	Positive	Monitor the	York Region	[B] Opportunities to include a Commuter Parking Lot at the Bathurst viva Station to serve as a regional intermodal station, to connect to MTO's Highway 407 Transitway, and to serve as an "over-flow" parking facility for the Yonge subway or for commuters accessing the 407 Transitway station have been explored. <u>This</u> has been reflected in the completed preliminary design and will be further developed in detail design. [3] Possibility that GO Transit may use the facility in the future. Ridership monitoring is ongoing. See item 81 of this document. [4]	[B] [3] Hwy 7 and Bathurst Street Station Commuter Parking Lot Review Task 1.2 Final 2012-07-13 (ID#8728) B] [3] Presentation, meeting notes and evaluation criteria from the Bathurst Station Workshop June 15, 2011 (ID#8961)		EF	Therefore, the pedestrian bridge supports the assertion on how the condition [2] was addressed. The future provincial Transitway is supported through maintaining opportunities at Yonge and Bathurst, for example the commuter parking lot evidence (ID 8728) provided for assertion [3]. This changed the review. 2013 ACR: evidence listed for [B] [3] commuter parking lot was found in ID#8359 ; drawing 124- H2-52953-C-0389-30 This item remains ongoing.
conťď (e)		regional services and future gateways				Station	GO Station will improve York's transit network.	will be provided to transfer the transitway passengers to the Unionville GO Station. This will provide a fast	for infill development around this transfer point.			ridership and the performance of the connection to Unionville GO Station.	- 0	H2 segment The Unionville GO Station is not within the H2 study				

	-		Н	ighwa	iy 7 C	Corridor and Vau	Appendix ghan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.4-	1		-		Compliance Monitoring			Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase¹		Location	Potential Environmental	Pro	posed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	Results	
69	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mit	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE A: To imp	prove mobility by provi	ding a	ı fast,	conv	venient, reliable a	and efficient rapid transit	service					4			R		
								and reliable service from the future Markham Centre to the City of Toronto or northern York Region via the GO Rail's Stouffville Line.						limits				
(f)		Compatibility with proposed local network	~		✓ I	Entire Corridor	Highway 7 Rapid Transit may discourage transit ridership.	Stations generally located on north-south local transit routes ensuring convenient transfers between services. Integrated fare system proposed.	Project may change the configuration of local transit.	Local services configured as grid where practical, to provide both community coverage and feeder roles	Positive effect	Regular review of effectiveness of local service plans.		Status - ongoing Regular review of effectiveness of local service plans is an ongoing YRT task. York Region currently plans to undertake a network connectivity review that will include review of the effectiveness of local service plans. RFP released and closed August 18, 2011.		No		
A2 (a)	Maximizes speed and ride comfort and minimizes safety risks and maintenance costs with an optimized alignment deometry.	Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	~		F	Eastbound platform on Highway 7 at Chalmers Rd./ South Park Rd.	platforms is 2.49%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.	Minor retaining walls through station.	Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant		York Region	Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(b)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	V			Westbound platform on Highway 7 at West Beaver Creek Rd./ Commerce Valley Dr. W	platforms is 2.13%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after stopping to load/unload	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.	Minor retaining walls through station.	Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant		York Region	Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

	-		Hiç	jhway 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix ghan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improver	nents EA – Table 10.4-	1				Compliance Monitoring	J		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject ase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		posed Mitigation Meas	ures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	со		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE A: To imp	rove mobility by provi	ding a t	fast, coi		ind efficient rapid transit	service								æ		
(c)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	~	~	Highway 7 at East Beaver Creek Rd./ Commerce Valley Dr. E	platforms is 2.97%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after stopping to load/unload	Grade through station cannot be modified due to the close proximity of the next intersection.	Station grade exceeding desirable LRT maximum will remain.	None practical	LRT operation	Speed impact will be analysed during LRT system design.	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(d)		Grade at station in excess of LRT standard of max. 1.0%.	~	✓	Both platforms on Highway 7 at McCowan Road	platforms is 2.56%. LRT should have the minimum climbing grade after	Grade through station will have to be modified locally resulting in a vertical separation from adjacent traffic lanes if LRT technology is introduced.	Minor retaining walls through station.	Incorporate safety barriers where required.	Significant			Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
A3	Maximize operational efficiency of maintenance and storage facility	N/A - Maintenance & storage facility included in Yonge St. Corridor EA Undertaking.			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	York Region	Status –Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
A4	Increase attractiveness of rapid transit service	Travel time and service reliability				delay to rapid transit.	Micro-simulation of rapid transit operation and general traffic movements during detailed design [1] will be used to optimize signal timing. Transit speed will be increased to maximum achievable with reasonable intersection operation.	Delay to transit or intersecting traffic may be unacceptable. May affect intersection capacity for general traffic movements.	Modification of inter- section signal timing [2].	Moderately significant	Pursue an on- going intersection performance monitoring program [3]	York Region	controlled transit priority at all major intersections is required. Further analysis of signal timing	2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) III (ID# 6476	Yes	[A] [1] EF(2013)	2012 ACR: Not reviewed as status is Future and action to address further mitigation is in the future. Reference ID 8680 was bolded and highlighted to show updated DBCR. 2013 ACR: numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [A] [1] on how the condition was addressed. Assertion [2,3,] remain ongoing.

			Н	ighwa	ay 7 (Corridor and Vau	Appendix ghan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.4	I-1				Compliance Monitoring)		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental	Pro	posed Mitigation Mea	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations [A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mit	Recommenda tion	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE A: To imp	prove mobility by provi	iding a	a fast	, con\	venient, reliable a	and efficient rapid transit	service								æ		
														[A] Monitoring of signal performance will be carried out following the commencement of operation [2,3].	Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) <u>Priority</u> <u>Measures</u> <u>Design</u> <u>Report -</u> <u>VISSUM</u> <u>Analysis,</u> <u>September</u> <u>26, 2013</u> (ID#0518)			
A5	[1] Locate stations to maximize ridership potential and convenience of access for all users	Residents/Employee s within walking distance of station locations. Accessibility of stations/transit system.			~	Entire Corridor	automobile-oriented land	supportive land use.	Continued dependence on automobile if land use objectives not achieved	Greater emphasis on supportive land use	Positive effect	[2] Regular review of land use and new or infill development potential during detailed design phases for transitway and stations.	York Region	Status - ongoing Stations are being provided as per the EA Report. York Region has developed guidelines for assessing potential locations for new or additional viva stations as development occurs[1-2]	# 640). Other supporting	No	[1] EFC 2010	[1] The documentation provided includes principles for ridership criteria of new viva stations, analysis on spacing requirements/effects of new viva stations, and proposed measurements of analysis for applying the principles (p. 4 Viva Phase 1 Capital Improvements document ID 689)

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

			Hi	ghway	/ 7 Co	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	.4-2		_		Compliance Monitoring	J		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental	·	osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
9	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Loouton	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		ect and enhance the s								1	-							
B1 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on and maximize benefits for communities in corridor	Potential displacement of community features			✓ E	Entire Corridor	Potential displacement or loss of unique features.	[1] Avoid known distinct community features to minimize impact;[2] incorporate landscaping and furniture into streetscape to enhance corridor and community environment.	None expected	None expected	Negligible	[3] Future community consultation	Regior	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] [A, B] [2011]The Draft H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) incorporates guidelines which include Streetscape Design Guidelines - Section 4.10 and 4.11 that are also intended to minimize displacement or loss of unique features. [A, B] The Streetscape Design Guidelines above are currently provided in Section 3. [A, B] Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to D#8035. [A] [2]The Vivanext project will provide a higher order of transit for all users of the community. The corridor is designed to enable safe and convenient access for all users: pedestrians, cyclists, transit users, and drivers. [A] [2]The streetscape throughout the corridor is enhanced through high quality urban design which included pedestrian amenities such as benches, trash cans, shade trees, street lighting, and	[2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476)		[1,2] EF (2012) [A] [2] EI (2013)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. The evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertions [1,2] on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing to Detailed Design. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion [A] [2] on how the condition was addressed. ID#086 was not found in the reference documents provided.

			Hi	ghwa	y 7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	isures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
60	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	social e	enviro	nmer	nt in the corridor	•	•	•	•	-		-			22		
														decorative paving treatment.	Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035) A] [2]H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC-DWG- R-LND- 060902 (ID#086) A] [2]H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG- R-LND- 060901			
B1 Cont'd (b)		Effect on community cohesion Community facility utilization				Entire corridor	Highway 7 may be perceived as a 'highway- like road, which in turn with the introduction of transit service vehicles, could create an unfriendly environment for pedestrians.	Design transitway to facilitate safe pedestrian road crossings with median refuge. Improved streetscaping in order to create a friendlier pedestrian environment. Municipality can expand services and	During initial operation, vehicle/pedestrian incidents may occur due to the introduction of new traffic facilities and patterns.	Emphasis on education programs, signage, and stricter enforcement.	Negligible Positive effect	and causes of incidents involving pedestrians.	Regior	Status - future Status - future	<u>(ID#486)</u>	No		
B2 (a)	Maintain or improve road traffic and	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid			✓	Highway 50	Implementation of rapid transit reduces the intersection capacity after	facilities through the increased development charge revenue. A dedicated WB transit phase of 10s	impact stable existing communities. Under 2021 considerations,	community facility expansion. Under 2021 considerations, the addition of a WB		the various facilities. Monitoring required	York	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Hig	ghwa	y 7 C	corridor and Vau	Appendix ghan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	.4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		oosed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Loodion	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	tect and enhance the s	ocial e	nviro	onme	nt in the corrido	r									~		
	pedestrian circulation	transit operations					future growth.	turn have been introduced.	will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour, and; EBL, WBT, NBT & SBL will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour. The impact of the RT system on the intersection will be negligible as the transit vehicle will operate in conjunction with the WBL.	considered.								
(b)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)					New Mid-block Road	Under 2021 considerations, EBL, EB ⁻ & WBT will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour. The SBL will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	considered in detailed design phase.	None expected	None required.	Significant	Monitoring requirec for pedestrian split phasing.	York Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
B2 Conťd (c)						Hwy 427 N-E/W Off-Ramp	Under 2021 considerations, WBT will approach capacity in AM peak hour, and; no capacity constraints are expected in the PM peak hour.		None expected	None required.		tNone required.	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(d)						Hwy 427 S-E/W Off-Ramp	Transit vehicles will experience delay due to heavy ramp traffic volumes.	Cycle length has been increased from 90 seconds to 120 seconds to accommodate the heavy volumes on the off ramp.	The ramp movements require more green time to maintain acceptable operating conditions.	Transit signal priority could be considered during the detailed design phase.	Significant	signal priority.	Regio	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(e)					~		Implementation of RT reduces the intersection	N-S main phase has been increased to	The time for E-W main street	Future pedestrian volumes should be		Monitoring required for 2-stage		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

		-	Hiç	ghway	y 7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring			Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	isures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible igency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Looution	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	nviro	nmer	nt in the corridor										œ		
					E	Boulevard	capacity.	accommodate pedestrian crossing time.	movements will be reduced. WBT movements will operate at or near capacity.	monitored over time to determine the opportunity to provide a 2-stage crossing for pedestrians & thus allocate additional green time to the E-W main phase.		crossing.						
(f)					✓	5	Implementation of RT reduces the intersection capacity.	N-S green time has been increased to accommodate the minimum pedestrian crossing time.	WBL will operate at capacity in the AM peak hour. This capacity issue currently exists today.		Significant	None required	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(g)					ŀ		Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		The additional transit phase will operate at capacity. WBT, SBT, EBL & EBT will operate at capacity or approach capacity in AM/ PM peak hour.	Split phasing should be considered to allocate additional green time to the E-W phase as the N-S phase will operate at a minimum split of 38s. Alternatively, implementation of exclusive lanes in the SB approach for example an exclusive left, through & right turn lane should be considered.	Significant	for implementation of split phasing or exclusive lanes in the SB approach.	Region			No		
B2 conťď (h)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			✓	Ũ	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		EBT, WBT, NBL & SBL will operate at capacity in AM/PM peak hour. Surrounding lands prevent road network improvements.	Pedestrian split phasing should be considered on the N-S phase to generate additional green time for the E-W movements. Improvements are not possible due to land/ grade constraints or	-	Monitoring required for implementation of split phasing or exclusive lanes in the SB approach. When the time comes to widen this section of the Highway 7 to 6 lanes, dual left turn	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			High	way 7 (Corridor and Vaug	Appendix ghan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	4-2				Compliance Monitoring	-		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Proj Pha		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	PC	; o	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial env	/ironme	ent in the corrido	r									R		
									would not improve operating conditions due to excessively high volumes. Minor remedial measures are not possible such as dual left turn lanes or signal modifications.		lanes should be considered.						
B2 conťd (i)				Ý	Pine Valley Drive	Implementation of RT reduces the intersection capacity.	N-S pedestrian crossing times have been increased. Protected-only EBL & WBL have been introduced. Due to property constraints, duel left turn lanes cannot be provided.	The number of permissive left turns will be limited due to the heavy E-W through volumes. WBL, EBL & NBL will approach capacity or operate at capacity during peak hours.	Review property impact during Preliminary Design Phase to assess the opportunities to provide a dual left turn lanes.	Significant	Review property impact during Preliminary Design Phase.	York Regior	Status – does not apply to H2-VMC Status – future for H2 Preliminary engineering was completed with protected left turn lanes in each direction. Property impacts were reviewed during Preliminary Design and the alignment moved one (1) metre to the south to further mitigate impacts to residential properties on the north side [1] and accommodate the future implementation of dual left turn lanes, should these be required. Additional traffic analysis will be undertaken in Detail Design to confirm operational requirements and the need for dual left turn lanes[2].	[1] Conceptual Design Roll Plan, drawing R1 (ID#8009)	No		2011 ACR: The initial drawings provided for evidence were R2, which were not correct. The correct drawing showing Pine Valley Drive is R1. This was updated by the Owner Engineer in the table. The review of the R1 drawing shows alignment was moved 1m south [1]. It was initially unclear regarding the provision for dual left turn lanes [2]. This was clarified by the Owner Engineer and marked as "future work" for Detail Design. 2013 ACR: it is noted that this item does not apply to H2-VMC.
(j)					Weston Road	Under 2021 considerations, the intersection is expected to operate at capacity during both peak hours.		Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.		None required.	Regior			No		
(k)				~	Famous Avenue	Under 2021 considerations, WB will approach capacity during	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Regior	Status – No Action Required		No		

	-		Hig	hway 7	Corridor and Va	Appendix ughan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring]		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject lase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		oosed Mitigation Mea	asures	el of icance itigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level (Significa after Mitig	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prof	tect and enhance the s	ocial er	nvironn	nent in the corrid	or											
						both AM and PM peak hours.		capacity.									
(I)				~	Highway 400 S EW off-ramp			Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.	York Region	Status – No Action Required		No		
B2 cont'd (m)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)		~	Highway 400 Interchange	As the area generates a significant amount of	None required initially. However, llmonitoring for active signal priority is required to confirm if active signal priority is necessary in the future.	None expected	None required.		Monitoring for active signal priority required	Region	Status –does not apply for H2- VMC Status – future for H2		No		2013 ACR: it is noted that this item does not apply to H2-VMC.
(n)				~	Interchange Wa	y EBL, WBT & SBR will approach capacity or operate at capacity. Dua EBL could not be incorporated due to property constraints.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	Review property impact during Preliminary Design Phase to assess the opportunity for dual eastbound left turn lanes.	Significant	Review property impact during Preliminary Design Phase [1]	Region	included consideration of the transfer of traffic movements from Interchange Way to Commerce Drive, eliminating the need for dual eft turn lanes at Interchange Way,	[1] Section 2.5.5 H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 - Hunters Point Drive to Bruce Street, Storage Lane Analysis, TASK 03.08,			2012 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided (ID 8891) was found to support the assertion. 2013 ACR: status was changed to reflect H2-VMC and H2 applicability. No review was undertaken.
(0)				~	Jane Street	Some transit vehicles are required to turn south to reach the York University	phase will be	The intersection of Highway 7 and Jane Street will operate at capacity during both peak periods. The protected left	Split phasing should be considered during the detailed design phase to provide a minimum split for the N-S pedestrian movement [2].	Significant	Monitoring required for implementation of split phasing. [3] Review opportunities for road network improvements to	Region	Status –No action required [1,2] An EA amendment report subtitled "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008.		No		2012 ACR: Assertion and evidence was bolded and underlined. Numbering was added for clarity. Evidence not provided for assertions [1,2,4]. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [3] on how the condition was addressed.

			Hi	ghwa	iy 7 C	orridor and Vau	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	nents EA – Table 10	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Com	bliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	ible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	Results	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	enviro	onme	nt in the corrido										~~		
								Review opportunities for road network improvements to improve left turn lane capacity issues.	turn restrictions resulting from the RT system will result in the eastbound and westbound left turns operating at capacity.	Review opportunities for road network improvements to improve left turn lane capacity issues.		improve left turn lane capacity issues. [4]		[4] [2011]The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.				2012 edit: text was removed by the Owner Engineer in the status and compliance document reference columns. This changed the review.
B2 conťd (p)						Interchange Way (Jane Street)	East approach is operating as a shared left through and shared through-right. Heavy left turn volumes suggest an exclusive or dual westbound left turn lane is required.	widening	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	,	Recommend further intersection analysis during Preliminary Design Phase to determine if exclusive WB left turn widening is warranted.	Regior	Status –No action required An EA amendment report subtitled 'Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008. This requirement is no longer relevant because there is no southbound turn on Jane Street for the VNSL which was replaced by subway as in th EA amendment report. [2011]The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.		No		2012 ACR: Assertion and evidence was bolded and underlined. No review was undertaken as this condition is no longer applicable.
B2 conťď (q)						Proposed East- West Road (Jane Street)	operate at capacity and NBT will approach capacity during the AM peak hour. The opposing	Traffic volume should be monitored to determine if a SB dual left turn lane will be required to facility the heavy volume during the morning	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Moderately Significant	Monitoring required for SB dual left turn lane.	Regior	Status –No action required		No		2012 ACR: Assertion and evidence was bolded and underlined. No review was undertaken as this condition is no longer applicable.

			Hi	ghwa	iy 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	nents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Comj	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
99	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c	0	Looddon	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial	envire	onme	ent in the corridor										~		
							capacity during the PM peak hour.	period.						the Environment on April 4, 2008. requirement is no longer relevant because there is no southbound turn on Jane Street for the VNSL which was replaced by subway as in the EA amendment report. [2011]The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.				
B2 conťď (r)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			~	(Steeles Avenue)	Under 2021 Considerations, the intersection will operate a capacity during the AM peak hour.	None required. t	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Moderately Significant	None required.	Regio	Status –No action required An EA amendment report subtitled "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008. This requirement is no longer relevant because there is no southbound turn on Jane Street for the VNSL which was replaced by subway as in the EA amendment report. [2011]The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.		No		2012 ACR: Assertion and evidence was bolded and underlined. No review was undertaken as this condition is no longer applicable.
(s)					~	Keele Street	Transit vehicles are required to turn onto	A ten second transit phase will be	Both peak periods show the left turn	Additional green time to the critical		Review opportunities to	York Regior	Status –No action required		No		2012 ACR: Assertion and evidence was bolded and

			Hiç	ghway	/ 7 Co	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link P Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10	.4-2				Compliance Monitoring	1		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject nase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible igency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Criterion	Issues / Concerns			0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Respon: Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	nviror	nmen		Highway 7.	provided to facilitate the movements. The WB general traffic will be permitted during the transit phase.	movements operating at capacity.	movements should be considered in the detailed design phase; or road network improvements should be considered in the preliminary design phase.		provide additional capacity for the left turn movements during detailed design phase/preliminary design phase.		An EA amendment report subtitled "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008. This requirement is no longer relevant because there is no eastbound turn for the VNSL which was replaced by subway as in the EA amendment report. However, intersection analysis has been undertaken as part of the PE Design. [2011]The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking.	H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 - Hunters Point Drive to Bruce			underlined. No review was undertaken as this condition is no longer applicable.
B2 conťd (t)					✓ C		WBT, NBL & EBT will operate at capacity in the PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during the detailed design stage.	Significant		Regior	be developed later in detail design.	Permanent Traffic Signal Design H2VMC- DWG-E-SGL- 060802 (ID#0245) Drawings 106, 110, 120	Yes		2012 ACR:. Evidence (ID#0245) Drawings 106, 110 and 120 supports the assertion of two stage crossings. This item is ongoing.

			Hig	ghwa	y 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	blic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Looddon	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Respon: Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ct and enhance the s	ocial e	nviro	onme	nt in the corridor					•							
															(2013) Transit Priority Measures Design Report - VISSUM Analysis, September 26, 2013 (ID#0518)			
(u)						Baldwin Avenue	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	phase will be provided.	The intersection is expected to operate at good level-of-service with the RT system.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.	Region	Status –ongoing for H2-VMC Status – does not apply to H2 (2011)Dual EB to NB left turn lanes will be considered during H2 Detail Design. Section 2.2.1.4 in the Design Basis and Criteria Report describes the elimination of the consideration of dual left turn lanes because the change is not compatible with the City of Vaughan's plans for Bowes Road as part of its VMC plan. (2013) Incorporated a transit signal display/phase, and a merge area as well. This means that the viva service has flexibility to use the transit signal display/phase during congestion, and the merge area during low volume conditions. Design still to be finalized.		No		2012 ACR: discussion with the Owner Engineer clarified that the H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (ID#8680) notes in several locations (e.g. Section 1.3) that transit signal priority will be provided at all major intersections. The final signal drawings at detail design will be the ultimate demonstration of this. Bolding was removed as item status remains future work and was not reviewed. 2013 ACR: status update – not reviewed
B2 cont'd (v)						North Rivermede	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		The intersection will operate at a satisfactory LOS. NBT & EBT will approach capacity. Minimal delays or	None expected	Insignificant	None required.		Status - No action required		No		

			н	lighwa	ay 7 (Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		Projec Phase		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	el of cance tigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	ible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigatior	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	social	envir	onme	ent in the corridor							<u>ц</u>			8		
								intersection to avoid delay.	queues are expected between the two transitional intersections.									
(w)						Streets	EBL or SBR in the dedicated transit ROW.	EBL/SBR for transit, & EBL/EBT for general traffic has been permitted during a 10-second transit phase. All the left turn lanes operate under protected- permissive phases as the transit phase operate under an exclusive phase.	EBL, NBL & SBT will approach capacity in the PM peak hour.	None expected	Significant	None required.	Regior			No		
(x)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)				Boulevard/Flamin go Road (Bathurst Street)	intersection operation.	phase will be provided. SBT will be permitted during this transit phase.	NBT will operate at capacity and SBT will approach capacity. Addition green time is required in the N-S direction.		Significant	Monitoring require for split phasing.	Regior	Status- does not apply to H2- VMC Status – future work for H2 Split phasing to be reviewed in Detail Design.		No		2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.
(y)					√	Connection Road	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		No capacity constraints.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.	York Regior	Status - No action required		No		
B2 conťd (z)					~	Drive	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		No capacity constraints.	None expected	Positive effect	None required.	Regior	Status- does not apply to H2- VMC Status – future work for H2 Currently, BRT operations are proposed to be in mixed traffic instead of Rapidway, WB between the Yonge Street Connection Ramp		No		2010 ACR: ENF 2010 - No document provided. In the 2011 ACR the assertion has been changed: " to be confirmed in detail design." Status changed to future.

1		Hi	ghwa	iy 7 (Corridor and Vau	ghan North-South Link Pu	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.	4-2		1		Compliance Monitoring	9		Com	oliance Review (MMM)
Environmen tal Value /	Environmental				Location	Potential Environmental	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	asures	el of ficance ítigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gencv	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Respon: Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	leviewed	Review R	Notes
CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial	envir	onme	ent in the corrido			1	1								
													Accordingly, WB BRT transition to mixed-traffic may be avoided in this				2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2- VMC.
					Connection Road	Hill Centre Intermodal Station complicates the intersection operation.	movements will operate in mixed traffic utilizing the existing channelized right turn lanes. EB & SB left transit movements will remain in the dedicated transit anes. EB left transit & general traffic movements will operate together. Similarly, SB left transit & general traffic movements will operate together. [1] Signal priority will likely be implemented to detect buses in the transitway & activate the appropriate phases to avoid long delays & prevent the buses from doubling up.	approach capacity during the PM peak hour.X		Positive effect	for signal priority. [2]	Regio	[1]. [2] Status – does not apply t nH2-VMC [1] Status – complete for H2 Signal Priority requirements determined during Detail Design. The Draft H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) indicates that signal controlled transit priority will be provided at a major intersections. [1] [2] Status – future for H2	Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [1] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to		[1] EF (2012)	2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity and condition [1] was bolded and underlined for review. The evidence provided (ID 8680) was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed. The status remains as future as work for item [1] appears to be completed. Status updated to reflect this. 2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.
Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			~	Red Maple Road	traffic transition complicates the intersection operation. Under 2021 Considerations, volumes		The intersection will operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM peak hour with the WB through approaching	None expected		provide a dual eastbound left turn lane during the	Regio			No		
	tal Value / Criterion	tal Value / Criterion Environmental Issues / Concerns CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the s Image: Second street intersection Maintain or improve road Reduction in main street intersection Image: Second street intersection traffic and pedestrian capacities due to rapid (cont'd)	Environmental Value / Criterion Environmental Issues / Concerns P CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social of the s	Environmental Isues / Concerns Project Phase CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environmental isues / Concerns P C CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environmental isues / Concerns I I Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd) I I	Environmental Value / Criterion Environmental Issues / Concerns Project Phase1 P C 0 CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environmental Issues / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid pravide transit operations (cont'd) Image: Concerns Image: Concerns	Environmental lasues / Concerns Project Phase1 Location Criterion Issues / Concerns P C 0 CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Image: Concerns Volume / Concerns Volume / Concerns Maintain or Image: Concerns Reduction in main Street intersection capacities due to rapid pedestrian transit operations (cont'd) Volume / Concerns Red Maple Road	Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Putffects and Mitigation Environmental Issues / Concerns Project Phase1 Location Potential Environmental Effects CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Vonge Street Accessing the Richmond CIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Accessing the Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station complicates the intersection prove road improve road traffic and street intersection capacities due to rapid pedestrian capacities due to rapid pedestrian carotify (cont'd) Y Red Maple Road Requirement of mixed-traffic transition complicates the intersection speration.	Environmental La Value / Criterion Environmental Issues / Concerns Project Phase1 Location Potential Environmental Environmental Effects Potential Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A] CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Vonge Street Connection Road Accessing the Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station complicates the mersection operation. WB & SB right transit movements will operate in mixed raffic utilizing the existing channelized ramin in the dedicated transit a general traffic movements will operate to provide traffic transit ransit & general raffic more minory road raffic transit to parations (contid) Maintain or improve road raffic conti (contid) Reduction in main traffic movements will operate to provide ransit operations (contid) ✓ Red Maple Road Requirement of mixed- traffic transitin operation sciences Requirement of mixed- traffic transitin operation provide ransitory & activate the appropriate provide transitory permit the VB transit under 2021 (contid) Accessing the Richmond movements will operate together. Similary, SB tett transitory activate the appropriate phase will be road transit operations (contid)	Highway 7 Corridor and Yaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA – Table 10 Effects and Mitigation for Mobility Environment Isl Value / Criterion Environmental Issues / Concerns Project P C 0 Potential Location Potential Environmental Proposed Mitigation Meri Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A] Proposed Mitigation Meri Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A] CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Accessing the Richmond Hill Centre Intermodal Station complicates the intersection operation. WB & SB right transit movements will operate in mixed raffic utilizing the social environments will operate internsit & general transit general t	Highway 7 Corridor and Yaughan North-South Link Public Transit Ingrovements EA – Table 10.4-2 Effects and Mitigation for Mobility Environment Ist Value/ Criterion Environmental Escues / Concerns Project P C O Potential Location Propential Environmental Effects Propect Mitigation Measures CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor Image: Concerns Vonge Street Accessing the Richmond Hill Content Instead WB & SB right transit movements will protein in made hill Content Instead EBL and WBT will. None expected CTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment intersection operation. Vonge Street Accessing the Richmond Hill Content Instead Hill Content Instead Hill Content Instead Hill Content Instead Hill Content Instead Hill Content Instead EBL and WBT will approach capacity approach capacity movements will perate Instead Hour X. EBL and WBT will. None expected Maintain or Inprove road Kaffet and paperate Institution appedies due to rapidy peestion cont(d) V Y Red Maple Road Requirement of mixed- transit operation contents will perate Instead Requirement of mixed- transit operation cont(d) None expected	Highway 7 Corridor and Yaughan North-South Lukk Public Transit Improvements EA – Table 10.4-2 Environmental Ital Value // Criterion Project Pass-I Project Improvemental Issues / Concerns Project Pass-I Project Improvemental Environmental Effects Proposed Mitigation Measures Project Improvemental Bitle Desting Project Improvements IA – Table 10.4-2 CTVE B: To protect and enhance the social = uncomment in the corridor Improvements IA – Table SIG Provements IA –	Highway 7 Coridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transat Improvements EA – Table 10.4-2 Effects and Mitigation for Mobility Environment Li Vulue, Criterion Project Issues / Concerning D Project D Location Potential Environmental Environmental Environmental Environmental Devironmental Envinonmental Environmental Environmental Environme	Higher 2 Corriction and Vulgation North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA - Table 10.4.2 Environmental to Vulgation Measures Project Piperiod Piperiod Piper	Image: Section of the social secting of the social sectinge	Compliance Monthesum: EA - Table 10-42 Compliance Monthesum: EA - Table 10-42 Environmental tal Value taus Notescon Concernent tau Value Tenterion Project Recurrent December Dece	Compliance Monitoring C	List besit for and sugaran North South Lisk Public Trankit Improvements IA - Table 18.4.2 Compliance Monitoring Compliance Monitoring<

			Hi	ghwa	ay 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring	-		Comp	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental	Ргор	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Monitoring and Recommendati on	Respons erson / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial	envir	onme	ent in the corridor							-			Ϋ́Υ		
							eastbound left to operate at capacity during the PM peak hour.		at capacity in the PM peak hour.									
(ac)					~	Silver Linden Drive	EBL and WBT will operate at capacity or approach capacity in the PM peak hour.	None required.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Moderately Significant	None required.	York Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(ad)					~		Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		EBT will approach capacity in the AM peak hour.	The implementation o a dual EB left turn and/or split phasing fo pedestrians should be considered during detailed design phase	Significant r	Evaluate option of implementing a dual eastbound left turn lane and/or review opportunity to provide split phasing for pedestrian.	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(ae)					~	South Park Drive/Chalmers Road	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		E-W phase will operate at capacity during the PM peak hour. The EBL & WBT will operate a capacity.	Pedestrian split phasing should be considered.	Moderately Significant	Monitoring requirec for pedestrian split phasing.	l York Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(af)					V		peak hours. The N-S movements will require a minimum split of 49 s to serve pedestrian crossing times. Long-term conditions expect high	Improvements are not possible due to land/ grade constraints or would not improve operating conditions due to excessively high volumes. Minor remedial measures are not possible such as dual left turn lanes or signal modifications.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	Opportunities to reduce the minimum N-S split, such as a 2- stage pedestrian crossing, should be pursued as other critical phases require the additional green time.	Significant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Hig	hway 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10	.4-2	•			Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject ase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	el of icance itigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	stiluse
	Criterion	Issues / Concerns		c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level o Significar after Mitiga	on	Respon: Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results
	-	tect and enhance the se	ocial er				b	Latence 2	No							
	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			East Beaver Creek/ Commerce Valley Drive East	required to accommodate pedestrians. Heavy volumes and	volumes. Minor	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None expected	Significant	A two-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered at the Commerce Valley Drive intersection to reduce side street green time demands.	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(ah)					Highway 404 N- E/W Ramp	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	vehicles will be given a green indication in conjunction with the WB traffic. A ten second EB transit phase will be provided. The WBT will be permitted during this phase. Upstream & stop bar detection of the transit vehicle will be provided to allow the controller with advance warning and confirmation that a transit vehicle requires the advance transit phase.	Overall peak hour operations are not impacted. Transit delay between the two transition intersections is expected.	Should the resultant delays to transit vehicles be considered excessive, transit vehicle priority could be employed at both the transition intersections to advance the traffic signal display in anticipation of the arrival of the transit vehicle.	Significant	provide transit vehicle priority.	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
B2 conťd				~	Highway 404 Interchange	Heavy volumes on off- ramps and through	Major mitigative measures should be	Congestion within the interchange	None required.	Significant	Monitor queuing on off-ramps and on		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	

			Highw	ay 7 C	corridor and Vau	Appendix Ighan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Proje Phase		Location	Potential Environmental	Ргор	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	el of cance tigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	ible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults
60	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigatior	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prof	tect and enhance the s	ocial envi	ronme	nt in the corrido	r						<u>а</u>			Â,	
(ai)						Highway 7 Corridor suggest major mitigative measures will be required in future.	considered in future.	will remain.			Highway 7 to assess need for improvements. Monitoring required for active signal					
(aj)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			Highway 404 S- E/W Ramp	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.	The EB transit vehicles will be given a green indication in conjunction with the EB traffic. A ten second WB transit phase will be provided. The EBT will be permitted during this phase. Upstream & stop bar detection of the transit vehicle will be provided to allow the controller with advance warning and confirmation that a transit vehicle requires the advance transit phase.	Overall peak hour operations are not impacted. Transit delay between the two transition intersections is expected.	Should the resultant delays to transit vehicles be considered excessive, transit vehicle priority could be employed at both the transition intersections to advance the traffic signal display in anticipation of the arrival of the transit vehicle.	Significant	priority. Review the need to provide transit vehicle priority.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(ak)					Allstate Parkway/East Valhalla	the E-W available green time in the AM peak hour.	Extended EB advance phase should be considered. The implementation of a channelized SB right turn lane should be examined as well as a dual EB left turn lane during the detailed design stage.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	provide a channelized right turn lane in the southbound direction and a dual eastbound left turn lane.	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
B2 conť d					Town Centre Boulevard (Town	Transit vehicles are required to negotiate an	EBR/NBL for transit, & WBT for general	EBT will operate at capacity in the PM		Significant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	

Summary Listing of EA Compliance Documentation

			High	1way 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10	4-2		_		Compliance Monitoring	1		Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Pro Pha	oject ase¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р (c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To pro	otect and enhance the s	ocial en	vironm	ent in the corridor										~~		
(al)					Centre Blvd. Alignment)	EBR or NBL in the dedicated transit ROW.	traffic has been permitted during a dedicated 10-second transit phase. The WBL will operate as protected-only in order to prohibit WBL vehicles from operating with the WBT volumes during	peak hour.									
(am)				~	Clegg Road	WBT, SBL, EBL & NBL will approach capacity in AM/PM peak hour.	the transit phase.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.	Significant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(*)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)				Transit vehicles are required to enter/exit the dedicated median transitway lanes.	An exclusive transit only phase will be provided.	Under 2021 Considerations, EBL & SBL will approach capacity in the AM/PM peak hour.	None required.	Significant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(ao)						Transit vehicles are required to negotiate an EBL or SBR in the dedicated transit ROW. Under 2021 Considerations, heavy volumes generated from Markham Centre West an GO Unionville Station will result in capacity constraints on NBL, SBT & WBL during AM/PM peak hour.	Under 2021 Considerations, a dual northbound left and channelized right turn should be considered.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required.		Follow-up monitoring during full buildout conditions to examine the possibility of implementing a dual northbound lef and channelized eastbound right turn lane.	Regior ît	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
B2 conťd (ap)				V	Avoca Drive(Kennedy Road)	Implementation of RT will reduce the intersection capacity.	NBL & SBL will operate as protected left phases.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	Follow-up monitoring to assess capacity issues during the		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

Summary Listing of EA Compliance Documentation

			Hig	hway	7 Cc	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10	.4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject lase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
9	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial ei	nviron	men	nt in the corridor							_					
							north-south volumes on Kennedy Road. WBL, NBL & EBL will approach	lo reduce the northbound advance phase, improvements such as implementing a dual northbound left turn lane should be considered in the detailed design ohase.				PM peak hour with NB/SB through movements and the NB left.	Ģ					
(aq)				,	√ k	Kennedy Road	Transit vehicles are required to negotiate a NBR or WBL in the	A transit phase of 10 s has been incorporated into the signal timings to operate in conjunction with the WBT movements.	None expected.	A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during detailed design phase to meet the minimum split requirements in both directions.		A 2-stage pedestrian crossing should be considered during detailed design phase.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(ar)					C		EBL will operate at capacity as a protected left turn phase in PM peal hour.	None required	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Moderately significant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(as)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			· · · · · N	McCowan Road	WBL & NBL will operate above capacity.	None required initially. Based on future operations, improvements to the westbound left and northbound left may be required to improve operations at the intersections during the AM peak hour. To improve operating conditions, a two- stage pedestrian crossing should be investigated in both directions during the	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required		Investigated the need to provide a two-stage pedestrian crossing in both directions during the detailed design stage. Review special needs for the westbound left and northbound left during the AM peak hour.	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Hi	ghway	7 Co	orridor and Vaug	Appendix ⁄ han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	blic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring	1		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Leastion	Potential Environmental	Prope	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	ible dencv	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mit	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	enviror	nmen	nt in the corridor							-			R		
								detailed design stage.										
B2 conťd (at)					E	Galsworthy Drive	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation.		The intersection is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 nsegment		No		
(au)						<i>l</i> larkham	cross Highway 7.		Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(av)							intersection operation.		The intersection is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.	Regio	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(aw)					~ \		Under 2021 considerations, EBL, SBT, NBL, NBT & WBT will approach capacity or operate at capacity in the AM/PM peak hour.	None required	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required	Significant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(ax)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Reduction in main street intersection capacities due to rapid transit operations (cont'd)			✓ B	Bur Oak Avenue	Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic complicates the intersection operation in the initial phase.		The intersection is expected to operate without any capacity constraints.	None required	Positive Effect	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
B2 conťd (ay)					E	By-Pass Extension	Under 2021 considerations, SBL will operate at capacity in the AM/PM peak hours.	Exclusive right turn lanes in all approaches should be considered in detailed design phase.	Intersection will continue to operate at capacity.	None required		for Exclusive right turn lanes.	Regio			No		
(az)					✓ F		Requirement for transit to transition to mixed-traffic		The intersection will not be	None required	Insignificant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Hi	ghwa	iy 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix ghan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	4-2	_	-		Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
AL	Environmen	Environmental		rojec hase		Landian	Potential Environmental	Ргор	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	el of cance igation	Monitoring and	ible jency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	ו 2013	sults	
GOAL	tal Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level o Significal after Mitiga	Recommendati on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	enviro	onme	nt in the corrido	r									8		
							complicates the intersection operation.	provided for EB transit vehicle in conjunction with the WB through general traffic.	significantly impacted.									
(ba)		Need to divert from main street at various locations, as required for the preferred alignment.				TTC BRT Entrance/ Steeles Ave. IBM Entrance/ Town Centre Blvc			None expected.	None Expected	Insignificant	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(bb)		Potential conflict at transition points between mixed-traffic operations and median transitway operations				Proposed signalized Beech- wood Cemetery Entrance SB	Rapid transit may have to wait for opportunity to merge with the general through traffic resulting in service delay. New traffic signal will be required to facilitate a safe transit movement among the general traffic.	introduced to accommodate transit movements. Also, this new intersection provides a better access for the cemetery.	None expected.	None Expected	Positive	None required.		<u>Status – Does not apply to H2</u> <u>segment</u>		No		2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2.
(bc)		Critical left turn storage lengths				Westbound dual left at Famous Avenue	High left turn volumes at this cinema's only access will deteriorate the intersection operation.	been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (306 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant		Regior			No		
	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Critical left turn storage lengths (cont'd)			~	Eastbound and Westbound at Millway Avenue	High left turn volumes resulted from future Vaughan Corporate Centre development will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (260 m in EB; 172 m in WB) and platform	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Regior	Status -No action required		No		

			Highway 7 (Corridor and Vaug	Appendi han North-South Link I Effects and Mitigatio	Public Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Project Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible Igency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C O		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial environme	ent in the corridor												
							locations, the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the									
(be)				Westbound left at Chalmers Road/ South Park Drive	business park will	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	through traffic. Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (220m in WB), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(bf)				Saddlecreek Drive	High left turn volumes resulted from new development will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage engths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (250 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

	-		Hig	hway	7 Cor	rridor and Vaugl	Appendix han North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.4	1-2				Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject lase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
ğ	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif	on	Respon Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial ei	nviror	nment	in the corridor							-					
									through traffic.									
B2 cont'd (bg)					We Tir Va	/estbound left at mes Avenue/ alleymede Drive	intersection operation.	The left turn storage lengths have been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (250 m in EB; 405 m in WB) and the platform location, the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant		Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(bh)		Critical left turn storage lengths (cont'd)			Ja Hiç	ane Street at ighway 407	High left turn volumes accessing the Highway 407 will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The left turn storage length has been maximized.	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (230 m), the maximized left turn storage lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.	None Expected	Moderately Significant	None	York Region	Status –No action required		No		
(bi)					No Ke	orthbound left at ennedy Road nd Helen Avenue	High left turn volumes accessing the GO Unionville Station will deteriorate the intersection operation.	The eastbound left turn storage length has been maximized and the northbound eft turn storage ength remains as	Due to the constraint of the intersection spacing (245 m in EB), the maximized left turn storage	None Expected	Moderately Significant			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Highv	vay 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring	-		Comp	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Proje Phas		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	PC	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial envi	ironme	nt in the corridor							-			~~		
							existing.	lengths still cannot provide the required capacity. The left turn vehicles may spill out onto the adjacent through lane blocking the through traffic.									
B2 cont'd (bj)		Widening or construction of new structures resulting in major temporary disruption to highway or railway traffic during construction			Hwy 400 McMillian Yard Hwy 407/ Jane St. CN Halton CN Bradford Hwy 407/ Bathurst	busy highway interchanges, such as at Hwy 404, could cause additional delay to general traffic. Temporary relocation of railway lines could cause delay to railway traffic.	Mitigation in the form of traffic accommodation plans and temporary works will be developed for all structures where disruption is unavoidable.[1] Mixed traffic operation is introduced in the area of CP Mactier, CN Halton, CN Bradford, Hwy 407/ Bathurst St., Bayview Ave., CN Bala, Hwy 404 and CP Havelock to avoid widening of structures. Lane reduction is used at Hwy 400 to minimize the widening of the structure. The widening of the rest of the structures is considered	Reduction in transit and general traffic operation speed. Some delays likely during construction period.	None		Monitor traffic operation to confirm whether dedicated transit lanes are required in the future.[2]	York Region	outlining the temporary traffic conditions have been developed for the work-to-date to mitigate impacts to highway traffic.	[A] H2VMC- Lane Closure Permits to Date 2013-11-19 (KED ID# 2013- 006)	Yes	(2013)	2013 ACR: [A][1] Numbering added for clarity. Evidence KED ID# 2013-006_provided was found to support assertion of traffic accommodation plans.

			Н	ighwa	ay 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10).4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		Projec Phase		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	easures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agencv	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
ğ	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif	on	Respon Person / a	commitment has been	Document Reference	Reviewed i	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prot	tect and enhance the s	ocial	envir	onme	ent in the corridor							-			~~		
								unavoidable.										
(bk)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd	Access to minor side streets and properties along the Highway 7 Corridor transit routes		V	V	Entire Corridor	Median transitway will eliminate random left turns into minor side streets and properties thereby requiring an alternative access route	In many cases, alternative access can be obtained to a site via another site access or an adjacent roadway with signalized access to Highway 7. The travel patterns for the major traffic generators will be changed. U-turns provided at major intersections for safe manoeuvres into side streets and to properties. Random permissive eft turns eliminated thus increasing safety. Develop traffic management plans for construction [1].	Conflict with U- turns and Right may decrease safety.	None necessary	Moderately significant	Monitor traffic and prohibit Right Turns On Red movements from the side street at these locations if necessary [2]	Regio	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] nStatus – future for H2 [B] [A]. [B] [1] Traffic management measures to be developed in the Detail Design phase. [A]. [B] [1] Consideration will be given in Detail Design to prohibiting side street Right Turn on Red to mitigate potential conflict with mainline U-Turn vehicles. Mainline U-Turn traffic will have a separate signal phase to facilitate movement [A] [1] The permanent signalized intersection drawings facilitate the movement of transit, pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles. Vehicles will be permitted to U-turn at the signalized intersections.	t		[A] [1] E (2013)	F 2013 ACR: The evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed.
B2 cont'd (bl)		U-turn movements and the corresponding side `street right-turn-on- red (RTOR) movements				Hwy 7/ Town Centre Blvd.;	The permitted U-turn movements at these locations may cause conflicts with RTOR movements.	Follow-up monitoring should be undertaken to review the interaction between the U-turn movement and any opposing cross-street RTOR movement. A RTOR prohibition may need to be enacted to reduce conflicts at these intersections.	None Expected	None Expected		Further monitoring should be undertaken to ensure the conflicts been reduced.	Regio	Status – not applicable to H2- n <u>VMC</u> Status - future for H2 (Helen Street) Will be addressed through post- construction monitoring		No		2011 ACR: Bolding and underline removed as item is not for review. 2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.

	-		Highway 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring			Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Project Phase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	Results	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C O	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	social environme	ent in the corridor							-			æ		
				Hwy 7/ Laidlaw Blvd./ Conservation; Hwy 7/ Wooten Way; Hwy 7/ Ninth Line												
(bm)	Maintain or improve road traffic and pedestrian circulation (cont'd)	Potential for Traffic Infiltration		Beverley Glen Blvd; South Park Dr./ Commerce Valley Dr. E & W; Kennedy Rd. from Avoca Dr. to Swansea Rd.	In many neighbourhoods, traffic infiltration has already been occurring to circumvent Highway 7. With future constraints placed on Highway 7, it may prove more beneficial for traffic to utilize these local roadways.	through these	Infiltration may still require mitigation	Measures to reduce traffic infiltration could be implemented.	Insignificant	None	Region	Status – not applicable to H2- <u>VMC</u> <u>Status - future for H2 (Helen</u> <u>Street</u>) Consideration will be given in Detail Design to "before" traffic volume observations on affected roadways.		No		2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.
B2 cont'd (bn)		Pedestrian Crossings		Gate; Hwy 427; Jane St./ Hwy 7;	Due to the width of the main street at intersection, pedestrians may not be able to cross the intersection in one signal phase based on the standard pedestrian crossing times of 7 seconds.	Transitway median facilities generally provide a pedestrian refuge at mid- crossing.	These intersections may require two-stage crossing in the future to accommodate heavy main street traffic.	The decision to implement these special provisions should be deferred until post-operation conditions are monitored and the need is identified		Monitoring is required to determine if the implementation of two-stage is a necessity.	Region	Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] [A] [B] Median station provides the opportunity for pedestrian two- stage crossings. To be further reviewed in Detail Design. [A] Two stage pedestrian crossings are provided for H2- VMC (which includes Jane, Creditstone, Keele). The operation is described in the traffic analysis report. The specific signalized intersections signal timings will be developed at a later date.	[A] Transit Priority Measures Design Report - VISSUM Analysis, September 26,	Yes	(2013)	2012 ACR:. Evidence (ID#0245) Drawings 106, 110 and 120 supports the assertion of two stage crossings.

			Hi	ghwa	y 7 Co	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10.	4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring	_		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prot	tect and enhance the s	ocial e	nviro				-	•							æ		
					} /	Blvd./ Hwy 7; Kennedy Rd./ Avoca Dr. Kennedy Rd./ Hwy 7; McCowan Rd.									DWG-E-SGL- 060802 (ID#0245) Drawings 106, 110, 120			
B3	Maintain a high level of public safety and security in corridor	Access for emergency vehicles		~	✓ + 0 4	Highway 7, Jane Street, Town Centre Boulevard, Kennedy Road, future Burr Oak	Incorporation of median and construction will have adverse effects on Emergency Response Services (ERS) access and time	Provided U-Turns at intersections. Meet with emergency representatives. Median breaks to be provided to allow access to Emergency Response Vehicles only.	Some risk may remain as access type will change after implementation of mitigation	Address during detail design in conjunction with ERS	Insignificant		Regior	segment will be discussed with EMS during Detail Design. Meetings held with EMS to discuss mitigating access restrictions along corridor		Yes	[A] ENF (2013)	2013 ACR: evidence listed KED ID# 2013-007 was not found in the documents provided.
B4 (a)	Minimize adverse noise and vibration effects	Noise effect for BRT and LRT due to widening of Highway 7 Corridor			F	proximity of residential uses	Combined effect of median transitway operation and general traffic on the widened Highway 7 Corridor roadways may result in increased noise levels for residents.	Modeling of future traffic activities indicated that expected noise increases in all, but one road segment, will not exceed the 5dB threshold at which mitigation measures are required. BRT and LRT sound level increases are expected to be marginal to none.	Transitway noise above likely background levels in Civic Mall at future Markham Centre location.	Depending on lower floor building uses, may require noise screening along transitway and/or noise control features in residential design along Civic Mall segment in Markham Centre area.			Regior	Status – future Will be addressed through post- construction monitoring		No		

			Hi	ighwa	ay 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial	envir	onme	nt in the corridor							-			8		
								However, at the future Markham Centre location, the BRT and LRT are predicted to exceed the background noise evels by as much as 8 dBA.										
(b)		Vibration effect for BRT and LRT due to widening of Highway 7 Corridor				proximity of residential uses	Combined effect of median transitway operation and general traffic on the widened Highway 7 Corridor roadways may result in increased vibration levels for residents.	Modeling of future traffic activities indicated that expected vibration increases will not exceed the protocol	None expected	None necessary	Negligible	Undertake confirmation monitoring to verify compliance once the transitway is fully operational.	York Regior	Status – future Will be addressed through post- construction monitoring		No		
B5 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on cultural resources	Displacement of Built Heritage Features (BHF)	~	✓	~	United Church (Markham)	Widened roadway could displace some of the cemetery's graves, unless alignment is modified.	Alignment is shifted up to 5.5 m to the	Displacement of cemetery property is completely avoided.	None required	Negligible	None required.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(b)		Displacement of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU)	~	~	~	None Expected	None Expected	None required	None expected	None necessary	Positive	None required	York Regior	Status - No action required		No		
(c)		Disruption of Built Heritage Features (BHF)		~		CLU);	atmospheric environment around the cultural	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape	None expected	None necessary	Insignifican	None required	York Regior	Status - No action required.		No		
(d)							The potential introduction of rapid transit operation		None expected	None necessary	Insignifican	tNone required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

Summary Listing of EA Compliance Documentation

			Hi	ghway 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	Iblic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10	.4-2	_			Compliance Monitoring			Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	esults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	nvironn											œ		
					5429 Hwy 7 (#10 BHF); 6881 Hwy 7 (#12	may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.										
(e)				~	United Church (Markham)	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
B5 conťď (f)	adverse effects	Disruption of Built Heritage Features (BHF) (cont'd)		✓	(Markham) - 5110 Hwy 7 in shopping plaza (Markham) (#9 BHF)	around the cultural heritage features.	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary		None required	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(g)				✓ 	Markham HCD now Tim Hortons (#11 BHF)	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	transit-way will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary		None required	Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(h)				~	Reesor Cairn	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	transit-way will be integrated with existing streetscape	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

Summary Listing of EA Compliance Documentation

	-	-	Hię	ghway 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix ghan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	nents EA – Table 10).4-2				Compliance Monitoring	-		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject nase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
3	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	c o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Responsible Person / ageno	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	nvironm	nent in the corridor							-			æ		
(i)		Disruption of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU)		~	Farm complex in Vaughan: 6701 Hwy 7 (#1 CLU)	There is potential encroachment through widening to the CLU.	None required – transit-way will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	tNone required	York Regior	Status - No action required		No		
(j)				~	Residences in Vaughan: 4976, 4908, 4902 & 4855 Hwy 7 (#2 CLU)	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural heritage features in the Cultural Landscape – former centre of settlement. (Brownsville)	None required – transit-way will be integrated with existing streetscape	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Regior	Status - No action required		No		
(k)				~	& 1929 Hwy 7 (#3 – #6 BHF) Southeast of Hwy	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment around the cultural heritage features.	None required – transit-way will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Regior	Status - No action required		No		
B5 conťď (I)		Disruption of Cultural Landscape Units (CLU) (cont'd)		~	Farm complex in Vaughan: Stong Farm in York U. – 3105 Steeles Avenue (#6 CLU)	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	documentation of site context prior to construction.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	Regior	Status – <u>does not apply to H2</u> <u>segment</u> Part of Spadina Subway Extension Project		No		2013 ACR: it is noted that item does not apply to H2.
(m)				✓ 	Farm complex in Markham: 7996 Helen Avenue (#6 CLU)	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape	documentation of site context prior to construction.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Hi	ghwa	y 7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	blic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10	.4-2	_			Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)
	nvironmen al Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	ssults
09 ⁴ C	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results
OBJECTIVE	E B: To protec	ct and enhance the se	ocial e	nviro	onmei	nt in the corridor							_ <u> </u>			R	—
							feature										
(n)				~		United Church Cemetery (Markham) (#8	may cause changes in	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(0)				~		Heritage Conser- vation District	The potential introduction of rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(p)				~		Elmwood Cemetery (Markham)		operate in mixed traffic to avoid widening adjacent to	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(q) on cu	erse effects L cultural (0 ources	visruption of Cultural andscape Units CLU) (cont'd)		~		St. Andrews Cemetery (Markham)	of rapid transit operation may cause changes in	Transitway will operate in mixed traffic to avoid widening adjacent to the cemetery.	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(r)				•		Markham: 6937 Hwy 7 (#12 CLU) 7323 Hwy. 7 (Likely demolished)(#13 CLU)	may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	transitway will be integrated with existing streetscape and road traffic operations.	None expected	None necessary		None required	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
(s)				~		CLU) Locust Hill –	The potential introduction	Transitway	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York	Status – Does not apply to H2		No	

	-	Highway 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix ghan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring	-		Compl	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Project Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns P C O		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the social environm	ent in the corridor												
			historical centre o settlement (#15 CLU)	fof rapid transit operation may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment to the cultural landscape feature	development will not extend eastward beyond Reesor Road. Any rapid transit through Locust Hill to Pickering will operate in mixed traffic.					Regior	segment				
(t)			At grade historic railway corridor: CP Havelock rail line (#16 CLU)	may cause changes in visual, audible and atmospheric environment	Transitway development will not extend eastward peyond Reesor	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(u)			Roadscape: Reesor Road landscape north side. (#14 CLU)	to the cultural landscape feature	•	None expected	None necessary			Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
B5 conťd (v)	on cultural	Possible impacts to areas with potential for identification of archaeological sites	Entire Corridor	There is potential for identification of archaeological sites withir the project impact area.	Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment has been conducted. [1] Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment will be performed in detailed design: field survey in accordance with Ministry of Culture Stage 1-3 Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines	activities, the office	Needs for further mitigation, possibly including Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment (test excavation) and Stage 4 Archaeological Assessment (further mitigative work, including mitigative excavation), must be determined following Stage 2 Archaeological	for stage 1 Archaeologi cal Assessmen	No requirement for monitoring has been identified as a result of Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment. Monitoring may be required, depending on the result of Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment.	Regior	Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) has completed a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment and indicated on August 23, 2011 that there is no further archaeological concern related to affected	Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February		[1,2]	2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8294) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 2013 ACR: Numbering added for clarity. The evidence provided (ID#9429) was found to suppon the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

			Highwa	ay 7 Cor	rridor and Vaugł	Appendix han North-South Link F Effects and Mitigatic	Public Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Projec Phase		Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	esults	
00	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Responsible Person / ageno	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial envir	onment	in the corridor							-			œ		
							 to identify any sites that may be present within the proposed impact area. [2] If areas of further archaeological concern are identified during Stage 2 assessment, such areas must be avoided until any additional work required by the Ministry of Culture has been completed. Mitigation options, including avoidance, protection, or salvage excavation must be determined on a site- by-site basis. If no potentially significant archaeological sites are identified during Stage 2, it will be recommended to the Ministry of Culture that the areas assessed be considered free of further archaeological concern. 	and Operations Group, Ministry of Culture should be notified immediately. In the event that human remains are encountered during construction, both the Ministry of Culture and the Registrar or Deputy Registrar of the Cemeteries Regulation Unit, Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations should be notified immediately.	resources are identified during survey.				The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Report was completed in February 2012 and is awaiting MTCS concurrence. [2] It concluded that all lands within the study area can be considered clear of further archaeological concern [and no further archaeological assessment is required. In the event that archaeological remains are found during subsequent construction activities, the consultant archaeologist, approval authority, and the Cultural Programs Unit of the Ministry of Tourism and Culture should be immediately notified. [1,2] MTCS provided a letter of concurrence on the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment on January 4, 2013	2012(ID#8294) [[1] Letter from Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Sport, January 4, 2013, Re: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeologi cal Reports: Archaeologi cal Reports: Archaeologi Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection, Road Public Transit Improveme nts, Former			

			Hi	ghwa	y 7 C	corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improvem	ents EA – Table 10.4	-2				Compliance Monitoring	J		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		roject hase ¹		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	isible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	2000.001	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Respon: Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial e	enviro	onme	nt in the corridor					-					R		
															Townships of York, Vaughan, and Markham, York County, Regional Municipality of York, Ontario" (ID#9429)			
B6 (a)	Minimize disruption of community vistas and adverse effects on street and neighbourhood aesthetics	Visual Effects	*		 	Entire Corridor	Introduction of transit may reduce visual aesthetics of road	Introduction of a comprehensive landscaping and streetscaping plan for the corridor.	Narrow sections of ROW where property cannot be acquired may limit incorporation of streetscaping			Monitor redevelopment and acquire property through redevelopment applications	Regior	 [A] [B] The H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) incorporates streetscaping recommendations under Streetscape Design Guidelines (Section 3.8), General Guidelines (Section 3.9), etc. [A] [B] Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to ID#8035. [A] Streetscape and landscape drawings are complete. 	Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) IAI [B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to	Yes	EF (2012) [A] EF (2013)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was met. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID#0187) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

			Hi	ighwa	ay 7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigation	Iblic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring			Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		rojec hase		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Mea	sures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible gency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
9	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	С	0	Loouton	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Responsible ^D erson / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the s	ocial	enviro	onme	nt in the corridor										2		
															Segment H3 – Yonge St to Kennedy Rd*, Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report, Update to Dec 2009 Final Version, Final Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035) Kal Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG- R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187)			
B6 cont'd (b)		Visual Effects			~	interchange	transitway through the interchange by adopting an elevated solution, could have an adverse effect on vistas in the area.	Initially, the option of engthening the span of the existing interchange bridges will be analyzed and only if found impractical under traffic operations, will an elevated solution be developed. This design can be made visually acceptable given the surrounding highway interchange environment and the remoteness of	The overall height of the interchange works would be increased to that of the neighbouring Highway 407 interchange.	None	if span lengthening is adopted. Moderately significant if elevated design is required.	Monitor the level of traffic congestion affecting the reliability of the preferred mixed traffic operation to assess the effectiveness of the planned new Hwy 404 road overpass north of the interchange.	Regior	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			Н	ighwa	ay 7 C	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	Iblic Transit Improvem	ients EA – Table 10	.4-2				Compliance Monitorin	9		Comp	bliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		Projec Phase		Location	Potential Environmental		osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	isible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
ğ	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	С	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Respon Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	ect and enhance the s	ocial	envir	onme	ent in the corridor												
								adjacent land uses from which vistas may be degraded.										
(c)		Landscaping				Entire Corridor	Landscaping species may not survive in winter months	 Choose appropriate species for both winter and other months to maintain greenery throughout corridor. Place landscaping in planters and incorporate buried irrigation systems. 	Species may still not survive	[3] Change species, irrigation patterns, etc	Insignifican	[4] Monitor health o landscaping continuously		Status – ongoing for H2-VMC [A Status – ongoing for H2 [B] The H2 Conceptual Design Basis of Criteria Report (DBCR) addresses sustainability of landscape feature and a greater degree of greening - e.g. Section 3.14 of the DBCR. [1,2] Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to ID#8035. (A] [1] All landscape species in the corridor are hardy for this climate. All species specified ar salt and drought tolerant to survive harsh urban conditions found in the corridor. Species are known top performers as per York region design standards. (A] [2] Planters and irrigation are shown in the Streetscape Planting Plan as per YR standard specification (i.e., gator bags for irrigation) which are no buried) (A] [B], [3,4] Will be addressed through post-construction monitoring	Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria r Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) Highway 7 Rapidway, rSegment H3 –	Yes	(2012) [A][1] E (2013) [A][2] EFC	 2011 ACR: This item was not eviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. 2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. The evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertions [1,2] on how the condition was addressed. Item emains ongoing through detailed design and operations. 2013 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 0486) was found to support the assertions [1 and 2] on how the condition was addressed. However, irrigation [2] was not puried.

			Hi	ighwa	y 7 Corridor and Vau	Appendix ghan North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	nents EA – Table 10	.4-2		-		Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		Projec Phase		Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	on	Respons Person / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE B: To prot	tect and enhance the s	ocial	enviro	onment in the corrido	r	•		-	-	-				æ		
														Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035) H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC-DWG- R-LND-060902 (ID#0486)			
B6 conťd (d)		Encroachment on sites of existing buildings		~	✓ Immediately wes of Leisure Lane, south side	Modification of alignment is required to avoid the south building	Alignment shifted up to 2.3 m to the north	South building setback restored; internal parking required rearranging.	None	Insignifican	tNone Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment	10#04007	No		
(e)	Minimize disruption of community vistas and adverse effects on street and neighbourhood aesthetics (cont'd)	Encroachment on sites of existing retaining walls			Ave. and Bruce	Relocation of existing retaining walls holding up residential properties would be required with the existing alignment.	Alignment shifted up to 2.8 m to the south	North retaining walls remain intact.	None	Negligible	None Required	Region	Status –completed Alignment has been finalized. Refer to Section 2.3.5 Horizontal Alignment of the DBCR.	Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Conceptual Design Roll Plan Drawings R1 and R2(ID#8009)	No	NSE 2010 EFC 2010	Section 2.3.5 of the DBCR describes collective horizontal alignment adjustments but does not explicitly reference a 2.8m alignment shift. Through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was noted that the reference to Section 2.3.5 should be to the drawings – this table should be updated to include the drawing number and version. Evidence found of compliance in Concept Drawing dated 25- Aug-09. 2012 ACR: through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was clarified that prior to 100% design the expectation is there will be no change. This item is therefore completed and any changes

			н	ighwa	ay 7 C	orridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Po Effects and Mitigation	ublic Transit Improven	nents EA – Table 10.4	1-2				Compliance Monitoring	I		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value / Criterion	Environmental Issues / Concerns	P	Projec Phase C	0	Location	Potential Environmental Effects	Prop Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	osed Mitigation Mea Potential Residual Effects	sures Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati on	Responsible Person / agency	Status of Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
B6 cont'd (f)		ect and enhance the s Encroachment on sites of existing property			~	In the proximity of Whitmore/ Ansley Grove Roads	Additional road width required accommodate station platforms would result in property encroachment solely on the south side.	Alignment shifted up to 3.8 m to the north	Property impact on both sides becomes similar.	None	Insignificant	None Required	Regior	Alignment has optimized to minimize property impacts. Refer to Section 2.3.5 Horizontal alignment of the DBCR.	Draft Conceptua Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476 See VFG-H2- Hwy7-R1 & R2 for examples		NSE 2010 EFC 2010	 will be listed in Item 67 for minor modification. Section 2.3.5 of the DBCR describes collective horizontal alignment adjustments but does not explicitly reference a 3.8m alignment shift. Through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was noted that the reference to Section 2.3.5 should be to the drawings – this table should be updated to include the drawing number and version. Evidence found of compliance in Concept Drawing dated 25- Aug-09. 2012 ACR: through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was clarified that prior to 100% design the expectation is there will be no change. This item is therefore completed and any changes will be listed in Item 67 for minor modification.

			Hiç	Jhway	7 Corridor and Vau	Appendix Ighan North-South Link P Effects and Mitigatio	ublic Transit Improver	nents EA – Table 10	4-2				Compliance Monitorin	g		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental		oject nase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		oosed Mitigation Me	asures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	sible igency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Criterion	Issues / Concerns			D	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	on	Responsible Person / agency	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
(g)		ect and enhance the s Encroachment on sites of existing buildings		viron ✓	Ment in the corrido	Additional road width required accommodate station platforms would result in removal of NW building. Modification of alignment is required.	Alignment shifted up to 4.7 m to the south	Encroachment to the NW building is avoided.	None	Negligible	None Required	Regior	Status –completed Alignment has optimized to minimize property impacts. Refer to Section 2.3.5 Horizontal alignment of the DBCR.	Draft Conceptua Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Conceptual Design Roll Plan Drawings R1 and R2(ID#8009)		NSE 2010 EFC 2010	Section 2.3.5 of the DBCR describes collective horizontal alignment adjustments but does not explicitly reference a 4.7m alignment shift. Through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was noted that the reference to Section 2.3.5 should be to the drawings – this table should be updated to include the drawing number and version. Evidence found of compliance in Concept Drawing dated 25- Aug-09. 2012 ACR: through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was clarified that prior to 100% design the expectation is there will be no change. This item is therefore completed and any changes will be listed in Item 67 for minor modification.
B6 cont'd (h)		Encroachment on sites of existing property		✓ ·	 Northwest of Town Centre Boulevard & Hwy 7 	The NW is being developed and the future / buildings will be constructed very close to the existing north ROW such that property negotiation is not feasible Modification of alignment is required.	Agreement has been made with the developer that they will grade YRTP's proposed sidewalk at	Property impact on the north side is avoided.	None	Insignifican	None Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 nsegment		No		
(i)		Encroachment on sites of existing building		v	 Southwest of Clegg Rd. & Tow Centre Boulevard 	Encroachment to the mexisting SW building d would be required.	Alignment shifted up to 4.1 m to the east.	Encroachment to the SW building is avoided.	None	Negligible	None Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

			High	way 7	Corridor and Vaug	Appendix han North-South Link Pu Effects and Mitigatior	ublic Transit Improvem	nents EA – Table 10.	4-2				Compliance Monitoring	I		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmen tal Value /	Environmental	Pro Pha		- Location	Potential Environmental	Prop	osed Mitigation Mea	sures	el of cance tigation	Monitoring and Recommendati	nsible agency	Status of Description of how	Compliance	n 2013	Results	
09	Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р (; o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significanc after Mitigati	on	Respons erson / a	commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Re	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE B: To prote	ect and enhance the so	ocial en	/ironm	ent in the corridor										Ŗ		
(j)		Encroachment on sites of existing property	,		Dr. and McCowan	North property would be subjected to greater property impact than the south.	Alignment shifted up to 1.2 m to the south.	Property impact on the north side is minimized.	None	Moderately significant	None Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(k)		Encroachment on sites of existing property	,	✓		Encroachment to existing fenced residential property would be required.	Alignment shifted up to 3.5 m to the south and retaining walls along the limit of north ROW are introduced.	Property impact on the north side is avoided.	None	Insignificant	None Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(I)		Encroachment on sites of existing buildings	•		Galsworth Dr./ Grandview Blvd., south side	Encroachment on sites of existing buildings would be required.	to 1.5 m to the north.	Encroachment of new boulevard on sites of existing buildings is minimized.	None	Moderately significant	None Required		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

		Highwa	y 7 Cor	rridor a	nd Va		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Tra s and Mitigation for Mol	nsit Improvements EA - bility	- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proje	ect Pha	ISe ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	ires	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
9	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
C1 (a)	CTIVE C: To protect Minimize adverse effects on Aquatic Ecosystems	and enhance the natural envir Fuel spills, due to accidents during construction refuelling and accidents during operation, entering the watercourses	ronmer		e corr	Entire Corridor	spills resulting in short term population decline.	I No refuelling within 10 r of a watercourse. Emergency Response Plan.	population decline. Some contaminants within storm- water system.	None practical		None required	York Region	during Detail Design.	<u>[A] H2VMC-</u> ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
(b)		Sediment laden stormwater entering watercourses during construction		~		Entire Corridor	Fish kills and loss of aquatic habitat resulting short term population decline.	Construction fencing at inwork areas near watercourses limiting area of disturbance. Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan.	Short term population decline.	None practical	Insignificant	None required	York Region	VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 B] [A], [B] A Draft Drainage Study was completed for the conceptual design phase on August 3, 2010. [A] [B] SWMP to be finalized in the Detail Design phase. [A] [B] An Environmental Protection Plan will be prepared during Detail Design. [A] Environmental Protection and	[A] [B] Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.73) – August 3, 2010 (ID# 6279) [A] [A] vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) [A] H2VMC- ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001) Discount	Yes	EFC 2010 [A] EF (2013)	Evidence found for completion of the drainage study. 2012 ACR: Drainage study was updated from draft to final report. No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	iy 7 Co	rridor a		Appendix 1 rth-South Link Public Tra cts and Mitigation for Mok		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ect Pha	lse ¹	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
60	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect	and enhance the natural envi	ronme	nt in the	e corridor		1	1		r	1	-			~~		
													erosion and sediment control.				
(c)		Sediment laden stormwater entering watercourses during operation			 Entire Corridor 	Loss of aquatic habitat resulting in population decline.	Stormwater management facilities such as grassed swales oil and grit separators, stormwater ponds. Detailed Storm Water Management Plan will be prepared during the detailed design stage. 11	Short term population decline.	Clean-out facilities as required.	Insignificant	Monitor sediment accumulation in stormwater management facilities .[2]	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 B] [A] [B] A Draft Drainage Study was completed for the conceptual design phase on August 3, 2010. [A] [B] SWMP to be finalized in the Detail Design phase. [A] [B] An Environmental Protection Plan will be prepared during Detail Design Al [B] [2] Post construction monitoring / maintenance plan to be implemented.	Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) – August 3, 2010 (ID# 6279) IAI vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459)	No	EFC 2010	Evidence found for completion of the drainage study.[1] 2012 ACR: Drainage study was updated from draft to final report. [1] No review was undertaken.
C1 cont'd (d)		Loss of site-specific habitat.		~	All watercour ses within entire corridor.	Potential loss of fish habitat as a result of new culverts/bridges, culvert/bridge extensions and/or culvert/bridge replacements or repairs.	modifications at	alteration of fish habitat will likely result from culvert modifications at	with regulatory agencies during detail design. Compensate for the harmful alteration of	Insignificani	On-site environmental inspection during in- water work. [2] Post-construction monitoring of fish habitat compensation measures. [3]	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] [A] [B] Table 7 of Appendix D of the EA identifies locations of potential HADE (Harmful Alteration, Disruption or Destruction or fish habitat) within the H2 segment. [1] [A] [B] H2 conceptual	Meeting: Meeting TRCA – Review of Vivanext phase H2 – Hwy 7, Centre Street, Bathurst Street - March 17,	Yes	EFC 2010	The Meeting minutes dated June 24, 2010

		Highwa	y 7 Corridor a	nd Vau	ughan North Effects	Appendix 1 n-South Link Public Tra s and Mitigation for Mot	nsit Improvements EA – vility	- Table 10.4-3		-			Compliance Monitoring]		Complia	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project Pha	ISe ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns nd enhance the natural envi	P C	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
							Follow in-water construction timing restriction. Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass system.						TRCA commenced regarding proposed works on March 17, 2010. [1] [A] [B] At a meeting on June 24, 2010, TRCA staff indicated that based on the information provided, the effects of the proposed works in these segments could be mitigated and that			[A] [2] EF (2013)	YC satisfy this commitment. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing during construction phase. Inspection reports should be provided for any
													consequently, a Letter of Advice would be acceptable as a HADD would not result at any crossing. [1] [A] [B] To be resolved in the detail design phase / discussed with TRCA, as required. [1]				in-water work once in-water work has started.
													Management Plan addresses inspection during construction [2]	[A] [2] H2VMC- ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)			

	-	Highwa	y 7 Corrido	or and V	/aughan Nor Effec	Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mo	ansit Improvements EA - bility	- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project I	Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	ind enhance the natural envi	ronment in	the cor	ridor												
(e)	Minimize adverse effects on Aquatic Ecosystems (cont'd)	Fish mortality			All watercour ses within entire corridor.	Fish may be injured or killed by dewatering.	 Design transitway cross sections to avoid modifications at culverts/bridges. Avoid in-water work to the extent possible. [1] Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass system. [2] Capture fish trapped during dewatering of the work zone and safely release upstream. [3] Prohibit the entry of heavy equipment into the watercourse. 		None	Negligible	[4] On-site environmental inspection during in- water work.	York Region	addressed via TRCA permi and shown in drawings	ENV-EMP-R02-	Yes	ENF (2013) [A] [2,4] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: [2,4] evidence ID2013-001) was found to support assertations of fish release and inspection. [3] Evidence was not found to support assertion that heavy equipment prohibition.
C1 conťď (f)		Barriers to fish movement.	~	·	All watercour ses within entire corridor.	Culvert/bridge extensior repair or replacement may create a barrier to fish movement.	culverts or countersink	Culvert extensions will be designed to avoid the creation of a barrier to fish movement.	Negotiations with regulatory agencies during detail design. [1]	Negligible	On-site environmental inspection during in- water work. [2]	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 B] [A] [B] H2 conceptual design consultation with TRCA has commenced regarding proposed works	Minutes of Meeting: Meeting TRCA – Review of Vivanext phase H2 – Hwy 7, Centre Street, Bathurst Street - March 17, 2010 (ID# 6562)	Yes	EFC 2010	Document reviewed: 6386

		Highw	ay 7 Corr	ridor a	ind Va		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Trar s and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Projec	ct Pha	ISe ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	tible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural env	ironment	t in the	e corri	idor				-						a a		
								conditions.						 [A] [B] At a meeting on June 24, 2010, TRCA staff indicated that based on the information provided, the effects of the proposed works in these segments could be mitigated and that consequently, a Letter of Advice would be acceptable as a HADD would not result at any crossing. [1] [A] [B] To be resolved in the Detail Design phase / discussed with TRCA, as required. [1] [A] 2013 - Permits applications are being prepared for agency approval. [1] [A] Environmental 	with York Consortium – June 24, 2010 (ID# 6386) [A] [2] H2VMC-		[A] [2] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion [2] on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing during construction phase. Inspection reports should be provided for any in-water work once in-water work has started.
C1		Baseflow alterations		×	~	All	New impervious surfaces	Peduce the area of	None expected.	None	Negligible	Post-construction	Vork Region	Management Plan addresses inspection during construction [2] Status –ongoing for H2-	ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001) [A] [B]	Yes	EFC	Document reviewed: 6279
conťd (g)				•		watercour ses within	the frequency, magnitude and duration of flows.	impervious surfaces to		indite	radiiđinie	inspection of stormwater management facilities to evaluate their effectiveness. On-going maintenance as required.		VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B]	[2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476		2010	2012 ACR: Drainage study (ID 6279) was updated from draft to final report (ID 8459). The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). No review was undertaken.

		Highw	ay 7 Co	orridor	and Va		Appendix 1 -South Link Public Tra and Mitigation for Mol	nsit Improvements EA - pility	Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	3		Complia	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Ph	nase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed M	itigation Measu	res	el of cance tigation	Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	nd enhance the natural env	ironme	nt in tl	he corr	idor							ш			R		
														reducing quantity. The median island also includes softscape wherever possible to achieve same. [A] [B] Current design requirements within the draft drainage design repor include oil/grit separators to treat the runoff from impervious areas ensuring a net improvement in runoff quality for all release points. [A] [B] A Draft Drainage Study was completed for the conceptual design phase on August 3, 2010 and a further Draft Drainage Study was completed for preliminary engineering of the Vaughar Metropolitan Centre (VMC) segment dated August 8, 2011. [A] Drainage holes in planting boxes and ecopavers provide for infiltration of water from boulevards (ref Dwg 220	Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) – August 3, 2010 (ID# 6279) IAI [B] [2011] Draft H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report, August 8, 2011 (ID#7720) IAI [B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) IAI vivaNext H2 Vaughan		(2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	ay 7 Corri	dor and V		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Tra s and Mitigation for Mo	ansit Improvements EA - bility	- Table 10.4-3				Compliance Monitorin	g		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project	Phase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measu	Further Mitigation	S Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	c o	 Location 	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	nd enhance the natural env	ironment i	n the co	rridor						Ц			8		
												strip and medians are paved in a permeable paver (Eco-Priora) which encourages water infiltration and recharge of ground water. [A] TRCA provided a letter noting their approval in principle of the stormwater management plan.	Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) Al H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC- DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187)			
													A] H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC-DWG- R-LND-060902 (ID#0486) [A] Streetscape			
													Paving Plan H2VMC-DWG- R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Letter from TRCA, September 4, 2013 (ID#0488)			
	Minimize adverse effects on Aquatic Ecosystems (cont'd)	Increased temperature		× ×	All watercour ses within entire corridor	Clearing of riparian vegetation and stormwater managemen practices can impact temperature regimes.	stream bank alteration t to the extent possible. [1] Use stormwater management practices	Shading provided by culvert/bridge offsets shading lost through removal of riparian vegetation.	Restore Negligibl riparian areas disturbed during construction with native vegetation. [3]	 Post-construction inspection of stormwater management facilities to evaluate their effectiveness. [4] On-going maintenance as required. [5] 		Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> <u>VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – ongoing for H2</u> [B] [A] [B] An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design.	Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) – August 3, 2010	Yes	[A] [2] EF (2013)	2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. Drainage study (ID 6279) was updated from draft to final report (ID 8459). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR:[2] Evidnce was found that stormwated management practices are

		Highw	ay 7 Co	orridor	r and V	aughan North Effects	Appendix 1 -South Link Public Tra and Mitigation for Mot	nsit Improvements EA - ility	Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Pl	hase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measu	ires	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	nd enhance the natural env	ironme	nt in t	the cor	ridor										8		
												Post-construction inspection of riparian plantings to confirm survival. [6]		phase on August 3, 2010 and a further Draft Drainage Study was completed August 8, 2011for preliminary engineering of the Vaughar Metropolitan Centre segment. [A] [B] The SWMP will be finalized in the Detail Design phase. [A] Drainage holes in planting boxes and ecopavers provide for infiltration of water from boulevards (ref Dwg 220 and 223). Planting plan shows areas which are pervious. The continuity strip and medians are paver (Eco-Priora) which encourages water infiltration and recharge of ground water. [A] TRCA provided a letter noting their approval in principle of the stormwater management plan.	(ID#7720) vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) <u>H2VMC-ENV- EMP-R02-2013- 11-18-SGH</u> (KED ID# 2013- 001) [A] H2VMC			being used that encourage infiltration and recharge of groundwater

		Highwa	ay 7 Co	orridor	and V		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Trar s and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Ph	ase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
60	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	С	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural envi	ronme	nt in th	ne cor	ridor	1									~~~		
															[A] Streetscape Paving Plan H2VMC-DWG- R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Letter from TRCA, September 4, 2013 (ID#0488)			
C1 cont'd (i)		Disturbance to rare, threatened or endangered species		×		watershed s within entire corridor.	stoneroller. Don River watershed known to support redside dace and American brook lamprey. Rouge River watershed known to support redside dace, American brook lamprey, and central stoneroller.	sections to avoid modifications at culverts/bridges. Mixed traffic operation has been introduced at the Humber River, West Don River, East Don River and Little Rouge Creek bridges to avoid		None required.	Negligible	None required.		Status – complete for H2- VMC (Don River) Status – does not apply to H2 An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design. The Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) confirmed on August 15, 2013, that the proposed activities along the H2VMC corridor will not adversely affect species at risk and MNR has no further concerns at this time. An Environmental Management Plan has been developed to	MNR Comments- Information Gathering From Regional Municipality of York, VivaNext H2VMC Section , August 15, 2013. [ID0446] H2VMC-ENV- EMP-R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)		[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	ay 7 Corrie	lor and \		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitorin	9		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project	Phase1	- Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed M	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	tible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	sults	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	c o	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed i	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	ind enhance the natural envi	ronment i	n the co	rridor										R		
							the watercourse.										
C2 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on Terrestrial Ecosystems	Loss of wildlife habitat and ecological functions			Entire corridor.	Construction of the transitway and associated facilities may result in the removal of vegetation and ecological functions it supports.	the extent possible.[1]	None expected.	Restore natural areas disturbed using construction with native vegetation, where feasible.[6] Replace ornamental vegetation as part of landscaping [7].	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design. [A] Arborist Reports indicate that trees on private and ROW are to be preserved whenever possible, and grade changes are to be minimized for significant trees.[1,2] [A] Streetscape Layout and Details and Streetscape Planting Plan show construction with native vegetation, where possible and inclusion on ornamental vegetation.[6]	Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC- DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187) (A] [4] H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC- DWG-R-LND- 060902 (ID#0486) [A] H2VMC Detail Design Final Arborist Report Public ROW Trees,	Yes	EC 2010 [A] [1,2,6] EC 2013	Evidence found for completion of the drainage study. ACR 2013: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support assertions [1], [2] and [6]. This item remains going.

	-	Highwa	y 7 Co	orridor	and Va		Appendix 1 n-South Link Public Tra s and Mitigation for Mot	nsit Improvements EA - vility	- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Ph	ase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed M	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural envir	ronme	nt in th	ne corr	idor							<u>ц</u>			R		
															Report Private Trees East of Keele St., August 20, 2013 (ID#0177)			
(b)		Wildlife mortality		✓		corridor.	Removal of wildlife habita may result in wildlife mortality.	tPerform vegetation removals outside of wildlife breeding seasons (typically April 1 to July 31). Perform culvert/bridge extension, repair and replacement outside of wildlife breeding season	None expected.	None required.	Negligible	None required.		during Detail Design. [A] Environmental Management Plan requires tree removals not be performed within breeding seasons unless	[A] H2VMC- ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001) [A] H2VMC- ENV-RPT-2013-	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
(c)		Barriers to wildlife movement and wildlife/vehicle conflicts		~		corridor	Culvert/bridge extension, repair or replacement may create a barrier to wildlife movement. Increase in width of Highway 7 to accommodate transitway and associated facilities may create an additional impediment to wildlife movement and increase the potential for wildlife/vehicle conflicts. New crossings at Upper Rouge River & Rouge River Tributary 4 may create a barrier to wildlife	riparian corridors and terrestrial wildlife passage under new/ realigned bridges. New or modified culverts and bridges will be investigated during preliminary and detail design to identify opportunities to promote wildlife passage. Methods to enhance wildlife passage such as increasing vertical and horizontal clearances, drift fence, dry benches,	to existing barrier created by Highway 7. Required culvert extensions will not impede wildlife passage under Highway 7.	wildlife passage under transit- way and does not offer opportunities to enhance wildlife	at new/ realigned bridges with appropriate mitigations	None required.	°,	Status – future <u>for H2-VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> Existing culverts/bridges used, maintaining wildlife passage under transitway.		No		2013 ACR: item noted as future work.

		Highwa	ay 7 Co	orridor	and V	aughan Nort Effect	Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Trar s and Mitigation for Mob	nsit Improvements EA - ility	- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	J		Compl	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Ph	lase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural envi	ronme	nt in tl	he cor	ridor										Å		
							movement.	consideration.										
C2 conťd (d)		Wildlife/vehicle conflicts					Increase in width of Highway 7 to accommodate transitway and associated facilities may increase the potential for wildlife/vehicle conflicts.	culverts.	represents an incremental increase in road width compared to existing hazard to wildlife created by Highway 7.	9		None required.		Status- No Action Required		No		
(e)		Disturbance to rare, threatened, or endangered wildlife			✓	corridor.	vagrant, extremely rare breeding occurrence by MNR); northern shrike (non-breeding migrant/vagrant, very rare to uncommon breeding occurrence by MNR); and, milk snake ('special	encountered during construction. Perform vegetation removals outside of wildlife breeding	None expected.	None required.	Negligible	None required.	York Region	activities along the H2VMC corridor will not adversely affect species at risk and MNR has no further concerns at this time and there is no mitigation required.	20 <u>13-11-18-</u> SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)		[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID04460) supports the assertion for [A] that MNR has no concern and no mitigation is needed.

		Highwa	y 7 Co	orridor and		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tran ts and Mitigation for Mob		– Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		Aitigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Ρ	со	Looution	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural envir	onme	nt in the co	rridor	I	I		1			-					
														<u>05-24-Bird Nest</u> Surveys			
	Minimize adverse effects on Terrestrial Ecosystems (cont'd)	Disturbance to vegetation through edge effects, drainage modifications and road salt			Entire corridor.	other drainage modifications may alter local soil moisture regimes. Road salt may result in vegetation mortality and die back.	 Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the extent possible. Minimize the grade changes and cut/fill requirements to the extent possible. Use close cut clearing and trimming to minimize encroachmen on remaining vegetation Delineate work zone using construction fencing/ tree protection barrier. Manage the application of road salt to the extent possible. TRCA guidelines for Forest Edge Management Plans & Post-Construction Restoration will be followed. All valley lands disturbed will require restoration with native herbaceous & woody species. 	communities within the study area are primarily cultural in origin and have been impacted by Highway 7. The transitway represents an incremental encroachment sinto these already disturbed communities.	Landscape treatments.	Insignificani	None required.	York Region	Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> <u>VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design. <u>[A] Arborist Reports</u> indicate that trees on private and ROW are to be preserved whenever possible, and grade changes are to be minimized for significant trees.[1,2] <u>[A] Enviornmental</u> Management Plan addresses construction approach and protection requirments. [3, 4] <u>[A] Monitoring program</u> will be initiated following construction. [5] <u>[A] TRCA permit</u> processes are ongoing <u>[6, 7]</u>	A) [1] [2] H2VMC Detail Design Final Arborist Report Public ROW Trees, March 12, 2013 (ID#0073) [A] [3] [4] H2VMC-ENV- EMP-R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013- 001)		[A] [1,2,34] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: Evidence (ID0073 and ID2013-001) was found to supportthe assertions [1,2,3,4]. These items plus items [5,6 and 7] are ongoing.
C2 conťd (g)		Disturbance to rare, threatened or endangered flora		~	Entire Corridor.	Twenty-two regionally rare or uncommon species are located within the study limits including:	Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the extent possible.	Trees may be removed by the transitway and its associated	None required.	Insignificant	Monitor clearing activities to ensure tha minimum work zones are used to avoid any	York Region t	Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B]		Yes	[A] [1] EFC (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID0446) supports assertion [1] that no ESA concerns and therefore the

		Highwa	y 7 Corri	dor and Va		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Trar ts and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitorin	g		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Projec	t Phase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measures	i	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
90	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	c o	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect an	d enhance the natural envi	ronment	in the corr	ridor							-			~~~		
						leaved Toothwort, Groundnut Hitchcock's Sedge, Michigan Lily, Ninebark, Purple-stemmed Angelica, Red Cedar, Red Pine, Red-sheathed Bulrush, Sandbar Willow Shining Willow, Showy Tick-trefoil, Spike-rush	fencing/ tree protection barrier. Protect trees within the clear zone using guiderail, curbs, etc. to prevent removal.	facilities.			unnecessary tree removal.[2]		An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design.[2] [A] The Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR confirmed on August 15, 2013, that the proposed activities along the H2VMC corridor will not adversely affect species at risk and MNR has no further concerns at this time. Threfore mitigation for impacts to rare, threatened or endangere flora are not required [1],	MNR Comments- Information Gathering From Regional Municipality of York, VivaNext H2VMC Section August 15, 2013. [ID0446]			mitigation is not required. Item [2] is ongoing.
C3 (a)	quality and minimize a	egradation of existing local nd regional air quality when ompared to MOE standards			York Region			improvement in red all pollutants assessed (PM ₁₀ , NO _X , SO ₂ , CO) when comparing 2021 forecasts with and without the proposed Rapid Transit		Positive Effect	None recommended	York Region	Status – Completed An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North-South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The	H3-RPT-Q- ENV-030203- final AQ Report ROI- 2011-04- 29Senses.pdf (ID#7270) MOE Letter of Acceptance, June 17, 2011 (ID#7713)	Yes	EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to suppor the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	ay 7 Corrido	r and V	aughan Nor Effect	Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mol	nsit Improvements EA - pility	- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project P	hase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	-	litigation Measur	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
9	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect	and enhance the natural env	ironment in	the cor	ridor			1	•								
													purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) undertaking. The MOE accepted the ain quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been addressed.				
(b)		Increase in emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GhG)		v	York Region	Fewer GhGs are expected to be emitted	Compared to the status quo (no additional transit) there will be far less GhGs emitted per commuting person	capita emissions		Positive Effect	None recommended	York Region	Status – No Action Required		No		
C3 conťd (c)		Degradation of air quality during construction				Some dust is expected during the construction period.	The law requires that all possible pollutant emission mitigation steps possible be taken during construction activities	Some PM emissions locally.	None required.		Regular inspection of site dust and construction vehicle exhaust emissions during construction in compliance with MOE's standards and municipal by-laws.	York Region	Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] Fugitive Dust commitments addressed in CEMP attached to the EMP	[A] H2VMC- ENV-EMP-R02- 2013-11-18- SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
C4 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on corridor hydro-geological, geological, hydrological and geomorphic conditions	Water quality in shallow groundwater that can affect quality in surface watercourses			ly down gradient of transit alignment, where	Transitways will require de-icing salt and also will accumulate various chemical substances tha can impact water quality of runoff. Impacted runof that infiltrates can increase concentrations i shallow groundwater.	attenuate elevated t parameters in groundwater. f	Potential effects to water quality of surface water courses. Groundwater quality effects are anticipated to be detectable.	application o road salt, where possible. Curbs and gutters to	÷	None required. Water quality effects are anticipated to remain acceptable.	York Region	Status – <u>future for H2- VMC [A]</u> Status – future for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design, during and following construction The H2 Conceptual Design	Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7	No		2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). No review was undertaken.

		Highwa	y 7 Cor	ridor a	and Va		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Tran s and Mitigation for Mobi		– Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	3		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proje	ect Pha	ise ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	•	Mitigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	Results	
9	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Looution	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review R	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	and enhance the natural envir	ronmen	nt in the	e corri	idor										22	_	
						ses are	Potential to affect shallow groundwater that discharges to surface watercourses.			runoff away from permeable soil areas.				Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) includes requirements for curbs and gutters to convey impacted runoff away from permeable soil areas. Existing rural road cross section segments will be converted to urban road cross section with run-off piped to stormwater management areas.				
(b)		Water quality in shallow groundwater that can affect quality in water supply wells				hydraulical ly down gradient of transit alignment, where shallow dug wells in active use are	de-icing salt and also will accumulate various chemical substances that	attenuate elevated		application of road salt, where possible. Curbs and gutters to	Significant	None required. Water quality effects are anticipated to remain acceptable within Ontario Drinking Water Standards. Well inspection will be performed during the detailed design phase to confirm the relationship of the widened roadway to existing active water well will not have an adverse affect on water quality. [2] If it does or domestic well use is confirmed, a contingency plan will be developed. [3]		Status –ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 [B] To be addressed in detail design, [A] [B] [1] The H2 Conceptual Design Basis 8	[2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) [A] [B] [1] Highway 7 Segment H2	Yes	EF (2013)	2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [2,3] or how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	y 7 Corrid	lor and V	/aughan Norti Effect	Appendix 1 n-South Link Public Trans s and Mitigation for Mobil	sit Improvements EA – lity	Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring]		Complia	nce Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project	Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		itigation Measu	ures	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	PC	; o	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect a	ind enhance the natural envi	onment ir	n the cor	ridor						1	-			^e		
														<u>VivaNext</u> <u>H2VMC Well</u> <u>Study,</u> <u>January 30,</u> 2013. (ID#0137) [A] [2] Well <u>Status</u> <u>Corresponde</u> <u>nce (KED ID#</u> 2013-003) [A] [3] H2VMC-ENV-			
C4 conťd		Baseflow in surface water courses	~		Recharge areas	Increase of pavement	N/A	Decreases in recharge can	Construction of pervious		None required. The degree of impact is	York Region	Status –No Action Required	Design Basis &	No		
(c)					within proposed alignment, particularl y in areas of Newmarke t Till and sand textured glacial lake deposits.	pervious area that existed prior to construction, resulting in proportionally decreased recharge to shallow groundwater.		decrease baseflow in surface water course(s). Reduced baseflow in surface watercourses.	surfaces where practical, including grassed areas and permeable pavements.		anticipated to be undetectable.		(DBCR) was developed and Section 2.7Drainage- indicates provisions for use of pervious and semi- pervious surfaces in median works, side islands and platform bases. The surfacing of these median and side islands will be either open-topped planters or porous block surfaces (Eco-uniblock or similar).	Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476)			
(d)	Minimize adverse effects on corridor hydro-geological, geological,	Increased pavement; decreased infiltration		~			management facilities such as grassed swales	Minor increase in peak streamflows.	None practical	Negligible	None required	York Region		[<u>A]</u> [<u>B]</u> Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7	Yes	EFC 2010	Evidence found for completion of the drainage study.

		Highwa	ay 7 Co	orridor	and Va		Appendix 1 I-South Link Public Tran and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proj	ject Ph	nase¹	Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measur	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible Igency	Status of Description of how commitment has	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	С	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signii after M	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
	hydrological and geomorphic conditions (cont'd)	nd enhance the natural env	Ironme			laor			Minor decrease in groundwater.						Draft H2 Vaughan		[A] EF (2013)	2012 ACR: The drainage report was updated from draft (ID 7720) to final report (ID 8459). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		Highwa	iy 7 Cor	rridor a	and Va		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Tran s and Mitigation for Mob		- Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	9		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proje	ect Pha	ase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental	Proposed N	litigation Measur	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE C: To protect	and enhance the natural envi	ronmer	nt in th	e corr	ridor		1	1		_		-			2		
															<u>stormwater</u> <u>management</u> plan (ID#0488)			
C4 conťď (e)		Changes in flood levels from the widening of existing bridges and culverts			~	Creek crossing at Sta	HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing culvert by 10 m.	storm or return period flood levels upstream of the crossing. See		N/A	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(f)					✓	Creek)	HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA was used to assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing bridge by 18 m.	Regional storm flood level upstream of the bridge would increase by up to 50 mm. No	adversely impact upstream water		Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(g)					~	at Sta	assess changes in flood level due to widening the existing bridge by 8 m.	storm flood levels. Return period flood	in return period flood levels. Widening will not adversely impact upstream water		Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
C4 cont'd (h)		Changes in flood levels from the construction of a new bridge.			~	Rouge River crossing at Sta	level due to a proposed bridge with a width of 10 m and a span of 30 m.	level upstream of the bridge would increase by up to 20 mm. The	is over 2 m below the Regional storm flood. No change in		Negligible. The 100 year flood level is contained within the Regional storm flood plain and the increase is not significant.	None required.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		

		Highwa	ay 7 Corridor and		Appendix 1 h-South Link Public Trar s and Mitigation for Mob		– Table 10.4-3					Compliance Monitoring	J		Complia	nce Review (MMM)
AL	Environmental	Environmental	Project Phase ¹		Potential	Proposed I	Nitigation Measu	res	el of cance igation	Monitoring and	ible jency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	sults	
GOAL	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C O	 Location 	Environmental Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigatior	Recommendation	Responsible 'erson / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	eviewed in	łeview Re	Notes
OBJEC	CTIVE C: To protect a	nd enhance the natural envi	ironment in the co	rridor							<u>н</u>			R		
						of the analysis.	regulatory floodline or developable area.									

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

		High	iway 7	Corric	dor and		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mo		– Table 10.4-4					Compliance Monitoring	1		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Pro	ject Pł	hase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental	·	litigation Measu	ires	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of how commitment has	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P	с	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE D1 (a)	Support Regional and Municipal	smart growth and econo Need for pedestrian- friendly streets and walkways for access to stations	pmic d	evelop	pment	in the corridor Entire corridor	Streetscape will create a more pedestrian- friendly atmosphere.	Signalized pedestrian crosswalks will be provided at all station locations and an	Potential for jaywalking in vicinity of stations, which could lead to increased in number of vehicle/pedestri n incidents.	Platform edge treatment will discourage illegal access [4] a		Monitor traffic accidents involving pedestrians to establish whether cause is transit related	York Region	Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A] Status – ongoing for H2 B] The Draft H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) addresses pedestrian safety, for example: Guardrail / Railings Section 3.5), Safety and Security Guidelines Section 3.9.4), Placement of Streetscape Elements Section 3.8), Crosswalks Section 3.9.1, Placement of Streetscape Elements Section 3.9.4), Placement of Streetscape Elements Section 3.9.1, etc. Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to D#8035. A] Station platform glass guards on top of station canopy rear wall, railings station canopy rear wall, station platform height have been provided in the IFC	2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre Via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) Highway 7 Rapidway, Segment H3 – Yonge St to Kennedy Rd*, Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report.	Yes	EF 2010 [A] [2] [4] EF 2013	The draft DBCR addresses pedestrian safety in sections 3.5, 3.9.4, 3.8, 3.18, and 3.20. 2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity and the status was changed to ongoing. The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The final report for the H2 DBCR references the design of H3 DBCR (ID 8035). Although the evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed, the item remains ongoing through detail design, construction and operations. No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: Numbering was added for clarit. Evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support assertion [2] and [4]
														<u>documents issued on</u> 2013-APR-10.	Update to Dec 2009 Final Version, Final Draft, November			

		High	way 7 Co	orridor ar		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tr ts and Mitigation for Mo	ansit Improvements EA	– Table 10.4-4			-		Compliance Monitoring	l		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Projec	t Phase ¹	Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
9	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	c o	Loodion	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econo	mic dev	elopmen	in the corridor		1	1	1	1	1	_		2011 (ID#8035)			
														[A] Station <u>Platform</u> <u>Architectural</u> <u>H2VMC-DWG-</u> <u>F-ARC-</u> <u>061101</u> (ID#0268)			
D1 conťd (b)		Locating higher density and transit-oriented development where it can be served by transitway		~	New and redevelop- ment/infill locations	Current landowners could object to implementation of existing land use pattern changes along transit corridor.	encourage transit-	pressure on surrounding areas	Apply Municipal Site Plan approval process		1] Monitor re- development activity to control overall increase in development density	Vaughan / Markham / Richmond Hil	received	[A] Site Plan Application Summary (ID# YH2-010)	Yes		2013 ACR: Numbering and bold underline added for clarity. For [A][1] evidence YH2-010 supports assertation that development activity is monitored.
(c)		Reflection of historical districts through urban design and built form.		~	Main Street Markham	the corridor.	Street, the rapid transit is discontinued with rapid transit operating in mixed traffic. Incorporate station designs and features that reflect the surrounding historical districts where further redevelopment is limited through consultation with community and heritage groups.	north of Highway 7.	Municipal Site plan approval process		Municipalities to monitor nature of re- development in sensitive districts	Markham	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
D2	access to social and	Potential barrier effects during construction and operation		✓ ✓	Entire corridor	access to future community centres,	Construction Traffic and nPedestrian Managemen Plan will avoid wherever possible, barriers to s,entrances/exits to large attractors along Highway 7.	access routes to facilities may affect adjacent	Mark detours and alternative access points clearly	Insignificant	Monitor congestion evels during construction and traffic patterns during pperations.		Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> <u>VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> [A] [B] Construction Traffic and Pedestrian Management Plans will be	<u>[A] H2-</u> <u>Traffic</u> <u>Managemen</u> <u>t Plan-R00-</u>	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

		High	way 7 Corri	dor and	d Vaughan Nor Effec	Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mo	nsit Improvements EA bility	- Table 10.4-4					Compliance Monitoring			Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project Pl	hase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible igency	Status of Description of how commitment has	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	0		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJEC	TIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econo	omic develop	oment	in the corridor										œ		
							Transitway median design will recognize pedestrian access requirements, particularly in proximity to community facilities.						A] Traffic management Plan provide provisions	2013-11-25- CM (KED ID# 2013- 004) (A] H2VMC- Lane Closure Permits to Date 2013-11-19 (KED ID# 2013- 006)			
D3 (a)	Minimize adverse effects on business	The potential for an increase in business	✓ ✓	~	Entire corridor	Increased pedestrian traffic via the	A higher density of development on	Increase in vehicular traffic;	intensification		[1] Monitor building applications/ permits,	Vaughan /	safe pedestrian access is maintained throughout corridor. Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A]		Yes		2013 ACR: Numbering and bold underline added for clarity. For
	activities in corridor	activity.				for business activity.	underutilized sites, infill locations and on vacant land should increase the market for some business activity.	workforce/ epopulation.	meeting urban form objectives.		(employment rate, etc.)		To be addressed as new development proposals are received	YH2-010)			[A][1] evidence YH2-010 supports assertation that development activity is monitored.
D3 conťd (b)		The potential for a decrease in business activity.			Entire corridor	Modification of road access could lead to displacement and/or business loss.	Implement procedures to address requests of affected businesses [1] Incorporate design solutions and construction methods to minimize number of businesses affected.[2]	traffic; decrease in workforce/ population		significant	Cooperative response to business loss concerns addressed to municipalities.	-	VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] Traffic management plans will be developed during H2 Detail Design. Community liaison procedures and construction staging plans will be developed further during Detail Design.	Avenue to	Yes	[A] [2] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: Evidence found the support assertion [2]

		High	way 7	Corric	lor and		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mo		– Table 10.4-4					Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Pro	ject Pl	nase1	Location	Potential Environmental	•	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
60	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econo	omic d	evelop	oment i	n the corridor			•		-					R		
														made with respect to property and minimizing impacts on adjacent ands (DBCR Section 7 Property.)				
D4 (a)	goods movement in corridor	Ease of Truck Movement				Entire Corridor	Median transitway will restrict truck movement in corridor	allow for truck access to side streets and properties. Traffic analysis at intersections indicated sufficient capacity for trucks using U-turns.	section, intersections with no station or landscaping in median do not allow sufficient turning width for WB 17 (articulated trucks).	truck routes. [2]		Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement [3]		Status –ongoing <u>for H2-</u> <u>VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – ongoing for H2</u> <u>B]</u> <u>[A] [B]</u> The H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) Section 2.0 outlines in most of the sub sections that U-turns will be provided with left turn lanes and to support pedestrian safety, right turn lanes will only be provided at major intersections under specific criteria [3]. This issue will be further reviewed during Detail Design.	Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington	No	EF 2010 [3] EF (2012)	2010 ACR: Section 3.0 of the DBCR states that design and construction will be in accordance with the following: Ontario Building Code 2006 CAN CSA – S6 – 00 NRC – CNRC User's Guide – NBC 1995 Structural Commentaries Ontario Electrical Safety Code Canadian Electrical Code It is unclear how not including right turn tapers from the design addresses providing U- turns at major intersections to allow for truck access to side streets and properties. 2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. Bolding and underline was removed. 2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity. The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The evidence provided (ID 8680) was found to support the assertion [3] on how the condition was addressed. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided
D4 conťd (b)	Protect provisions for goods movement in corridor (cont'd)			~		Entire Corridor	Construction may limit access for trucks	Traffic management plan to ensure truck access at all times	May not be possible in some areas	Designate alternative truck routes	Negligible	None required	York Region	Status – <u>ongoing for H2-</u> VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B]		Yes	(2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was

		High	iway 7 Cor	ridor ar		Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mol		– Table 10.4-4					Compliance Monitoring	9		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Project	Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental	· · ·	litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	sible igency	Status of Description of how commitment has	Compliance	in 2013	esults	
8	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	P C	; o		Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Lev Signif after M	Recommendation	Responsible ^D erson / agency	been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econe	omic devel	lopment	t in the corridor							—			œ		
													[A] [B] Construction Traffic Management Plans will be developed during Detail Design.				addressed.
(c)		Truck U-turn Movement Prohibited		V	Westbound at Kipling Ave. intersection	 The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: the gas station at the SE corner also has an access on Kipling Ave.; there is no other commercial property on the south side between Kipling Ave. and Islington Ave. 		None expected	None required.	Insignificant	Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 anes to 6 lanes.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment	10# 2013-004 <u>7</u>	No		
(d)					Eastbound at Kipling Ave. intersection	trucks to access to the	Truck U-turn Movement at this intersection cannot be prohibited.	Trucks making U-turn will have to negotiate witt the EB through traffic as they will need to move out of the left-turn lane in order to make the U-turn.	warn EB through traffic of the truck U-turn	Moderately significant	Monitor the truck u- turn operation to confirm if this operation will impede EB through traffic operation severely. Widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 anes to 6 lanes.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
(e)				✓	Westbound at Bruce St. intersection	The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: • the commercial property on the SE corner has no	None required.	None expected	None required.	Insignificant	Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4	York Region		Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8,	No		2010 ACR: UNCLEAR - It is unclear to what the compliance document reference is showing compliance. 2011 ACR: No reviewed as the

		High	way 7 C	Corridor an	d Vaughan Nor Effec	Appendix 1 th-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mol	nsit Improvements EA bility	– Table 10.4-4					Compliance Monitoring			Compl	iance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental	Environmental	Proje	ect Phase ¹	- Location	Potential Environmental		litigation Measu	res	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and	ible gency	Status of Description of	Compliance	n 2013	sults	
09	Value / Criterion	Issues / Concerns	Р	со	Location	Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Leve Signifi after Mi	Recommendation	Responsible Person / agency	how commitment has been addressed during design	Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econo	mic de	velopment	in the corridor										R		
						 access on Highway 7; there is no other commercial properties on the south side between Bruce St. and Helen St./ Wigwoss Dr.; and the next U-turn permitted intersection is only approximately 400m away at Islington Ave. 					anes to 6 lanes.		the use of right turn tapers. This item will be reviewed further during Detail Design.	(ID# 6476) sets but criteria for ustifying use of right tum tapers. (page 19 Section 2.2.1)			compliance document is draft. 2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). Item to be reviewed further during detail design. No review was undertaken. 2012 edit: through discussion with the Owner Engineer it was clarified that this item is a future monitoring issue. Text was removed from the compliance document reference column. The modification did not change the review. 2013 ACR: it is noted that this item does not apply to H2-
D4 cont'd (f)		Truck U-turn Movement Prohibited (cont'd)		~	Westbound at Swansea Rd. intersection	The effect is not anticipated to be critical because: • the commercial property opposite Bullock Dr. can be accessed at the signalized Bullock intersection; • there is no other commercial properties on the south side between Swansea Rd. and Bullock Dr.; and • the next U-turn permitted intersection is only approximately 450m away at Kennedy		None expected.	None required.	0	Monitor and widen Highway 7 with right turn tapers at side streets to allow for movement, or widen Highway 7 from 4 anes to 6 lanes.	York Region	Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		VMC.

		High	way 7 Corridor and		Appendix 1 rth-South Link Public Tra ts and Mitigation for Mol		– Table 10.4-4		-			Compliance Monitoring		Com	bliance Review (MMM)
GOAL	Environmental Value / Criterion	Environmental Issues / Concerns	Project Phase ¹ P C O	- Location	Potential Environmental Effects	Proposed M Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigations[A]	itigation Measur Potential Residual Effects	-	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible 'erson / agency	Status of Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	eviewed in 2013 Review Results	Notes
OBJE	CTIVE D: To promote	smart growth and econo	omic development i	in the corridor							<u>н</u>			R	
	Rd.														

Notes: P – Pre construction, C – Construction, O – Operation

Actio	on for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
Ministry of the Environment – Technical Support	Mr. Ernie Hartt, Supervisor – Air, Pesticides and Environmental Planning Central Region	1	a) Section 8.3.2 – In this section, Alternative B1 is identified as preferred, noting that this alternative will attract the highest ridership on east-west Hwy 7 service, contradicting the evaluation findings in Table 8.3-1 which indicate that this alternative "circuitous route to York U for trips from the east reduces Hwy 7 service daily boardings by 7-10%. Clarification should be obtained to ensure that the increased capital costs and increased potential for environmental impacts associated with the selection of Alternative B1 are justified based on the broader goals and objectives of this undertaking.	B1 and continuation of the partially-segregated Phas 1 Keele St service. This combination has the highes potential to attract ridership to both major destinations, Vaughan Corporate Centre (VCC) and York University, thus overcoming the primary disadvantage of Alternative B1 alone while gaining some of the benefits of Alternative B2.		a) Status - No action required		No			
			b) Section 8.3.4.2 – The alternative alignments under consideration were evaluated using an analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the various options (Table 8.3-4). This approach is not consistent with the approach used for the evaluation of other segments which consider a broader range or environmental features (Tables 8.3-3 and 8.3-5). As the EA is seeking two alternative alignments in this section, an evaluation method as included under Tables 8.3-3 and 8.3-5 is recommended as it includes a broader discussion of environmental impacts that is included in the advantages/disadvantages table. The general comments provided in Chapter 10 of the EA are not sufficient, as they do not specifically discuss the Hwy 404 area under Goal C2, natural environment.	Section 8.3.4.2 of the EA report, the preferred initial strategy (option C-B1) is to avoid environmental impacts and significant capital costs by operating the rapid transit in mixed traffic through the existing underpass on Hwy 7, basically a "do nothing" approach between the inner traffic signals at the interchange.		b) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			
			c) Section 8.3.4.2 – Figure 8.3-13 identifies three local alignment options for alternative C-B2, which is the alternative for which approval is also being sought (as a contingency if the preferred alternative, C-B1, cannot provide the necessary level of service). Recognizing that this may be a highly urban area, the lack of an evaluation table does not allow us to determine if there are any natural features which could be impacted by the selection of one alignment over another. It is recommended that the Region identify the preferred alignment that this EA will be seeking approval for and discuss any potential	ultimate solution for phased implementation if Option C-B1 becomes unreliable. This option will focus on maintaining the transitway within the Hwy 7 right-of- way by modifying the lane arrangements or span of		c) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			

			Appendix 2							
Action	for comments red	ceived fi	rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monito	ring		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
			ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			·	•			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			environmental impacts.							
		1 cont'd	d) Section 8.3.5.2 – The text in this section indicates that the "civic mall easement" is the preferred route alignment for this segment, while the accompanying table (Table 8.3-6) highlights the "Enterprise Drive Option" as being preferred over the "Civic Corridor Option". Clarification is recommended.	d) The highlighting in Table 8.3.6 of the EA report was inadvertently placed in the incorrect column. As stated in the text, the Civic Mall easement is the preferred option.		d) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
			e) Section 12.5 – Central Region has received information from the TTC indicating the preferred alignment for the Spadina Subway Extension has been selected as the diagonal alignment at Steeles Ave. The result of the selection of this alignment is that the future works for the station at Hwy 407 would be located to the north of the future Hwy 407 rapid transit r.o.w. and would be constructed under the Hwy 407 ramps without directly impacting the Black Creek meander belt, reducing potential impacts to the watercourse. This section identifies that York Region is proposing to prepare an addendum upon final approval of TTC's EA to consider the extent of potential environmental impacts, including those on Black Creek, for the alignment recommended by the TTC. As indicated in Table 12.6-3, this amendment will include a detailed analysis of both subway tunnel and station construction methods and associated mitigation measures for the section from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave. Central Region recommends this type of analysis be undertaken in the EA amendment for the entire subway length from Hwy 7 to Steeles Ave to ensure a consistent level of environmental impact assessment for the entire subway component of this undertaking.	e) The EA amendment will assess the effects of subway construction and operation of any components developed in more detail than in this EA between Hwy 407 and the limit of the TTC EA undertaking at Steeles Ave.		e) Status – No Action Required An EA amendment report subtitle "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008 The TTC has prepared a separat CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaugha N-S Link segment of the undertaking.	undertaking - Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization – SVCC 1.0 (ID# 4160)	No		
			 Mitigation and Monitoring f) With respect to environmental commitments and monitoring, the revision to Chapter 12 provides a more substantial level of detail than provided for in the draft EA document, and this information will provide greater direction to the Region in the development of the Monitoring Program. APEP is encouraged by the outline of construction and operations monitoring and the commitment to establish an independent Environmental Compliance 	f) Comment noted (refer to Section 11.3 of the EA report for Environmental Commitments and Section 11.4 for Monitoring).		f) Status – No Action Required		No		

Actio	n for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			Manager.								
		1 conťď	g) It is important to note that these commitments should be identified as minimum monitoring requirements, and that monitoring of additional environmental elements may be included in the Monitoring Program if further environmental impacts are identified. APEF encourages the Region to prepare an Annual Monitoring Program Report, outlining the results of the Monitoring Program and how any environmental impacts experienced have been addressed.	g) Comment noted for consideration during developmer of the detailed Monitoring Program as noted in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report.		g) Status – No Action Required		No			
Ministry of the Environment – Air Quality	Mr. Ernie Hartt, Supervisor – Air, Pesticides and Environmental Planning Central Region		To a large degree, the comments are intended to reflect how effectively York Region and Senes have revised the EA report and Air Quality (AQ) appendix in line with Technical Support's July 29/05 comments that were provided to the Region with respect to the draft EA report. Technical Support (TS) continues to have some outstanding concerns with the August 2005 documents that require further attention with particular regard to: the incorporation of the Senes AQ Impact Assessment into the EA report with respect to "Future" cases, and the approach taken by Senes in their AQ Impact Assessment.[1-2]		York Region	Status – completed An updated Air Quality Impact Assessment Report for a Study Area Bounded by Hwy50 to York Durham Line was completed in April 2011 using the CAL3QHCR dispersion model as required in the terms and conditions for the Hwy 7 Corridor & Vaughan North South Assessment Compliance Monitoring Program (CMP). The purpose of the Study was to assess the cumulative air quality effects that may arise due to the proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT undertaking. [1] The MOE accepted the air quality assessment report on June 17, 2011 and is satisfied that Condition 5.4 of the EA Notice of Approval has been Addressed. [2]		No	1-2] EF (201	he evidence provided in the 2011 ICR was found to support the ssertion. Io further review warranted.	
			 Lack of Detail in EA Report on AQ Impacts of the Projec (Future Cases) a) The details on the AQ impacts relating to the "Future Base Case" and the "Future BRT Case" have not been included in the body of the EA report in support of the brief summary statements made in Table 10.4 3 of the EA report. This approach is not considered appropriate by TS. It has consistently been TS's 	a) The results of the AQ assessment are summarized in Chapter 10 (Table 10.4-3) of the EA report consisten with the summary of other potential environmental effects. The EA document references Appendix L which provides the detailed AQ assessment. The Proponent does not believe that a revision to the EA document is warranted.		a) Status - No Action Required. See above		No			

Action	n for comments re	ceived fr Publ	Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Compliance Review (MMM)	
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results Notes	
			position that any evaluation of AQ impacts of a project such as this EA report should constitute the primary focus of the EA report as it relates to AQ. In the EA report, the Region continues to make the discussion of existing conditions the primary focus (Section 6.6.1) and has relied solely on referring the reader to the Senes AQ Impact Assessment when it comes to the Future Cases. This definitely detracts from the stand-alone nature of the EA report as a means of supporting decisions on the impact of the project with respect to AQ. It remains TS's position that York Region should further revise the EA report							
			accordingly to resolve this issue. Focus of EA Report and Senes Report on Particulate	b) Comment noted.		b) Status - No Action Required		No		
			c) PM _{2.5} is included in the "Existing Conditions" discussion and has been discretely inserted into the text/discussions of the "Existing Base case", "Future base Case" and "Future BRT Case". However, overall PM emissions as discussed in the August 2005 AQ Impact Assessment continue to focus on PM ₁₀ as is demonstrated by Tables 3.2,.3.3 and 3.4 as well as Table 5.1 and 5.2, none of which have been revised to include PM _{2.5} . Figures 5.1 and 5.6 also focus on PM ₁₀ . TS feels that the adjustments made by York Region and Senes to include PM _{2.5} are inadequate and continues to recommend that PM _{2.5} be fully incorporated into all aspects of the AQ Impact Assessment.	c) As noted in the Senes AQ Impact Assessment, there is little information about PM _{2.5} emissions from vehilcles and roadways, and therefore the ratio method of PM ₁₀ to PM _{2.5} was used in order to calculate the values for PM _{2.5} . Note in the Terms of Reference it says that respirable particulate matter (PM _{2.5}) will also be assessed in comparison with the proposed Canada Wide Std of 30 ug/m ³ .		c) Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document.		No		
			Comparison of Existing AQ Data with MOE AAQC	d) Comment noted.		d) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action	for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment		Compliance Monitoring				Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			assessment of historical and measured data that appears in Section 6.6.1.3 of the EA report and in Section 2.3 of the Senes AQ report. However, TS does not require further clarification of these inaccuracies.								
		2 conťd	e) TS acknowledges that Senes has reviewed the historical and monitored data bases in some detail and found them to be accurate and not in need of further adjustments or changes.	e) Comment noted.		e) Status - No Action Required		No			
			f) TS is in agreement with the comments in the preamble to Tables 6.6-6 and 6.6-7 of the EA report and Tables 2.6 and 2.8 of the Senes report that reflect PM as being the most significant parameter of concern with respect to both historical data and measured ambient monitoring data.	f) Comment noted.		f) Status - No Action Required		No			
			The concerns identified with respect to PM (ie. PM_{10} and $PM_{2,5}$) are to be dealt with in comments which follow in terms of dispersion modeling and mitigation.					No			
			Development of Vehicle Emissions Data TS acknowledges that their concerns identified in the Vehicle Emissions data/discussion have been reviewed by York Region and dealt with satisfactorily. TS is in agreement that no further action is required on these concerns at this time.	g) Comment noted.		g) Status - No Action Required		No			
			 Concerns at this time. Dispersion Modeling/Assessment of Air Quality h) TS still has some concerns with respect to the representation of the project measurement/monitoring locations and the accuracy of the measurement/monitoring data collected during the somewhat limited program. TS however do not feel such concerns are significant and acknowledge that they will not change the overall conclusions of the AQ Impact Assessment. 	h) Comment noted.		h) Status - No Action Required		No			
			Matching of Alternatives Assessment. Matching of Alternatives Assessed in EA Report with Those Screened in the Senes Report i) The July 2004 Senes Report and the draft EA report did not clearly match-up in terms of the evaluation of alternatives noted in Section 8 of the EA report and the preliminary screening of alternatives dealt with in Section 3 of the Senes Report. To clarify this issue Senes removed Section 3 from their report. In order	alternatives on air quality, while a factor in the evaluation of natural environmental effects, did provide any different result in the selection of the preferred alternatives from that shown in Section	not e	i) Status - No Action Required		No			

Action	Appendix 2 Action for comments received from the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
		2 cont'd	to clear up this matter, TS requests that York Region confirm that Senes' approach on screening with respect to AQ did not provide any different result on selection of the preferred alternative from that shown in Section 8 of the final EA report. <i>Identification of Mitigation Measures</i> j) Section 9.1.1 of the EA report contains a statement noting the intent to plant trees as part of the landscaping plan and that "trees also act as a solid body for air pollutants to settle on and therefore reduce negative effects in the atmosphere". TS would identify such efforts as tree planting as a facto in such mitigation and requests that they be considered by York Region and the appropriate revisions reflected in Table 10.4-3.	j) A conceptual streetscape plan is identified in Section 9.1.1 of the EA report. A detailed streetscape plan will be developed during detailed design. It is acknowledged that tree planting provides an additional built-in positive effect on air quality. Tree planting will be considered further in the developmen in the detailed streetscape plan.		[A] <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> [A] [B] The H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Repoi (DBCR) incorporates streetscaping recommendations under	Dosign Pasis & Critoria Poport	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The final report for the H2 DBCR references the design of H3 DBCR (ID 8035). The updated documents indicate that the preliminary design is the beginning o the process of meeting the commitment and that compliance wil be completed and shown during detailed design. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed	
			k) Before any specific comment can be made on the implication of the landscaping plan, it is necessary to look at the AQ related statements in Table 10.4-3. The statement as noted under Proposed Mitigation Measures – Potential Residual Effects, suggests a 3.6% (it actually appears to be 1.6%) improvements (or decrease) in PM ₁₀ concentrations "when comparing 2021 (future) forecasts with ("Future BRT Case") and without ("Future Base Case") proposed	change in the public's attitude toward the use of single-occupancy vehicles this increase is unavoidable. The introduction of the BRT system wil slow this increase. The EA report's presentation of effects in 2021 is a true reflection of the conditions		 Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document 		No			

Action	n for comments rec	ceived fr Publ	Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			rapid transit. The major difficulty that TS has with the conclusion on future PM ₁₀ concentrations (as noted above) is that it does not include consideration of Table 3.2, the existing base case pollutant concentration estimates. It is TS's opinion to include consideration of the fact that PM ₁₀ emissions will increase markedly from the existing base case to the future base case. As a result there will be a 38% increase in PM ₁₀ initially and it will decrease 1.6% with inclusion of BRT. For York Region to then conclude that the focus should be only on 2021 is misleading and not something we can easily agree to. At the very least TS feels that this change over the period 2001 to 2021 could be characterized in terms of BRT "slowing" the increase but it should in TS's opinion include consideration of "Further Mitigation" based on significant initial increase in PM ₁₀ concentrations.	corridors is beyond the scope of this EA.							
		2 cont'd	I) The reference for the statement in k above is data	 Comment noted. Table 10.4-3 of the EA report should refer to Tables 3.3 and 3.4 of the Senes AQ report, and not Tables 4.3 and 4.4. 		I) Status - No Action Required		No			
				 m) There will be a net positive effect to the environment from PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀, therefore no further mitigation i required. 		m) Refer to items 16 & 17 of this document		No			
			Monitoring of Construction PM Emissions n) Table 10.4-3 of the EA report includes comments on "Degradation of air quality during construction: which indicates that "some PM emissions locally" are expected but no "Monitoring" is recommended. This information raises some concern with TS about its compatibility with information provided in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report, which does indicate that "Monitoring" will be done in the form of regular inspections of dust and vehicular emissions control. Table 11.4-1 of the EA report does provide some qualitative comment on "Monitoring" associated with "effect of construction activities on air quality (dust, odour)." TS strongly in favour of the need to do such monitoring and requests that York Region clarify what appears to be contrary statements in table 10.4	indicate that no specific monitoring program beyond that normally required by the construction contract conditions is recommended. The Region will enforce the requirements of the standard contract conditions as described in Section 11.4.1 of the EA report.		n) Status - No Action Required		No			

Action	n for comments reco		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			3 that no "Monitoring" is recommended.							
			 Senes Project Description o) The content of Section 1.1 of the Senes report has been reasonably clarified with the addition of explanatory paragraph. 	o) Comment noted.		o) Status - No Action Required		No		
			 Executive Summaries p) Both the EA report and the Senes report executive summaries need further review in order to substantiate that they are compatible with changes to the bodies of the reports as may occur in terms of addressing the comments provided by TS and noted in the memo. 	p) There are no changes proposed to the main EA report to address comments provided by TS. Clarification will be provided as appropriate.		p) Status - No Action Required		No		
			Overall Assessment of Air Quality q) The Overall Assessment as noted in Section 8 of the Senes report and quoted in the EA report needs further review in order to substantiate that they are compatible with changes to the bodies of the reports as may occur in terms of addressing the comments provided by TS and noted in the memo.	q) There are no changes proposed to the main EA report to address comments provided by TS. Clarification will be provided as appropriate.		q) Status - No Action Required		No		
Ministry of the Environment – Water Resources	Ms. Ellen Schmarje, Supervisor, Water Resources Unit, Central Region – Technical Support Section	3	a) In reference to the definitions of "Insignificant" and "Significant" in Section 10.1: Assessment Methodology, an effect that is temporary or short term in duration may be considered significant as the release of suspended solids to a watercourse can potentially cause a permanent loss of critical or productive aquatic habitat.	a) Comment noted. As described in Section 10.1 of the EA report, the definition of significant effect includes permanent loss of critical or productive aquatic habitat, regardless of the duration of the original net effect that precipitates the permanent effect.		a) Status - No Action Required		No		
			 b) The Proponent should note that Section 53 (OWRA) approvals from the MOE will be required for the new and expanded storm sewers and end-of-pipe stormwater management facilities prior to the construction phase (Section 11.2: Project Implementation Plan). 			 b) Status- future Approvals, as required, will be obtained as a result of and during Detail Design. 		No		
			c) A permit to take water must be obtained for all dewatering activities in excess of 50,000 L/day. The permit must be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction related activities requiring groundwater dewatering (Section 11.2: Project Implementation Plan).	c) Comment noted and will be considered during both the preparation of the EA amendment for the		 Status –future Permits, as required, will be determined and sought during Detail Design. 		No		
			 d) Table 11.3 indicates that "in the event a shallow or upward groundwater movement becomes an issue 	 Comment noted. The MOE and TRCA will be consulted accordingly during detailed design. 		 d) Status – Does not apply to H2 Segment 	2	No		

Actio	n for comments rece		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			due to construction of the subway during the detailed design stage, TRCA's hydrogeologist will be consulted." It is important to note, that any groundwater issues (including dewatering or water quality issues) related to the proposed undertaking must be dealt directly with the MOE, which may consult with TRCA if necessary.			To be addressed during desig and construction of the Spadina Subway Extension, covered under a separate CMP.	1				
			e) No major outstanding surface water or groundwater issues were identified regarding the preferred alternative. Additional input during the detailed design phase may be required to ensure that monitoring, mitigation and contingency plans adequately assess any adverse impacts to the natural environment and/or sufficiently protect the natural environment.	e) Comment noted. The MOE will be consulted during development of the detailed Monitoring Program as appropriate.		[A] <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> [A] [B] A Draft Drainage Study was completed for the conceptua design phase on August 3, 2010 and a further preliminary		Yes	[A] EF (2013	 ACR 2010: ECF Evidence found that confirms the completion of the draft drainage study. 2012 ACR: The drainage report was updated from draft (ID 7720) to final report (ID 8459). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. 	
Ministry of the Environment – Air and Noise Unit	Mr. Denton Miller		baseline, BRT and LRT noise calculations. Some of the errors cancelled other errors and it is unlikely tha the actual impact will change the overall conclusions drawn in Appendix K. Nonetheless the errors should be corrected.	 Supplementary Information package for revised tables and appendices to Appendix K – Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, of the EA report. a) Refer to responses below. As shown in the revised data attached, the conclusions drawn in the original report are still valid. 	York Region	a) Status - No Action Required		No			
			Surface Type Used in Stamson Calculations b) The majority of the calculations in Appendix K are based on absorptive ground surfaces. Based on	b) In all cases where noise monitoring was conducted (receptors) the intermediate surface was covered by grass and therefore it was determined that an		b) Status - No Action Required		No			

Action	o for comments rec		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 Co c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Fi			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			drawings submitted with the proposal, it is the Air and Noise Unit's opinion that ground absorption was used incorrectly in the assessment of the roadway. The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used.	absorptive designation was appropriate. ORNAMENT Technical Document (MOE 1989), states that "Soft ground surfaces such as ploughed fields, or ground covered with grass, shrubs, or other forms of vegetation are considered to be sound absorptive". This is also reflected in the monitoring results. The predicted sound levels for existing conditions (2002) (section 4.0 in Appendix K) closely resemble the measured sound levels. To be consistent in the modeling approach, the absorptive surface was also used in the prediction of noise level for future cases. However, in light of the above comment b, the noise modeling was revised using a reflective ground surface. The predicted sound levels were found to be still within the range of the measured results in most instances. Therefore, all scenarios have been revised using a reflective ground surface and are attached for review.				No		
			c) The receiver heights used in the assessment of the c receptors are not consistent with Section 5.5.4 of the MOE's publication ornament where it is stated that for the purposes of assessing the noise impact on single family dwellings and townhouse units, the following receiver heights are used: 1.5 m for defining the outdoor living area, and 4.5 m for defining a 2^{nd} storey window. The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach is used.	The purpose of Section 4.3 in Appendix K is to compare the predicted sound level (from traffic) with the existing sound levels using noise monitoring data collected at specific receptors along the route. For this purpose only, the actual height of the microphon of the noise monitoring equipment was used for a direct comparison with the traffic passby at each specific receptor location. However, for predicting future noise impact the noise modeling was carried out using 1.5 m for outdoor living area and 4.5 m for 2 nd story window.		c) Status - No Action Required				
		cont'd	 Nighttime Receiver Source Distances Used in Stamson Calculations d) When homes are backing onto the subject roadway, the daytime source receiver distance should not be equal to the nighttime source receiver distance. The daytime distances should address the sound levels in the outdoor living area (backyard), and the nighttime distance should address the sound levels at the plane of a bedroom window. In the majority of cases the two distances should differ by 3m. This was not the case in the assessments in Appendix K. The 	1) The shorter of the two horizontal distances was conservatively used for both daytime and nighttime. In any case, the 3 m difference does not result in a significant/noticeable difference in the predicted sound levels. However, the nighttime receptor distances used in the revised model have been changed to reflect the 3 m difference. Refer to the attached STAMSON sheets.		d) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action	for comments re	ceived fr Publi	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F	corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			Proponent should revise the subject calculations							
			accordingly or clarify why this approach was used. Percent Traffic Split of Provincial Roadways that should be used in Stamson Calculations e) The recommended day-night traffic volume ratios are 85%-15% for provincial roads. Hwy 7 is a provincial roadway. Clarification is required as to why the appropriate traffic split was not used in the assessment or the calculations should be adjusted accordingly.	e) The 90%-10% day-night traffic volume ratio used in the modeling was derived from traffic count data and adopted as an appropriate representation of conditions on Highway 7 in the study area.		e) Status - No Action Required		No		
			Designation of Buses in Stamson Calculations f) As noted in the MOE's publication ornament, buses are considered to be medium trucks, hence the percentage of medium trucks should not be the same in Appendices K-D (Predicted 2021 Baseline Traffic Noise Levels) and K-E (Sound Levels Due to Added Bus Transit Traffic). The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why thi approach was used.	f) The added bus transit traffic was treated as an RT/Custom source for the STAMSON modeling, that is, a separate source from the regular traffic. Also, the traffic volume of bus transit was not included in the AADT volume for the regular traffic. Hence the percentage of medium trucks is indeed the same in Appendices K-D and K-E. The actual noise level for the bus transit was provide by the manufacturer.		f) Status - No Action Required		No		
			 AADT Inconsistencies g) Section 5.2 of Appendix K (Scenario 2 – Bus Transit Option), states that "Scenario 2 predicts the sound levels on the same road segments for the same year (2021), but with the added influence of the bus transi traffic". However the AADT in Appendix K-E (54,144 Sound Levels Due to Added Bus Transit Traffic) is lower that the AADT in Appendix K-D (54,528; Predicted 2021 Baseline Traffic Noise Levels). The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used. 	g) The data used were generated by the travel demand modeling with the model calibrated against York Region's most recent AADT counts for Highway 7. The AADT figure for the "with BRT" scenario		g) Status - No Action Required		No		
		4 cont'd	 Distances in Stamson Calculations h) Some of the distances in the assessment of the proposal are not correct. For example, the distance to the centre of the eastbound segment of the roadway is 28.6 m. This is clearly not correct when assessed against Figure 9.7 of the EA report. The proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used. 	 h) The distances have been revised to reflect those shown in the figures in Chapter 9 of the EA report. Refer to the attached STAMSON sheets. 		h) Status - No Action Required		No		
			LRT Assessment i) The above concerns are for the most part also	i) The distances have been revised to reflect those		i) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action	n for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			applicable to the assessment of the proposed LRT. The Proponent should revise the subject calculations accordingly or clarify why this approach was used. Preferred Assessment Methodology j) The preferred assessment would see the dedicated bus lanes and the LRT, defined as separate segments in Stamson. This approach would simplify	suggested by the MOE was used in the study		j) Status - No Action Required		No		
			the Proponent's assessment and our review of the undertaking. Vibration <i>Reference Vibration Value</i> k) Confirm that the reference value for the vibration	 a separate segment in the Stamson modeling. Pleas refer to Appendix K-E and Appendix K-F. k) This issue had been previously responded to and 		k) Status - No Action Required		No		
			calculations in Section 6.1 of Appendix K is 1 micro- metre per second. If correct, please provide a detailed sample calculation of the results noted in Table 6.1. If incorrect please comment on the use of an appropriate reference value and the impact it will have on the calculations and the subsequent conclusions.	discussed with Mr. Denton Miller of the MOE Noise Unit in June 2005. Please see the revised Table 6.1 attached.						
Ministry of the Environment	Ms. Gemma Connolly, Special Project Officer		 CEAA Approval a) Page 1-1 identifies that approval under the Canadiar Environmental Assessment Act is being sought through an integral parallel process. No federal trigger was identified by CEAA through their review of the provincial EA. Therefore, EAAB is unaware of any coordinated and/or concurrent federal approval process. 	a) Given that federal funding has not yet been approved it is anticipated that the only likely trigger will be the DFO's approval of the major river crossings. The Region expects that this local approval will be obtained through DFO's delegation of authority to the TRCA.		ů ů		No	[1] EF (2010)	ACR 2010: Document reviewed: 6386 supported assertion regarding Letter of Advice
			Chapter 8 Evaluation Local Alignment Options b) It is difficult to follow the evaluation methodology used to select the preferred local alignment options.	 b) Generally, where applicable, these options were evaluated using the major objectives adopted for the 		b) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action	l for comments re	ceived fr Publi	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Compliance Rev	iew (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		Γ	This analysis is identified in Tables 8.33 to 8.3-7.	primary route alternatives analysis. In some cases, such as the Markham Centre/Enterprise Dr area, more specific local factors were used to compare options.						
			c) Table 8.3-5 identifies Option C3-4 as the preferred option and Option C3-3 as the next preferred. It is unclear how these options were ranked and evaluated.	c) The table presents the basis for the evaluation of the options by listing the key attributes or effects of each option in terms of the goals and primary objectives adopted for evaluation of the larger route segments along the corridor. Each option's performance against the goals was assessed by evaluating the individual attributes/effects to identify the preferred option in term of each of the five main objectives. Options C3-3 and C3-4 were selected from this initial screening. The relative merits of these two options were discussed in the text supporting the evaluation table in Section 8.1.5.1. This comparison indicates that Option C3-4 is cost-effective and would provide the most convenient access to rapid transit for several trip types and destinations. At the same time the design of the new Rouge crossing to meet TRCA requirements will mitigate adverse effects on the natural environment.		 c) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No		
			d) Table 8.3-6 highlights Enterprise Dr as the preferred option, while the text identifies Civic Corridor as the preferred option. Qualitative rankings are provided in Table 8.3-6 indicating fair, good but no rationale is provided on what this means in the weighing of the criteria.	inadvertently highlighted as the "Technically Preferre Option". The qualitative rankings shown against eac indicator were assessed collectively with implicit weighting and found to support the conclusion in the text that the Civic Mall Option best met the objectives for improved transit service through the planned Markham Centre.		 d) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No		
		5 cont'd	/ /	e) Each check mark in Table 8.3-7 indicates the alignment alternative (Option C-C1 or C-C2) that is preferred in terms of the individual planning criteria noted in the table. For some criteria, both options were considered to be equally responsive and thus both were checked. Again, these responses were assessed collectively leading to the recommendation of the northern alignment stated in the text.		e) Status - No action required		No		
			f) Section 8.3.4.2 is seeking approval for both C-B1 and C-B2. The preferred option is identified as C-B1 Any proposed changes to the preferred option would be considered an amendment to the undertaking.	f) The alternative methods of crossing the Hwy 404 interchange were not considered a comparison of		f) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment.		No		

Action	o for comments rec	naivad fr	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Action	rior comments rec	Publ	c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F	Final Report		e e inplicance inclinte			e o nip	
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
				 local design solutions to achieve a segregated right-of-way through the existing interchange. As noted in Section 8.3.4.2 of the EA report, the preferred strategy (option C-B1) is to avoid environmental impacts and significant capital costs by operating the rapid transit in mixed traffic through the existing underpass on Hwy 7, basically a 'do nothing" solution. The Region is seeking approval of Option C-B2, as the preferred ultimate solution for phased implementation if Option C-B1 becomes unreliable. This option will focus on maintaining the transitway within the Hwy 7 right-of-way by modifying the lane arrangements or span of the existing Hwy 404 underpass as the preferred design solution. A supplementary table assessing the potential effects of the three variations of alternative C-B2 is attached. Option C-B2, grade separated right-of-way, will be th Region's preferred ultimate option if and when required to traverse the Hwy 404 interchange withou congestion delays. Option C-B1, operation of the transitway in mixed traffic, will be used until such time congestion problems trigger the need for the grade separation Option C-B2. Improvements to the road system, currently planned by the municipalities will also influence the timing of and need for the ultimate grade separated right-of-way (C-B2). 						
			Intermodal Stations g) The York Region intermodal terminal and Richmond Hill intermodal terminal are discussed as part of the undertaking on page 9-2. These stations are not supposed to be part of this EA approval and should not be described as part of the approved undertaking.	g) Comment noted. These terminals were mentioned a examples of associated facilities in the context of inter-connectivity with other modes.		g) Status - No Action Required		No		
			Missing Information h) Please provide the missing information in Table 10.4 2 on page 10-9.	 A completed page 10-9 of Table 10.4-2 from the EA report is provided as supplementary information. 		h) Status - No Action Required		No		
			Effects and Mitigation i) On Table 10.4-2 some issues are evaluated as "Significant" after mitigation, yet monitoring is not recommended. Could you please justify why	 The issues identified as significant after mitigation ar those concerning intersection levels of service analyzed as near or at capacity. The anticipated 		Refer to Table 10.4-2 in Appendix 1 above for individual comments.		No		

Actio	on for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 lic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	bliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			monitoring will not occur?	traffic volumes with or without the undertaking are such that monitoring will not lead to any further mitigation options.						
			 Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology page 6 of the terms of reference allowed the Region to assess the environmental effects of a subway extension between the VCC to York University. This assessment was contingent upon the Spadina Subway being extended from Downsview Station to York U in the City of Toronto. 	University to VCC was contingent on the extension from Downsview Station to York University being completed. The Region's EA for the extension into		 j) Items j, k & I: Not applicable to H2 segment. An EA amendment report subtitler "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008. 	MOE letter of approval of the undertaking - Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization – SVCC 1.0 (ID# 4160)	No		
			k) Chapter 12 identifies that the logical northern limit of the Spadina subway extension would be the VCC. As a result, a major component of the analysis would have built upon the conclusions and recommendations of the City's Spadina Subway Extension EA Study, which is still ongoing. Without the conclusions of the City's study, it is difficult to determine whether or not the protection of Alignmen A-1 would be feasible and should be considered as part of this EA approval.	Region-owned land north of Steeles as the northern limit of all alignment options to be analyzed in their EA. Only the orientation of the alignment at this limit is not specified. Chapter 12 of the Region's EA describes the rationale for selecting Alignment A-1 to access the VCC and identifies the potential zone		Status – No Action Required The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughar N-S Link segment of the undertaking.		No		
		5 conťď	 Section 12.5 also defers most of the effects assessment of Alignment A-1 to be done as part of an amendment to the EA. It may be premature to protect a r.o.w. without having the benefits of what types of effects are anticipated to occur. EAAB would like the opportunity to meet with the Region and the City to discuss this component of the EA. 	 Refer to the detailed supplementary information. 		Status – No Action Required		No		
City of Vaughan	Mr. Roy McQuillan, Manager of Corporate Policy	6	Committee Report Recommendations (a through d): a) The MOE be advised that the City of Vaughan supports the approval of the Hwy 7 EA as submitted by the Region of York.	a) Comment noted	York Region	a) Status - No Action Required		No		
			 b) The Region of York be advised that the report entitled "Design Concept for Avenue 7 including Rapid Transit Through the Vaughan Corporate 	 b) Comment noted and information will be carried forward for consideration during development of a detailed streetscape plan (refer to Section 9.1.1) at 		b) Status – <u>complete for H2-</u> <u>VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u>		Yes	[A] EF (2103)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was

Action	for comments re		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			Centre" also forms part of the City's comments on the Hwy 7 EA report and that the recommendation contained in that report be implemented as requested.	the time of detailed design. The Proponent will commit to consult the local municipalities during development of the detailed streetscape plan.		[A] [B] Attention will be given to the development of a streetscape plan in Detail Design. Consultation with municipalities commenced as described under item 33 of this document. [A] The Streetscape Planting Plans, Paving Plans and Layout and Details meet the requirements for a detailed streetscape plan. Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings record detailed streetscape plan consultation.	[A] H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187) [A] H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060902 (ID#0486) [A] Streetscape Paving Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings- 2013 (ID#0116)			addressed.
			c) The Region of York be requested to proceed with the amendment to the subway extension component of this EA (Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology) at first opportunity, once the TTC Spadina Subway EA is approved, in order to finalize the subway alignment north of Steeles Ave.	c) Detailed comment noted. As noted on Figure 12-4 and described in Section 12.5 of the EA report, the final alignment of the subway from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave will be determined following completion of the Toronto/TTC EA Study (Spadina Subway Extension from Downsview Station to Steeles Ave).		c) Status – No Action Required		No		
			d) The Region of York be advised that the City of Vaughan is currently completing a number of land use studies along Hwy 7 and along the Vaughan North-South Link. It is requested that the Region of York work with the City in refining the transitway and boulevard treatments in response to the land use and design policies that may result from the studies in order to optimize the attractiveness of the urban environment and support the Region's and the City's development objectives; and that such consultation take place during the detailed design phase for the transitway and associated road allowances.	d) Detailed comment noted. York Region will work with the local municipalities, including the City of Vaugha during detailed design and development of a detailer streetscape plan to incorporate recommendations from adjacent land use planning studies where feasible.	r	to the development of a streetscape plan in Detail Design. Consultation with municipalities commenced as	[A] H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187) [A] H2VMC Streetscape Planting [Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060902 (ID#0486) [A] Streetscape Paving Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060903 (ID#0436)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Actior	n for comments re		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
						Details meet the requirements for a detailed streetscape plan. Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings record detailed streetscape plan consultation.	[A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings- 2013 (ID#0116)			
			The Undertaking – Implications for the City of Vaughan e) The introduction of a rapid transit service will be a major catalyst in the transformation of the current Hwy 7 and Centre and Bathurst Streets from a Provincial highway to an urban arterial road. The City is looking to build on and support this initiative through the Centre St Study and the Hwy 7 Futures Study.	e) Detailed comment noted.		e) Status - No Action Required		No		
			f) Generally, the impacts were positive or could be mitigated to a minimal level of significance. Given the diversity of the corridor and the form of the transitway, there will be impacts on traffic operations and urban design.	f) Detailed comment noted. As noted in Table 11.4-2 of the EA report, the Region is committed to monitoring traffic operations after implementation of the undertaking. In addition, a detailed traffic management plan will be developed prior to commencing construction (Section 11.2.2.1).		 f) Status – <u>ongoing for H2-VM</u> [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] Traffic management concepts and plans will be developed in the Detail Desig phase. [A] Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force <u>Meetings record traffic management plan consultation. </u> 	[A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings-	Yes		2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
			 Urban Design g) The plan shown in the EA for the Corporate Centre does not reflect the City's ultimate preference as illustrated in the report to Committee of the Whole or October 11, 2005. The plan currently shows minima landscaping. The recommendations contained in thi report should reaffirm the City's desire to see the streetscaping/transitway plan revised either by amendment to the EA or at the time of detailed design to reflect the City's ultimate intentions. It is noted that the subway extension portion of the EA deals specifically with this issue by stating that 	conceptual streetscape plan has been developed as part of this EA and will provide the basis for the detailed streetscape design. The Region will commi		 g) Status - <u>complete for H2-</u> <u>VMC Status - does not applied</u> to H2 [A] Attention will be given to the development of a streetscape plan in Detail Design. Consultation with municipalities commenced as described under item 31 and 33 of this document. 	[A] H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Action	for comments rec	eived fro Publi	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F	orridor and Vaughan North-South Link inal Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			"Transit intermodal facilities will be developed in consultation with Vaughan as part of the introduction of a comprehensive landscaping and streetscaping plan for the VCC and station precinct". These measures will need to be taken into account in the original transitway design.			[A] The Streetscape Planting Plans, Paving Plans and Layout and Details meet the requirements for a detailed streetscape plan. Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings record detailed streetscape plan consultation	Streetscape Paving Plan H2VMC- DWG-R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings- 2013 (ID#0116) [A]H2VMC-Lane Closure Permits to Date 2013-11-19			
			h) In addition, the plan shows a "VCC Transit Square Concept" at the northwest corner of the intersection of Millway Ave and Hwy 7, which is identified as a transit terminal facility in Section 12 of the EA report. It is recognized that there will be the need for some surface intermodal facilities at a future subway terminal station. However, there is minimal information available on the facility identified in the EA study. It will have to be addressed further with the City in accordance with the statement quoted above, including the basis for the selection of this location.	n) The intention in showing a concept for the surface intermodal facilities is to identify the need for an efficient means of transferring passengers from feeder bus services to the rapid transit service. The concept, while not intended to be a detailed design is representative of the extent of surface facilities and indicative of the opportunities for integration of these facilities into the urban design of the transportation node. It also provides a basis for assessment of any potential effects on the surrounding built or natural environment. The location of the typical concept was based on the recommendations of the draft report on the City of Vaughan's study of streetscaping for the VCC.		h) Status – <u>ongoing for H2-VIM</u> <u>Status</u> – <u>does not apply to</u> <u>H2</u> Consultation with stakeholders regarding potential surface transit facilities is ongoing. For example, the issue was considered at a December 18, 2008 Vaughan Corporate Centre Workshop with stakeholders. Further consultation with stakeholders and the public o the preliminary engineering concept for surface intermoda facilities is planned for November , 2011 as a series of H2 Open Houses. Opportunity for comment will be provided at that time as well. <u>Presented to Vaughan</u>	December 18, 2008 Vaughan Corporate Centre Workshop (ID# 3888 & 4454) Presentation to Vaughan Priorities and Key Initiatives Committee, April 15, 2013 (ID#	Yes	EF (2013)	2013 ACR: It is noted that this item does not apply to H2. The evidence provided supports the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing.

Action	n for comments re		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		6 cont'd	 i) The study acknowledges that there are areas that have insufficient road allowance width to permit significant landscaping. An example is the section or Hwy 7 between Martin Grove and Pine Valley Dr. For such areas, the plan suggests that redevelopment be monitored and that property be acquired through redevelopment. An alternative would be to incorporate sufficient setbacks to allow for landscaping to be provided on the private lands between road allowance and the building. j) The City is currently conducting several land use studies in areas that will be directly affected by the transitway. These include the Hwy 7 Futures Study and the Steeles Ave Corridor Study-Jane St to Keele St. Both studies are nearing conclusion. Each will have land use and urban design implications for these areas. In order to optimize the opportunities for aesthetic improvements along Hwy 7 and in the Vaughan North-South Link, the outcomes of these studies should be taken into account during the detailed design of the transitway and the surrounding road allowance. Improving the urban and aesthetic environment will surport both the Bertion's and City's and city and the surrounding conductions and City's and	 i) Comment noted. The Region will work with the local municipalities to secure the required r.o.w. and setbacks through the development approval process j) Comment noted. York Region will work with the loca municipalities, including the City of Vaughan, during detailed design and development of a detailed streetscape plan to incorporate recommendations from adjacent land use planning studies where feasible. 		to the development of a streetscape plan in detailed design. Consultation with municipalities commenced as described under item 33 of th document.	[A] H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187) [A] H2VMC Streetscape Planting [Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060902 (ID#0486) [A] Streetscape Paving Plan	No Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
			environment will support both the Region's and City's development objectives and improve the chances of their being achieved. A recommendation has been included requesting that the Region work with the City during the detailed design phase for the transitway to take into account the results of these studies.			[A] The Streetscape Planting Plans, Paving Plans and Layout and Details meet the requirements for a detailed streetscape plan. Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings record detailed streetscape plan consultation.	H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings- 2013 (ID#0116)			
		cont'd	 Road Operations: The introduction of the centre median will have a number of effects, which include: A prohibition on left turns in and out from driveways and minor roads due to the transitway – The EA indicates that alternative access can be obtained by way of another site or an adjacent roadway. Users will have to adapt and find alternative routes. The introduction of U-turns at signalized intersections is also provided. The impact of the introduction of U- 	 betailed comment noted. The Region will consult with the local municipalities during development of the detailed Traffic Management Plan (as described in Section 11.2.2.1 of the EA report). 		 k) Status –ongoing The H2 Design Basis & Criter Report (DBCR) Section 3.0 documents the justification fo design on the basis of eliminating most right turn lanes at intersections. For design consistency and to 	(ID# 6476)	No	EF (2012)	2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. Bolding and underline were removed. 2012 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8680) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing.

Action	for comments re		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			turns to accommodate left-in and left-out turns – in some instances there might be conflicts between U- turns and right turn movements onto Hwy 7 from side streets when the traffic signal is red. It may be necessary to restrict right turns on red lights from side streets. This should be monitored and measures take to reduce any potential conflicts. It is noted that some of the intersections with four lane road sections may not permit U-turns by large trucks. Restrictions may have to be imposed where warranted.			right turn tapers will not be	Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680)			
			I) Pedestrian crossings given the additional road width in some areas – Given the introduction of the transitway and the station facilities, there is a substantial increase in the paved portion of the road allowance, especially at major intersections. Some pedestrians may not be able to cross in one signal phase. The transitway will have pedestrian refuge areas built into the design to allow them to wait at mid-crossing. A further alternative would be to have a two-stage crossing system to accommodate heavier traffic. Before proceeding to a two-stage system, monitoring should occur under operating conditions to determine if it is warranted.	for consideration of the detailed Traffic Management Plan (Section 11.2.2.1). Traffic Operation Monitoring (noted in Table 11.4-2) will include consideration of effects on pedestrians.		 Status- ongoing for H2-VMC [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] Median station provides the opportunity for 2- stage pedestrian crossing. To be reviewed in Detail Design. [A] Two stage pedestrian crossings were specified through the H3 project for Highway 7 (see ID8371, Section 2.2, page 6). The two-stage operation for the H2-VMC project is in accordance with the H3 study, as described in the Transit Priority Measures Report (ID0518), Section 2, page 4). Final design is ongoing. 	[A] H3 VISSIM Transit Operations Analysis, March 15, 2012 (ID#8371) [A] Transit Priority Measures	Yes	[A] [2,3] EF (2013)	2012 ACR:. Evidence (ID0245, specifically Drawings 106, 110 and 120) support the assertion of two stage crossings. This remaings ongoing.
			m) The potential for traffic infiltration in some areas – Traffic infiltration has been identified as a possible problem in certain neighbourhoods, resulting from drivers trying to avoid Hwy 7. This may increase as a result of the constraints introduced by the transitway. The following neighbourhoods may be	 m) Detailed comment noted. York Region will work with the municipalities during monitoring of traffic operations after implementation of the transitway to address issues/concerns including traffic infiltration. 		m) Status – future <u>To be addressed through</u> <u>post-construction</u> <u>monitoring.</u>		No		2013 ACR: noted that this item is future work.

Action	for comments red	eived fr Publ	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			affected: Monsheen Dr, Willis Rd/Chancellor Dr, Nev Westminster Dr, and Beverly Glen Blvd. The EA recommends that these neighbourhoods be monitored before and after the implementation of the transitway to determine if additional mitigation measures are required.							
		cont'd	 Vaughan North-South Link Ultimate Conversion to Subway Technology n) The EA study confirmed the alignment selected through the Higher Order Transit Corridor Protection Study, which was incorporated into OPA 529, subjec to consideration of the results of TTC's current EA process. 			n) Status - No Action Required		No		
			o) This EA is seeking the approval of this alignment with the option to finalize the portion south of Hwy 407 to tie into the alignment that may ultimately be chosen through the TTC's EA process for the Spadina Subway Extension. No change to the alignment to the north of Hwy 407 is proposed.	 comment noted. Refer to Section 12.5 and Figure 12-4 of the EA report. 		 o) Status - No Action Required 		No		
			p) The recommendations of this portion of the EA study should be supported. Putting in place the EA approvals for a subway extension from Steeles Ave to the Corporate Centre is a welcomed initiative for a number of reasons. It will clearly establish a commitment to the development concepts that are being put forward in City, Regional and Provincial planning documents in the interim it will inform investment decisions by both the public and private sectors; it will allow for the necessary property protection; and the project will be design-ready so that the next steps in the process can take place quickly once financing has been committed.			p) Status - No Action Required		No		
			q) There is a level of uncertainty surrounding the alignment between Steeles Ave and Hwy 407 as a result of the TTC's Spadina Subway Extension EA. This is unavoidable due to the timing of the two processes. Of primary concern is maintaining the Millway Ave alignment through the Corporate Centre in order to ensure that the Hwy 7 station can be built at its planned location and so property protection and acquisition can continue. The TTC has			q) Status- No Action Required		No		

Actior	n for comments reco	eived fro Public	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I	corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			demonstrated that the three alignment alternatives currently under consideration in the Spadina EA will all work in the context of the City's objectives for the Corporate Centre. All three can provide for the location of an additional station at the planned Hwy 407 Transitway, on the west side of Jane St, south o the highway.							
		6 cont'd	r) In order to overcome this issue, the EA recommends that additional studies take place when the preferred designs for the inter-related facilities have received EA approval. These studies would form the basis for an EA amendment. It is critical that none of the EA processes be slowed. Approval of this portion of the EA on the basis of the planned amendment should be supported. In addition, the Region of York should be requested to initiate the amending report shortly after the approval of the TTC's EA. Failure to proceed expeditiously with the amendment to the EA may be interpreted as a lack of commitment to the project, possibly altering investment decisions and compromising the preservation of r.o.w.	r) Detailed comment noted. As noted on Figure 12-4 and described in Section 12.5 of the EA report, the final alignment of the subway from Hwy 407 to Steeles Ave will be determined following completion of the Toronto/TTC EA Study (Spadina Subway Extension from Downsview Station to Steeles Ave).		r) Status - No Action Required		No		
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	s) Comment noted.		s) Status - No Action Required		No		
Ontario Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs (OSAA)	Mr. Richard Saunders, Director Negotiations Branch	7	In Section 14.2-Stakeholder Consultation of the EA Report, the Proponent indicates that they have followed OSAA's recommendations as outlined in correspondence dated July 28, 2005. This table indicates the responses and requests for information from the various First Nations contacted by the Proponent.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status - No Action Required		No		

Actio	on for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I			Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		7 cont'd	 b) OSAA recommends that the Proponent continue to contact the relevant First Nations and that follow-up contact be made with all the identified First Nations and Aboriginal organizations. 	b) Comment noted. The Proponent will continue to consult First Nations based on their identified interests/concerns and specific request for additional involvement (as an example, any First Nation that identifies an interest in archaeological findings will be forwarded any future archaeological reports prepared during detailed design).		 b) Status – ongoing Hwy 7 EA Notice of submission of CMP for public review and comment[1] Stage 2 Archaeological Reporvided, once completed. Notifications for public meetings will continue to be provided. The Stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessment Reporvided. The stage 2 Archaeological (Property) Assessme	4122, 4123, 4124, 4125) [1] Stage 2 Property Assessment VivaNext H2 Preliminary Engineering Highway 7 Corridor Islington Avenue to Yonge Street Connection Road Public Transit Improvements February 2012(ID#8294)	No	EF (2012)	ACR 2010: Ongoing, evidence found of consultation. 2011 ACR: the assertion is that consultation will continue with First Nations but status is marked as complete. In the 2010 ACR the status was assumed to be ongoing. It should be clarified how the EA Notice of Submission of the CMP fulfills this assertion including consultation by identified interest/concern. Owner Engineer revised status to "Ongoing". 2012 ACR: the evidence provided (ID 8294) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item remains ongoing.
			c) The Crown has a duty to consult with Aboriginal peoples where its actions may adversely affect established or asserted Aboriginal or treaty rights. OSAA recommends that MOE consult their legal branch for advice on whether the Crown has any constitutional or other legal obligations to consult Aboriginal peoples in these circumstances.	c)		c) Status – completed Notices of "Open House" format public consultation opportunities were provided through newspaper advertising.	Newspaper advertising (ID# 2865), (ID# 3754)	No	EF (2011)	2011 ACR: The evidence provided in the (ID# 2865, 3754) was found to support the assertion on notification.
Health Canada	Ms. Carolyn Dunn, Environmental Assessment Officer	8	 These comments are in regards to the responses to Health Canada comments on the draft EA report dated July 8, 2005. a) Section 6.2.5 – A contingency plan for managing effects to drinking water wells needs to be developed as part of the environmental assessment, rather thar later in the process. Furthermore, no responses were provided related to the identification of municipal drinking water intakes; this is required as part of the assessment. 		York Region	during detailed design. <u>Wells were identified in the</u> <u>VivaNext H2VMC Well Study.</u> <u>The well survey completed</u> identified 2 domestic wells	[A] <u>VivaNext H2VMC Well Study,</u> January 30, 2013. (ID# 0137) [A] Well Status Correspondence (KED ID# 2013-003) [A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Actio	n for comments rec	eived fr Publ	Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	iance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
						through discussions with the YRRTC Property Group. Furthe investigation showed that only one well would be affected by construction requiring decommissioning and that nor were relied on for drinking. KED Environmental Management Plan Addresses Well Contingency Planning.				
			b) Appendix K – it is crucial that construction noise be included in the EA. This is standard practice in EA, to consider the effects of all phases of the project. The changes in the acoustic environment during construction constitute an important potential effect to human health.	 b) As noted in Table 11.4-1 (Construction Monitoring), the Proponent has committed to monitoring noise generated by construction activities to ensure compliance with Municipal By-Laws.(1) 		b) Status – <u>ongoing for H2-VM0</u> [A] <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> Noise monitoring commitmen outlined in the site environmental management plan		Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: [A] Evidence ID2013- 001) was found to support the assertion of noise monitoring.
			c) Appendix L – In order to fully protect human health, ozone must be included in the air quality assessmen of the EA. The reference for odour and formaldehyde in Section 4.2 of the air quality assessment should be provided in the EA (not referenced on the internet).	c) As noted in Table 10.4-3, there is a net positive effed on all air pollutants assessed related to the proposed undertaking.		c) Status- No Action Required		No		
Ministry of Transportation (MTO)	Mr. Robb Minnes, Project Manager		 The notes below are items that the MTO raised on the draft EA report and how they have been addressed in the final EA report. GO BRT and Hwy 407 Transitway a) MTO indicated that the references in the EA to the relationship between the GO BRT project and the 407 Transitway were confusing. While not a critical issue, it would have been preferred if section 1.3g had included the following clarification: "The initial phase of the GO BRT project, as supported by MTO consists of buses running in mixed traffic on existing road facilities including section of Hwy 407. The 407 Transitway, which has been planned and is being protected by MTO, is designed as a fully grade separated transit facility supporting bus or LRT technologies. It will run adjacent to, but outside of 		York Region	a) Status - No Action Required		No		

Actio	on for comments rec	Appendix 2 eived from the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessme		Compliance Monitoring				Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	# Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes		
		the Hwy 407 r.o.w. between Burlington and Oshav	/a'								
		b) MTO had also requested that where the EA discusses Hwy 7 or Vaughan north-south transit service interface with Hwy 407 transit service, it should address both shorter term interface with G BRT mixed traffic service on Hwy 407 as well as longer term interface with the grade separated 400 Transitway service. This has been done.	b) Comment noted.		b) Status - No Action Required		No				
		Plans and Figures c) All of the plans referring to "407 Transitway" have been changed to "Future 407 Transitway" except Figures 8.3-1 through 8.3-17.	c) Comment noted.		c) Status - No Action Required		No				
		 d) The proposed sidewalk on the south side of Hwy is shown on Figures 9-43 and 9-44 has been deleted as requested. 	, d) Comment noted.		d) No Action Required		No				
		Structures e) Section 9.1.5 identifies work required to accommodate the transit corridor where it crosses CAH designations including lane width and sidewa reductions as well as structure modifications. Pursuant to the MTO's request, the introduction to Section 9.1.5 now indicates that the identified modifications within the CAH must be reviewed ar approved by the Ministry. Further, the CAH modifications are now identified throughout this section.			e) No Action Required		No				
		f) The Final EA document is acceptable to the MTO.	f) Comment noted.		f) No Action Required		No				
own of Markham	Mr. Arup Mukherjee	 General Committee Report re. Hwy 7 EA a) Recommendations include that Council endorse the findings of the Environmental Study Report for the Hwy 7 rapid transit project, and that staff continue work with Regional and YRTP staff to finalize the design for the rapid transit facility. 	Markham, during detailed design and implementation of the undertaking.		a) Status – Does not apply to the H2 Segment.		No				
		b) Based on the above endorsement, staff has worke with the Proponents for the Liberty development to secure and protect sufficient r.o.w. along Town Centre Blvd for the rapid transit proposal. It is recognized that further consultation will be require with IBM to secure the remaining r.o.w. for this option.	municipalities to secure the required r.o.w.		 b) Status – Does not apply to the H2 segment 		No				
	Mr. Rod. McPhail	11 Letter dated December 6, 2005	Throughout the Region's EA Study process, York Region	York Region	Status- No Action Required	MOE letter of approval of the	No	EFC 2010	+		

Actior	n for comments rec		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment		Compliance Monitoring				Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes		
			approved alignment for the Spadina Subway extension between Downsview Station and Steeles Ave, the study could not come to any conclusions regarding a recommended alignment and preferred design for a further extension of the Spadina Subway north of Steeles Ave. The EA report proposes, in spite of the lack of a recommended alignment or preferred design, that a subway extension from the potential Steeles Station to Vaughan Corporate Centre (VCC) be approved. The EA report recommends, however that in order to follow through on a subway extension, an amendment (or addendum) to the EA will be completed. This amendment would use the approved alignment from the TTC/City EA, once MOE approval is received, as a starting point to develop and assess alternative design concepts for the subway extension between Steeles Ave and VCC. Chapter 12 of the EA report	addressed in the addendum resulted from close collaboration with TTC staff and their consultant. This consultation has ensured that the alignment for the portion of the subway extension north of Hwy 407, for which approval is sought in the Region's EA is compatible with all alignment options from which the TTC/City of Toronto EA's preferred alignment will be selected. Also, the discussions and exchange of information form the basis of the description of components that are required to be addressed in the proposed addendum for the portion south of Highway 40 where the tie-in to the TTC's preferred alignment would be achieved.		An EA amendment report subtitle "Response to Conditions of Approval – Vaughan N-S Link Subway Alignment Optimization" was approved by the Minister of the Environment on April 4, 2008. The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughar N-S Link segment of the undertaking						
			from York Region, City of Vaughan, YRT, City of Toronto and TTC.	A revised Figure 12-4 is included in the supplementary information regarding the Vaughan North-South Link and includes the preferred alignment identified in the TTC Spadina Extension EA (The preferred TTC EA alignmen had not been confirmed at the time the Region's Hwy 7 and VNSL EA was being completed for formal submission).		Status –No Action Required		No				
		11 cont'd	c) In addition to attending TTC/City EA TAC meetings for the Spadina Subway extension EA, York Region, YRT and City of Vaughan representatives have met with TAC staff regarding proposed Steeles Ave station options and subway design requirements to extend the subway beyond the proposed Steeles Ave station. The outcome of this work was the development and evaluation of concepts for the proposed Steeles Ave station, subway alignment, and ancillary facilities. The preferred concept for the Steeles Ave station, and the subway alignment in its vicinity, will be put forward to the MOE upon Toronto City Council approval of the Spadina Subway			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No				

Action	Appendix 2 Action for comments received from the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			Extension EA findings and the completion of the EA report (early 2006). The preferred alignment (N-3 or attached figure) was identified through the TTC/City EA study process and was evaluated by the TAC during the summer of 2005. This alignment is not consistent with the preferred alignment A-1 shown in the Hwy 7 EA.								
			Timing of Evaluation/Selection of Alignments d) The draft Hwy 7 EA was circulated for review in April 2005. At that time the TTC/City Spadina Subway Extension EA study was finalizing the selection of a preferred route, which was shown at public meetings in May 2005. The City's review of the draft EA, noting no substantial comments, was based on their understanding that the component of the study dealing with the subway would be updated to reflect current work from the TTC/City study prior to York Region submitting its final EA report. In particular that Chapte 12 would be reworked to reflect the TTC/City EA work.			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			
			e) York Region changed the final version of Chapter 12 quite substantially from the draft EA. However, the evaluation of alignment options relies almost entirely on alignments generated based on the 1993 TTC EA for the subway extension. While the recommended A-1 alignment, for which approval is requested, is similar to one of the alignments evaluated in the more recent TTC/City EA (as far as the tail track north of Steeles Ave), it is not the preferred alignment that has been put forward to Toronto City Council for approval. The preferred alignment from the TTC/City EA was not evaluated in the Hwy 7 EA, even though that alignment was identified prior to the Region finalizing its EA report in August 2005.			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			
		11 conťď	 Amendment to Hwy 7 EA f) The City of Toronto and TTC suggest that an addendum to the Hwy 7 EA, reflecting the preferred alignment to Steeles West Station, would be an appropriate venue to address the concerns that they have, assuming that an addendum is completed prio to the City and TTC considering a further extension of the Spadina Subway for approval through the City's and TTC's planning and approval processes. 			Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			

Actio	on for comments re		Appendix 2 on the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	pring		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
Region of Peel	Sabbir Saiyed, Principal Transportation Planner	12	a) The Region of Peel Official Plan places a strong emphasis on the increased use of sustainable transportation nodes such as transit, cycling and walking. Peel Region recently adopted the following transportation vision to focus efforts in achieving a desired future transportation system: "Peel Region will have a safe, convenient, efficient, multi-modal, sustainable and integrated transportation system tha supports a vibrant economy, respects the natural and urban environment, meets the diverse needs of residents and contributes to a higher quality of life".	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status - No Action Required		No		
			b) The Region of Peel supports a balanced transportation system that promotes both roads and transit. The Region encourages improved accessibility by road and public transit to major nodes and corridors. On page E-7, it is stated that the preferred alternative will be able to meet long- term growth needs and planning objectives. They suggest that the current EA should take into consideration the needs to move automobile and truck traffic safely and efficiently on the Hwy 7 corridor and examine an alternative that supports all modes of transportation. Thus, a balanced alternative needs to be investigated further.	b) Comment noted. A wide range of alternatives to the undertaking were included in the assessment (refer t Chapter 3 of the EA report) to address the purpose of the undertaking as approved by the Minister of the Environment. The purpose of the undertaking is summarized in Section E.2 of the EA report. The preferred alternative to the undertaking (described in Section 3.1.5) includes all components of the "curren commitments" (described in Section 3.1.2), including all York Region Transportation Master Plan improvements. The Transportation Master Plan includes a multi-modal approach to address travel demand and goods movement to 2031.		b) Status - No Action Required		No		
		12 (conťd	c) Local public transit along Hwy 7 (Regional Rd 107) ir Peel Region is operated by the City of Brampton. Therefore in order to improve future transit services on the Hwy 7 corridor, it is important to coordinate transit improvements in close partnership with the City of Brampton and Peel Region.	ő		 c) Status – Does not apply to H2 Segment 	2	No		
			 A station should be considered in the vicinity of Hwy 7 and Hwy 50. Schedule A of the City of Brampton Official Plan designates this area as a "Primary Office Node". Since this area will be a major trip generator, a station is justified at this location. Section 4.3.4.12 of the Peel Region's Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) supports this position by directing the Region to "support gateways and interconnections between the local bus network and future transitways, especially at Regional urban Nodes". A reference is made regarding Hwy 427 on page 9-8 	been proposed at Hwy 50 which is the planned terminus of rapid transit service as defined through this EA. Should rapid transit service be planned wes of Hwy 50 into Peel Region, York Region will work with Peel Region to integrate services appropriately.		 d) Status – Does not apply for H segment e) Status – Does not apply to H2 		No		

Action	Appendix 2 Action for comments received from the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			as: "Between Hwy 50 and Hwy 27, the existing Hwy 7 alignment would shift to the north up to 6.7 m to incorporate the MTO's future Hwy 427 extension allowing Hwy 7 to be widened on the north side only This should be discussed with Peel Region and MTC before proceeding further.	relates to any work within their jurisdiction, including widening of the existing Hwy 7 structure over Hwy 427.		segment					
			f) To ensure that there will be good connectivity between Peel and York Regions, the EA study area (page 2-1) should include areas west of Hwy 50 along Hwy 7 in Peel.	The study area for this EA extends from the York/Peel boundary (Hwy 50) to the York/Durham boundary. Should Peel Region or Brampton choose to define transit improvements west of Hwy 50, York Region will work with the neighbouring jurisdiction to integrate services accordingly.		 f) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No			
			 g) The Region of Peel LRTP has the following policies regarding transit improvements and promotion: LRTP Policy 4.3.4.4: Support fare integration and service coordination of inter-regional and local transit, especially at transfer points within Peel, with services in neighbouring municipalities and with GO Transit. LRTP Policy 4.3.4.9: Work with all levels of government to advance inter-regional transit plans including rapid transit, commuter rail, GTA transit corridors and GTA transportation centres. To make transit an attractive alternative between York and Peel Regions, Viva and the City of Brampton – AcceleRide – transit initiative should commit to plan and implement seamless travel between York and Peel with better fare integration and hassle-free transfer service. 	g) Comments noted. The undertaking defined in this E/ includes rapid transit service as far west as the York/Peel boundary. Should Peel Region or the City of Brampton choose to plan additional service within their municipal boundary, York Region will work with the neighbouring jurisdiction to integrate services accordingly. Transit fare integration is outside the scope of this EA.		g) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No			
		12 cont'd	(h) The pedestrian environment is not adequately addressed at the boundary of Peel/York Region. The EA study indicates that Hwy 7 may be perceived as a highway-like road, which in turn with the introduction of transit service vehicles could create an unfriendly environment for pedestrians" (page 10-5). In order to attract transit users, it is important to provide a safe, comfortable and attractive pedestrian environment. An unfriendly pedestrian environment can be a barrier for commuters to choose transit as their preferred mode of transportation. Therefore, more effort should be taken to ensure the pedestrian friendliness of the project.	n) As shown on Figure 9-2, sidewalks are planned for bo sides of Hwy 7 as far west as the York/Peel boundary (Hwy 50). A conceptual streetscape plan is described in Section 9.1.1 of the EA report. A detailed streetscape plan will be developed during detailed design. Page 10-5 (Table 10.4-2) identifies potential Environmental Effects. The table also identifies the Built-in Positive Attributes of the undertaking (i.e. Design transitway to facilitate safe pedestrian road crossings with median refuge. Improved streetscaping in order to create a friendlier pedestrian environment).		 h) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No			

Actio	n for comments re	eceived fr Publ	Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 (ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment	Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Final Report	Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			i) On page E-5, the description of route alternatives is provided for Segment A: between Hwy 50 and Hwy 400. It is mentioned that "the only feasible route alternative is to locate the transitway in the median o the existing Hwy 7 cross-section". The above statement needs to be discussed further and coordinated with Peel Region and the City of Brampton for further service integration.	route alternatives for Segment A (York/Peel boundar to Hwy 400) and includes the consideration of six		i) Status - No Action Required		No		
Durham Region	Mr. Ramesh Jagannathan, Manager Transportation Planning and Research	13	a) As noted in the EA report, the preferred option proposes buses operating in mixed traffic between the York-Durham Line and Reesor Rd, until such time as an extension of the transitway is warranted. Durham Region supports the wording that has been added to Section 8.3.6.1 since the draft EA report, which states that additional r.o.w. east of Reesor Rd should be acquired through the site plan process for adjacent development, in order to accommodate dedicated transit lanes in the long-term.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status - Does not apply to the H2 segment		No		
			b) The Region will assume local transit services from the area municipalities on January 1, 2006. Accordingly, Durham Region Transit is committed to working with York Region Transit to coordinate future transit service delivery.	b) Comment noted.		b) Status - No Action Required		No		
		13 cont'd		c) Comment noted. York Region Transit will work with Durham Region Transit to ensure coordinated servic at the boundary between the two jurisdictions.		 c) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 	2	No		
			 d) The choice of Hwy 7 for rapid transit services, over Hwy 407, is understandable given York Region's 	 Comment noted. As noted in this comment and described in the Region's Transportation Master Plan 		d) Status - No Action Required		No		

Actior	Appendix 2 Action for comments received from the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment Final Report					Compliance Monitoring				Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes			
			focus on intra-regional urban transit services. The Hwy 407 Transitway, however, is more significant from an inter-regional point of view. As such, rapid transit service on Hwy 7 should be treated and designed to be complementary with future Hwy 407 Transitway services, rather than competitive.	and in various sections of the EA report, the undertaking is a key component of the York Region Rapid Transit Plan, which focuses on intra-regional urban rapid transit, with connections to inter-regiona services (such as GO Rail and 407 Transitway) and other neighbouring rapid transit (TTC etc).									
Foronto and Region Conservation Authority	Ms. Beth Williston	14	a) TRCA recognizes that the Preferred Design requires a new crossing of the Rouge River (see figure 9-60). Staff met on site with York Region and Rouge Park representatives to discuss the implications of this crossing on November 18, 2005. Further to this meeting, staff completed its review of the document and advises that TRCA has no objection to the proposed crossing, as its impact to the placement and function of the transitway is now understood.	 TRCA agreement in principle to the proposed Rouge River crossing is noted. 	York Region	a) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment	2	No					
			b) Table 8.3-9 should be revised in order to clearly distinguish this alternative as preferable to the others, particularly as it will have the greatest negative impact on the natural environment.	A revised Table 8.3-9 is included in the attached supplemental information to TRCA. The table is revised to include more of the detailed information a presented in Table 8.3-5 and wording as summarize in the text of section 8.3.5.1 that better distinguishes the preferred alignment alternative.		 b) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No					
			c) Any new crossing of a valley or stream corridor has a significant impact on the ecological function of the system. In accordance with TRCA's Valley and Stream Corridor Management Program as well as Rouge Park programs and policies, valley and stream crossings must be minimized in order to preserve the environmental integrity of the system. To this end, TRCA is advising that any future crossings of the Rouge River and its tributaries in thi area are of significant concern. TRCA and Rouge Park will require that future Environmental Assessment or Planning Act applications in this area be developed such that no new crossings of the Rouge River, Apple Creek or Beaver Creek are approved.	 Comment noted for future Environmental Assessment or Planning Act applications in this area. 		 c) Status –Does not apply to H2 segment 		No					
		14 conťď	d) TRCA requests that York Region commit to restoring the surrounding valley land and floodplain as part of a compensation plan to address the impacts associated with this new crossing. This process would include the acquisition of the flood plain	1) The Region will work with TRCA to develop a compensation plan during detailed design that satisfies the agencies requirements. As noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits an identified as part of post-EA approval activities.		d) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment	2	No					

Action	for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Notes		
			property west of Warden Avenue and south of Cedarland Drive for this purpose. A restoration plan should be prepared in consultation with TRCA staff to ensure that Terrestrial Natural Heritage objectives are met to maximize the ecological benefit to this area. Notwithstanding the above, additional compensation may be required when this project moves to detailed design.								
			 Please note that other outstanding TRCA concerns are provided below: e) The sentence in the third paragraph on page E-7 tha ends " to preserve the aquatic habitat" should be revised to read " to preserve the aquatic and terrestrial habitat". 	e) Comment noted.		e) Status - No Action Required		No			
			f) It should be noted on Page 9-16 that the minimum crossing opening for Local Alignment C3-4 to satisfy geomorphic requirements is expected to be approximately 80 to 120 metres, and may be greater depending on site conditions. Additionally, the conceptual crossing structure profile and dimensions should be removed from Fig 9-60 to ensure that the EA is not misinterpreted to read that a 30 metre crossing may be permitted.	f) Section 9.1.5 (27) indicates that a meander belt analysis and a 100 year erosion limit will be determined during preliminary and detailed design to determine the sizing of the bridge span for the planned Rouge River crossing. Figure 9-60 also indicates that the sizing of the structure will be determined during the design phase. A revised figur 9-60 is attached and has been revised to delete the reference to a 30 metre structure span.		f) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment	2	No			
		14 cont'd	g) Table 8.2-1 has been revised to include an indicator			g) Status – <u>future for H2-VMC</u> [<u>A</u>] <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> To be resolved with TRCA in the Detail Design phase / permit approval stage.		No	2013 ACR: noted that this item is future work.		

Action	l for comments re		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment							liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			 h) The number of new and widened watercourse crossings associated with each alternative route should be included in Table 8.3-2, as per evaluation tables in other sections. i) The transitway station on Fig 9-60 should be removed from the Rouge Valley corridor and regional 	 h) The three alternatives for Segment B East (refer to page 8-10 of the EA report) have the following new/widened watercourse crossings. Alternative B4 – No new or widened crossings required. Alternative B5 – New crossings include: Westminste Creek east of Dufferin Street; West Don River east of Dufferin Street; West Don River east of Bathurst Street; Widened structures at Hwy 7 over East Don River. Alternative B6 – No new crossings or widened crossings required. With the inadvertent omission of listing the watercourse crossings from Table 8.3-2 in the EA report, the selection of Alternative B6 as the Technically Preferred Alternative B6 as the station location and design solution to meet TRCA 		 h) Status - No Action Required i) Status - Does not apply to the H2 seament 		No		
			floodplain. The note provided does not sufficiently indicate that the station location must be outside the valley corridor and floodplain.	requirements for protection of the valley corridor and flood plain based on a detailed survey of site conditions.						
			j) The Stormwater Management Preliminary Assessment provided in Appendix G is not sufficient to confirm that an effective stormwater management system for the transitway can be provided, and therefore the "insignificant" level of impact to water quality assumed in Table 10.4-3 cannot be confirmed. The material provided in Appendix G does not confirm the locations and availability of land for stormwater management measures and for many segments of the transitway no stormwater management measure are proposed. The consultan presents an argument to explain the latter in Appendix G as follows: "The existing roadway runoff has a greater impact on the downstream watercourses that the potential increase in runoff due to the proposed transitway. Stormwater management in urbanized areas should therefore be developed as part of an initiative to provide treatmen on a watershed basis rather than trying to manage the incremental change resulting from the proposed transitway. This type of initiative would be separate	the fulfillment of treatment of this objective is not acceptable. Additional information regarding the Stormwater Management Preliminary Assessment is included as supplementary information with this response to TRCA.			[A] [B] Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 – H2 5.02 (ID# 6476)[2011] [A] [B] [1] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) [A] [B] Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) – August 3, 2010 H2 5.04 (ID# 6279)	Yes	[1] EF (2012) [A] EF (2013)	The evidence found that the draft drainage study was completed. 2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The drainage report was updated from draft (ID 7720) to final report (ID 8459). No review was undertaken. The evidence provided was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.

Action	n for comments red		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment I			Compliance Monito	pring	Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			from the current environmental assessment for the Hwy 7 Corridor Public Transit Improvements." This rationale does not justify that lack of proposed treatment for portions of the transitway, as it is the objective of the TRCA to obtain a net benefit in wate quality treatment for all new transportation infrastructure projects. Deferring the fulfillment of treatment of this objective to large scale initiatives for urban stormwater retrofit, as the consultant suggests is not acceptable, as it has been shown to be significantly more difficult and costly to provide stormwater treatment in a retrofit context than incrementally during the design and construction of new infrastructure. Therefore, the Proponent should demonstrate that stormwater measures for the transitway can be provided that will provide a net improvement in water quality in the receiving watercourses. The appendix should be revised to address stormwater management for all sections of transitway that will be service by each measure. It may be useful for the consultant to review the recent EA report for the Markham Bypass (southern portion being prepared by the Regional Municipality of York, as it contains an appendix that addresses stormwate to a comparable level of detail as is expected in the response to the above comments.				[A] [2011]Draft H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report, August 8, 2011 (ID#7720) [A] [1] vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) [A] Letter from TRCA, September 4, 2013, noting approval in principle of the stormwater management plan (ID#0488)				
		14 conťď	k) Suitable information has not been provided to confirm that impacts to terrestrial passage at stream crossings will be "insignificant", after mitigation, as indicated on Table 10.4-3 under objective C2. In particular, the extension of existing crossings may significantly reduce the potential for wildlife use and these effects cannot be entirely mitigated with the types of measures proposed, particularly as the option of "increasing vertical and horizontal clearances" is not available for the extension of existing crossings. In the absence of additional information, the level of significance after mitigation for this item should be ranked as at least "moderately significant".	k) Culverts/bridges that will not be replaced for transitway insertion in the roadway cross-section will be investigated further during detail design to formulate site-specific retrofit opportunities to enhance wildlife passage. The culvert extensions required are not expected to significantly impede or improve wildlife passage under Highway 7. As suggested by TRCA, the level of significance after mitigation can be considered to be moderate in the absence of additional information to be provided during the design and permit approval phase of the project.		k) <u>Status – future for H2-VMC</u> [A] <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> To be resolved in the Detail Design phase / discussed wit TRCA, as required.		No		2013 ACR: item noted as future work.	

Action	for comments re		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment F		Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			I) The monitoring frequency in Table 11.4-1 for "effect of construction on water quality and quantity in watercourses" should be revised to indicate that monitoring should occur after every major storm event.	 Comment noted and will be carried forward to the design and construction phase of the project. 			([<u>A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-</u> <u>11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)</u>	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided states the monitoring frequency to be "after storm events and on weekly basis minimum" as part of the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. The ESCP is listed as Appendix A. The document reference should be updated to show the ESCP is the location	
			m) The discussion of water quality and quantity monitoring in Table 11.4-2 is not satisfactory as the monitoring methods and frequency are not appropriate for the monitoring purposes. Specifically, monitoring of sediment accumulation in stormwater management facilities will not indicate the effect of snow and ice removal in corridor watercourses. It is recommended that separate monitoring items be developed for sediment accumulation, stormwater management facilities and impacts of snow and ice removal. Water quality impacts of snow and ice removal, as well as regular transit operations, should be monitored by measuring chlorides, suspended sediment, and other water quality parameters, at the outlets of the various stromwater management facilities during both storm and snowmelt events. The accumulation of sediment in stormwater management facilities should be monitored by measuring the accumulation at a reasonable interval based on the expected sediment loading and storage capacity of the facility. Table 11.4-2 should be revised accordingly.	m) The Region will develop a detailed monitoring program covering all aspects noted during detailed design in consultation with TRCA. All required measurements, specifically to assess the effect of the transitway insertion, will be included in the monitoring program.		will be developed during Deta Design.	[<u>A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013-</u> i <u>11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)</u> [<u>A] Letter from TRCA, September</u> <u>4, 2013, noting approval in</u> <u>principle of the stormwater</u> <u>management plan (ID#0488)</u>	Yes	[A] EF (2013)	2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.	

Action	for comments rec		Appendix 2 om the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 C c Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment		Compliance Monitoring				Comp	pliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		14 cont'd	 n) It has been correctly identified that all culvert and bridge extensions or widenings may result in the Harmful Alteration, Disruption or Destruction of fish habitat and that compensation under the Fisheries Act may be required. At the detailed design stage, TRCA ecology staff will review all culvert/bridge modifications, and will require that: a) Any potential impacts are mitigated whenever possible; b) Effective sediment and erosion controls are provided; and c) There will be a net benefit to the aquatic an floodplain system. Please note that it is possible that additional watercourses may be identified during detailed design stage, and that a TRCA permit and review under Fisheries Act, along with all other applicable legislation may apply. 	 n) Comment noted to be carried forward to the detailed design phase (as noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits are identified as part of post-EA approval activities). 		 n) <u>Status – ongoing for H2- VMC [A]</u> <u>Status – future for H2 [B]</u> [A] [B] An Environmental Control Plan will be developed during Detail Design.[1] [A] [B] H2 conceptual design consultation with TRCA has commenced regarding proposed works on March 17, 2010. [A] [B] At a meeting on June 24, 2010, TRCA staff indicated that based on the information provided, the effects of the proposed works in these segments could be mitigated [2] and that consequently, a Letter of Advice would be acceptable as a HADD would not result at any crossing. 	Minutes of Meeting: Meeting TRCA – Review of Vivanext phase H2 – Hwy 7, Centre Street, Bathurst Street - March 17, 2010 (ID# 6562) Minutes of Meeting: TRCA with York Consortium – June 24, 2010 (ID# 6386) [2] [A] H2VMC-ENV-EMP-R02-2013- 11-18-SGH (KED ID# 2013-001)	No	[2] EF 2010	ACR 2010: Document reviewed: #6386 supported assertion of no HADD. 2012 ACR: status was changed to Future. 2013 ACR: not reviewed as the considiitionis is TRCA review. The Letter of Advice (confiming no HADD) mentioned in the decription is not provided.
			 Note that the tributary at station 541+300 (approx.) is being relocated to the east. Please contact Leslie Piercey for more information. 	 comment noted to be carried forward to the detailed design phase (as noted in section 11.2.1, the requirement for TRCA permits are identified as part of post-EA approval activities). 		 o) Status - <u>does not apply to</u> <u>H2 segment</u> <u>The noted tributary is</u> <u>located east of Birchmount</u> <u>Road, which is not in the H2</u> segment. 		No		2013 ACR: noted that this item does not apply to H2 segment.
			p) Impacts to groundwater resources will need to be addressed in greater detail, particularly in terms of construction related impacts from any required dewatering. Studies will be required to identify quantities, durations and zones of influence associated with aquifer depressurization or dewatering, along with any other environmental impacts that may be anticipated. Mitigation plans wil be needed to protect any associated natural heritage features and groundwater related resources. Areas of particular concern have been identified within the EA report (between Hwy 400 and Jane St, and Hwy	p) Comment noted. The impacts on groundwater resources and the features affected by them, throughout the entire Highway 7 Corridor, will be identified during the detailed design phase when the extent of any dewatering is known. Mitigation plans will be developed to provide the necessary protectior for natural heritage features and groundwater related resources in consultation with TRCA and other appropriate authorities.		p) Status – <u>future for H2-VMC</u> [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] No requirement for dewatering has been identifie so far during the H2 preliminary engineering phase Dewatering requirements will be reviewed during Detail Design and if required, appropriate mitigation plans		No		2013 ACR: noted that this item is future work.

Action	for comments rec		Appendix 2 rom the <u>Government Review Team</u> on the Highway 7 ic Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment			Compliance Monito	ring	Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name						Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			404 and McCowan Rd), however, groundwater resources and the features dependent on them will need to be identified and protected throughout the entire corridor during the detailed design phase.			will be developed.				
		14 conťď	q) Please note that the area identified for the Vaughan	contacted during the detailed design phase.		 q) Status – Does not apply to H2 segment 		No		

Action for c	Appendix 3 Action for comments received from the Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements					Compliance Monito	ring		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
	Mr. Jeff Stone	1	 a) Section 6.1.1.5 – To the locations of the additional terminals add the following: Promenade: Southwes of Bathurst and Centre; Vaughan Mills: Southwest of Jane and Rutherford; and York University: Southwest of Keele and Steeles. 	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) to n) Status - No Action Required		No		
			 Section 6.1.2.5 b) Add to the Bathurst St Station "for Hwy 7 West" or future GO Transitway. 	b) Comment noted.				No		
			c) Yonge and Centre Station was omitted. Was the level unacceptable?	c) Both Yonge St and Centre St are included in the listings of level of service in Section 6.1.2.5 of the EA report.				No		
			d) Where are the ratios of traffic at Laidlaw Blvd?	d) Existing traffic at the Laidlaw Blvd. intersection is operating at an acceptable level hence it does not appear in the listing of intersections at or near unacceptable levels of service.				No		
			Beverly Glen" and "There is a threat of neighbourhood traffic infiltration" to the Wiltshire Neighbourhood.	e) Comment noted				No		
			f) Section 6.3.3.1 – Under the City of Vaughan, note that Thornhill is divided in half at Yonge St betweer Vaughan and Markham, not Vaughan and Richmond Hill. Note that Thornhill is not in Richmond Hill as it is entirely below Hwy 7.	 Inadvertant error acknowledged. Reference to Richmond Hill is incorrect. 				No		
			 g) Section 6.3.3.2 – Add the future areas at Bathurst and Centre/Promenade. 	g) Comment noted.				No		
			 h) Section 6.4.1.1 – Under Thornhill (Yonge St and Centre St), add that Yonge and Centre is an epicentre. 	h) Comment noted.				No		
			 Section 7.2 – Add "Proximity to development and origin-destination node/traffic generators". 	i) Comment noted.				No		
			 j) Section 7.3 – Add "intrusion into land uses" and "Public comfort stations/commercial land uses nearby". 	i) Comment noted.				No		
			 k) Figures 8.3-7, 8.3-9 and 8.3-10 – Add transit station at Bathurst and Hwy 7 West (Connection to GO/40 Transitway). 	 k) Comment noted. Potential station at Bathurst St and Hwy 7 identified in Section 8.3.3 of the EA report. 				No		
			 Page 8.3.20 – The best choice for Hospital Comple as midpoint in the area, therefore is most accessible. 					No		
				m) B3 is an alternative to B1 and B2 and does not correspond with the section of route containing B6.				No		

Action for c	Appendix 3 Action for comments received from the Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements					Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	bliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
		1 conťd	 Table 8.3-2 – Why was B6 chosen when B-4 has 3 least responsive and B4 and B6 have no criteriae? 	 B6 was assessed as having greater potential for the development of transit supportive land uses with convenient access to the stations while having no adverse effects that could not be mitigated. 				No		
			 Page 9.1 – GO stations in Woodbridge near Hwy 7 and Islington in Kleinberg are not shown in the plan 	 Stations on potential future GO services are not shown in the figure. 		Status - No Action Required		No		
			Figure 9-25 p) One bus terminal is shown on the North side, but two terminals are shown on the Spadina Extension EA plan.	o) The figure shows only the Region-owned land designated for future transit terminal use. Any additional terminal facilities required are part of the undertaking for the Spadina Subway Extension EA.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
			 Add one terminal on the south side of Steeles Ave (i.e. permanent for TTC routes S. of Steeles Ave). 	Terminals on the south side of Steeles Ave are not part of the undertaking for this EA but may be included in the City of Toronto/TTC's Spadina Subway extension EA.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No		
			r) Figure 9-35 – Add a second gap on Centre St to adequately serve retailers or some stores will die.	As shown in Figure 9-35 of the EA report, a full movement intersection (signalized) has been shown conceptually providing access to the lands north of Centre St between Vaughan Blvd and New Westminster Dr.		Status – does not apply to H2-VMC Status – ongoing for H2 Final location of the full movement intersection will be determined during Detail Design and in consultation with affected property owners Location of the full movement intersection has been determined during the PE Design.		No	EF (2012)	2012 ACR: status changed to ongoing. The evidence provided (ID 3770) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item to be reviewed in Deta Design. 2013 ACR: noted that this item does no apply to H2-VMC segment.
			Figure 9-36 s) The station site west of Promenade loop is on a slope and could pose stopping problems.	A station at the location shown will meet design standards.		Status - No Action Required		No		
			t) The right turn lane should be extended south of Centre St to the condo building entrance for flow.	The extent of turning lanes will be determined after further analysis of needs during the detailed design phase.		Status – does not apply to H2-VMC Status – future for H2 To be reviewed during H2 Detailed Design phase		No		2013 ACR: noted that this item does no apply to H2-VMC segment.
			 Add a one to two lane northbound road versus thre lanes shown in both directions on future plans. 	direction, with the additional lanes being dedicated to rapid transit.		Status - No Action Required		No		
			 Note the northbound station north of Atkinson pose a problem for the retail strip plaza vehicle access. 	 Access to the plaza on the east side of Bathurst St will be possible by making either a U-turn SB at the Atkinson Ave intersection followed by a right-turn 		Status - No Action Required		No		

Action for	comments received	from th	Appendix 3 e Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	orth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Compliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result Notes
			 w) Note the southbound station south of Atkinson poses a problem for school and community centre 	 into the plaza, or a left turn into Atkinson Ave and a second left-turn into the southern entrance to the plaza. w) Access to the community centre and school will be possible through the signalized intersection at New Westington Dr. 		Status - No Action Required		No	
			 access. x) Section 12 – A1 Station Site: The advantages are is a better choice as it is under Steeles completely; lesser capital cost as no expropriation needed nor use of vacant land; better service to York University and has least effect on future development; and central location as perpendicular site allows access to all terminals. The disadvantage is that this location poses higher noise and vibration problems 	Westminster Dr. x) Comment noted.		Status- Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
		1 conťd		y) Overall terminal requirements at the Steeles Ave subway station are being defined by the Spadina Subway Extension EA. The station site will be addressed as part of the Spadina EA.		Status – Does not apply to H2 segment		No	
			z) In general, the EA omits reference to other potentia east-west or north-south arterial corridors for rapid transit in future in south York Region.	z) The modeling of future rapid transit ridership has assumed enhanced transit service on parallel arterial routes in both the east-west and north-south directions.		Status- No Action Required		No	
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP	Mr. Stephen Waque	2	 a) Counsel for property owners whose lands are located on the north side of Centre St, between Ne Westminster Dr and Dufferin St. It appears to their client that the analysis being undertaken is still defective in that it fails to recognize and implement the policies set out in City of Vaughan OPA 672. Ir particular, policies numbered 8 and 9 in that OPA. The lawyers would appreciate specific acknowledgement of their client's concerns and a specific response indicating how the Proponent will address them. The following are the excerpts from the City of Vaughan OPA 672: OPA 672 – Section 8 notes that amending OPA#210, Section 2.2.3.6, General Commercial Areas, by adding the following paragraph to subsection b): "Council consideration should be given to broadening the permitted retail and service commercial uses within an implementing zoning by law and definitions to allow a greater range of commercial uses which reflect evolving consumer needs without imposing negative impacts on neighbouring residential areas." 	a) As shown on Figure 9-35 of the EA report, a full movement intersection (signalized) has been shown conceptually providing access to the lands north of Centre St between Vaughan Blvd and New Westminster Dr. As noted on Figure 9-35, the final location of the full movement intersection will be determined during detailed design and in consultation with affected property owners.	York Region	Status – does not apply to H2- VMC Status – ongoing for H2 Final location of the full movement intersection will be determined during Detail Design and in consultation with affected property owners. Location of the full movement intersection has been determined during the PE Design.	Operational Review - Centre St: Dufferin to Bathurst, Contract H2 Task 4.7, DRAFT, January 6, 2009 (ID#3770) H2 Remainder Preliminary Engineeing Design 30% Drawings March 13, 2012 (ID#8359)	No	 EF (2012) 2012 ACR: Status changed to ongoing. The evidence provided (ID 3770) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed. Item to be reviewed in Detail Design. 2013 ACR: noted that item does not apply to H2-VMC.

Action for comments	received fro	om the	Appendix 3 Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	rth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative Nar	me	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
Mr. Lloyd	1 Helferty	3 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		York Region	Status – <u>complete for H2-VMC [A]</u>	[A] [B] [2011]Draft Conceptual	Yes	[A] [1] EF	2012 ACR: Numbering was added for clarity.
			include, for both environmental and health reasons the accommodation of additional space along the transitway corridor for safe and "continuous" passage of non-motorized vehicles, particularly bicycles, foot traffic and other human-powered or small-capacity vehicles (e.g. scooters or segways). The path would be a positive environmental benefit to the users of the traffic corridor because the user of the transit corridor could choose, on those days which have appropriate weather for alternate mode of travel, to safely use a pathway instead of a private vehicle or public transit (which itself uses internal combustion technology and is beneficial in reducing emissions but does not eliminate them). <i>r</i> pathway along the transit route could significantly reduce both the traffic congestion along the corrido as well as reducing the emissions that would otherwise have resulted from elimination of the use of an additional vehicle on the road. "Continuous" meaning the pathway should not be broken along any section because of incompleteness or obstruction (such as highway bridges), and should allow the passage of small/ligi vehicles without the users of such a path having to resort to simultaneous use of the same roadway as heavy vehicles.	for consideration during development of the detailed streetscape plan (Section 9.1.1 of the EA report describes the conceptual streetscape plan). As identified on Figures 9.1-2 to 9.1-10, a 2.0 m sidewalk is proposed along each side of the transitway/road corridor for pedestrians [1]. As shown on Figures 13.9-3 to 13.9-5, a 3.0 m bicycle path is proposed from Warden Ave to east of Sciberras Rd [2] and has been developed in consultation with the local municipality. The local municipality has jurisdiction over bike paths. At the time of detailed streetscape design, York Region will continue to work with local municipalities to incorporate additional streetscape facilities and bicycle access to stations where feasible.		Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] Attention will be given to the development of a streetscape plan in Detail Design. Consultation with municipalities commenced as described under item 33 of this document. [A] [B] Cross sections will be adjusted where possible to provide fo bicycle lanes and maximize median green space during Detail Design. At this time, General Requirements for bicycle lanes of 1.4 m wide in each direction with a 0.5 m buffer between adjacent traffic lanes are recommended, where possible, in both the Draft H2 Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8 2010 and the Draft H2 Preliminary Design Basis & Criteria Report, August 8, 2011. [A] [1] The Streetscape Planting Plans, Paving Plans and Layout and Details meet the requirements for a detailed streetscape plan. Minutes of City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings record detailed streetscape plan and bicycle path / access consultation. [2] Does not apply to H2-VMC or H2 segments.	Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 – H2 5.02 (ID# 6476) [A] [B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) [A] Draft Highway 7 Segment H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Section Design Basis & Criteria Report, August 8, 2011 (ID#7719) [A] H2VMC Streetscape Layout and Details H2VMC-DWG-R-LND- 060901 (ID#0187) [A] H2VMC Streetscape Planting Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060902 (ID#0486) [A] Streetscape Paving Plan H2VMC-DWG-R-LND-060903 (ID#0436) [A] Minutes of Meetings: City of Vaughan Task Force Meetings- 2013 (ID#0116)			The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided for [A] was found to support the assertion [1] on how the condition was addressed. It is noted that [2] does not apply to H2-VMC or H2.

Action for	comments received	from t	Appendix 3 ne Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	orth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Compliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result Notes
	Mr. James Puddy	4	a) Mr. Puddy mailed letters concerning the meetings a Markville on September 19, 2003 and September 17, 2004 and had no replies. He went to the Markham Town Centre to review the EA report and noticed that there were eighty replies from the total of twelve meetings and did not see his letter of September 19, 2003, although his letter of September 17, 2004 was recorded. The following are his comments on the EA report:	inadvertently omitted to acknowledge receipt of Mr. Puddy's letters and respond to the comments contained in them. However, the comments were taken into consideration in evaluating alternatives and developing the preferred design for the undertaking. The responses below indicate how his comments were addressed in the EA report.		Status- No Action Required		No	
			b) The transit lane should be in the curb lanes with the transit stops at the far side of the traffic control intersections.	b) Curb side transit lanes were considered in the EA report (refer to Section 5.4.1, Alternative Locations within a Road r.o.w.). Table 5.4-1 provides an evaluation of the alternative locations for the transit lanes, with a median transitway identified as the preferred location. The typical station layout includes far side stops at intersections with traffic and pedestrian control signals (refer to Figure 7.3-1).		Status- No Action Required		No	
		4 conťď	c) The transit lanes should run straight along the corridor with a subway or overpass at the GO crossing and not detoured up and down to the GO station where the trains operate approximately two hours each direction on working days.	c) Alternative routes and alignments were considered and evaluated in the EA (refer to Section 5.3.1, Analysis and Evaluation of Alternative Technology/Route Combinations and Section 8.3, Development of Segment Alignment Alternatives). In addition to inter-connectivity with GO Rail services, the routing selected serves the planned mixed-use Markham Centre where significant transit-supportive development is planned.		Status- No Action Required		No	
			d) The raised transit lanes will separate the corridor into a north and south side of the community requiring at each traffic control intersection numerous traffic light functions such as through, right, left and U-turns.	d) As noted in Section 9.1.1 of the EA, a streetscape concept has been developed in consultation with local municipalities to be a catalyst for transit- oriented development and attract transit ridership by creating a pedestrian friendly environment. The effect on traffic operations was considered in the evaluation of options to locate a transitway in a roadway (refer to Table 5.4-1) and the analysis of traffic conditions during operation of the transit service (refer to Chapter 10). In addition, traffic operations will be monitored during rapid transit operations as noted in Table 11.4-2.		Status- No Action Required		No	
			 comments b through d will increase gridlock, pollution, safety and will affect the community environment (surroundings). 	 e) Environmental criteria for assessing the effects of the undertaking on congestion, pollution and safety are included in Section 10.4 - Analysis of Environmental Effects and Mitigation, of the EA report. 		Status- No Action Required		No	

Action for c	comments received	I from th	Appendix 3 e Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	orth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
			Comments from PCC#4, September 17, 2004 f) Mr. Puddy spoke to a representative of Lynton Erskine at the Markville Mall presentation on September 17, 2004. He does not consider the present plan will enhance the quality of life in the Hwy 7 Corridor.	f) Protecting and enhancing the social environment in the corridor was a key objective in the development of the undertaking (refer to Chapter 1 and Chapter 10, Table 10.4-2).		Status- No Action Required		No		
			g) The transit lanes should be in the curb lane of Hwy 7 corridor with stops at the far side of intersections.	g) Curb side transit lanes were considered in the EA report (refer to Section 5.4.1, Alternative Locations within a Road r.o.w.). Table 5.4-1 provides an evaluation of the alternative locations for the transit lanes, with a median transitway identified as the preferred location. The typical station layout includes far side stops at intersections with traffic and pedestrian control signals (refer to Figure 7.3-1).		Status- No Action Required		No		
			 h) The level crossing on Hwy 7 in Unionville should have an underpass allowing safe passage for GO trains and Hwy 7 traffic which was done at Finch Ave, west of Leslie St. 	 h) Comment noted. Refer to Figure 9-63 of the EA report which shows a proposed underpass for the transitway crossing of the GO Stouffville line. 		Status- No Action Required		No		
		4 conťď	i) The transit line in the middle of Hwy 7 corridor with its left and U-turns at intersections are not safe and convenient for pedestrians or vehicles contributing to gridlock and pollution. The transit line should no be detoured off the Hwy 7 corridor to the GO statio for four trains each way on working days.	i) Refer to responses c and d above.		Status- No Action Required		No		
			j) The primary purpose of what used to be a provincia highway was for the movement of goods, people and services and should be the main function of thi arterial road serving a commercial area.	j) The purpose of the undertaking is presented in Section 1.2.2 of the EA report. The existing Social Environment is described in Section 6.3 and includes a wide range of adjacent land uses.		Status- No Action Required		No		
			 Comments from PCC#3, September 19, 2003 k) The preferred plan for enhancing the quality of life i the Hwy 7 corridor is similar to the Spadina Ave transit in Toronto and Mr. Puddy does not consider that the Toronto system meets any of our criteria fo the proposed plan. 	 k) Comment noted. Analysis and Evaluation of Alternatives to the Undertaking is provided in Chapter 3 of the EA report. 		Status- No Action Required		No		
			 Mr. Puddy suggests that the preferred plan for all purposes would be better located in either the hydr or 407 corridors. 	 Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors). 		Status- No Action Required		No		
			m) The rapid transit line in the centre of the Hwy 7 corridor would not contribute to the safety and convenience of pedestrians or other users. The detouring of the transit line off the corridor to connect with the GO station for only 10 trains on working days.	 Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors). 		Status- No Action Required		No		

Action for	comments received	from th	Appendix 3 Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	rth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Compl	iance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
			 n) The transit line should be built in the curb lanes and an underpass built at the Hwy 7 corridor and the G level crossing which would allow passengers to transfer to the GO trains and provide a safe Hwy 7 corridor by eliminating a level crossing. 	 Alternative alignments (including Hwy 407 and sections of hydro corridors) were considered in the EA (refer to Section 5.1, Rapid Transit Corridors). 		Status- No Action Required		No		
	Ms. Gloria Boxen	5	a) Ms. Boxen welcomes the Region's decision to improve transit but is concerned about the Region's inability to address land use planning where it work against good transit and community development and when it doesn't dare to hope that people will ge out of their cars and walk.	a) Approval of site plan development is a local municipal jurisdiction and subject to the Ontario Planning Act, as well as conformance with land use as provided in the York Region Official Plan. The Region is also undertaking a Centres and Corridors Study to facilitate development of both the Regional Centres and Corridors with more intensive development supporting transit ridership (the Region's planning initiatives are briefly described in Section 12.1.1 of the EA report).	York Region	Status- No Action Required		No		
			b) The evaluation and comments provided are based to on the following principles: 1) Efficient use of resources, existing infrastructure, land, energy, and most direct route to service the most people and destinations, with least environmental impacts; 2) Promotes health, reduces air, water and soil pollution by reducing the use and need for private vehicles, and promotes walking and cycling; 3) Other environmental concerns – Decreases the need for paved and other impervious surfaces and reduces flood potential. Increases vegetation to reduce runoff, provide shade, filter pollutants, and absorb CO2. reduces greenhouse gas emissions and moderated the effects of climate change; 4) Promotes community health – stops and terminals are located near centres of activity. Accessible to all residents in geographical sense and to those wi physical handicaps. Inclusive of residents regardless of age and economical status; and 5) Convenience.	b) Comment noted. Many of the factors noted here have been included throughout the EA (Chapter 5 - Alternative Methods of Improving Public Transit, Chapter 7 – Planning and Design Parameters, Chapter 8 – Development and Selection of Preferred Design, and Chapter 10 – Assessment of the Undertaking).		Status- No Action Required		No		
		5 cont'd	 Current Events c) Ms. Boxen presumes that the study does not include the impacts of the construction of the additional lanes on Hwy 407 in the central portion that are exempt from environmental assessment. These impacts should be added to those calculated for any added lanes to Hwy 7. 	c) The widening of Hwy 407 is not included as part of the proposed undertaking and not under the jurisdiction of York Region.		Status- No Action Required		No		
			 d) Does the study take into account today's world? The world has changed since the study commenced. Gas prices have gone from cheap to 	 Comment noted. The undertaking will have a positive effect on improving mobility as noted in Table 10.4-1 of the EA report. 		Status – No action required		No		

Action for c	omments received	I from th	Appendix 3 e Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan Nort	h-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
			 a point where people are actively looking for other means of transportation such as walking and cycling, as well as transit. e) Price volatility has mirrored the weather's volatility. e) Scientists have predicted the weather extremes an severity would increase with increased greenhouse 	Comment noted. As noted in Table 10.4-3 of the EA report, the recommended undertaking will have a net positive effect on local and Regional Air Quality.		e) Status – No action required		No		
			gases and climate change. f) Decreasing the permeable surfaces through increased road pavement and loss of greenspace helps to increase the risk of flooding. If we are to implement infrastructure changes to accommodate rapid transit, they must be taken from existing pave surfaces or be in the form of rail. In August there was local flooding in basements in Thornhill and North York. Finch Avenue near Jane Street was washed out at Black Creek. Look again at the calculated impacts of increased river crossings and determine if they are realistic in view of what happened in August.	Comment noted. As noted in Table 11.3-1 (I.D. #5.1) of the EA report, the Proponent will develop a detailed storm water management plan during the detailed design phase of the proposed undertaking.		[A] York Region and City of	[A] [B] Draft Drainage Study for Vivanext H2: Highway 7 (Y.R.7), Centre Street (Y.R.71), Bathurst Street (Y.R.38) – August 3, 2010 H2 5.04 (ID# 6279) [A] [2011]Draft H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report, August 8, 2011 (ID#7720) [A] vivaNext H2 Vaughan Metropolitan Centre (VMC) Drainage Report, Final April 05, 2012(ID#8459) [A] Minutes of Meeting: Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Discussion of Initial Comments and Responses - September 9, 2013 (ID#0507)	Yes	EFC 2010	The evidence provided confirms that the Draft Drainage study was completed. 2012 ACR: The drainage report was updated from draft (ID 7720) to final report (ID 8459). No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: the evidence provided (ID#0507) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
			Road Capacity g) Four lanes of road at capacity is not a signal to addg) additional lanes of road. Rather they are an indicator for increasing road efficiency by adding more public transit, separated bike lanes and sheltered sidewalks. This is the point at which travel demand is high enough to support these alternative modes of transportation and opportunity to reduce car dependency. If instead road capacity is increased by adding more lanes, induced traffic demand results as it becomes initially easier to driv to further destinations, perhaps permanently changing travel patterns. Time, not distance, determines how far we go. If travel distances double, traffic volumes double. The above principles are achieved by focusing on people, not	Comment noted. The recommended undertaking is predominately transit related infrastructure (as described in Chapters 9 and 12 of the EA report). Proposed road widening from Lunar Crescent (east of Woodbine Ave) to east of Sciberras Rd is presented in Chapter 13 of the EA report. The Region's Transportation Master Plan (June 2002) includes a multi-modal strategy for dealing with travel demand in York Region to 2031, including significant planned transit infrastructure as well as road improvements.		g) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action for c	Appendix 3 Action for comments received from the Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements					Compliance Monitoring Responsible Status and Description of how			Com	pliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Resul	t Notes
			cars and to move people and goods, not cars and trucks. Infrastructure h) First build infrastructure that promotes convenienced and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Provide covered, separated bikeways and sidewalks along major arteries to allow the option of walking and cycling for commuting and doing errands. Provide covered bike lockers for bicycle storage near transis stations and bike racks on transit. Land Use and Development i) Reducing of car use and dependency is achieved biland use that promotes walking and cycling.	 important criteria used in the development of the undertaking (see Tables 10.4-2 and 10.4-4 of the EA report). Figures 9.1-2 to 9.1-10 present typical cross-sections for the transitway that include pedestrian sidewalks on each side of the r.o.w. A conceptual streetscape plan is described in Section 9.1.1 – Transitway Elements. During the development of a detailed streetscape plan and transit station design, specific features such as bicycle storage will be considered. As described in Section 9.1.1 – Transitway Elements, a streetscape plan has been developed 		h) Status – <u>ongoing for H2-VMC</u> [A] Status – future for H2 [B] [A] [B] The H2 Design Basis & Criteria Report (DBCR) incorporate streetscaping recommendations and bicycle storage recommendations for transit stations: Streetscape Design Guidelines (Section 3.8), General Guidelines (Section 3.9), etc. Further attention will be given to the development of a streetscape plan in Detail Design. Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to ID#8035. [A] Detailed streetscape design for H2-VMC has incorporated these requirements. i) Status- <u>ongoing for H2-VMC [A]</u> Status – future for H2 [B]	Update to Dec 2009 Final Version, Final Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035)	Yes		2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The final report for the H2 DBCR references the design of H3 DBCR (ID 8035). Although the evidence provided (ID 8035) was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed, the item remains ongoing through detail design. No review was undertaken. 2013 ACR: evidence provided was found to show how convenience and safety for pedestrians and cyclists have been considered. Moving forward, the status should be more detailed on how the requirements have been addressed. 2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). No review was undertaken.
			Compact, mixed-use development reduces car needs. Six to ten lanes of traffic and buildings opening onto parking lots rather than streets works against reducing car dependency and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Researchers are examining the connection between community design, physical exercise and transit use, and are finding that pedestrian friendly environments promote walking and the use of transit. Examine land use and transportation through the eyes of children.	for the transitway that would be a catalyst for transit- oriented development and attract transit ridership. In addition, as described in Section 12.1.1, York Region is undertaking a number of land use planning initiatives to facilitate development of both the Regional Centres and Corridors with more intensive development supporting transit ridership.		[A] [B] The DBCR incorporates streetscaping recommendations as described in h above. These will be incorporated in Detail Design. [A] Detailed streetscape design for H2-VMC has incorporated these requirements.	6476) [A] [B] Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst			2013 ACR: The evidence provided (ID 8680 FINAL) was found to support the assertion of how the condition was addressed for [A, B]. Item remains ongoing.
		5 conťd	Conclusion j) Expensive infrastructure for rapid transit is j) The analysis and evaluation of Alternatives to the		j) Status - No Action Required		No		

Action for c	comments received	d from th	Appendix 3 e Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	rth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Comp	liance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
			unnecessary to get people out of cars and onto buses. For example, the Yonge GO Bus has been well used for decades. When high demand transit established, then concentrate on rapid transit with its own r.o.w. Transit is well used when there is connectivity to the surrounding community. Unless it is a subway, transit on its own r.o.w. is isolating. With people now actively looking for options to driving, it is an opportune time to present residents with a convenient system of public transit that provides excellent service.	Undertaking is presented in Chapter 3 of the EA report and includes consideration of local transit service improvements and GO Transit improvements. York Region Rapid Transit Corridor Initiatives was selected as the preferred alternative as described in Table 3.2-1 of the EA report.						
			Recommendation	k) Chapter 1 of the EA report sets out the fundamental objectives of the undertaking which encompass many of the recommendations of Ms Boxen. As described in Chapter 9, the recommended undertaking includes a streetscape plan that will attract transit ridership within a pedestrian friendly corridor. As noted in Table 10.4-3, the recommended undertaking will have a net positive effect on local and Regional Air Quality. The expected environmental effects and mitigation are identified in Tables 10.4-1 to 10.4-4 in the EA report.		 k) Status – completed The DBCR incorporates streetscaping recommendations as described in h above. This item is addressed in Section 3.15.2 of the DBCR, which outlines that the Furnishing Zone provides a structured area for the organization of street planting, street signage, pedestrian lighting, bike racks, garbage receptacles and benches, etc. This section further provides that these features should be placed in a manner that does not obstruct pedestrian movement. Equivalent references to Section 3 of the Draft Design Basis & Criteria Report can be found in Section 3 of ID#8680 with associated reference to ID#8035. 	[2011]Draft Conceptual Design Basis & Criteria Report, September 8, 2010 – H2 5.02 (ID# 6476) Highway 7 Segment H2 Islington Avenue to Richmond Hill Centre via Centre Street & Bathurst Street Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report FINAL June 2012. (ID#8680) Highway 7 Rapidway, Segment H3 – Yonge St to Kennedy Rd*, Preliminary Engineering Design Basis & Criteria Report, Update to Dec 2009 Final Version, Final Draft, November 2011 (ID#8035)	No	EF (2012)	ACR 2010: EF Sections 3.8 and 3.9 of the DBCR referenced in h above do incorporate provisions for streetscape design. No evidence found for consideration of bicycle storage for transit stations. 2010 - From discussion with the Owner Engineer this item is addressed in Section 3.15.2 of the DBCR (6476). Review of Section 3.15.2 shows that the Furnishing Zone provides a structured area for the organization of street planting, street signage, pedestrian lighting, bike racks, garbage receptacles and benches, etc. This section further provides that these features should be placed in a manner that does not obstruct the pedestrian movement. For these reasons commitment verification was changed from NSE to ECF. 2011 ACR: This item was not reviewed as the evidence provided is in Draft. Bolding and underline was removed. 2012 ACR: The DBCR was updated from draft (ID 6476) to final report (ID 8680). The final report for the H2 DBCR references the design of H3 DBCR (ID 8035). The evidence provided was found to support the assertion on how the condition was addressed.
			Other comments					No		

Action for c	comments received	I from the	Appendix 3 Public on the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan No	orth-South Link Public Transit Improvements		Compliance Monito	ring		Compli	ance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Responsible Agency / Person	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Result	Notes
			 When rapid transit is implemented on Hwy 7, there should still be a good local Hwy 7 bus service accessible to all residents. For example, there should be stops at Hunter's Point, west of Yonge S and Silver Linden, east of Yonge St. 	compatibility with proposed local transit network will be monitored.		Status - No Action Required				
		5 cont'd	 Parking at the Bathurst connection ramp represent the loss of more pervious surface close to the East Don River. A good transit system should require only as bare minimum of commuter parking 			Status - No Action Required		No		
			n) Vaughan Link to Spadina Subway – ensure that Black Creek is minimally avoided, keeping in mind the August flooding.	 Minimizing adverse effects on aquatic ecosystems is included in the assessment Table 12.6-3 (Goal C1) in the EA report. 		Status – No Action Required The TTC has prepared a separate CMP for the Spadina Subway Extension Project and is responsible for compliance monitoring related to the Vaughan N-S Link segment of the undertaking. Refer to Goal C1in Appendix 1 above for additional monitoring comments.		No		

							Codarland Alian	ment Modification Rep	Appendix		action for the I	Addition Alignment							
			Proj	ect Ph	ase ¹				osed Mitigation		Ĩ.	Noamea Alighment	C	ompliance Monitor	ing		Complian	ce Review (MMN	1)
GOALS	Environmental Value / Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Ρ	С	0	Location	Potential Environmental Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigation	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible Person / Agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
	•	I enhance the social environm	ent in	the co	rridor		•			•									
B1	road traffic and pedestrian circulation	SB Warden Avenue access to IBM facility.			~	Warden Avenue/IBM Access	The preferred rapid transit design will restrict right turn access at this location.	SB vehicles on Warden Avenue will turn right onto Cedarland Dr. and make a WB left turn at the Cedarland Dr./Town Centre Blvd intersection which will permit access to the IBM property	None expected	None necessary	Insignificant	None required	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment		No			
		enhance the natural environ	ment ir	the co	orridor					N <i>c c</i>		0.11							
C1	Minimize adverse effects on aquatic ecosystems	Loss of site-specific habitat.		~		Rouge River	Potential loss of fish habitat as a result of bridge widening may include long term impact, loss of riparian habitat, and decrease in habitat productivity.	In-water work will probably be required but will be limited as much as possible. Minimize the area of in-water alteration to the extent possible. Follow in-water construction timing restriction. Perform all in-water work in the dry using a temporary flow bypass system.	May include loss of riparian habitat and decrease in habitat productivity	Negotiations with regulatory agencies during detailed design to mitigate and / or compensate for the harmful alteration of fish habitat.	Insignificant	On-site environmental inspection during in- water work. Post-construction monitoring of fish habitat compensation measures. In-water work will be monitored and/or compensated if necessary.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment		No			
C2	Minimize adverse effects on terrestrial ecosystems	Loss of wildlife habitat, riparian habitat and ecological functions		~	~	Rouge River	Widening of the bridge will result in the removal of vegetation and ecological functions it supports. A decrease in habitat area may occur.	Minimize the area of vegetation removals to the extent possible. Minimize grade changes to the extent possible. Use close cut clearing and trimming to minimize the number of trees to be removed. Delineate work zones	May result in a decrease in habitat area.	Restore natural areas disturbed using construction with native vegetation, where feasible. Replace ornamental vegetation as part of	Negligible	None required.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment		No			

VivaNext – H2 Project

							Cedarland Alig	nment Modification Rep	Appendix		ation for the l	Modified Alignment						
			Pro	ject P	hase ¹				osed Mitigation				C	ompliance Monitor	ing		Compliance	Review (MMM)
GOALS	Environmental Value / Criterion	Environmental Issues/Concerns	Р	с	0	Location	Potential Environmental Effects	Built-In Positive Attributes and/or Mitigation	Potential Residual Effects	Further Mitigation	Level of Significance after Mitigation	Monitoring and Recommendation	Responsible Person / Agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
								using construction fencing/tree protection barrier. Protect trees within the clear zone using guiderail, curbs, etc. to prevent removal.		landscaping. Identify as well as restore plantings that will be needed to improve woody riparian cover to mitigate / compensate for any losses. A 3:1 tree replacement ratio will be followed if trees are removed.								

	Pertaining to		Appendix 4 ction for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modif hway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improve			Compliance Monitoring			Compliance	e Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agenc	Status and Description: How commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
Foronto and Region Conservation Authority	June Murphy, Planner II Environmental Assessments	1	Edits a) Modify the November 14, 2007 minutes to include the following statement: "TRCA Hydrology staff expressed concern for potential groundwater issues involving the subsurface conditions for the new bridge abutments and possible groundwater control concerns".	a) Minutes have been modified as requested.	York Region	a) to f): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) Change the spelling of Lesley to Leslie Piercey.	b) Minutes have been modified as requested.				No		
			 c) Submit a revised digital copy of the November 14, 2007 minutes to <u>jmurphy@trca.on.ca</u>. 	 c) Revised digital copy of the November 14, 2007 minutes will be provided to June Murphy. 	6			No		
			 Modify the December 14, 2007 minutes to change the spelling of Lesle to Leslie Piercey. 	d) Minutes have been modified as requested.				No		
			 e) Submit a revised digital copy of the December 14, 2007 minutes to <u>jmurphy@trca.on.ca</u>. 	 e) Revised digital copy of the December 14, 2007 minutes will be provided to June Murphy. 				No		
			 f) Ensure that these revised minutes are replaced in the Modification Report. 	f) f) Both the revised November 14, 2007 and December 14, 2007 minutes are included in Appendix 2 of the Cedarland Alignment Modification Report.				No		
		2	Hydrogeology Comment a) Both option alignments (Alts. M-1 and M-2) eventually cross the Rouge River using the existing Warden Avenue bridge.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) to e): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			 b) To accomplish either option requires an extension to the west side of the present bridge structure. 	b) Comment noted.				No		
			 No conceptual details were included in the Modification Report relative to proposed bridge abutment/foundation elevations and current groundwater conditions. 	c) Comment noted.				No		
			Action Required d) As per the previous hydrogeological comments when the bridge extension has been determined, provide preliminary geotechnical/hydrogeological information relative to dewatering/depressurization needs for abutment construction.	 d) Preliminary geotechnical / hydrogeological information will be included in the TRCA pre-permit approval application by the Proponent during detail design. 				No		
			 e) In regards to groundwater impacts due to construction and operation of either alternative, both are of equal ranking – one is not more favourable than another. 	e) Comment noted.				No		
		3	Geotechnical Engineering Comment a) There are no outstanding geotechnical engineering issues at this stage of the proposal.	 a) Comment noted. Detailed geotechnical reports will be distributed to TRCA during detail design. 	York Region	a) Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is		No		

	Pertaining to th		Appendix 4 tion for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modif way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improver			Compliance Monitoring			Complian	nce Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agency	auring design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
						in the H3 Segment				
			Ecology Comment a) The proposed change to the alignment along Cedarland Drive/Warden Avenue is generally acceptable from an ecological perspective, however there are a number of edits in the report that should be corrected as noted.	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
		5	 Ecology-natural areas – Page 5 Comment a) Page 5 of the report states that "there are no designated natural areas within the area considered for modified alignment alternatives" 	 a) The statement has been deleted from the report. 	York Region	a) to f): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) This is not accurate as the area is identified as part of TRCA's Terrestrial Natural Heritage System, and the area presently supports existing natural cover, including remnant woodlands and meadow areas within the vallev corridor immediately adiacent to Warden Avenue.	b) A modified statement has been incorporated in the report.				No		
			Action Required c) This section needs to be revised to more fully describe the existing natural environment.	c) A summary of Ecological Land Classification Vegetation Communities within the Alignment Modification Area has been added. If required, further information will be provided as part of TRCA pre-permit approval submitter during detail design.				No		
			d) It would be correct to state that there are no Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest, Provincially Significant Wetlands, Locally Significant Wetlands or other Provincially or Federall designated natural areas (as it relates to the Provincial Policy Statement within the modified alignment area).	,				No		
			 e) However, the importance of the remnant natural, successional processes and wildlife within this reach of the system. 	e) Comment noted.				No		
			f) Identify the location of the remnant natural areas that are present and include them on page 5.	f) A summary of Ecological Land Classification Vegetation Communities within the Alignment Modification Area has been added. If required, further information will be provided as part of TRCA pre-permit approval submitter during detail design.				No		
			Ecology-Bridge Span – Page 6 Comment a) a) On page 6 the bridge size is incorrectly stated.	a) / b) Comment noted.	York Region	a) to c): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) The span/width of bridge (over the watercourse) is 15m.					No		
-			Action Required	c) The text has been modified as noted.	1			No		

	Pertaining to t		Appendix 4 ction for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modil hway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improve			Compliance Monitoring	I		Complian	ce Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agency	Status and Description: How commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
			c) Modify the text to change the span/width to 15m.							
		7	 Ecology – matching to aerial photo – Figure 4-2, page 12 Action Required a) Modify page 12, Figure 4-2 to match alignments M1 and M2 with the road patterns on the aerial photograph (i.e. Highway 7 is off, Town Centre Boulevard is off, Cedarland Drive is off). 	a) Figure 4-2 has been corrected.	York Region	a) to d): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is		No		
			b) Label the roads at their appropriate locations.	b) Labels amended as noted to Figure 4-2.		in the H3 Segment		No		
				 c) Label added to Figure 4-2. 				No		
				d) Label added to Figure 4-2.				No		
		8	Ecology-environmental impacts of crossings – page 14 Comments a) On Page 14 the last paragraph states, "in addition, the modified (Cedarland/Warden/Enterprise) alignment reduces the potential environmental impact on the Rouge Valley by eliminating the separate crossing in the original EA and consolidating the crossing with the existing Warden Avenue bridge.	 a) Comment noted. TRCA will be consulted during detail design regarding mitigation including improvements to adjacent riparian habitats. 		a) to d): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) Ecology staff is not in 100% agreement since the existing crossing at Warden Avenue does not support terrestrial passage at present, and will result in a loss of approximately another 20m of riparian habitat wit the proposed extension.	 b) Comment noted. TRCA will be consulted during detail design regarding mitigation including improvements to adjacent riparian habitats. 				No		
			c) Ecology staff suggests that the ecological impacts may be neutral, as a "new crossing on the Rouge would have been appropriately sized".	c) Comment noted.				No		
			d) However, TRCA staff has agreed in principle with the Warden Avenue bridge extension and will work with the proponent to mitigate impacts during detailed design and construction and will seek to have adjacent riparian habitats improved as mitigation/compensation.	 d) Comment noted. TRCA will be consulted during detail design regarding mitigation including improvements to adjacent riparian habitats. 				No		
		9	Details on Impacts – Figures 5-1 and 5-2, pages 15 and 16 Action Required a) In the report include on Figures 5-1 and 5-2 the 100m long x12m wide edge of Cedarland woodlot as mentioned in Table 4-1 which will be impacted.	 a) Impact on the Cedarland woodlot has been highlighted with a note on Figure 5-1. 		a) to e): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) In the report include on Figures 5-1 and 5-2 the 150m long and 15m wide strip of Rouge River floodplain land as mentioned in Table 4-1 which will be impacted.	b) The strip of Rouge River floodplain that will be impacte has been highlighted with a note on Figure 5-2.	c			No		
			 Add TRCA's Regulation Limit and Regional Storm Floodplain to the figures. 	c) "Regulatory Flood Line (As per TRCA Flood Plain Mapping Approved 2007-01-05)" has been added to Figures 5-1 and 5-2.				No		

	Pertaining to		Appendix 4 ction for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modif hway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improver			Compliance Monitoring			Complian	e Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agenc	Status and Description: How commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		9 conťo		Mapping Approved 2007-01-05)" (blue) has been adde to the legend.	c			No		
			 e) Modify the report to describe the impacts to the Cedarland woodlot and the floodplain. 	 e) This information will be provided as part of TRCA pre- permit approval submitted during detail design. 				No		
		10	 Ecology-Assessment – Table 6-1, page 20 Action Required a) As there is no intention to span the meander belt or 100-year erosion limit with the Warden Avenue bridge extension this table needs to be revised to include mitigation efforts to minimize the bridge extension and fill requirements to the extent possible. 	 a) Mitigation efforts to minimize potential environmental effects of the bridge widening and fill requirements will be identified and provided as part of TRCA pre-permit approval submitted during detail design. 	York Region	a) Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			Comments b) TRCA Ecology staff disagrees with the assessment there will be no "potential residual effects".	b) Comment noted.		b) to I) Status – Does not apply to the H2 Segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is		No		
			 c) As noted previously, there will be a minimum loss of 10m riparian habitat (10m of both banks) as well as a loss in productivity associated with the length of river under the solid bridge structure. 	c) Comment noted.		in the H3 Segment		No		
			Action Required d) Modify Table 6-1 to reflect the loss of riparian habitat.	d) Loss of riparian habitat has been added to goal C2 in Table 6-1.				No		
			e) Modify the two blocks under "potential residual effects" to state the impacts (aquatic losses for example, may include long term impact, loss of riparian habitat, and decrease in habitat productivity. Terrestrial losses for example may include decrease in habitat area).	 e) The examples as noted have been added to goals C1 and C2 in Table 6-1. 				No		
			f) Change "widening of the bridge may" to "will"result.	f) Comment noted and change made to Table 6-1.				No		
			g) Change "span meander belt of 100 year erosion limit of the watercourse"to what the project entails, a bridge extension.	g) Comment noted and change made to Table 6-1.				No		
				h) Comment noted and change made to Table 6-1.				No		
			 Modify Table 6-1 to indicate that these impacts will need to be mitigated and/or compensated. 	i) Table 6-1 modified as noted.				No		
			 Modify Table 6-1 in the "further mitigation" column to ensure that a minimum 3:1 tree replacement ratio will be identified for tree removals that may be necessary. 	j) Comment noted and change made to Table 6-1.				No		
			 k) Identify as well as any restoration plantings that will be needed to improve woody riparian cover to compensate for any losses. 	k) Table 6-1 modified as noted.				No		
			I) Identify what P. C. O represent under Project Phase.	 Comment noted and identification of P C and O added to the bottom of Table 6-1. 				No		
		11	Engineering: Comments a) With regards to the two alternatives presented, M-1 and M-2, both are equally acceptable from the engineering/floodplain management	a) Comment noted.	York Region	a) to d): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment		No		

	Pertaining to		Appendix 4 ction for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modif nway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improver			Compliance Monitoring			Complian	ce Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agency	Status and Description: How commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013		Notes
			perspective, as they both proceed along Warden Avenue south of Cedarland Drive.			Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment				
		11 conťď	 b) As discussed during our various meetings with the proponents on the bridge at Warden Avenue, no other improvements are planned for the bridge except for an extension to carry the transitway. 	b) Comment noted.				No		
			c) Therefore, flood levels and flow mechanics are anticipated to remain unchanged.	c) Comment noted.				No		
			Action Required d) However, the proponent will need to provide all the necessary updates to the HEC-RAS model to confirm that the final design of the proposed extension will have no negative implications to flooding either upstream or downstream, at the detailed design stage.	 d) The HEC-RAS model will be updated and provided to TRCA during the detailed design stage. 				No		
		12		a) to h) Comments noted.	York Region	a) to n): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) The objective was to review the 10m setback from the top of bank line.			5		No		
			c) An aerial photograph dated January 23, 2008 prepared by MMM was utilized as well as the top of bank stakes in the field installed by MMM staff.					No		
			d) From the site visit a top of bank line/tree drip line was confirmed in the field by TRCA on the west bank of the valley approximately running from the parking lot north of Enterprise extension, northwards to the east-west orientation of the Regional Floodline.					No		
			e) From the site visit it was determined that the new 10m setback from the new top of bank line/tree drip line needed to be updated on the aerial photo.					No		
			 f) MMM resubmitted a revised aerial photograph on March 26, 2008 with a revised 10 m setback. 					No		
			g) The location of the Regional Storm Floodline as depicted on the March 26, 2008 aerial photograph compared to mapping in the TRCA office and is satisfactory.					No		
			 h) The location of the red top of bank/drip line immediately east of the Regional Floodplain Line is satisfactory. 					No		
			Action Required i) Modify the legend to change" Fill Regulation Line" to "Regulation Line"	i) The legend has been modified as requested.				No		
			j) Change "Regulatory" to "Regional Storm Floodline".	j) The wording has been changed as requested.				No		
			 k) Modify the legend to make the line width for the "Regulation Line" bolder. 	k) The legend has been modified as requested.				No		
			 Revisit the "Regulation Line" on the aerial photograph and include it on the north and south sides of the Regional Floodplain. 	I) The figure has been updated as requested.				No		

	Pertaining to		Appendix 4 ction for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modi hway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improve			Compliance Monitoring			Complia	nce Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agenc	Status and Description: How commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
		12 conťo	north of the Regional Floodline was not confirmed by TRCA staff since this top of bank area is within the Regional Floodline and the 10m setback is calculated from the greater of the hazard.).					No		
			 n) Modify the legend to add top of bank/tree drip line and send a final digital copy to <u>imurphy@trca.on.ca</u>. 	 n) The legend has been modified as requested and the fir digital copy will be sent to June Murphy. 	a			No		
		13	Engineering Hydraulics-Cover Letter and Memo re. Hydraulics of Bridge Widening Comments a) The York Consortium Report summarized previous discussions with TRCA staff and also provided supporting analyses resulting from investigating the various alternatives to replacing or extending the Warden Avenue Bridge at the Rouge River south of Highway 7.	a) Comment noted. Consultation was included in Appendix 2 of the Report.	York Region	a) to g): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
			b) TRCA engineering staff concurs with the construction constraints identified, and recognizes that the presence of the IBM flyover precludes any significant relief from flooding over Warden Avenue fron a crossing replacement, since the analysis shows the roadway low poi would be below the Regional water level in the unimpeded condition (without any bridge in place).	r				No		
			c) TRCA engineering staff concurs with the short term fix that the existing bridge be extended to accommodate the Bus Rapid Transit lanes.	c) Comment noted.				No		
			 d) TRCA engineering staff concurs with the long term fix that a profile change in Warden Avenue would be required to bring the road outside the floodplain. 	d) Comment noted.				No		
			Action Required e) As per TRCA's policies, staff requires that the proposed bridge extension be designed in order that it will not adversely impact the floodplain, and also requires that the design incorporate an ecological net benefit.	 TRCA will continue to be consulted during detail desig of the bridge. 	n			No		
			f) For detailed design submit the Notice of Study Completion with the completed "Development, Interference with Wetlands, Alternative to Shorelines and Watercourses" application with the fee, checklist and 6 copies of the drawings for our review.	f) All of the TRCA application requirements will be met during detailed design.				No		
			 g) Should you wish to separate the project into phases, submit 1 application per geographic area. 	g) Comment noted.				No		
		14	 Geotechnical: Comments a) There are no Geotechnical Engineering issues with the submissions to date, however, comments will follow in the detail design stage. 	a) Comment noted. TRCA will be consulted during detai design phase/	York Region	a) Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is		No		
		15	Hydrogeology: Comments a) a) Based on the material submitted, the proponent envisages an extension of the western side of the existing bridge structure to accommodate a rapid transit bus lane.	 a) Comment noted. The transit lanes will be added to the west side of the existing bridge structure. 	•	a) to g): Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment		No		

	Pertaining to th		Appendix 4 tion for comments received on the DRAFT Cedarland Alignment Modific way 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improven			Compliance Monitoring			Compliar	ice Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	#	Comment	Response	Resp. Person/Agency	during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
						Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment				
		15 cont'd	and relative surface water flow and surface water back-up behind the specific bridge design.	b) Comment noted.				No		
			c) At this time, there are no groundwater issues from the submitted hydraulic report.	c) Comment noted.				No		
			Action Required: d) During detailed design when the appropriate bridge extension has beer determined, provide the preliminary geotechnical/hydrogeological information relative to dewatering/depressurization needs for abutment construction.	d) The preliminary geotechnical/hydrogeological information prepared during detailed design will be provided to TRCA. This will include information related to dewatering and depressurization needs for the construction of the abutment.				No		
			 With the submission of the "Development" application, provide 2 copies of the geotechnical/hydrogeological reports. 	 Comment noted. When the Proponent provides TRCA with the application, two copies of the reports will be provided. 				No		
			 Provide a summary of the construction of the Warden Avenue Bridge extensions since TRCA staff recalls a groundwater/construction issue during that project. 	f) The Proponent will review reports from the construction of the Warden Avenue bridge extension and discuss with Peter Cholewa during detail design.				No		
			 g) Contact Peter Cholewa, RMOY, for further details on the recent Warden Avenue Bridge extensions. 	g) The Proponent will contact Peter Cholewa as suggester during detail design.	d			No		
Environment– Environmental	Shereen Amin, Project Officer, EA Project Coordination	1	Section 1.1 Rephrase first sentence to read "York Region considers the local modification to the alignment to be a significant change from what was approved in the EA. However, York Region has determined that the modification does not alter the net effects of the undertaking and can therefore consider this modification to have neutral environmental net effects".	Comment noted and incorporated in Section 1.1.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
		2		A table of meetings with dates and attendees has been included in Section 7.0 of the report.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
		3		All of the related correspondence to/from the affected landowners is included in Appendix 2 of the report.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		

Pertaining to	Action fo the Highway 7 Corrid	or comi lor and	Appendix 5 nents received on the FINAL Cedarland Alignment I Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improven	Modification Report - nents Environmental Assessment (March 2010)		Compliance Monitori	ng		Com	pliance Review (MMM)
Representative	Name	No.	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
Ministry of the Environment – Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch	Solange Desautels Senior Project Coordinator, EA Project Coordination		It is assumed that subsequent reports required in the EA would include the Cedarland modification such as air quality assessment; SWM plan; Phase II archaeological report; hydrogeological report, contaminated sites.	implementation will include the Cedarland alignment modification.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
		2	Can you confirm there is no archaeological potential associated with lands around Cedarland Drive, and other items above, etc.?	Stage II archaeological assessment has been recommended in the approved EA, Appendix J.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		
		3	There are no changes to SWM-same outlet; volumes etc?	A Storm Water Management Preliminary Assessment was provided in Appendix G of the approved EA and describes a SWM Concept Plan by transitway section including the following: 5.2.32 Town Centre Boulevard - Highway 7 to west of Rouge River (Sta. 439+580 to Sta. 440+170) Drainage for this section was provided as part of a drainage master plan for the Clegg Road/Cedarland Drive area. The existing sewer has a direct discharge to the Rouge River. There is an existing storm water pond to the south of the storm outlet that was built after the storm sewer. Due to differences in elevation, the storm sewer outlet could not be included in the pond. The transitway will continue to discharge to the existing storm sewer on Town Centre Boulevard. (Proposed discharge to the existing storm sewer on Town Centre Boulevard from Highway 7 to Cedarland Drive would not change with the Cedarland alignment modification since this segment of the transitway is the same as the original alignment.) 5.2.33 Markham Centre Alignment - Town Centre Boulevard to Warden Avenue (Sta. 540+070 to Sta. 540+450) This alignment crosses the Rouge River floodplain and consists of two 3.5 m wide transit lanes with a 0.5 m shoulder. Rather than a storm sever system, individual outlets to the vegetated area adjacent to the transitway are proposed for this section. (Since the new alignment is proposed along		Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		

Pertaining to th	Appendix 5 Action for comments received on the FINAL Cedarland Alignment Modification Report - Pertaining to the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment (March 2010)					Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	No.	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes		
				Cedarland Drive rather than in a new transit only corridor across the Rouge River (see EA figure 9-60), the drainage will likely be into the storm sewer on Cedarland Drive. This would have to be confirmed during development of the detailed Storm Water Management Plan in conjunction with detailed design of the transitway. See detailed response below.)								
			Does original EA or will SWM plan include these components: A written commitment by the municipality of long- term maintenance/ownership of the Stormwater Management System(s) "Oil and grit separators shall be installed at all strategic locations to intercept stormwater run-offs and washings from stations and intersecting transit sections". "Post construction monitoring shall include regular TSS and heavy metals scan (semi-annual) of the discharged stormwater to the receiver, depending upon the sensitivity as determined by the Ministry. "monitoring of baseflow to surface water courses from the SWM ponds shall be undertaken for TSS & Temperature on a regular basis; and salt content (ionization potential) and heavy metal scan on semi- annual basis" as may be applicable.	As noted above, a Storm Water Management Preliminary Assessment was provided in Appendix G of the approved EA and describes a SWM Concept Plan by transitway section. The EA (Table 11.3-1 on page 11-2) includes a commitment to develop a detailed Storm Water Management Plan in accordance with MOE's guidelines. The commitment also indicates that the Storm Water Management Plan will outline monitoring and maintenance requirements for SWM facilities constructed as part of the undertaking. The 2009 Annual Compliance Report (page 17) tracks the compliance of the commitment related to surface water resources. The ACR indicates that a draft Storm Water Management Plan has been prepared during preliminary engineering and will be finalized in the detailed design phase. MOE is listed as a potentially interested agency in Table 11.3-1 of the EA and therefore will be consulted. I will forward this e-mail to the design team at Rapidco to ensure they consult MOE Technical Support at the appropriate stage with regard to the Storm Water Management Plan.	f	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No				
		5	You don't mention noise –it will be closer to future sensitive receptors-can you confirm no increase in 5dba?	Based on the noise assessment undertaken in the original EA, we can conclude that the noise threshold will not be reached for the Cedarland Drive alignment. The proposed alignment is along the south side of Cedarland Drive, directly adjacent to lands designated for business park (not a sensitive receptor). The lands designated for mixed use (along the east side of Town Centre Boulevard and north of Cedarland Drive) are closer to the transitway along Town Centre Blvd (in the median of the road) as opposed to along Cedarland Drive (running along the south side of the road). The EA does not recommend consideration of noise mitigation except	3	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No				

Pertaining to t	presentative Name No. Comment Response presentative Response presentative presentative No. Comment Response presentative p					Compliance Monitori	ng		Comp	bliance Review (MMM)
Representative				Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes
				Markham Town Centre (east of Warden Avenue) where the transitway will run within a pedestrian/transit corridor rather than within a road corridor as is the case for the remainder of the transitway, including along Cedarland Drive. In Table 10.4-2 of the EA (page 10-16), the following wording is included in the further mitigation column -						
				such as site planning, architectural design, special building components and/or central air conditioning may be necessary.						

Pertaining to the			Appendix 5 nents received on the FINAL Cedarland Alignment I Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improven			Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)		
Representative	Name	No.	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			I had previously reviewed the EA and I am aware of the requirements, however the change to the route onto to Cedarland is not addressed in the EA. It is not clear from your response whether my questions have been answered. I assume the following components and recommend the Addendum report address these items: Archaeological Resources Based on the findings in the EA, there is a potential for Archaeological resources associated with the Cedarland alignment hence the phase II archaeological assessment required in the EA will also include this portion of the alignment.	Technical Memorandum titled "Hwy 7 Corridor and Vaughan N-S Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment - Cedarland Alignment Modification - Response to MOE Comments of March 23, 2010 - December 15, 2010" addresses these items as follows: a) Archaeological Resources Provision has been made in the H3 Detail Design Final Work Plan for a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment of all areas within the H3 project that were identified as having archaeological potential in the Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Appendix J of the Hwy 7 Corridor and Vaughan N-S Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment), as well as areas of the Cedarland Alignment Modification, as required.	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		2011 ACR: Bolding and underline removed as item is not under review.	
			SWM Proposed discharge to the existing storm sewer on Town Centre Boulevard from Highway 7 to Cedarland Drive would not change with the Cedarland alignment modification since this segment of the transitway is the same as the original alignment. Since the new alignment is proposed along Cedarland Drive rather than in a new transit only corridor across the Rouge River (see EA figure 9- 60), the drainage will likely be into the storm sewer on Cedarland Drive. This would have to be confirmed during development of the detailed Storm Water Management Plan in conjunction with detailed design of the transitway. In accordance with the EA (Table 11.3-1 on page 11-2), the Cedarland alignment will be included in the development of the proposed detailed Storm Water Management Plan in accordance with MOE's guidelines. Also as stated in the EA, the Storm Water Management Plan will outline monitoring and maintenance requirements for SWM facilities constructed as part of the undertaking. The Cedarland alignment will be included in the draft Storm Water Management Plan will outline during monitoring and maintenance requirements for SWM facilities constructed as part of the undertaking. The Cedarland alignment will be included in the draft Storm Water Management Plan that has been prepared during preliminary engineering and will be finalized in the detailed design phase. MOE is listed	 b) Storm Water Management The preliminary engineering design work for Segment H3, including the modified Cedarland alignment has been completed, and included the drainage study titled "Final Drainage Study Revision 1 for Viva Next H3 Highway 7 (Y.R.7), June 10, 2010". The preliminary engineering design proposes the use of the existing stormwater sewer on South Town Centre Boulevard, which discharges to the Rouge River through the IBM property, as well as a new stormwater sewer running under the Viva Rapidway on the south side of Cedarland Drive and the west side of Warden Avenue, to discharge to the Rouge River at Viva stationing 540+200, near the Warden Avenue bridge. There will be no additional runoff to the existing South Town Centre Boulevard stormwater sewer. All runoff from the Viva Rapidway adjacent Cedarland Drive and Warden Avenue will be directed to the new stormwater sewer. Ine under the Viva Rapidway. The "Final Drainage Study Revision 1 for Viva Next H3 Highway 7 (Y.R.7), June 10, 2010" incorporates the storm water management plan. Monitoring and 		Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No		2011 ACR: Bolding and underline removed as item is not under review.	

Appendix 5 Action for comments received on the FINAL Cedarland Alignment Modification Report - Pertaining to the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment (March 2010)					Compliance Monitoring			Compliance Review (MMM)			
Representative	Name	No.	Comment	Response	Responsible person / agency	Status and Description of how commitment has been addressed during design	Compliance Document Reference	Reviewed in 2013	Review Results	Notes	
			as a potentially interested agency in Table 11.3-1 of the EA and therefore will be consulted.	maintenance requirements for storm water management facilities constructed as part of the undertaking will be outlined during the H3 detailed design phase.							
			Noise It is noted that Mixed Use development is proposed on the north side of Cedarland Drive which potentially includes sensitive uses (residential condo's)? Noise assessment in Appendix K does not deal with new Cedarland alignment as such addendum report should note that: "Based on the noise assessment undertaken in the original EA, we can conclude that the noise threshold will not be reached for the Cedarland Drive alignment change". If this is applicable this should be included: "Depending on lower floor building uses, may require noise screening along transitway and/or noise control features in residential design". ??? or maybe you need to do a noise assessment to confirm?	c) Noise A baseline study was completed as part of the EA and is not required as part of the H3 Detail Design work program. However, an additional noise impact analysis for the Cedarland Alignment Modification will be undertaken and the requirement has been incorporated in the H3 Detail Design Work Plan	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No			
			General Addendum should indicate that required studies under EA such asshall include Cedarland amendment and ACR report will report on any additional commitments.	 d) General The required studies under the Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA will incorporate the Cedarland Alignment Modification as required. In particular, the following studies are included in the H3 Detailed Design Work Plan: Tree preservation plan and edge management plan Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment report Air quality report, according to MOE-approved protocols Noise report for Cedarland Alignment Documentation of existing wells in project area Summary of first nations consultation Wildlife inventory report 	York Region	Status- Does not apply to the H2 segment Cedarland Alignment Modification is in the H3 Segment		No			